Successful management of a triplet heterotopic caesarean scar pregnancy after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer
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Objective: To report a case of a triplet heterotopic caesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) with two gestational sacs implanted in the caesarean scar after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer.

Design: Case report.

Settings: Private reproductive medicine center and obstetric department of a university hospital.

Patient(s): A 31-year-old woman with previous caesarean section affected by secondary infertility related to male azoospermia.

Intervention(s): In vitro fertilization-embryotransfer followed by early ultrasound diagnosis of heterotopic CSP and selective embryo reduction performed by transvaginal ultrasound-guided potassium chloride and methotrexate injection in the ectopic gestational sacs.

Main outcome measure(s): Successful pregnancy outcome.

Result(s): An ongoing intrauterine pregnancy with a live birth after successful management of ectopic gestational sacs.

Conclusion(s): Triplet heterotopic CSP with two ectopic gestational sacs may occur after IVF-ET and, to our knowledge, this is the first time such a complication has been reported. Ultrasound is the main tool allowing early diagnosis of this condition, and the injection of potassium chloride and methotrexate is a safe and efficacious treatment method. The gynecologist managing early pregnancy should be aware of the possibility of CSP, and patients must be appropriately counseled about the different treatment options. (Fertil Steril 2011;95: 291.e1–e3. ©2011 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)
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Caesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) is considered a type of ectopic pregnancy and constitutes a life-threatening condition due to the high risk of uterine rupture with related maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality (1, 2). The etiology of this condition and the best management are still unclear (1, 2). However, some medical and surgical treatment modalities have recently been suggested (1–6).

The frequency of spontaneous heterotopic pregnancies is reported as 1:10,000 to 1:50,000, but evidence suggests that assisted reproductive technologies may increase this risk up to 1% (7–9). CSP coexisting with intrauterine gestation is extremely rare (3–6). Its simultaneous occurrence with a viable intrauterine gestation, which should be preserved, limits availability of several CSP treatment modalities and makes clinical management more difficult (3–6).
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We report the first case of triplet heterotopic CSP in which two ectopic gestational sacs were implanted into the previous caesarean scar. In this case, a local injection of potassium chloride (KCl) and methotrexate (MTX) was successfully performed preserving the intrauterine pregnancy. We also discuss the management options and review the literature.

CASE REPORT

A 31-year-old woman, gravida 1, para 0, presented to our clinic with a 4-year history of secondary infertility. Nine years earlier, she had a spontaneous pregnancy with her previous partner and underwent a lower segment transverse caesarean section because of fetal breech presentation without any postoperative complication.

Routine investigation of couples showed the presence of severe male infertility factor with azoospermia. A preprocedure hysteroscopy failed to identify any abnormality of uterine cavity or wall defect, and a successful testicular sperm extraction was performed with testicular spermatozoa recovery and cryopreservation for further intracytoplasmatic sperm injection treatment.

The woman underwent controlled ovarian hyperstimulation using a GnRH antagonist regimen, starting recombinant FSH on day 2 of...
the cycle. A total of seven oocytes were obtained, but according to
the Italian legislation in force at that time only the best three were
used for intracytoplasmatic sperm injection. Three embryos with
good morphology were transferred into the uterus under abdominal
ultrasound guidance. The result of the first pregnancy test was
positive ($\beta$-hCG 1378 mU/mL) 14 days after ET, and all further
assessments showed a regular $\beta$-hCG increment.

The routine vaginal ultrasound examination performed at 5
weeks’ gestation showed only one intrauterine sac. One week later,
we observed the presence of another two gestation sacs implanted in
the anterior isthmic wall close to the caesarean section scar and
separated from the bladder wall by a thin myometrial layer. The
ultrasound control repeated at 7 weeks identified normal yolk sac,
crown-rump length, cardiac activity, and peritrophoblastic vascular-
ization in all gestational sacs (Fig. 1). The physical examination
revealed a normal uterine cervix and abdomen. No pelvic pain and
vaginal bleeding were reported.

The extensive counseling about the condition, its risks, and treat-
ment options resulted in a conservative management chosen by the
patients’ because of the desire to preserve the intrauterine pregnancy
and further fertility. After informed consent was obtained, a selective
embryo reduction of the caesarean scar pregnancies was performed at
8 weeks’ gestation at the Maternal Fetal Medicine Unit, University
of Brescia. The treatment procedure did not require approval
of the institutional review board, and there were no vested interests
of a commercial nature or conflicts of interest.

The procedure was performed transvaginally under ultrasound
control using a 20-gauge needle. Similar to what was described by Baker et al. (10), 2 ml of 2 mEq KCl were mixed with 15 mg
of MTX to a total volume of 4 ml. The mixture was injected into
each ectopic gestational sac at 0.5-mL steps. Cardiac activity
stopped in both sacs after the injection of 1 ml, at which time no
further injection was performed and as much fluid as possible
was aspirated from the gestational sac until its collapse. The patient
tolerated the procedure well and there were no immediate compi-
cation. The ultrasound examination performed the following day
confirmed the absence of heart activity in the ectopic sacs. However,
the Doppler evaluation revealed a persistence of vascular-
ization around one of two treated sacs. The patient was discharged
in good condition 5 days after the procedure. She experienced
moderate pelvic pain and a small amount of vaginal bleeding for
1 week.

All ultrasound examinations performed during the pregnancy re-
vealed an amorphous mass of 5 × 3 cm at the isthmus of the anterior
wall of the uterus. The intrauterine pregnancy was uneventful except
for a small vaginal bleeding and uterine contractions at 28 and 34
weeks’ gestation. Unexpected massive hemorrhage and complete
placenta detachment occurred at 36 weeks, leading to the emergency
caesarean section with the delivery of male baby weighing 1900 g.
The baby showed only four fingers in both feet and small, protruding
cup-shaped ears. One month later Miller syndrome was diagnosed,
which is considered a rare genetic condition inherited as an autosom-
mal recessive trait (11).

Surgical exploration of the uterine scar evidenced no sign of
rupture. The mass measuring 5 × 3 cm suggestive of remaining tro-
phoblastic tissue of the scar pregnancies was still found at the lower
segment of the uterus. This mass was removed for the pathologic
examination, which found placental and deciduous tissues. Because
of the massive blood loss before and during the caesarean section
(Hb, 6.1 g/dL) two units of packed red blood cells were immediately
transfused. The patient had an uneventful recovery and was
discharged in good condition on day 5.

FIGURE 1

Transvaginal sagittal section showing the (A) retroverted uterus
with one intrauterine gestational sac and (B) the two sacs
implanted on the caesarean scar.


DISCUSSION

Between 1978 and 2001, only 18 cases of CSP were reported in the
literature in English. This number increased to 66 during the next
3 years, suggesting that a growing number of caesarean deliveries,
together with higher incidence of specific indications, might have
an effect on the major scar implantation (1, 2).

Because of the rarity of this condition, no universal treatment
guidelines have been established to date (1, 2). The first case of
CSP reported by Larsen and Solomon (12) was treated by gesta-
tional tissue evacuation during laparotomy. The surgical approach
includes hysteroscopy combined with laparoscopy or laparoscopy
alone (1, 2, 6). Furthermore, medical treatments based on
systemic or local injection of MTX, KCl, and hyperosmolar
sugar have been proposed (1–3, 5).

The literature reports only a few cases of heterotopic CSP. Its
occurrence in conjunction with a viable intrauterine pregnancy
and patient’s desire to maintain the intrauterine pregnancy makes
the therapeutic management more difficult. Salomon et al. (3) de-
scribed a twin heterotopic CSP successfully treated with KCI
injection into the cardiac area of the ectopically implanted embryo
at 8 weeks’ gestation. The development of normally implanted
pregnancy was uneventful and a healthy girl, weighing 2800 g,
was delivered by caesarean section at 36 weeks’ gestation because
of premature rupture of membranes. Hsieh et al. (4) reported
a case of triplet heterotopic CSP with one embryo implanted in
the caesarean scar, in which the contents of ectopic sac was aspirated
transvaginally with a 16-gauge needle at 6 weeks’ gestation. The re-
turning two intrauterine pregnancies proceeded without complica-
tions until 32 weeks’ gestation, when an emergency delivery was
performed because of preterm labor. Wang et al. (5) published
a case report of twin heterotopic CSP in which the reduction of
the embryo implanted in the caesarean scar was obtained by KCI
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injection at 10 weeks’ gestation. The prenatal course was unevent-
ful, and at 35 weeks’ gestation a male baby, weighing 1820 g, was
delivered by cesarean section because of preterm labor. Recently,
Demirel et al. (6) described a spontaneous twin heterotopic CSP suc-
scessfully managed by a laparoscopic approach under ultrasound
guidance. Ongoing intrauterine pregnancy ended with a cesarean
delivery at 38 weeks’ gestation. Laparoscopic excision of the CSP
gave the opportunity to preserve the viable intrauterine gestation
while constituting a strong lower uterine segment and avoiding
side effects of medical approaches.

To our knowledge, we reported the first case of triplet heterotopic
CSP with two gestational sacs implanted in the cesarean scar. It was
a particularly challenging case because of the patient’s desire to
maintain the intrauterine pregnancy and further fertility. We avoided
surgical management because we believed that the double-scar im-
plantation, with a major ectopic mass to be removed, would increase
the procedure-related complications and expose the intrauterine
pregnancy to serious risks. In addition, the early gestational age at
the time of diagnosis and a lack of symptoms reported by the patient
gave the possibility of conservative management.

Wang et al. (5) used KCl injection, and the serial follow-up evi-
denced the persistence of gestational tissue over the scar, which
was associated with massive blood loss at cesarean section. Gyanﬁi
et al. (13) also described massive bleeding from the retained tropho-
blastic tissue, which occurred during cesarean section, after fetal
reduction of a cervical heterotopic pregnancy by KCl injection. In
addition, a doubt about potential toxic effects of KCl is still present
(14, 15). To reduce the risks of persistent trophoblastic tissue, the
local injection of MTX, alone or in combination with KCl or
vasopressin, has been described in cases of heterotopic cervical
(16–18) and interstitial pregnancy (10). We considered MTX as
highly effective to halt trophoblast proliferation and reduce the
risk of CSP to minimal. However, there is evidence of MTX-
related teratogenicity observed in a surviving intrauterine fetus after
failed medical abortion or ectopic pregnancy treatment (19, 20), and
concerns have been raised about its use by local injection in the
treatment of heterotopic pregnancies (21). Therefore, to reduce its
negative impact on normally implanted embryo, we decided to
add KCl, to reduce the dose of MTX, and to aspirate back the mix-
ture at the end of the procedure. In fact, the isolated malformations
observed in the baby are typical of Miller syndrome and different
from complex embryopathy deriving from MTX use.

Many theories have been proposed to explain the occurrence of
CSP. The most popular is embryo implantation into the uterine
wall through a small internal dehiscence of the scar or through a tract
from the endometrial canal up to the scar tissue (1, 2). The predisposing
factors for pregnancy in this site are multiple cesarean sections increasing scar surface and breech presentation
when incision is performed electively on a nondeveloped lower uterine segment (1). A question may be raised whether the CSP
could be avoided by improving methods of ET. We routinely per-
form all ETs under ultrasound control, and hysteroscopy for uterine
cavity assessment is a part of our standard preprocedural evaluation,
but the heterotopic pregnancy occurred anyway. In addition, a strat-
egy of limiting the number of embryos up to two in young women
with previous cesarean section should be considered to minimize
the risk of twin heterotopic CSP and simplify clinical management.
The cesarean section rate and reproductive technology has been
increasingly enhancing the possibility of CSP increment in the fu-
ture. Therefore, the gynecologist must be prepare to address a dif-
cult choice of the best available treatment option for this condition.
In addition, the intensive management of pregnancy and preparation
before delivery must be considered to avoid possible complications
and massive blood loss. More attention should be given upon reduc-
ing the known etiologic factors, which may contribute to the rapidly
growing number of pregnancies implanted in a scarred uterus.
KCl and MTX injection may represent a valid therapeutic option
for heterotopic CSP, but further study is needed to compare different
treatment methods in terms of efficacy, safety, and reproductive
outcome.
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