



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott's test

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott's test / Genchi, M.; Ciuca, L.; Vismarra, A.; Ciccone, E.; Cringoli, G.; Kramer, L.; Rinaldi, L.. - In: VETERINARY PARASITOLOGY. - ISSN 0304-4017. - 298:(2021). [10.1016/j.vetpar.2021.109555]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2897005 since: 2024-12-16T15:20:42Z

Publisher:

Elsevier B.V.

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.vetpar.2021.109555

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

24 December 2024

Veterinary Parasitology

Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott's test --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	Vetpar-D-21-15159R1
Article Type:	Original research paper
Keywords:	Dirofilaria immitis; Dirofilaria repens; modified Knott's test; distilled water; formalin; alternative reagents
Corresponding Author:	Lavinia Ciuca, DVM, PhD, Resident EVPC University of Naples School of Veterinary Medicine: Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria e Produzioni Animali Naples, Italy ITALY
First Author:	Marco Genchi
Order of Authors:	Marco Genchi Lavinia Ciuca, DVM, PhD, Resident EVPC Alice Vismarra Elena Ciccone Giuseppe Cringoli Laura Kramer Laura Rinaldi
Abstract:	<p>The aim of the present study was to evaluate the suitability of different reagents as safe alternatives to 2% formalin in the modified Knott's test for the diagnosis of subcutaneous (<i>Dirofilaria repens</i>) and cardiopulmonary (<i>D. immitis</i>) dirofilariosis. A total of 61 blood samples from dogs naturally infected with <i>D. immitis</i> and <i>D. repens</i> were collected and analysed in two different laboratories (Lab 1, University of Parma and Lab 2, University of Napoli). For each blood sample the modified Knott's method was performed to identify and measure the mean length and width of the microfilariae (mfs) using 2% formalin (A), 2% acetic acid (B), 2% glacial acetic acid (C), 10% saponin (D) and distilled water (E). When compared to 2% formalin, there was no significant difference ($P>0.05$) among the mean length and width of either <i>D. immitis</i> or <i>D. repens</i> mfs with distilled water (E). The lengths and widths of mfs, however, were significantly reduced ($P<0.05$) when using B, C, D likely due to more pronounced parasite dehydration. Despite differences in measurements, the morphological features of the head and tail of the two species were maintained, suggesting that all the solutions tested could be a suitable alternative to formalin. All alternative reagents caused more marked haemolysis compared to formalin, improving readability of slides. The values of the mean length and the mean width of <i>D. immitis</i> and the mean width of <i>D. repens</i> mfs obtained with formalin and distilled water were statistically different ($P<0.005$) between the two laboratories. The difference in mf measurements between the two labs could be due to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies. Results suggest that distilled water could replace formalin in the modified Knott's test, as a safer reagent that allows morphology-based species differentiation of <i>Dirofilaria</i> spp.</p>

Dear Editor,

We would like thank you for accepting our manuscript entitled “**Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott’s test**” for the *Veterinary Parasitology* Journal. We appreciate the interest that you and reviewers have taken in our manuscript and the constructive criticism they have given. The manuscript has been revised according to the suggestions of the Reviewers. We have also included a point-by-point response to the reviewers, explaining the changes described in the revised manuscript.

Thank you again.

We look forward to the outcome of your assessment

Yours sincerely,

Lavinia Ciuca

Lavinia Ciuca, DVM, PhD, resident EVPC
Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production
University of Naples Federico II
Via della Veterinaria, 1
80137 Napoli
Italy, Tel. +39 0812536281/283

Reviewer #1:

OVERALL COMMENTS

This study aimed to evaluate the suitability of different reagents, such as acetic acid-vinegar, glacial acetic acid, saponin, and distilled water, in the execution of the modified Knott's test, instead of the usually employed 2% formalin. The study conclusion supports the use of distilled water in the above-mentioned concentration method, suggesting this reagent as a reliable and safer substitution of 2% formalin, known to be toxic and cancerogenic.

Apart some basic issues reported in the minor comments section, and the English language that must be checked by a native speaker, I recognize the importance of this finding that surely will make easier the diagnostic procedures of the filarial infections in both laboratories and veterinary facilities.

Therefore, in my opinion, the manuscript is suitable for publication in VetPar pending minor revisions.

RE: We thank the reviewer for the positive feedback on our manuscript. The manuscript has been carefully revised by a native English-speaking (LK), co-author of this manuscript, who has reviewed the revised manuscript.

MINOR COMMENTS

Highlights

First and fifth sentence: Please, add "2%" to "formalin".

RE: The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Second and fourth sentences: Add the adjective "distilled" to "water" in order to make the sentences self-explanatory of what has been done in the study.

RE: Thank you for the suggestion. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Third sentence: Change "mf" in "mfs" if it has been used for the plural form "microfilariae".

Check all the text and change accordingly.

RE: Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Fifth sentence: Change "purified" in "distilled". The two terms are not equivalent and, in this case, the correct one is distilled. Check all the text and change accordingly.

RE: Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Abstract

Line 29: Remove the comma after "formalin".

RE: Done.

Line 31: Remove the comma after "D. repens".

RE: Done.

Line 32: I suggest removing "parasitology" and "in Italy" from the sentence. "Parasitology" is obvious, so there is no meaning to specify in the abstract. The same applied to the Country in which the study has been conducted. This is important to be clarified only in M&M and not in the abstract.

RE: Thank you for the comment. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Lines 42-45: The authors should add a short sentence explaining which is, in their opinion, the reason of the differences in the mf measures between the two laboratories and whether the main result, i.e., "... no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among the mean length and width of either *D. immitis* or *D. repens* mf with purified water (E)", is valid for both.

RE: The difference in mf measurements between the two labs could be due to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies. In addition, there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among the mean length and width of either *D. immitis* or *D. repens* mf with distilled water, for both laboratories (Lab 1), University of Parma and (Lab 2), University of Napoli).

Introduction

Line 35: Replace "Dirofilariasis" with "Dirofilarioses".

RE: Actually, the standard and uniform nomenclature formulated by the World Association for Advancement of Veterinary Parasitology (WAAVP) is Standardized Nomenclature of Animal Parasitic Diseases (SNOAPAD) (Kassai T). Nomenclature for parasitic diseases: cohabitation with inconsistency for how long and why? Vet Parasitol. 2006 Jun 15;138(3-4):169-78), suggest: when disease names are formed from the taxonomic name of the parasite, use solely and uniformly suffix '-osis' (in plural '-oses') of the varieties of suffixes ('-osis', '-iosis', '-asis', '-iasis') currently in use for coining terms to denominate a disease or infection.

The suffix '-osis' is to be added to the stem of the name of the parasite taxon, which, in general, is formed from the nominative case of the taxa by the omission of the last one or two letters (e.g. Trypanosoma, trypanosomosis, Sarcocystis, sarcocystiosis,

Fasciola, fasciolosis, Trichostrongylidae, trichostrongylidosis, Ascaris, ascariosis, Trichinella, trichinellosis, Hypoderma, hypodermosis).

The practice of using the suffix 'osis' to denote parasitic disease with apparent clinical signs and the suffix 'iasis' for subclinical infections are to be discontinued.

Line 55: Add the references "Mendoza-Roldan et al., 2020" (Leishmania infantum and Dirofilaria immitis infections in Italy, 2009-2019: changing distribution patterns, Parasites & vectors, 13(1), 1-8) and "Brianti et al., 2021" (Dirofilaria immitis infection in the Pelagie archipelago: the southernmost hyperendemic focus in Europe. Transboundary and Emerging Diseases). Both citations strongly support the new pathogens' distribution throughout the Country and their related rapid spread.

RE: Thank you for the suggestions. The references have been added to the revised manuscript.

Lines 59-61: The underestimated risk of filarial infection is strictly related to veterinarians' point of view. Thus, the authors should specify this by adding "among the veterinary practitioners".

RE: Thank you for the suggestion. We added the information to the revised manuscript.

Lines 64- 65: Change "... is an essential part of management of disease and in the control of spread to other animals and to humans" into "... is an essential part in the disease management and in controlling the spread of pathogens to other animals and humans".

RE: Thank you for the suggestion. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Line 68: Remove the second "the".

RE: Done.

Line 78: Add the reference "Panarese et al., 2020" (Comparison of diagnostic tools for the detection of Dirofilaria immitis Infection in Dogs. Pathogens, 9(6), 499), in which the limitations of the main antigenic tests are well explained.

RE: Thank you for the suggestion. The reference has been added to the revised manuscript.

Line 80: After the first mention, you should replace "microfilariae" with "mfs". Check all the text and change accordingly.

RE: Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Line 95: Replace "fixation" with "concentration".

RE: Actually, the authors used the term "fixation" referring to the main activity of formalin: mfs -fixation in the modified Knott's test.

Materials and Methods

Lines 107-111: It is not clear if these dogs were diagnosed as microfilaremic at Knott's test by the practitioners or by the respectively laboratories. Please, specify.

RE: The dogs were previously diagnosed with microfilariae with the Knott's test by the practitioners and then sent to us (both laboratories Lab 1 and Lab 2) for the species identification. All these data were added to the revised manuscript.

Lines 114-115: Change the sentence in "The blood samples were delivered by the practitioners to the laboratories within 24 hours."

RE. Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Line 125: Why distilled/bi-distilled water? Is there a specific number of blood samples that were analysed with either both, or distilled or bi-distilled water only? Is there any difference in the result between both solutions?

RE: All the samples were analysed only with distilled water. The manuscript has been modified accordingly. We did not perform the Knott test using bi-distilled water, but it is our belief that there is no difference between using distilled/bi-distilled water.

Results

Lines 158-161: Is there any dogs co-infected with both filarial species?

RE: Actually, none of the samples presented co-infection with both filarial species.

Line 167: Remove "Interestingly" What is interesting for you could not be for others...

RE: Done.

Discussion

Lines 175-195: This part sounds more like an introduction than a discussion section. I suggest moving this part later in the paragraph, after the discussion of the main results of the study. Also, the authors should shorten it a bit more, considering that this information were partially provided in the introduction.

RE: Thank you for the suggestions. We have revised the discussion from the lines 175-195 of the manuscript.

Line 205: Considering the wide range of measures of the mfs, sometimes overlapping among the filarial species, rather than "essential", it is better to replace the sentence as follows "... useful for the identification of the mfs species".**RE: Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.**

Line 211: Remove "subjectively" and change the sentence as follows "... was considered similar for the employed reagents by each operator".

RE: Done. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

Line 222: Add the reference "Panarese et al., 2020" (Hyperendemic *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in a sheltered dog population: an expanding threat in the Mediterranean region. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 50(8), 555-559). Although the authors already added this reference in Table 5, it is missing in the reference list.

RE: Done. The reference has been added to the revised manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

Very interesting and well developed research. Worthy of publication for the important implications in clinical practice. Only 2 minor clarifications needed, the indications are in the attached document

RE: We thank the reviewer for the positive comments on our manuscript.

Dirofilaria repens the first time use the full name

RE: Done.

Formaldehyde is a basic chemical compound whereas formalin is a formulation of formaldehyde in aqueous solution. " However formaldehyde the substance contained in formalin"

RE: Thank you for the comment. The manuscript has been modified accordingly.

- Safer alternatives to 2% formalin were used in the modified Knott's test.
- The lengths and widths of mfs were reduced using glacial acetic acid and saponin.
- Knott's test using distilled water allowed differentiation of *Dirofilaria* spp.
- Distilled water could successfully replace 2% formalin in the Knott's test.

1 **Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott's test**

2

3 **Marco Genchi¹, Lavinia Ciuca^{*2}, Alice Vismarra¹, Elena Ciccone², Giuseppe Cringoli²,**

4 **Laura Kramer¹, Laura Rinaldi²**

5

6 ¹Dipartimento di Scienze Medico-Veterinarie, Università di Parma, via del Taglio 10, 43126,

7 Parma- Italy; marco.genchi@unipr.it; alice.vismarra@unipr.it; laurahelen.kramer@unipr.it

8 ²Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Productions, University of Napoli Federico

9 II, Via della Veterinaria, 1, Napoli, Italy; lavinia_vet1@yahoo.com; lrinaldi@unina.it;

10 elenaciccone@yahoo.it

11

12 Corresponding author

13 Lavinia Ciuca

14 Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production

15 Unit of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases

16 University of Napoli Federico II

17 Via Delpino, 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy

18 lavinia_vet1@yahoo.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 The aim of the present study was to evaluate the suitability of different reagents as safe
29 alternatives to 2% formalin in the modified Knott's test for the diagnosis of subcutaneous
30 (*Dirofilaria repens*) and cardiopulmonary (*D. immitis*) dirofilariosis. A total of 61 blood
31 samples from dogs naturally infected with *D. immitis* and *D. repens* were collected and
32 analysed in two different laboratories (Lab 1, University of Parma and Lab 2, University of
33 Napoli). For each blood sample the modified Knott's method was performed to identify and
34 measure the mean length and width of the microfilariae (mfs) using 2% formalin (A), 2%
35 acetic acid (B), 2% glacial acetic acid (C), 10% saponin (D) and distilled water (E). When
36 compared to 2% formalin, there was no significant difference ($P>0.05$) among the mean
37 length and width of either *D. immitis* or *D. repens* mfs with distilled water (E). The lengths
38 and widths of mfs, however, were significantly reduced ($P<0.05$) when using B, C, D likely
39 due to more pronounced parasite dehydration. Despite differences in measurements, the
40 morphological features of the head and tail of the two species were maintained, suggesting
41 that all the solutions tested could be a suitable alternative to formalin. All alternative reagents
42 caused more marked haemolysis compared to formalin, improving readability of slides. The
43 values of the mean length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and the mean width of *D. repens*
44 mfs obtained with formalin and distilled water were statistically different ($P<0.005$) between
45 the two laboratories. The difference in mf measurements between the two labs could be due
46 to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies.

47 Results suggest that distilled water could replace formalin in the modified Knott's test, as a
48 safer reagent that allows morphology-based species differentiation of *Dirofilaria* spp.

49 **Keywords:** *Dirofilaria immitis*; *Dirofilaria repens*; modified Knott's test; distilled water;
50 formalin; alternative reagents.

51

52

53 1. Introduction

54 Dirofilariosis, caused by *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens*, are two mosquito-borne
55 diseases that are (re-) emerging and spreading in several countries (Genchi and Kramer,
56 2020; Mendoza-Roldan et al., 2020; Szell et al., 2020; Brianti et al., 2021; Deskne et al.,
57 2021). Various factors have facilitated this expansion, including climate change and
58 globalization (Genchi et al., 2009), that allowed the introduction of new competent mosquito
59 species such as *Aedes albopictus*, together with the movement of pets to or from endemic
60 areas. Furthermore, misdiagnosis and lack of prevention (in particular for *D. repens*, which
61 is usually asymptomatic (Genchi et al., 2019), likely contributes to underestimation of risk
62 for infection among the veterinary practitioners). Finally, both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* are
63 important and emerging agents of vector-borne zoonosis, in particular *D. repens* (Simón et
64 al., 2012).

65 Correct diagnosis of *Dirofilaria* spp. infection is an essential part of disease management
66 and in controlling the spread to other animals and humans. The current American
67 Heartworm Society guidelines (AHS, 2020) recommend testing for both circulating
68 microfilariae (mfs) and antigens to confirm heartworm infection. The European Society of
69 Dirofilariosis and Angiostrongylosis (ESDA, 2017) and the European Scientific Counsel
70 Companion Animal Parasites guidelines (ESCCAP, 2019) indicate detection of circulating
71 mfs as the best and most sensitive and specific option for diagnosis of *D. repens* infection,
72 even when compared to molecular methods such as PCR (Ciuca et al., 2020). Moreover,
73 the ESCCAP guidelines (ESCCAP, 2019) recommend that dogs are checked for circulating
74 mfs before beginning annual preventive treatment in order to reduce the risk of selecting
75 resistance against *D. immitis*. Identification of mfs is also important due to potential cross-
76 reactivity of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunochromatographic
77 tests for *D. immitis* with other filarial nematodes such as *D. repens*, *Angiostrongylus*

78 *vasorum* and *Spirocerca lupi* (Schnyder and Deplazes, 2012; Aroch et al., 2015; Panarese
79 et al., 2020a).

80 The modified Knott's test (Knott, 1939) is an easy and inexpensive technique based on
81 concentration, staining, detection and morphometric identification of circulating mfs of
82 different species. The technique foresees the dilution of 1 mL of EDTA venous blood with 9
83 mL of 2% formalin (ESDA, 2017). However, formaldehyde, the substance contained in
84 formalin, has been shown to be mutagenic and genotoxic in several experimental models,
85 both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (National Toxicology Program, 2010; Bernardini et al., 2020). The
86 toxicity of formaldehyde is thought to be due to its high water solubility and reactivity in
87 interactions with nucleophilic groups of proteins, DNA and RNA molecules (Katsnelson et
88 al., 2013). In 2012, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified
89 formaldehyde as a human carcinogen (IARC, 2012). In addition, the ECHA (European
90 Chemical Agency) identifies this substance as fatal if inhaled, toxic if swallowed, toxic
91 following contact with skin, capable of causing severe skin burns and eye damage. Studies
92 on dosimetry modelling of inhaled formaldehyde in humans have shown that more than 95%
93 of the inhaled formaldehyde is predicted to be retained by the respiratory tract (Overton et
94 al., 2001). Moreover, formalin requires special precautions in handling, storage and disposal
95 and should be employed only under a chemical hood. It would therefore be of interest to find
96 alternative fixation methods in order to increase the use of the Knott's test, especially among
97 veterinary practitioners.

98 Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the suitability of different reagents, i.e. acetic
99 acid-vinegar, glacial acetic acid, saponin and distilled water, as safer alternatives to formalin
100 to use in the modified Knott's test for the diagnosis of subcutaneous (*D. repens*) and
101 cardiopulmonary (*D. immitis*) dirofilariosis.

102

103

104 **2. Materials and methods**

105 2.1 Sampling and modified Knott's tests

106 Between January and September 2020, blood samples from 61 dogs of different breeds,
107 sex and age, previously found to be microfilaremic to *Dirofilaria* spp. using the modified
108 Knott's test, by different practitioners. All the blood samples were sent to two parasitology
109 laboratories for the species identification: Lab 1, University of Parma (19 samples) and Lab
110 2, University of Napoli (42 samples).

111 Approximately 7 ml of venous blood were collected from each dog and placed in EDTA
112 vacutainer tubes. The blood samples were delivered by the practitioners to the laboratories
113 within 24 hours. Moreover, all the blood samples were constantly kept refrigerated at 3-5°C
114 and analyzed on the day of arrival in the laboratory.

115 All samples were analyzed with the modified Knott's test. One ml of EDTA blood was mixed
116 with 9 ml of 2% formalin solution (A) in a 15 ml tube. The tube was gently inverted 4 times
117 to mix the solution and centrifuged for 3 minutes at 1500 xg. The supernatant was poured
118 off and 1-2 drops of 1% methylene blue were added (ESDA, 2017). A drop of the sediment
119 was placed on a glass slide and covered with a coverslip. The slide was examined under
120 the microscope at 10x to assess the presence of mfs, and at 40x to observe the morphology
121 features.

122 The same procedure was applied to all the samples by replacing formalin with the following
123 reagents: 2% acetic acid-vinegar (B), 2% glacial acetic acid (C), 10% saponin (D), distilled
124 water (E).

125 Ten mfs were randomly selected from the slides prepared with each of the 5 reagents body
126 length and width were measured and the morphology of the head and tail were determined
127 (Magnis et al., 2013). All evaluations were done by two of the authors (MG, LC), in blind.
128 Morphometric analyses were conducted with standard diagnostic microscopes (Lab 1 used
129 a Nikon Eclipse E200 with Zeiss Axiocam Erc 5c; Lab 2 used a Leica DM 1000 LED with

130 ICC50 W camera system). Moreover, an antigen test for detection of *D. immitis* (PetCheck
131 Heartworm PF Antigen, IDEXX) was carried out on all blood samples to confirm diagnosis
132 of *D. immitis* infection.

133 In order to determine the correspondence of **mfs** measurements taken by the two
134 laboratories, the same histological section obtained from a naturally *D. immitis* infected dog
135 showing **mfs** within the pulmonary arteries was examined by each laboratory. The
136 microscopes to be used for the study were calibrated with a stage micrometer,
137 measurements obtained by the two laboratories were recorded and compared.

138

139 **2.2 Statistical analysis**

140 The arithmetic mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error of the mean (SE) and ranges
141 (min and max) of lengths and widths of *D. immitis* and *D. repens* **mfs** were calculated for
142 each of the following reagents: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C),
143 Saponin (D), **Distilled** water (E). Multiple comparisons of mean ranks depending on the
144 fulfillment of the test assumptions between the values obtained in the A, B, C, D, E solutions
145 were performed using a parametric test, i.e. the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
146 along with post hoc testing. Before applying post-hoc test (in between the groups), the
147 homogeneity of the variances among the groups (Levene's test) was tested. If variances
148 were homogeneous ($P \geq 0.05$) or not homogeneous ($P < 0.05$) different tests (Bonferroni
149 and Games-Howell, respectively), were used. For all comparisons, a level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was
150 assumed, and the obtained *P* values were rounded to two decimal places. Moreover, Error
151 Bars graphics were constructed in order to compare the results of measurements (length
152 and width) of **mfs** of both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* using formalin and purified water in two
153 laboratories (Napoli, Parma). Statistical analysis and graphs were performed using SPSS
154 Statistics v.23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

155

156 **3. Results**

157 Ten out of 19 blood samples analysed by Lab 1 were positive for *D. immitis* and nine for *D.*
158 *repens* mfs. Eighteen out of the 42 blood samples analysed by Lab 2 were positive for *D.*
159 *immitis* and 24 for *D. repens* mfs. All *D. immitis* mf-positive samples were also positive for
160 circulating antigens (PetCheck Heartworm PF Antigen, IDEXX). None of the samples
161 presented co-infection with both species.

162 The results regarding the mean length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and *D. repens* mfs
163 using formalin and the other solutions are shown in Tables 1-4.

164 Solutions B, C, D significantly underestimated the length and width of both mfs species when
165 compared to formalin ($P < 0.005$). On the other hand, there was no significant difference
166 ($P > 0.005$) between the mean length and width of mf of both *D. immitis* and *D. repens*
167 compared to formalin (A) when using distilled water (E). However, the values of the mean
168 length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and the mean width of *D. repens* mfs obtained with
169 formalin and distilled water were statistically different ($P < 0.005$) between the two
170 laboratories (Figures 1, 2, 4).

171 Measurements obtained from the observation of the same histological section were
172 comparable for both laboratories.

173

174 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

175 Reliable diagnosis of filarial infections in companion animals is fundamental for the
176 prevention and control of disease and for monitoring the spread of these parasites with
177 zoonotic potential to non-endemic areas (Genchi and Kramer, 2017; Capelli et al., 2018).

178 The modified Knott's test is the only available diagnostic test for *D. repens* due to the lack
179 of a commercially available serological test. However, the discrimination between different
180 species can be challenging in cases of mixed infections with *Dirofilaria* spp. or in cases of
181 low parasitaemia. In these cases, as well as for discrimination between *Dirofilaria*

182 and *Acanthocheilonema* spp., either molecular methods (e.g. multiplex PCR) or
183 histochemical staining are required. The use of formalin, however, is of concern and likely
184 limits the application of the test in private practice and in diagnostic laboratories. Indeed, the
185 final report of National Toxicology Program (NTP), lists formaldehyde in the Eleventh Report
186 on Carcinogens (RoC), and indicates that there is sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity
187 of formaldehyde in humans (NTP, 2010). Moreover, a recent study has shown that exposure
188 to formaldehyde can damage fundamental biomolecules such as DNA and proteins
189 (Reingruber, 2018).

190 The results of the present study suggest that distilled water maintains the same
191 morphological characteristics and dimensions of mfs as those observed with formalin, while
192 the lengths and widths of mfs were significantly reduced when using the other evaluated
193 reagents. This may be due to more pronounced dehydration. Similar results were recently
194 reported by Evans et al. (2019) with acetic acid (vinegar) and Long et al. (2020) with glacial
195 acetic acid. However, despite the statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$) of mfs length
196 and width observed with reagents B, C and D, the morphological features of the head and
197 tail of the two species were maintained. We can therefore affirm that all solutions could be
198 suitable and safe alternatives to formalin for the identification of circulating mfs in clinical
199 settings with Knott's test, but that distilled water performs best in maintaining mfs'
200 dimensions, which are useful for the identification of the mfs species.

201 Hemolysis is an important factor in the modified Knott's test, as it improves the readability
202 of the slides by removing a large fraction of red blood cells from the sample, thus making
203 the identification and enumeration of mfs easier. Even though the present study did not
204 evaluate the effect of the different reagents on hemolysis, the readability of slides was
205 considered improved by each operator (Figure 6). Indeed, stronger hemolytic activity with
206 all the alternative reagents was observed when compared to 2% formalin.

207 The difference in **mfs** measurements between the two labs cannot be fully explained. The
208 authors performed additional Knott's tests to evaluate the influence of storage time of the
209 blood samples (+4°C for 24h, 48h, 72h and 96h) as this was the only difference identified
210 for sample handling between the 2 laboratories. However, differences **in mfs measurements**
211 between the laboratories remained (data not shown). Moreover, the measurements
212 recorded by Lab 2 in the present study did not agree with a previous study conducted in the
213 same area in 2001 (Cringoli et al., 2001) (Table 5). Furthermore, the measures obtained by
214 both laboratories **were** not in agreement with **several** previous studies (**Magnis et al., 2013;**
215 **Longo et al., 2020**), but **were** in agreement with others that reported broader size ranges of
216 both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* **mfs** (Sloss et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 2007; Traversa et al.,
217 2010; Liotta et al., 2013; ESCCAP, 2019; **Panarese et al., 2020b**). The authors can only
218 hypothesize that the variability among studies could be due to the influence of examination
219 methods or to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies.
220 We can conclude that **distilled** water could successfully replace formalin in the modified
221 Knott's test for species differentiation of *Dirofilaria* spp.

222

223 **Ethical standards**

224 The study was performed in compliance with current national laws and regulations.

225 **Acknowledgment**

226 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
227 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

228 **Declarations of interest:** none.

229

230

231

232 **References**

233

- 234 1. American Heartworm Society. 2020. Current Canine Guidelines for the Prevention,
235 Diagnosis, and Management of Heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) Infection in Dogs.
236 [https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-](https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-society-guidelines)
237 [society-guidelines](https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-society-guidelines).
- 238 2. Aroch, I., Rojas, A., Slon, P., Lavy, E., Segev, G., Baneth, G., 2015. Serological
239 cross-reactivity of three commercial in-house immunoassays for detection of
240 *Dirofilaria immitis* antigens with *Spirocerca lupi* in dogs with benign esophageal
241 spirocercosis. Vet Parasitol. 211,303-5.
- 242 3. Bernardini, L., Barbosa, E., Charão, M.F., Brucker, N., 2020. Formaldehyde toxicity
243 reports from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies: a review and updated data. Drug Chem
244 Toxicol. 20,1-13.
- 245 4. Brianti, E., Panarese, R., Napoli, E., De Benedetto, G., Gaglio, G., Bezerra-Santos,
246 M.A., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Otranto, D., 2021. *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in the
247 Pelagie archipelago: The southernmost hyperendemic focus in Europe. Transbound
248 Emerg Dis. PMID: 33787005.
- 249 5. Capelli, G., Genchi, C., Baneth, G., Bourdeau, P., Brianti, E., Cardoso, L., Danesi,
250 P., Fuehrer, H.P., Giannelli, A., Ionică, A.M., Maia, C., Modrý, D., Montarsi, F.,
251 Krücken, J., Papadopoulos, E., Petrić, D., Pfeffer, M., Savić, S., Otranto, D., Poppert,
252 S., Silaghi, C., 2018. Recent advances on *Dirofilaria repens* in dogs and humans in
253 Europe. Parasit Vectors. 11,663.
- 254 6. Ciuca, L., Vismarra, A., Lebon, W., Beugnet, F., Morchon, R., Rinaldi, L., Cringoli, G.,
255 Kramer, L., Genchi, M., 2020. New insights into the biology, diagnosis and immune
256 response to *Dirofilaria repens* in the canine host. Vet Parasitol X. 5;4:100029.

- 257 7. Courtney, C.H, Zeng, Q.Y., 2001. Relationship between microfilaria count and
258 sensitivity of the direct smear for diagnosis of canine dirofilariosis. *Vet Parasitol.* 94,
259 199-204.
- 260 8. Cringoli, G., Rinaldi, L., Veneziano, V., Capelli, G., 2001. A prevalence survey and
261 risk analysis of filariosis in dogs from the Mt. Vesuvius area of southern Italy. *Vet*
262 *Parasitol.* 102,243-52.
- 263 9. Deksne, G., Jokelainen, P., Oborina, V., Lassen, B., Akota, I., Kutanovaite, O.,
264 Zaleckas, L., Cīrule, D., Tupīts, A., Pimanovs, V., Talijunas, A., Krūmiņa, A., 2021.
265 The Zoonotic Parasite *Dirofilaria repens* Emerged in the Baltic Countries Estonia,
266 Latvia, and Lithuania in 2008-2012 and Became Established and Endemic in a
267 Decade. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 21,1-5.
- 268 10. ESCCAP, 2019. Control of Vector-Borne Diseases in Dogs and Cats. ESCCAP
269 Guideline 05 Third Edition – March 2019. <https://www.esccap.org/guidelines/gl5>
- 270 11. ESDA, 2017. European society of dirofilariosis and angiostrongylosis. Guidelines for
271 Clinical Management of Canine Heartworm Disease (2017). Available at
272 <https://www.esda.vet/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Guidelines-for-clinical>
273 [management-of-canine-heartworm-disease.pdf](https://www.esda.vet/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Guidelines-for-clinical).
- 274 12. Evans, C.C., Bradner, J.L., Savadelis, M.D., Nelson, C.T., Moorhead, A.R., 2019.
275 Acetic acid as an alternative reagent in the modified Knott test. *Vet Parasitol.*
276 276:108975.
- 277 13. Genchi, C., Rinaldi, L., Mortarino, M., Genchi, M., Cringoli, G., 2009. Climate and
278 *Dirofilaria* infection in Europe. *Vet Parasitol.* 163,286-92.
- 279 14. Genchi, C., and Kramer, L., 2017. Subcutaneous dirofilariosis (*Dirofilaria repens*): an
280 infection spreading throughout the old world. *Parasit. Vectors* 10, 517.

- 281 15. Genchi, M., Rinaldi, L., Venco, L., Cringoli, G., Vismarra, A., Kramer, L., 2019.
282 *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* in dog and cat: A questionnaire study in Italy. Vet
283 Parasitol. 267,26-31.
- 284 16. Genchi, C., Kramer, L.H., 2020. The prevalence of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens*
285 in the Old World. Vet Parasitol. 280:108995.
- 286 17. International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2012. IARC Monographs: Chemical
287 agents and related occupations. Volume 100 F: A review of human carcinogens.
288 Distributed by WHO Press, World Health Organization. pp: 401.
- 289 18. Katsnelson, B.A., Degtyareva, T.D., Privalova, L.I., Minigaliyeva, I.A., Slyshkina, T.V.,
290 Ryzhov, V.V., Beresneva, O.Y., 2013. Attenuation of subchronic formaldehyde
291 inhalation toxicity with oral administration of glutamate, glycine and methionine.
292 Toxicol Lett. 220,181-6.
- 293 19. Knott, J., 1939. A method for making microfilarial surveys on day blood. Trans. Royal
294 Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 33, 191–196.
- 295 20. Liotta, J.L., Sandhu, G.K., Rishniw, M., Bowman, D.D., 2013. Differentiation of the
296 microfilariae of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens* in stained blood films. J
297 Parasitol. 99, 421-5.
- 298 21. Long, S.A., Rhinehart, J., Shrake, J., Marsh, A.E., 2020. Feasibility and comparative
299 analysis of *Dirofilaria immitis* microfilaria freezing and fixation for student instruction
300 and assessment of clinical parasitology skills. BMC Vet Res. 31,16-31.
- 301 22. Magnis, J., Lorentz, S., Guardone, L., Grimm, F., Magi, M., Naucke, T.J., Deplazes,
302 P., 2013. Morphometric analyses of canine blood microfilariae isolated by the Knott's
303 test enables *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* species-specific and
304 *Acanthocheilonema* (syn. *Dipetalonema*) genus-specific diagnosis. Parasit Vectors.
305 25, 6-48.

- 306 23. Mendoza-Roldan, J., Benelli, G., Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Furlanello, T., Beugnet, F.,
307 Zatelli, A., Otranto, D., 2020. *Leishmania infantum* and *Dirofilaria immitis* infections
308 in Italy, 2009-2019: changing distribution patterns. *Parasit Vecto.* 13(1):193.
- 309 24. National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2010. Report on Carcinogens Background
310 Document for Formaldehyde January 22, 2010 U.S. Dep. of Health and Human
311 Services Public Health Service National Toxicology Program Research Triangle
312 Park, NC 27709
- 313 25. Overton, J.H., Kimbell, J.S., Miller, F.J., 2001. Dosimetry modeling of inhaled
314 formaldehyde: the human respiratory tract. *Toxicol Sci.* 64,122-34.
- 315 26. Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Szlosek, D., Braff, J., Liu, J., Beugnet,
316 F., Dantas-Torres, F., Beall, M.J., Otranto, D., 2020a. Comparison of Diagnostic
317 Tools for the Detection of *Dirofilaria immitis* Infection in Dogs. *Pathogens.* 9(6):499.
- 318 27. Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Latrofa, M.S., Zatelli, A., Čupina, A.I., Montarsi, F., Pombi,
319 M., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Beugnet, F., Otranto, D., 2020b. Hyperendemic *Dirofilaria*
320 *immitis* infection in a sheltered dog population: an expanding threat in the
321 Mediterranean region. *Int J Parasitol.* 50, 555-559.
- 322 28. Reingruber, H., Pontel, L.B., 2018. Formaldehyde metabolism and its impact on
323 human health. *Current Opinion in Toxicology* Volume 9, 28-34
- 324 29. Schnyder, M. & Deplazes, P., 2012. Cross-reactions of sera from dogs infected with
325 *Angiostrongylus vasorum* in commercially available *Dirofilaria immitis* test kits.
326 *Parasit. Vectors* 5, 258.
- 327 30. Simón, F., Siles-Lucas M., Morchón, R., González-Miguel, J., Mellado, I., Carretón,
328 E., Montoya-Alonso, J.A., 2012. Human and animal dirofilariasis: the emergence of
329 a zoonotic mosaic. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 25, 507–544.
- 330 31. Sloss, M., Kemp, R., Zajac, A., 1994. *Veterinary clinical parasitology.* 1A edn, Iowa
331 State University Press, Ames, USA.

- 332 32. Széll, Z., Bacsadi, Á., Szeredi, L., Nemes, C., Fézer, B., Bakcsa, E., Kalla, H., Tolnai,
333 Z., Sréter, T., 2020. Rapid spread and emergence of heartworm resulting from
334 climate and climate-driven ecological changes in Hungary. *Vet Parasitol.*
335 280:109067.
- 336 33. Taylor, M., Coop, R., Wall, R., 2007. *Veterinary Parasitology* 3rd edn, Blackwell,
337 Oxford, UK.
- 338 34. Traversa, D., Di Cesare, A. & Conboy, G., 2010. Canine and feline cardiopulmonary
339 parasitic nematodes in Europe: emerging and underestimated. *Parasit.*
340 *Vectors* 3, 62.

341

342

343 Figure legends:

344 Figure 1. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and **distilled** water between
345 the two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean
346 length of *Dirofilaria immitis* **mfs.**

347 Notes: Modified Knott's test with **distilled** water-Napoli: mean=309.411; Standard Deviation
348 (SD)=9.408; Standard error of mean (SE)=2.429; Parma: mean=305.282; SD=4.429;
349 SE=1.400; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli: mean=316.568; SD=7.663; SE=1.586;
350 Parma-mean=304.742; SD=2.259; SE=0.714.

351

352 Figure 2. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and **distilled** water between
353 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean width of
354 *Dirofilaria immitis* **mfs.** Notes: Modified Knott's test with **distilled** water-Napoli: mean=6.153;
355 SD=0.299; SE=0.077; Parma: mean=5.871; SD=0.091; SE=0.029; Modified Knott's test with
356 formalin-Napoli: mean=6.252; SD=0.254; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=6.394; SD=0.146;
357 SE=0.046.

358

359 Figure 3. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and **distilled** water between
360 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the mean length of
361 *Dirofilaria repens* **mfs.**

362 Notes: Modified Knott's test with **distilled** water-Napoli: mean=365.539; SD=6.437;
363 SE=2.145; Parma: mean=373.808; SD=6.437; SE=2.145; Modified Knott test with formalin-
364 Napoli: mean=366.626; SD=8.890; SE=1.895; Parma-mean=372.195; SD=6.941;
365 SE=2.313.

366

367 Figure 4. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and **distilled** water between
368 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the mean width of
369 *Dirofilaria repens* **mfs.**

370 Notes: Modified Knott's test with **distilled** water-Napoli: mean=7.217; SD=0.384; SE=0.116;
371 Parma: mean=9.059; SD=0.048; SE=0.016; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli:
372 mean=6.751; SD=0.224; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=8.915; SD=0.160; SE=0.053.

373

374 Figure 5. Morphology of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* **mfs** with the five different reagents:
375 Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), **Distilled** water
376 (E), used for modified Knott's test.

377

378 Figure 6. Readability of the slides, using formalin, (A) acetic acid-vinegar, (B) saponin (C)
379 and distilled water (D) in modified Knott's test..

380

381

382

383 Table 1. Comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the
 384 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 385 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	312.34	300.20	335.22	8.48	A vs. B=0.0000 A vs. C=0.0000
B	27	285.12	271.65	312.83	11.09	A vs. D=0.0000 A vs. E=0.0789
C	24	290.09	274.89	326.22	9.78	B vs. C=0.0620
D	25	277.91	258.35	297.89	9.38	B vs. D=0.0660
E	25	307.75	287.99	325.87	7.95	B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0000 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

386

387 Table 2. Comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the
 388 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 389 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	6.30	5.70	6.60	0.22	A vs. B=0.0000
B	27	5.84	5.40	6.90	0.35	A vs. C=0.0000
C	24	5.84	5.27	6.34	0.23	A vs. D=0.0000
D	25	5.70	5.40	6.63	0.25	A vs. E=0.0983
E	25	6.25	5.70	7.13	0.39	B vs. C=1.0000 B vs. D=0.5060 B vs. E=0.0400 C vs. D=0.0231 C vs. E=0.0010 D vs. E=0.0000

390 Table 3. The comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for
 391 the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C),
 392 Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

393

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	31	368.24	347.45	386.97	8.64	A vs. B=0.0000
B	24	344.17	326.09	353.65	6.63	A vs. C=0.0000
C	32	339.21	321.80	354.55	8.56	A vs. D=0.0000
D	21	331.84	307.82	351.35	13.63	A vs. E=1.0000
E	23	368.77	352.48	387.54	10.11	B vs. C=0.0121 B vs. D=0.0060 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0204 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

394

395

396 Table 4. The comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for the
 397 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 398 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	20	7.72	6.40	9.18	1.12	A vs. B=0.0000
B	20	5.96	5.05	6.55	0.33	A vs. C=0.0010
C	21	6.48	5.70	7.85	0.48	A vs. D=0.0000
D	20	5.94	5.29	6.62	0.29	A vs. E=0.0869
E	20	8.04	6.48	9.13	0.98	B vs. C=0.0200 B vs. D=1.0000 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0010 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

399 Table 5. Comparison of mean length and width of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* between
 400 the results obtained by the authors from the present study (Lab 1, Parma and Lab 2, Napoli)
 401 and other authors from previous studies, using modified Knott test with 2% formalin.
 402

<i>Dirofilaria immitis</i> mfs		<i>Dirofilaria repens</i> mfs		Authors
Mean length	Mean width	Mean length	Mean width	
µm	µm	µm	µm	
304.74	6.39	372.20	8.92	Laboratory 1 (Parma)
315.31	6.27	365.05	6.75	Laboratory 2 (Napoli)
311.30	5.69	366.20	6.40	Cringoli et al., 2001
311.96		364.53		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 1
294.97		354.93		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 2
316				Evans et al., 2019
295 to 325				AHS, 2020
301.77	6.30	369.44	8.87	Magnis et al., 2013
259.2 ± 28.3		322.7 ± 21.1		Liotta et al., 2013
306.2	5.5			Long et al., 2020
260-340	5-7.5			Taylor et al., 2007

1 **Evaluation of alternative reagents on the performance of the modified Knott's test**

2

3 **Marco Genchi¹, Lavinia Ciuca^{*2}, Alice Vismarra¹, Elena Ciccone², Giuseppe Cringoli²,**

4 **Laura Kramer¹, Laura Rinaldi²**

5

6 ¹Dipartimento di Scienze Medico-Veterinarie, Università di Parma, via del Taglio 10, 43126,

7 Parma- Italy; marco.genchi@unipr.it; alice.vismarra@unipr.it; laurahelen.kramer@unipr.it

8 ²Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Productions, University of Napoli Federico

9 II, Via della Veterinaria, 1, Napoli, Italy; lavinia_vet1@yahoo.com; lrinaldi@unina.it;

10 elenaciccone@yahoo.it

11

12 Corresponding author

13 Lavinia Ciuca

14 Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production

15 Unit of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases

16 University of Napoli Federico II

17 Via Delpino, 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy

18 lavinia_vet1@yahoo.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 The aim of the present study was to evaluate the suitability of different reagents as safe
29 alternatives to 2% formalin in the modified Knott's test for the diagnosis of subcutaneous
30 (*Dirofilaria repens*) and cardiopulmonary (*D. immitis*) dirofilariosis. A total of 61 blood
31 samples from dogs naturally infected with *D. immitis* and *D. repens* were collected and
32 analysed in two different laboratories (Lab 1, University of Parma and Lab 2, University of
33 Napoli). For each blood sample the modified Knott's method was performed to identify and
34 measure the mean length and width of the microfilariae (mfs) using 2% formalin (A), 2%
35 acetic acid (B), 2% glacial acetic acid (C), 10% saponin (D) and distilled water (E). When
36 compared to 2% formalin, there was no significant difference ($P>0.05$) among the mean
37 length and width of either *D. immitis* or *D. repens* mfs with distilled water (E). The lengths
38 and widths of mfs, however, were significantly reduced ($P<0.05$) when using B, C, D likely
39 due to more pronounced parasite dehydration. Despite differences in measurements, the
40 morphological features of the head and tail of the two species were maintained, suggesting
41 that all the solutions tested could be a suitable alternative to formalin. All alternative reagents
42 caused more marked haemolysis compared to formalin, improving readability of slides. The
43 values of the mean length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and the mean width of *D. repens*
44 mfs obtained with formalin and distilled water were statistically different ($P<0.005$) between
45 the two laboratories. The difference in mf measurements between the two labs could be due
46 to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies.

47 Results suggest that distilled water could replace formalin in the modified Knott's test, as a
48 safer reagent that allows morphology-based species differentiation of *Dirofilaria* spp.

49 **Keywords:** *Dirofilaria immitis*; *Dirofilaria repens*; modified Knott's test; distilled water;
50 formalin; alternative reagents.

51

52

53 1. Introduction

54 Dirofilariosis, caused by *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens*, are two mosquito-borne
55 diseases that are (re-) emerging and spreading in several countries (Genchi and Kramer,
56 2020; Mendoza-Roldan et al., 2020; Szell et al., 2020; Brianti et al., 2021; Deskne et al.,
57 2021). Various factors have facilitated this expansion, including climate change and
58 globalization (Genchi et al., 2009), that allowed the introduction of new competent mosquito
59 species such as *Aedes albopictus*, together with the movement of pets to or from endemic
60 areas. Furthermore, misdiagnosis and lack of prevention (in particular for *D. repens*, which
61 is usually asymptomatic (Genchi et al., 2019), likely contributes to underestimation of risk
62 for infection among the veterinary practitioners). Finally, both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* are
63 important and emerging agents of vector-borne zoonosis, in particular *D. repens* (Simón et
64 al., 2012).

65 Correct diagnosis of *Dirofilaria* spp. infection is an essential part of disease management
66 and in controlling the spread to other animals and humans. The current American
67 Heartworm Society guidelines (AHS, 2020) recommend testing for both circulating
68 microfilariae (mfs) and antigens to confirm heartworm infection. The European Society of
69 Dirofilariosis and Angiostrongylosis (ESDA, 2017) and the European Scientific Counsel
70 Companion Animal Parasites guidelines (ESCCAP, 2019) indicate detection of circulating
71 mfs as the best and most sensitive and specific option for diagnosis of *D. repens* infection,
72 even when compared to molecular methods such as PCR (Ciuca et al., 2020). Moreover,
73 the ESCCAP guidelines (ESCCAP, 2019) recommend that dogs are checked for circulating
74 mfs before beginning annual preventive treatment in order to reduce the risk of selecting
75 resistance against *D. immitis*. Identification of mfs is also important due to potential cross-
76 reactivity of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and immunochromatographic
77 tests for *D. immitis* with other filarial nematodes such as *D. repens*, *Angiostrongylus*

78 *vasorum* and *Spirocerca lupi* (Schnyder and Deplazes, 2012; Aroch et al., 2015; Panarese
79 et al., 2020a).

80 The modified Knott's test (Knott, 1939) is an easy and inexpensive technique based on
81 concentration, staining, detection and morphometric identification of circulating mfs of
82 different species. The technique foresees the dilution of 1 mL of EDTA venous blood with 9
83 mL of 2% formalin (ESDA, 2017). However, formaldehyde, the substance contained in
84 formalin, has been shown to be mutagenic and genotoxic in several experimental models,
85 both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (National Toxicology Program, 2010; Bernardini et al., 2020). The
86 toxicity of formaldehyde is thought to be due to its high water solubility and reactivity in
87 interactions with nucleophilic groups of proteins, DNA and RNA molecules (Katsnelson et
88 al., 2013). In 2012, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified
89 formaldehyde as a human carcinogen (IARC, 2012). In addition, the ECHA (European
90 Chemical Agency) identifies this substance as fatal if inhaled, toxic if swallowed, toxic
91 following contact with skin, capable of causing severe skin burns and eye damage. Studies
92 on dosimetry modelling of inhaled formaldehyde in humans have shown that more than 95%
93 of the inhaled formaldehyde is predicted to be retained by the respiratory tract (Overton et
94 al., 2001). Moreover, formalin requires special precautions in handling, storage and disposal
95 and should be employed only under a chemical hood. It would therefore be of interest to find
96 alternative fixation methods in order to increase the use of the Knott's test, especially among
97 veterinary practitioners.

98 Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the suitability of different reagents, i.e. acetic
99 acid-vinegar, glacial acetic acid, saponin and distilled water, as safer alternatives to formalin
100 to use in the modified Knott's test for the diagnosis of subcutaneous (*D. repens*) and
101 cardiopulmonary (*D. immitis*) dirofilariosis.

102

103

104 **2. Materials and methods**

105 2.1 Sampling and modified Knott's tests

106 Between January and September 2020, blood samples from 61 dogs of different breeds,
107 sex and age, previously found to be microfilaremic to *Dirofilaria* spp. using the modified
108 Knott's test, by different practitioners. All the blood samples were sent to two parasitology
109 laboratories for the species identification: Lab 1, University of Parma (19 samples) and Lab
110 2, University of Napoli (42 samples).

111 Approximately 7 ml of venous blood were collected from each dog and placed in EDTA
112 vacutainer tubes. The blood samples were delivered by the practitioners to the laboratories
113 within 24 hours. Moreover, all the blood samples were constantly kept refrigerated at 3-5°C
114 and analyzed on the day of arrival in the laboratory.

115 All samples were analyzed with the modified Knott's test. One ml of EDTA blood was mixed
116 with 9 ml of 2% formalin solution (A) in a 15 ml tube. The tube was gently inverted 4 times
117 to mix the solution and centrifuged for 3 minutes at 1500 xg. The supernatant was poured
118 off and 1-2 drops of 1% methylene blue were added (ESDA, 2017). A drop of the sediment
119 was placed on a glass slide and covered with a coverslip. The slide was examined under
120 the microscope at 10x to assess the presence of mfs, and at 40x to observe the morphology
121 features.

122 The same procedure was applied to all the samples by replacing formalin with the following
123 reagents: 2% acetic acid-vinegar (B), 2% glacial acetic acid (C), 10% saponin (D), distilled
124 water (E).

125 Ten mfs were randomly selected from the slides prepared with each of the 5 reagents body
126 length and width were measured and the morphology of the head and tail were determined
127 (Magnis et al., 2013). All evaluations were done by two of the authors (MG, LC), in blind.
128 Morphometric analyses were conducted with standard diagnostic microscopes (Lab 1 used
129 a Nikon Eclipse E200 with Zeiss Axiocam Erc 5c; Lab 2 used a Leica DM 1000 LED with

130 ICC50 W camera system). Moreover, an antigen test for detection of *D. immitis* (PetCheck
131 Heartworm PF Antigen, IDEXX) was carried out on all blood samples to confirm diagnosis
132 of *D. immitis* infection.

133 In order to determine the correspondence of mfs measurements taken by the two
134 laboratories, the same histological section obtained from a naturally *D. immitis* infected dog
135 showing mfs within the pulmonary arteries was examined by each laboratory. The
136 microscopes to be used for the study were calibrated with a stage micrometer,
137 measurements obtained by the two laboratories were recorded and compared.

138

139 **2.2 Statistical analysis**

140 The arithmetic mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error of the mean (SE) and ranges
141 (min and max) of lengths and widths of *D. immitis* and *D. repens* mfs were calculated for
142 each of the following reagents: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C),
143 Saponin (D), Distilled water (E). Multiple comparisons of mean ranks depending on the
144 fulfillment of the test assumptions between the values obtained in the A, B, C, D, E solutions
145 were performed using a parametric test, i.e. the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
146 along with post hoc testing. Before applying post-hoc test (in between the groups), the
147 homogeneity of the variances among the groups (Levene's test) was tested. If variances
148 were homogeneous ($P \geq 0.05$) or not homogeneous ($P < 0.05$) different tests (Bonferroni
149 and Games-Howell, respectively), were used. For all comparisons, a level of $\alpha = 0.05$ was
150 assumed, and the obtained P values were rounded to two decimal places. Moreover, Error
151 Bars graphics were constructed in order to compare the results of measurements (length
152 and width) of mfs of both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* using formalin and purified water in two
153 laboratories (Napoli, Parma). Statistical analysis and graphs were performed using SPSS
154 Statistics v.23 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

155

156 **3. Results**

157 Ten out of 19 blood samples analysed by Lab 1 were positive for *D. immitis* and nine for *D.*
158 *repens* mfs. Eighteen out of the 42 blood samples analysed by Lab 2 were positive for *D.*
159 *immitis* and 24 for *D. repens* mfs. All *D. immitis* mf-positive samples were also positive for
160 circulating antigens (PetCheck Heartworm PF Antigen, IDEXX). None of the samples
161 presented co-infection with both species.

162 The results regarding the mean length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and *D. repens* mfs
163 using formalin and the other solutions are shown in Tables 1-4.

164 Solutions B, C, D significantly underestimated the length and width of both mfs species when
165 compared to formalin ($P<0.005$). On the other hand, there was no significant difference
166 ($P>0.005$) between the mean length and width of mf of both *D. immitis* and *D. repens*
167 compared to formalin (A) when using distilled water (E). However, the values of the mean
168 length and the mean width of *D. immitis* and the mean width of *D. repens* mfs obtained with
169 formalin and distilled water were statistically different ($P<0.005$) between the two
170 laboratories (Figures 1, 2, 4).

171 Measurements obtained from the observation of the same histological section were
172 comparable for both laboratories.

173

174 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

175 Reliable diagnosis of filarial infections in companion animals is fundamental for the
176 prevention and control of disease and for monitoring the spread of these parasites with
177 zoonotic potential to non-endemic areas (Genchi and Kramer, 2017; Capelli et al., 2018).

178 The modified Knott's test is the only available diagnostic test for *D. repens* due to the lack
179 of a commercially available serological test. However, the discrimination between different
180 species can be challenging in cases of mixed infections with *Dirofilaria* spp. or in cases of
181 low parasitaemia. In these cases, as well as for discrimination between *Dirofilaria*

182 and *Acanthocheilonema* spp., either molecular methods (e.g. multiplex PCR) or
183 histochemical staining are required. The use of formalin, however, is of concern and likely
184 limits the application of the test in private practice and in diagnostic laboratories. Indeed, the
185 final report of National Toxicology Program (NTP), lists formaldehyde in the Eleventh Report
186 on Carcinogens (RoC), and indicates that there is sufficient evidence for the carcinogenicity
187 of formaldehyde in humans (NTP, 2010). Moreover, a recent study has shown that exposure
188 to formaldehyde can damage fundamental biomolecules such as DNA and proteins
189 (Reingruber, 2018).

190 The results of the present study suggest that distilled water maintains the same
191 morphological characteristics and dimensions of mfs as those observed with formalin, while
192 the lengths and widths of mfs were significantly reduced when using the other evaluated
193 reagents. This may be due to more pronounced dehydration. Similar results were recently
194 reported by Evans et al. (2019) with acetic acid (vinegar) and Long et al. (2020) with glacial
195 acetic acid. However, despite the statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$) of mfs length
196 and width observed with reagents B, C and D, the morphological features of the head and
197 tail of the two species were maintained. We can therefore affirm that all solutions could be
198 suitable and safe alternatives to formalin for the identification of circulating mfs in clinical
199 settings with Knott's test, but that distilled water performs best in maintaining mfs'
200 dimensions, which are useful for the identification of the mfs species.

201 Hemolysis is an important factor in the modified Knott's test, as it improves the readability
202 of the slides by removing a large fraction of red blood cells from the sample, thus making
203 the identification and enumeration of mfs easier. Even though the present study did not
204 evaluate the effect of the different reagents on hemolysis, the readability of slides was
205 considered improved by each operator (Figure 6). Indeed, stronger hemolytic activity with
206 all the alternative reagents was observed when compared to 2% formalin.

207 The difference in mfs measurements between the two labs cannot be fully explained. The
208 authors performed additional Knott's tests to evaluate the influence of storage time of the
209 blood samples (+4°C for 24h, 48h, 72h and 96h) as this was the only difference identified
210 for sample handling between the 2 laboratories. However, differences in mfs measurements
211 between the laboratories remained (data not shown). Moreover, the measurements
212 recorded by Lab 2 in the present study did not agree with a previous study conducted in the
213 same area in 2001 (Cringoli et al., 2001) (Table 5). Furthermore, the measures obtained by
214 both laboratories were not in agreement with several previous studies (Magnis et al., 2013;
215 Longo et al., 2020), but were in agreement with others that reported broader size ranges of
216 both *D. immitis* and *D. repens* mfs (Sloss et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 2007; Traversa et al.,
217 2010; Liotta et al., 2013; ESCCAP, 2019; Panarese et al., 2020b). The authors can only
218 hypothesize that the variability among studies could be due to the influence of examination
219 methods or to the use of reagents purchased from different manufacturing companies.
220 We can conclude that distilled water could successfully replace formalin in the modified
221 Knott's test for species differentiation of *Dirofilaria* spp.

222

223 **Ethical standards**

224 The study was performed in compliance with current national laws and regulations.

225 **Acknowledgment**

226 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
227 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

228 **Declarations of interest:** none.

229

230

231

232 **References**

233

- 234 1. American Heartworm Society. 2020. Current Canine Guidelines for the Prevention,
235 Diagnosis, and Management of Heartworm (*Dirofilaria immitis*) Infection in Dogs.
236 [https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-](https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-society-guidelines)
237 [society-guidelines](https://www.heartwormsociety.org/veterinary-resources/american-heartworm-society-guidelines).
- 238 2. Aroch, I., Rojas, A., Slon, P., Lavy, E., Segev, G., Baneth, G., 2015. Serological
239 cross-reactivity of three commercial in-house immunoassays for detection of
240 *Dirofilaria immitis* antigens with *Spirocerca lupi* in dogs with benign esophageal
241 spirocercosis. *Vet Parasitol.* 211,303-5.
- 242 3. Bernardini, L., Barbosa, E., Charão, M.F., Brucker, N., 2020. Formaldehyde toxicity
243 reports from *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies: a review and updated data. *Drug Chem*
244 *Toxicol.* 20,1-13.
- 245 4. Brianti, E., Panarese, R., Napoli, E., De Benedetto, G., Gaglio, G., Bezerra-Santos,
246 M.A., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Otranto, D., 2021. *Dirofilaria immitis* infection in the
247 Pelagie archipelago: The southernmost hyperendemic focus in Europe. *Transbound*
248 *Emerg Dis.* PMID: 33787005.
- 249 5. Capelli, G., Genchi, C., Baneth, G., Bourdeau, P., Brianti, E., Cardoso, L., Danesi,
250 P., Fuehrer, H.P., Giannelli, A., Ionică, A.M., Maia, C., Modrý, D., Montarsi, F.,
251 Krücken, J., Papadopoulos, E., Petrić, D., Pfeffer, M., Savić, S., Otranto, D., Poppert,
252 S., Silaghi, C., 2018. Recent advances on *Dirofilaria repens* in dogs and humans in
253 Europe. *Parasit Vectors.* 11,663.
- 254 6. Ciuca, L., Vismarra, A., Lebon, W., Beugnet, F., Morchon, R., Rinaldi, L., Cringoli, G.,
255 Kramer, L., Genchi, M., 2020. New insights into the biology, diagnosis and immune
256 response to *Dirofilaria repens* in the canine host. *Vet Parasitol X.* 5;4:100029.

- 257 7. Courtney, C.H, Zeng, Q.Y., 2001. Relationship between microfilaria count and
258 sensitivity of the direct smear for diagnosis of canine dirofilariosis. *Vet Parasitol.* 94,
259 199-204.
- 260 8. Cringoli, G., Rinaldi, L., Veneziano, V., Capelli, G., 2001. A prevalence survey and
261 risk analysis of filariosis in dogs from the Mt. Vesuvius area of southern Italy. *Vet*
262 *Parasitol.* 102,243-52.
- 263 9. Deksne, G., Jokelainen, P., Oborina, V., Lassen, B., Akota, I., Kutanovaite, O.,
264 Zaleckas, L., Cīrule, D., Tupīts, A., Pimanovs, V., Talijunas, A., Krūmiņa, A., 2021.
265 The Zoonotic Parasite *Dirofilaria repens* Emerged in the Baltic Countries Estonia,
266 Latvia, and Lithuania in 2008-2012 and Became Established and Endemic in a
267 Decade. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 21,1-5.
- 268 10. ESCCAP, 2019. Control of Vector-Borne Diseases in Dogs and Cats. ESCCAP
269 Guideline 05 Third Edition – March 2019. <https://www.esccap.org/guidelines/gl5>
- 270 11. ESDA, 2017. European society of dirofilariosis and angiostrongylosis. Guidelines for
271 Clinical Management of Canine Heartworm Disease (2017). Available at
272 <https://www.esda.vet/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Guidelines-for-clinical>
273 [management-of-canine-heartworm-disease.pdf](https://www.esda.vet/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Guidelines-for-clinical).
- 274 12. Evans, C.C., Bradner, J.L., Savadelis, M.D., Nelson, C.T., Moorhead, A.R., 2019.
275 Acetic acid as an alternative reagent in the modified Knott test. *Vet Parasitol.*
276 276:108975.
- 277 13. Genchi, C., Rinaldi, L., Mortarino, M., Genchi, M., Cringoli, G., 2009. Climate and
278 *Dirofilaria* infection in Europe. *Vet Parasitol.* 163,286-92.
- 279 14. Genchi, C., and Kramer, L., 2017. Subcutaneous dirofilariosis (*Dirofilaria repens*): an
280 infection spreading throughout the old world. *Parasit. Vectors* 10, 517.

- 281 15. Genchi, M., Rinaldi, L., Venco, L., Cringoli, G., Vismarra, A., Kramer, L., 2019.
282 *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* in dog and cat: A questionnaire study in Italy. Vet
283 Parasitol. 267,26-31.
- 284 16. Genchi, C., Kramer, L.H., 2020. The prevalence of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens*
285 in the Old World. Vet Parasitol. 280:108995.
- 286 17. International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2012. IARC Monographs: Chemical
287 agents and related occupations. Volume 100 F: A review of human carcinogens.
288 Distributed by WHO Press, World Health Organization. pp: 401.
- 289 18. Katsnelson, B.A., Degtyareva, T.D., Privalova, L.I., Minigaliyeva, I.A., Slyshkina, T.V.,
290 Ryzhov, V.V., Beresneva, O.Y., 2013. Attenuation of subchronic formaldehyde
291 inhalation toxicity with oral administration of glutamate, glycine and methionine.
292 Toxicol Lett. 220,181-6.
- 293 19. Knott, J., 1939. A method for making microfilarial surveys on day blood. Trans. Royal
294 Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 33, 191–196.
- 295 20. Liotta, J.L., Sandhu, G.K., Rishniw, M., Bowman, D.D., 2013. Differentiation of the
296 microfilariae of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *Dirofilaria repens* in stained blood films. J
297 Parasitol. 99, 421-5.
- 298 21. Long, S.A., Rhinehart, J., Shrake, J., Marsh, A.E., 2020. Feasibility and comparative
299 analysis of *Dirofilaria immitis* microfilaria freezing and fixation for student instruction
300 and assessment of clinical parasitology skills. BMC Vet Res. 31,16-31.
- 301 22. Magnis, J., Lorentz, S., Guardone, L., Grimm, F., Magi, M., Naucke, T.J., Deplazes,
302 P., 2013. Morphometric analyses of canine blood microfilariae isolated by the Knott's
303 test enables *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* species-specific and
304 *Acanthocheilonema* (syn. *Dipetalonema*) genus-specific diagnosis. Parasit Vectors.
305 25, 6-48.

- 306 23. Mendoza-Roldan, J., Benelli, G., Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Furlanello, T., Beugnet, F.,
307 Zatelli, A., Otranto, D., 2020. *Leishmania infantum* and *Dirofilaria immitis* infections
308 in Italy, 2009-2019: changing distribution patterns. *Parasit Vecto.* 13(1):193.
- 309 24. National Toxicology Program (NTP). 2010. Report on Carcinogens Background
310 Document for Formaldehyde January 22, 2010 U.S. Dep. of Health and Human
311 Services Public Health Service National Toxicology Program Research Triangle
312 Park, NC 27709
- 313 25. Overton, J.H., Kimbell, J.S., Miller, F.J., 2001. Dosimetry modeling of inhaled
314 formaldehyde: the human respiratory tract. *Toxicol Sci.* 64,122-34.
- 315 26. Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Szlosek, D., Braff, J., Liu, J., Beugnet,
316 F., Dantas-Torres, F., Beall, M.J., Otranto, D., 2020a. Comparison of Diagnostic
317 Tools for the Detection of *Dirofilaria immitis* Infection in Dogs. *Pathogens.* 9(6):499.
- 318 27. Panarese, R., Iatta, R., Latrofa, M.S., Zatelli, A., Čupina, A.I., Montarsi, F., Pombi,
319 M., Mendoza-Roldan, J.A., Beugnet, F., Otranto, D., 2020b. Hyperendemic *Dirofilaria*
320 *immitis* infection in a sheltered dog population: an expanding threat in the
321 Mediterranean region. *Int J Parasitol.* 50, 555-559.
- 322 28. Reingruber, H., Pontel, L.B., 2018. Formaldehyde metabolism and its impact on
323 human health. *Current Opinion in Toxicology* Volume 9, 28-34
- 324 29. Schnyder, M. & Deplazes, P., 2012. Cross-reactions of sera from dogs infected with
325 *Angiostrongylus vasorum* in commercially available *Dirofilaria immitis* test kits.
326 *Parasit. Vectors* 5, 258.
- 327 30. Simón, F., Siles-Lucas M., Morchón, R., González-Miguel, J., Mellado, I., Carretón,
328 E., Montoya-Alonso, J.A., 2012. Human and animal dirofilariasis: the emergence of
329 a zoonotic mosaic. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 25, 507–544.
- 330 31. Sloss, M., Kemp, R., Zajac, A., 1994. *Veterinary clinical parasitology.* 1A edn, Iowa
331 State University Press, Ames, USA.

- 332 32. Széll, Z., Bacskai, Á., Szeredi, L., Nemes, C., Fézer, B., Bakcsa, E., Kalla, H., Tolnai,
333 Z., Sréter, T., 2020. Rapid spread and emergence of heartworm resulting from
334 climate and climate-driven ecological changes in Hungary. *Vet Parasitol.*
335 280:109067.
- 336 33. Taylor, M., Coop, R., Wall, R., 2007. *Veterinary Parasitology* 3rdedn, Blackwell,
337 Oxford, UK.
- 338 34. Traversa, D., Di Cesare, A. & Conboy, G., 2010. Canine and feline cardiopulmonary
339 parasitic nematodes in Europe: emerging and underestimated. *Parasit.*
340 *Vectors* 3, 62.

341

342

343 Figure legends:

344 Figure 1. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and distilled water between
345 the two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean
346 length of *Dirofilaria immitis* mfs.

347 Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=309.411; Standard Deviation
348 (SD)=9.408; Standard error of mean (SE)=2.429; Parma: mean=305.282; SD=4.429;
349 SE=1.400; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli: mean=316.568; SD=7.663; SE=1.586;
350 Parma-mean=304.742; SD=2.259; SE=0.714.

351

352 Figure 2. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and distilled water between
353 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean width of
354 *Dirofilaria immitis* mfs. Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=6.153;
355 SD=0.299; SE=0.077; Parma: mean=5.871; SD=0.091; SE=0.029; Modified Knott's test with
356 formalin-Napoli: mean=6.252; SD=0.254; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=6.394; SD=0.146;
357 SE=0.046.

358

359 Figure 3. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and distilled water between
360 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the mean length of
361 *Dirofilaria repens* mfs.

362 Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=365.539; SD=6.437;
363 SE=2.145; Parma: mean=373.808; SD=6.437; SE=2.145; Modified Knott test with formalin-
364 Napoli: mean=366.626; SD=8.890; SE=1.895; Parma-mean=372.195; SD=6.941;
365 SE=2.313.

366

367 Figure 4. Comparison of modified Knott's test using formalin and distilled water between
368 two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the mean width of
369 *Dirofilaria repens* mfs.

370 Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=7.217; SD=0.384; SE=0.116;
371 Parma: mean=9.059; SD=0.048; SE=0.016; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli:
372 mean=6.751; SD=0.224; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=8.915; SD=0.160; SE=0.053.

373

374 Figure 5. Morphology of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* mfs with the five different reagents:
375 Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water
376 (E), used for modified Knott's test.

377

378 Figure 6. Readability of the slides, using formalin, (A) acetic acid-vinegar, (B) saponin (C)
379 and distilled water (D) in modified Knott's test..

380

381

382

383 Table 1. Comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the
 384 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 385 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	312.34	300.20	335.22	8.48	A vs. B=0.0000 A vs. C=0.0000
B	27	285.12	271.65	312.83	11.09	A vs. D=0.0000 A vs. E=0.0789
C	24	290.09	274.89	326.22	9.78	B vs. C=0.0620
D	25	277.91	258.35	297.89	9.38	B vs. D=0.0660
E	25	307.75	287.99	325.87	7.95	B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0000 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

386

387 Table 2. Comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the
 388 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 389 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	6.30	5.70	6.60	0.22	A vs. B=0.0000
B	27	5.84	5.40	6.90	0.35	A vs. C=0.0000
C	24	5.84	5.27	6.34	0.23	A vs. D=0.0000
D	25	5.70	5.40	6.63	0.25	A vs. E=0.0983
E	25	6.25	5.70	7.13	0.39	B vs. C=1.0000 B vs. D=0.5060 B vs. E=0.0400 C vs. D=0.0231 C vs. E=0.0010 D vs. E=0.0000

390 Table 3. The comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for
 391 the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C),
 392 Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

393

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	31	368.24	347.45	386.97	8.64	A vs. B=0.0000
B	24	344.17	326.09	353.65	6.63	A vs. C=0.0000
C	32	339.21	321.80	354.55	8.56	A vs. D=0.0000
D	21	331.84	307.82	351.35	13.63	A vs. E=1.0000
E	23	368.77	352.48	387.54	10.11	B vs. C=0.0121 B vs. D=0.0060 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0204 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

394

395

396 Table 4. The comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for the
 397 modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin
 398 (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	20	7.72	6.40	9.18	1.12	A vs. B=0.0000
B	20	5.96	5.05	6.55	0.33	A vs. C=0.0010
C	21	6.48	5.70	7.85	0.48	A vs. D=0.0000
D	20	5.94	5.29	6.62	0.29	A vs. E=0.0869
E	20	8.04	6.48	9.13	0.98	B vs. C=0.0200 B vs. D=1.0000 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0010 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

399 Table 5. Comparison of mean length and width of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* between
 400 the results obtained by the authors from the present study (Lab 1, Parma and Lab 2, Napoli)
 401 and other authors from previous studies, using modified Knott test with 2% formalin.
 402

<i>Dirofilaria immitis</i> mfs		<i>Dirofilaria repens</i> mfs		Authors
Mean length	Mean width	Mean length	Mean width	
µm	µm	µm	µm	
304.74	6.39	372.20	8.92	Laboratory 1 (Parma)
315.31	6.27	365.05	6.75	Laboratory 2 (Napoli)
311.30	5.69	366.20	6.40	Cringoli et al., 2001
311.96		364.53		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 1
294.97		354.93		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 2
316				Evans et al., 2019
295 to 325				AHS, 2020
301.77	6.30	369.44	8.87	Magnis et al., 2013
259.2 ± 28.3		322.7 ± 21.1		Liotta et al., 2013
306.2	5.5			Long et al., 2020
260-340	5-7.5			Taylor et al., 2007

Table 1. Comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	312.34	300.20	335.22	8.48	A vs. B=0.0000 A vs. C=0.0000
B	27	285.12	271.65	312.83	11.09	A vs. D=0.0000 A vs. E=0.0789
C	24	290.09	274.89	326.22	9.78	B vs. C=0.0620
D	25	277.91	258.35	297.89	9.38	B vs. D=0.0660
E	25	307.75	287.99	325.87	7.95	B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0000 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

Table 2. Comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria immitis* using different solutions for the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	28	6.30	5.70	6.60	0.22	A vs. B=0.0000
B	27	5.84	5.40	6.90	0.35	A vs. C=0.0000
C	24	5.84	5.27	6.34	0.23	A vs. D=0.0000
D	25	5.70	5.40	6.63	0.25	A vs. E=0.0983
E	25	6.25	5.70	7.13	0.39	B vs. C=1.0000 B vs. D=0.5060 B vs. E=0.0400 C vs. D=0.0231 C vs. E=0.0010 D vs. E=0.0000

Table 3. The comparison of mean length of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. Samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P value (post hoc analysis)
A	31	368.24	347.45	386.97	8.64	A vs. B=0.0000
B	24	344.17	326.09	353.65	6.63	A vs. C=0.0000
C	32	339.21	321.80	354.55	8.56	A vs. D=0.0000
D	21	331.84	307.82	351.35	13.63	A vs. E=1.0000
E	23	368.77	352.48	387.54	10.11	B vs. C=0.0121 B vs. D=0.0060 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0204 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000


Table 4. The comparison of mean width of *Dirofilaria repens* using different solutions for the modified Knott's test: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water (E).

Solution	No. samples	Mean	Min.	Max.	SD	P-value (post hoc analysis)
A	20	7.72	6.40	9.18	1.12	A vs. B=0.0000
B	20	5.96	5.05	6.55	0.33	A vs. C=0.0010
C	21	6.48	5.70	7.85	0.48	A vs. D=0.0000
D	20	5.94	5.29	6.62	0.29	A vs. E=0.0869
E	20	8.04	6.48	9.13	0.98	B vs. C=0.0200 B vs. D=1.0000 B vs. E=0.0000 C vs. D=0.0010 C vs. E=0.0000 D vs. E=0.0000

Table 5. Comparison of mean length and width of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* between the results obtained by the authors from the present study (Lab 1, Parma and Lab 2, Napoli) and other authors from previous studies, using modified Knott test with 2% formalin


<i>Dirofilaria immitis</i> mfs		<i>Dirofilaria repens</i> mfs		Authors
Mean length µm	Mean width µm	Mean length µm	Mean width µm	
304.74	6.39	372.20	8.92	Laboratory 1 (Parma)
315.31	6.27	365.05	6.75	Laboratory 2 (Napoli)
311.30	5.69	366.20	6.40	Cringoli et al., 2001
311.96		364.53		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 1
294.97		354.93		Panarese et al., 2020 Site 2
316				Evans et al., 2019
295 to 325				AHS, 2020
301.77	6.30	369.44	8.87	Magnis et al., 2013
259.2 ± 28.3		322.7 ± 21.1		Liotta et al., 2013
306.2	5.5			Long et al., 2020
260-340	5-7.5			Taylor et al., 2007

Figure 1. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and distilled water between two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean of length of *D. immitis* mfs.




Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=309.411; Standard Deviation (SD)=9.408; Standard error of mean (SE)=2.429; Parma: mean=305.282; SD=4.429; SE=1.400; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli: mean=316.568; SD=7.663; SE=1.586; Parma-mean=304.742; SD=2.259; SE=0.714.

Fig 2. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and distilled water between two laboratories: Napoli (n= 18) and Parma (n=10). The circles represent the mean of width of *D. immitis* mfs.




Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=6.153; SD=0.299; SE=0.077; Parma: mean=5.871; SD=0.091; SE=0.029; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli: mean=6.252; SD=0.254; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=6.394; SD=0.146; SE=0.046.

Fig 3. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and distilled water between two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the mean of length of *D. repens* mfs.



Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=365.539; SD=6.437; SE=2.145; Parma: mean=373.808; SD=6.437; SE=2.145; Modified Knott test with formalin-Napoli:mean=366.626; SD=8.890; SE=1.895; Parma-mean=372.195; SD=6.941; SE=2.313.

Fig 4. Comparison of modified Knott's tests using formalin and distilled water between two laboratories: Napoli (n= 24) and Parma (n=9). The circles represent the width of *D. repens* mfs.



Notes: Modified Knott's test with distilled water-Napoli: mean=7.217; SD=0.384; SE=0.116; Parma: mean=9.059; SD=0.048; SE=0.016; Modified Knott's test with formalin-Napoli: mean=6.751; SD=0.224; SE=0.061; Parma-mean=8.915; SD=0.160; SE=0.053.

Figure 5. Morphology of *Dirofilaria immitis* and *D. repens* mfs with the five different reagents: Formalin (A), Acetic acid-vinegar (B), Glacial acetic acid (C), Saponin (D), Distilled water (E), used for modified Knott's test.





Figure 6. Readability of the slides, using formalin (A), acetic acid-vinegar (B), saponin (C) and distilled water (E) in modified Knott's test.



Declarations of interest: none.

Author Contributions

Marco Genchi: Project administration; Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. **Lavinia Ciuca:** Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Software; Writing - original draft. **Alice Vismarra:** Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; **Elena Ciccone:** Data curation; Formal analysis; **Giuseppe Cringoli:** Supervision; Writing - review & editing. **Laura Kramer:** Supervision; Writing - review & editing. **Laura Rinaldi:** Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Validation; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing.