



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Study on high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound effectiveness in inhibiting polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

Study on high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound effectiveness in inhibiting polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice / Bot, Francesca; Calligaris, Sonia; Cortella, Giovanni; Plazzotta, Stella; Nocera, Francesco; Anese, Monica. - In: JOURNAL OF FOOD ENGINEERING. - ISSN 0260-8774. - 221:(2018), pp. 70-76. [10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.10.009]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2919421 since: 2022-03-19T11:17:13Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.10.009

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

05 May 2024

Manuscript Number:

Title: Can high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound effectively replace heating for inhibiting polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice?

Article Type: Research Article

Keywords: PPO inactivation, High pressure homogenization, Ultrasound, Heat, Energy density, Energy consumption

Corresponding Author: Professor Monica Anese,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Udine

First Author: Francesca Bot

Order of Authors: Francesca Bot; Sonia Calligaris; Giovanni Cortella; Stella Plazzotta; Francesco Nocera; Monica Anese

Abstract: High pressure homogenization (HPH) and ultrasound with (USct) or without (US) temperature control were applied to apple juice individually or in combination for inactivating polyphenoloxidase (PPO). Ten passes HPH at 150 MPa were needed to achieve 50% PPO inactivation. USct led to 90% PPO decrease at the longest time (45 min), whereas total enzyme inactivation was achieved by subjecting samples to 6 min US. Results showed that temperature affected enzyme inactivation rather than the process applied. Moreover, the HPH-USct and HPH-US combined treatments led to enzyme residual activities similar to those caused by the application of HPH and USct, and US individual treatments, respectively. US provided to the apple juice less energy density to obtain PPO inactivation than USct and HPH, due to the contribution of the in situ generated heat. Also, US showed the lowest energy consumption, thus confirming its appropriateness.

Dear Editor,

I would like to submit the manuscript entitled “Can high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound effectively replace heating for inhibiting polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice?” by Francesca Bot, Sonia Calligaris, Giovanni Cortella, Stella Plazzotta, Francesco Nocera, Monica Anese, for consideration for publication in Journal of Food Engineering.

Best regards

Monica Anese

Highlights

- High pressure homogenization scarcely affected polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice.
- Ultrasound without temperature control effectively inactivated polyphenoloxidase.
- Ultrasound *in situ* generated heat mainly contributed to inactivate polyphenoloxidase.
- Ultrasound without temperature control was the least energy consuming treatment.

Can high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound effectively replace heating for inhibiting polyphenoloxidase activity in apple juice?

Francesca Bot¹³, Sonia Calligaris¹, Giovanni Cortella², Stella Plazzotta¹, Francesco Nocera¹,
Monica Anese^{1*}

¹Dipartimento di Scienze AgroAlimentari, Ambientali e Animali, University of Udine, via Sondrio
2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy

²Dipartimento Politecnico di Ingegneria e Architettura, University of Udine, via delle Scienze 206,
3100 Udine, Italy

³Present address: School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland

* Direct correspondence to: Monica Anese

Tel +390432558571

Fax +390432558130

e-mail: monica.anese@uniud.it

19 **Abstract**

20 High pressure homogenization (HPH) and ultrasound with (US_{ct}) or without (US) temperature
21 control were applied to apple juice individually or in combination for inactivating
22 polyphenoloxidase (PPO). Ten passes HPH at 150 MPa were needed to achieve 50% PPO
23 inactivation. US_{ct} led to 90% PPO decrease at the longest time (45 min), whereas total enzyme
24 inactivation was achieved by subjecting samples to 6 min US. Results showed that temperature
25 affected enzyme inactivation rather than the process applied. Moreover, the HPH-US_{ct} and HPH-US
26 combined treatments led to enzyme residual activities similar to those caused by the application of
27 HPH and US_{ct}, and US individual treatments, respectively. US provided to the apple juice less
28 energy density to obtain PPO inactivation than US_{ct} and HPH, due to the contribution of the *in situ*
29 generated heat. Also, US showed the lowest energy consumption, thus confirming its
30 appropriateness.

31

32

33 *Keywords:* PPO inactivation, High pressure homogenization, Ultrasound, Heat, Energy density,
34 Energy consumption

35

36

1. Introduction

Polyphenoloxidase (PPO) is a widely distributed enzyme in nature and plays an important role in catalyzing the hydroxylation of monophenols to *o*-diphenols and dehydrogenation of *o*-diphenols to *o*-quinones in the presence of oxygen (Espin et al., 1998). As known, the aforementioned final products are responsible for the formation of browning compounds and thus cause quality loss of vegetable products. Traditionally, PPO inactivation is achieved by the application of thermal treatments, which, however, may cause loss of sensory and nutritional quality of vegetable products. To tackle these issues, non-thermal technologies have gained significant interest over the last decades for their ability of reducing enzyme activity while minimizing detrimental effects on food quality. A number of studies has been reported on the effects of high pressure homogenization (HPH) and high power ultrasound on this food quality-related enzyme, due to their ability to change the enzymatic activity by the application of mechanical stresses and cavitation phenomena to a fluid (Liu et al., 2009a; Liu et al., 2009b; Suarez-Jacobo et al., 2012; Lacroix et al., 2005; Tribst and Cristianini, 2012; Terefe et al., 2015). Both activation and inactivation effects on PPO in fruit juices and model systems subjected to HPH or ultrasound treatments are described in the literature, due to differences in equipment, process conditions, enzyme source, among others (Liu et al., 2009a; Liu et al., 2009b; Costa et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2015; Suarez-Jacobo et al., 2012). As a rule, PPO inactivation can be obtained by applying intense HPH and ultrasound processes, that can be achieved by providing the matrix with very high pressures/number of passes and long times (Suarez-Jacobo et al., 2012; Abid et al., 2014). It is noteworthy that these process conditions might not fit the industrial needs as they can contribute to increase the ownership total cost. In the attempt to overcome these drawbacks, combined technologies have been taken into consideration. As an example, the simultaneous application of ultrasound with mild heat (thermosonication) and pressure (200-500 kPa; manothermosonication) or UV light (photosonication) has been demonstrated to improve ultrasound efficacy in inactivating PPO (López et al., 1994; Sulaiman et al., 2015; Başlar and Ertugay, 2013; Abid et al., 2014; Terefe et al., 2015). However, from these data a clear

indication on the most suitable treatment for PPO inactivation can be hardly obtained in terms of energy efficiency and applicability at the industrial level. Therefore, the objective of this research work was to compare the effectiveness of HPH and ultrasound processes in inactivating PPO in apple juice. As heat may be generated during ultrasonication, its contribution to enzyme inactivation was also considered. To this purpose, apple juice was subjected to HPH or ultrasound treatments with and without temperature control. Moreover, the effect of combinations of HPH and ultrasound processes on the enzyme activity was studied for the first time. Processes efficiency was evaluated in terms of energy density transferred to the juice during treatments and electrical energy consumption of the HPH and ultrasound devices.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Apple juice preparation

A 20 kg batch of fresh apples (*Malus domestica* Borkh., cv. Golden Delicious) were purchased at the local market and maintained at 7 °C until use. Apples were peeled and the juice was extracted using a household table top juice extractor (Ariston Hotpoint Slow Juicer, Fabriano, Italy). The extract was filtered through a filter cloth to remove impurities and coarse particles, centrifuged at 4000 g for 5 min at 4 °C (Beckman Avanti tm J-25, Beckman Instruments Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and filtered again by using a filter cloth. Apple juice was prepared fresh for every trial from the same batch of fruits to minimize sample variability. The resulting clear apple juice having a soluble solid content of 14.5 ± 0.2 °Brix and pH of 3.6 ± 0.2 was immediately subjected to HPH and/or ultrasonication with or without temperature control.

2.2. HPH and ultrasound treatments

The methodology of Bot et al. (2017) was followed. Briefly, HPH processing was performed by means of a continuous lab-scale high-pressure homogenizer (Panda Plus 2000, GEA Niro Soavi Spa, Parma, Italy) supplied with two Re+ type tungsten carbide homogenization valves, with a flow

rate of 2.5 cm³/s. Aliquots of 150 mL of apple juice were subjected to increasing pressures from 0 (control) to 150 MPa, or for up to 10 successive passes at 150 MPa. Ultrasound treatments were carried out with (US_{ct}) and without (US) temperature control by using an ultrasonic processor (Hieschler Ultrasonics GmbH, mod. UP400S, Teltow, Germany) operating at 24 kHz frequency and 100 µm amplitude, and equipped with a titanium horn tip diameter of 22 mm. During the ultrasonication experiment, the temperature was either controlled using a cryostatic bath, to dissipate the heat generated during treatment, or uncontrolled, leaving the temperature to rise due to heat dissipation. The US_{ct} and US treatments were performed on 150 mL apple juice for increasing time periods up to 45 and 7 min, respectively. Following the treatments, the samples were cooled in an ice bath.

Further experiments were carried out by subjecting 150 mL apple juice to HPH at 150 MPa followed by ultrasound with (HPH-US_{ct}) and without (HPH-US) temperature control for up to 15 and 4 min, respectively. The time between the two treatments did not exceed 30 s. Samples were cooled in an ice bath at the end of the second treatment.

2.3. Thermal treatment

The total temperature-time combination received by the sample during ultrasonication was applied to the sample in the absence of the ultrasound treatment. To this purpose, aliquots of 150 mL of apple juice were introduced into 250 mL capacity glass vessels and heated in a thermostatic water bath (Ika Werke, MST BC, Staufen, Germany) under continuous stirring, by mimicking the same temperature profile produced during ultrasound treatment with (TT_{ct}) and without (TT) temperature control. Following the treatments, the samples were cooled in an ice bath.

2.4. Temperature measurement

The sample temperature was measured just before and immediately after (i.e. before the cooling step) each treatment by a copper-constantan thermocouple probe (Ellab, Hillerød, Denmark)

115 immersed in the fluid, connected to a portable data logger (mod. 502A1, Tersid, Milan, Italy). In
116 addition, during ultrasound and thermal treatments, the temperature was recorded as a function of
117 time, by immersing (50 mm) the thermocouple tip in the fluid, half way between the solution centre
118 and the inside wall of the vessel.

119

120 2.5. Energy density computation

121 The energy density (E_v , MJ/m³) transferred from the homogenization valve to the sample during
122 HPH treatment was computed as described by Stang et al. (2001), according to eq. 1:

123

$$124 \quad E_v = \Delta P \quad (1)$$

125

126 where ΔP is the pressure difference operating at the nozzles.

127 As the power density (P_v , W/m³) transferred from the probe to the sample during ultrasound
128 treatment is markedly affected by temperature (Raso et al., 1999), this parameter was first
129 determined calorimetrically by means of eq. 2,

130

$$131 \quad P_v(T) = \frac{mc_p(\partial T / \partial t)}{V} \quad (2)$$

132

133 where m is the sample mass (kg), c_p is the sample heat capacity (3870 J/kg K as given by Ashrae,
134 2002), T is temperature (K), V is the sample volume (m³), and t (s) is the time frame of treatment
135 considered. Temperature values were recorded in quasi-adiabatic conditions at various temperature
136 levels as suggested by Raso et al. (1999). The energy density was then estimated by integration
137 according to eq. 3 on the whole treatment time:

138

$$139 \quad E_v = \int P_v(T)dt \quad (3)$$

140

141 The energy density of multiple passes HPH and combined treatments was calculated as the sum of
142 the energy density values of the corresponding single pass HPH and HPH plus US_{ct} or US
143 (Calligaris et al., 2016). The energy density of the thermal treatment was estimated according to eq.
144 4:

145

146
$$E_v = \frac{mc_p \Delta T}{V} \quad (4)$$

147

148 *2.6. Electrical energy consumption measurement*

149 The measurement of electrical energy consumption was performed as in Bot et al. (2017). The
150 energy requirement was estimated by measuring the electrical consumption at the mains supply.
151 The high pressure homogenizer was supplied with three-phase 400 V electrical power, thus a three-
152 phase energy logger was inserted (Kilo Box, Electrex, Reggio Emilia, Italy) to measure the
153 electrical consumption (MJ/m³). The ultrasonic processor was instead supplied with single-phase
154 230 V electrical power, and a power meter (PC-300, Lafayette, Taiwan) was connected to measure
155 the electrical power and thus calculate the electrical energy (MJ/m³) for the whole treatment. The
156 same power meter was employed for measuring the electrical power and energy consumption of the
157 thermal treatment.

158

159 *2.7. Apple juice soluble solids content and pH determinations*

160 Soluble solid content (° Brix) was measured using a table refractometer (Unirefrax, Bertuzzi, Milan,
161 Italy) calibrated with distilled water. The pH was measured at 25 °C using a using a Basic 20 pH
162 meter (Crison Instruments, S.A., Barcelona, Spain) equipped with a combination of glass electrodes
163 and a temperature probe.

164

165 2.8. PPO activity assay

166 The PPO activity was determined spectrophotometrically immediately after each treatment
167 (Shimadzu UV-2501PC, UV-Vis recording spectrophotometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto,
168 Japan) at 25 °C (Kahn, 1985). Aliquots of 0.5 mL of apple juice were added to 2.5 mL of 1.5×10^{-3} M
169 L-DOPA (Sigma-Aldrich, Milano, Italy). The absorbance at 420 nm was monitored each minute for
170 10 min. The changes in absorbance per min were calculated by linear regression in the linearity
171 interval by applying the pseudo zero order kinetic model. PPO activity (%) was calculated as the
172 percentage ratio between the rate constants (Abs/min) of the enzymatic activity of the treated and
173 untreated samples.

174

175 2.9. Data analysis

176 The results are the average of at least three measurements carried out on two replicated experiments
177 ($n \geq 6$). Data are reported as mean value \pm standard error. Statistical analysis was performed using R
178 v.2.15.0 (The R foundation for Statistical Computing). Bartlett's test was used to check the
179 homogeneity of variance, one-way ANOVA was carried out and Tukey test was used to determine
180 statistically significant differences among means ($p < 0.05$). Linear regression analysis was
181 performed by using Microsoft Excel 2013. The goodness of fitting was evaluated based on visual
182 inspection of residual plots and by calculation of R^2 and p .

183

184 3. Results and discussion

185 Table 1 shows the temperature and PPO residual activity of apple juice subjected to single-pass HPH
186 at 50 to 150 MPa and up to 10 passes HPH at 150 MPa. During HPH, temperature increased linearly
187 with the increasing of pressure ($R^2 > 0.99$, $p < 0.05$) or number of passes ($R^2 > 0.89$, $p < 0.05$) up to
188 56 °C. No significant reduction ($p > 0.05$) of PPO activity was achieved by applying a single pass
189 treatment at pressures increasing from 50 to 150 MPa. It is likely that the fluid-mechanical stresses
190 (i.e. elongational, shear stresses, turbulence and cavitation) generated during the homogenization

(Donsi et al., 2009; Flourey et al., 2004) were not able to induce modifications of enzyme structure and activity. By submitting the apple juice to multiple passes through the homogenization valve, PPO activity decreased to a residual value of 50%. Either activation or inactivation effects have been reported in the literature for HPH pressures ranging from 80 to 300 MPa (Liu et al., 2009a; Liu et al., 2009b; Suarez-Jacobo et al., 2012). In particular, the PPO inactivation has been attributed to loss of the native structure, due to temperature increase and mechanical forces generated by the passage of the fluid through the homogenization valve. In our experimental conditions, the modest temperature increase (up to 43 °C) together with the short residence time (approximately 10^{-4} s) in the homogenization valve (Jafari et al., 2007) may have been responsible for the inefficacy of single-pass HPH treatments in inactivating PPO. On the contrary, the efficacy of multiple HPH passes in reducing PPO activity by up to 50% can be attributable to increases in shear stress, cavitation and turbulence, as well as to the multiplication of treatment time by the number of passes and to the higher temperature reached (up to 56 °C after 10 passes at 150 MPa). Results are in agreement with literature data showing that mushroom PPO remained fully active up to 40 °C, whereas inactivation occurred at temperatures between 50 °C and 70 °C (Baltacıoğlu et al., 2015).

With regard to ultrasound treatments, upon 45 min US_{ct} , the temperature never exceeded 42 °C. When performed without temperature control, US treatment was responsible for a linear ($R^2 > 0.93$, $p < 0.05$) temperature increase up to 78 °C (data not shown). Fig. 1 shows the changes in PPO activity in apple juice subjected to US_{ct} or US as a function of time. The effects of heat alone, i.e. simulating the temperature increase obtained during US_{ct} (TT_{ct}) and US (TT) without sonication, are also shown. In all cases, a decrease in enzyme activity with increasing process time was observed. As expected, US was more effective in reducing PPO activity than US_{ct} . These results are in agreement with those reported in the literature for PPO inactivation by ultrasonication in apple and pineapple juices (Costa et al., 2013; Abid et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2015). Enzyme inactivation caused by ultrasound processing has been attributed to different mechanisms, including acoustic cavitation, which is responsible for localized increase of pressure and temperature, and strong shear stress,

217 leading to modification of secondary and tertiary changes of protein (Feng et al., 2008; Mawson et
218 al., 2011). Enhanced enzyme inactivation by heat provided during sonication has also been reported
219 by several authors (Abid et al., 2014; Sulaiman et al., 2015). From Fig. 1, it can be also noted that to
220 obtain a same inactivation level, US_{ct} and US required less time than the corresponding heat
221 treatments. In order to investigate whether an acoustic effect can be distinguishable from a thermal
222 one, PPO activity was reported as a function of the temperature reached by the apple juice during the
223 different processes (Fig. 2). It can be observed that the curves describing the changes in PPO activity
224 as a function of temperature reached by the apple juice during US_{ct} and US were almost overlapping
225 with those of the corresponding heat treatments (TT_{ct} and TT, respectively). These results clearly
226 indicate that temperature affected enzyme inactivation rather than the process applied, in agreement
227 with previous findings (Başlar and Ertugay, 2013). Moreover, these data show that as long as the
228 treatments did not allow the enzyme denaturation temperature to be overcome (40-50 °C), no
229 significant activity reduction was detected. It is worthy to note that when US_{ct} and TT_{ct} treatments
230 were applied, PPO inactivation was achieved at 40 °C, provided the time was sufficiently long. In the
231 light of these findings, it is likely that an acoustic effect during ultrasound treatment was negligible
232 and heat directly contributed to enzyme inactivation.

233 To compare the results among the different technologies, the energy density was taken a reference
234 indicator of the treatment intensity because it incorporates the transferred power, the duration of the
235 treatment and the treated sample volume (Stang et al., 2001; Hulsmans et al., 2010). Fig. 3 shows
236 the effects of HPH, US_{ct} and US, as well as those of the corresponding TT_{ct} and TT treatments, on
237 PPO activity of apple juice as a function of energy density. US process provided much less energy
238 density to the fluid to obtain PPO inactivation than US_{ct} , the latter delivering energy density within
239 the same order of magnitude of HPH. For instance, 100% PPO inactivation was achieved by US
240 delivering an energy density of 444 MJ/m³, while 90% inactivation was obtained through US_{ct} at
241 the highest energy density (i.e. 2102 MJ/m³). In fact, due to the contribution of the *in situ* generated
242 heat, which raised the temperature up to 70 °C, less sonication time was necessary in the US

process to inactivate the enzyme (Fig. 1) and lower energy density values were computed (eq. 3). Moreover, as can be seen in Fig. 3, to achieve a same inactivation value, both US_{ct} and US treatments provided higher energy density than TT_{ct} and TT treatments, respectively. This discrepancy can be attributed to the different modality of delivering the energy. During heating alone, the energy provided to the closed system merely contributed to temperature increase. By contrast, ultrasound process was likely responsible for inducing other (mechanical) changes besides temperature rise. Some of these changes could positively contribute to apple juice stabilization. In fact, ultrasonication would favour the enzyme release from the cell walls making it more susceptible to thermal inactivation (Başlar and Ertugay, 2013). This is especially true for the US_{ct} treatment when compared to the TT_{ct} one. In fact, temperature control in US_{ct} was performed by cooling the sample during continuous ultrasound treatment, while in TT_{ct} heating once the desired temperature was achieved it was kept constant, thus leading to a notably lower energy density. Overall, data confirmed that both HPH and US_{ct} are scarcely effective in inactivating PPO, unless high energy density values were provided by applying a high number of passes of sample in the homogenization valve or long ultrasonication times. However, these conditions are far from to be applicable at the industrial level. On the contrary, the heat generated *in situ* during US greatly contributed to inactivate PPO at energy density and process time likely compatible with the industrial process. In the light of these results, further experiments were carried out to investigate the effect of combinations of single-pass HPH at 150 MPa and ultrasounds with (HPH-US_{ct}) and without (HPH-US) temperature control on PPO activity (Table 2). By comparing these results with those relevant to the individual treatments (Table 1 and Figs. 1 and 3), it can be noted that HPH-US_{ct} led enzyme residual activities not dissimilar from those caused by the application of HPH and US_{ct} providing comparable energy density values. Similarly, for a same energy density value, only slight differences in enzyme inactivation were observed between HPH-US and US. Therefore, it can be concluded that combined HPH and ultrasound treatment did not allow to reduce PPO activity compared to the single treatments. Finally, the effect of HPH, US_{ct}, US and their combinations as

well as TT_{ct} and TT only on PPO activity were compared in terms of electrical energy consumption (Fig. 4). It appears that the HPH and HPH-US_{ct} treatments were the most energy wasting due to the long application times, followed by US_{ct} and HPH-US processes. On the contrary, the US process was more advantageous and much less energy consuming than both the corresponding thermal treatment (TT) and the temperature controlled thermal treatment (TT_{ct}). Reasonably, this gap will be maintained also after scaling up to an industrial continuous plant, because the US treatment supplies energy directly to food very efficiently, while heating is indirectly provided in TT from outside by means of another working fluid.

277

278 **4. Conclusions**

Acquired results confirmed the negligible HPH and US_{ct} contribution to PPO inactivation in apple juice, even when used in combination. Thus, HPH and US_{ct} do not represent suitable technologies for PPO inactivation in apple juice. On the contrary, US, which was provided without temperature control, allowed PPO total inactivation to be achieved at energy density (444 MJ/m³) and process time (6 min) likely compatible with the industrial needs. Moreover, results clearly indicated that US *in situ* generated heat mainly contributed for more efficient enzyme inactivation, whereas an acoustic effect was negligible. Thus, US would be a feasible alternative technology for enzymatic inactivation in fruit derivatives. Instead of increasing ultrasound power input and dissipate the heat produced during the treatment, enzymatic inactivation can be achieved by US process providing low energy density to the fluid and exploiting the *in situ* generated thermal effect. The same conclusion can be drawn from the point of view of energy consumption, since the US was the least energy wasting treatment among all those considered. The results of this study highlighted that not only the effectiveness in terms of PPO inactivation but also energy related issues and application time should be considered to estimate process efficiency and thus steer the technology choice.

293

294 **References**

295 Abid, M., Jabbar, S., Hu, B., Muhammad, M., Wu, T., Lei, S., & Zeng, X. (2014).
 296 Thermosonication as a potential quality enhancement technique of apple juice. *Ultrasonics*
 297 *Sonochemistry*, 21, 984-990.

298 Ashrae Handbook, (2002), Chapter R08, Thermal Properties of Foods.

299 Baltacioğlu, H, Bayindirli, A., Severcan, M., & Severcan, F. (2015). Effect of thermal
 300 treatment on secondary structure and conformational change of mushroom polyphenol oxidase
 301 (PPO) as food quality related enzyme: A FTIR study. *Food Chemistry*, 187, 263-269.

302 Baltacioğlu, H, Bayindirli, A., Severcan, M., & Severcan, F. (2015). Effect of thermal
 303 treatment on secondary structure and conformational change of mushroom polyphenol oxidase
 304 (PPO) as food quality related enzyme: A FTIR study. *Food Chemistry*, 187, 263-269.

305 Başlar, M., & Ertugay, F. (2013). The effect of ultrasound and photosonication treatment on
 306 polyphenoloxidase (PPO) activity, total phenolic component and colour of apple juice.
 307 *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 48, 886-892.

308 Bot, F., Calligaris, S., Cortella, G., Nocera, F., Peressini, D., & Anese, M. (2017). Effect of
 309 high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound on some physical properties of tomato
 310 juices with different concentration levels. *Journal of Food Engineering*, in press.
 311 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.04.027>

312 Calligaris, S., Plazzotta, S., Bot, F., Grasselli, S., Malchiodi, A., & Anese, M. (2016).
 313 Nanoemulsion preparation by combining high pressure homogenization and high power ultrasound
 314 at low energy densities. *Food Research International*, 83, 25-16.

315 Costa, M.G.M., Fonteles, T.V., Jesus, A.L.T., Almeida, F.D.L., de Miranda, M.R.A,
 316 Fernandes, F.A.N., & Rodrigues, S. (2013). High-intensity ultrasound processing of pineapple
 317 juice. *Food Bioprocess Technology*, 6, 997-1006.

318 Donsì, F., Ferrari, G., Lenza, E., & Maresca, P. (2009). Main factors regulating microbial
 319 inactivation by high-pressure homogenization: operating parameters and scale of operation.
 320 *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64, 520–532.

321 Espin, J.C., Jolivet, S., & Wichers, H. (1998). Inhibition of mushroom polyphenol oxidase by
 322 agaritine. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 46, 2976–2980.

323 Feng, H., Yang, W., & Hielscher, T. (2008). Power ultrasound. *Food Science and Technology*
 324 *International*, 14, 433-436.

325 Flourey, J., Bellettre, J., Legrand, J., Desrumaux, A. (2004). Analysis of a new type of high
 326 pressure homogeniser. A study of the flow pattern. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 59, 843–853.

327 Hulsmans, A., Joris, K., Lambert, N., Rediers, H., Declerk, P., & Delaedt, Y. (2010).
 328 Evaluation of process parameters of ultrasonic treatment of bacterial suspensions in a pilot scale
 329 water disinfection system. *Ultrasonic Sonochemistry*, 17, 1004-1009.

330 Jafari, S.M., He, Y., & Bhandari, B. (2007). Production of sub-micron emulsions by ultrasound
 331 and microfluidization techniques. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 82, 478-488.

332 Kahn, V. (1985). Effect of proteins, protein hydrolyzates and amino acids on o-
 333 dihydroxyphenolase activity of polyphenoloxidase of mushrooms, avocado and banana. *Journal of*
 334 *the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 6, 51–56.

335 Lacroix, N., Fliss, I., & Makhlouf, J. (2005). Inactivation of pectin methylesterase and
 336 stabilization of opalescence in orange juice by dynamic high pressure. *Food Research International*,
 337 38, 569–576

338 Liu, W., Liu, J., Liu, C., Zhong, Y., Liu, W., & Wan, J. (2009a). Activation and
 339 conformational changes of mushroom polyphenoloxidase by high pressure microfluidization
 340 treatment. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 10, 142-147.

341 Liu, W., Liu, J., Xie, M., Liu, C., Liu, W., & Wan, J. (2009b). Characterization and high-
 342 pressure microfluidization-induced activation of polyphenoloxidase from Chinese pear (*Pyrus*
 343 *pyrifolia* Nakai). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57, 5376-5380.

344 López, P., Sala, F.J., de La Fuente, J.L., Condón, S., Raso, J., & Burgos, J. (1994). Inactivation
 345 of peroxidase, lipoxygenase, and polyphenol oxidase by manothermosonication. *Journal of*
 346 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 42, 252-256.

347 Mawson, R., Gamage, M., Terefe, N.S., & Knoerzer, K. (2011). Ultrasound in enzyme
 348 activation and inactivation. In H. Feng, G.V. Barbosa-Cánovas, & J. Weiss (Eds.), *Ultrasound*
 349 *Technologies for Food and Bioprocessing* (pp. 369-404). New York: Springer.

350 Murata, M., Tsurutani, M., Hagiwara, S., & Homma, S. (1997). Subcellular location of
 351 polyphenol oxidase in apples. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 61, 1495-1499.

352 Raso, J., Manas, P., Pagan, R., & Sala, F.J. (1999). Influence of different factors on the output
 353 power transferred into medium by ultrasound. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 5, 157-162.

354 Silva, L.C.A., Almeida, P.S., Rodrigues, S., & Fernandes, F.A.N. (2015). Inactivation of
 355 polyphenoloxidase and peroxidase in apple cubes and in apple juice subjected to high intensity
 356 power ultrasound processing. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 39, 2081-2087.

357 Stang, M., Schschmann, H., & Schubert, H. (2001). Emulsification in high-pressure
 358 homogenizers. *Engineering in Life Science*, 1, 151-157.

359 Suarez-Jacobo, A., Saldo, J., Rüfer, C. Guamis, B., Roig-Sagués, A.X., & Gervilla, R. (2012).
 360 Aseptically packaged UHPH-treated apple juice: safety and quality parameters during storage
 361 *Journal of Food Engineering*, 109, 291-300

362 Sulaiman, A., Soo, M.J., Farid, M., & Silva, F.V.M. (2015). Thermosonication for
 363 polyphenoloxidase inactivation in fruits: modeling the ultrasound and thermal kinetics in pear,
 364 apple and strawberry purees at different temperatures. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 165, 133-140.

365 Terefe N.S., Buckow, R., & Versteeg, C. (2015). Quality-related enzymes in plant-based
 366 products: effects of novel food-processing technologies. Part 3: ultrasonic processing, *Critical*
 367 *Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 55, 147-158.

368 Tribst, A.A.L., & Cristianini, M. (2012). Changes in commercial glucose oxidase activity by
 369 high pressure homogenization. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 16, 355–360.

370 Yu, Z.L., Zeng, W.C., & Lu X.L. (2013). Influence of ultrasound to the activity of tyrosinase.
 371 *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 20, 805-809.

373

374

375

376

377 **Caption for figures**

378 **Fig. 1.** Changes in PPO activity in apple juice subjected to ultrasound process with (a) (US_{ct}) or (b)
379 without (US) temperature control as a function of time. TT_{ct} and TT: heat treatments obtained by
380 providing the sample the same time-temperature combinations received during US_{ct} and US,
381 respectively.

382
383 **Fig. 2.** Changes in PPO activity in apple juice subjected to ultrasound process with (US_{ct}) or
384 without (US) temperature control as a function of temperature. TT_{ct} and TT: heat treatments
385 obtained by providing the sample the same time-temperature combinations received during US_{ct} and
386 US, respectively.

387
388 **Fig. 3.** Changes in PPO activity in apple juice subjected to ultrasound process with (US_{ct}) or
389 without (US) temperature control as a function of energy density. TT_{ct} and TT: heat treatments
390 obtained by providing the sample the same time-temperature combinations received during US_{ct} and
391 US, respectively.

392
393 **Fig. 4.** PPO residual activity vs electrical energy consumption of high pressure homogenization
394 (HPH), ultrasound with (US_{ct}) or without (US) temperature control and combinations of HPH and
395 US_{ct} and US. Data relevant to heat treatment (TT_{ct} and TT) providing the sample the same time-
396 temperature combinations received during US_{ct} and US respectively are also shown.

397

398

399

400

401 **Table 1**
 402 Temperature and PPO residual activity of apple juice subjected to HPH. Starting temperature: $8.0 \pm$
 403 1.0.

Pressure (MPa)	Number of passes	Temperature (°C)	PPO residual activity (%)
50	1	27.5±2.3	76±8 ^a
100	1	35.6±1.7	80±11 ^{ab}
150	1	42.6±1.2	82±6 ^{ab}
150	3	44.7±1.2	75±5 ^b
150	5	51.6±3.0	69±5 ^b
150	8	52.4±0.9	61±12 ^b
150	10	56.4±0.6	49±7 ^c

404 Values are the mean of three repetitions on two replicates \pm standard error.
 405
 406
 407
 408

Table 2
 Temperature, PPO residual activity and energy density of apple juice subjected to combinations of 1
 pass HPH at 150 MPa and ultrasound process under controlled (US_{ct}) and uncontrolled (US)
 temperature regime. Starting temperature 8.0 ± 1.0 .

Treatment	Temperature control	Sonication time (min)	Temperature (°C)	PPO residual activity (%)	Energy density (MJ/m ³)
HPH-US _{ct}	yes	2	41.3±1.1	90±3 ^a	315
		3	41.3±3.3	84±10 ^a	397
		4	44.9±1.4	82±11 ^a	479
		5	46.2±1.3	80±11 ^{ab}	558
		10	47.4±1.8	72±10 ^b	953
		15	46.7±1.2	59±11 ^c	1348
HPH-US	no	2	58.4±2.3	64±1 ^a	304
		3	67.0±4.8	37±7 ^b	371
		4	73.9±5.8	2±0 ^c	430

Values are the mean of three repetitions on two replicates \pm standard error.





Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.

Figure




Fig. 3.

Figure




Fig. 4.