



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Disclosing *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* intraspecific diversity in exopolysaccharides production

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

Disclosing *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* intraspecific diversity in exopolysaccharides production / Bancalari, E.; Gatti, M.; Bottari, B.; Mora, D.; Arioli, S.. - In: FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0740-0020. - 102:(2022), p. 103924.103924. [10.1016/j.fm.2021.103924]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2907728 since: 2022-01-18T11:20:08Z

Publisher:

Academic Press

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.fm.2021.103924

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

07 September 2025

1 **Disclosing *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* intraspecific diversity in exopolysaccharides**
2 **production**

3 Elena Bancalari^{a*}, Monica Gatti^a, Benedetta Bottari^a, Diego Mora^b, Stefania Arioli^b

4 ^a Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma (Italy)

5 ^b Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences (DeFENS), University of Milano (Italy)

6 *Corresponding author: elena.bancalari@unipr.it, Tel. 0039- 0521-906524

7 Full postal address: Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parco area delle Scienze, 49/A,
8 Parma, Italy

9

10 **Abstract**

11 Exopolysaccharides production by 3 ropy strains of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* of dairy
12 origin was evaluated in synthetic medium by combining different approaches: impedometric
13 measurements, fluorescent microscopy and flow cytometry analyses. The evaluation of ΔE by
14 impedometric measurement ($E_{\max} - E_{40h}$) allowed the detection of EPS production in synthetic
15 medium, but the differences in EPS production kinetic was highlighted by flow cytometry analysis and
16 fluorescent microcopy. This approach enabled us to unravel the diversity in EPS synthesis and release
17 into the laboratory medium during the growth of the strains. Our results showed that the maximum EPS
18 production occurred after 8 h of incubation, when cells were in late exponential growth phase.
19 Furthermore, flow cytometry analysis revealed that only part of the cell population could be identified
20 as EPS producer or as EPS-bounded cell. Therefore, the combined approach used, allowed us to define
21 at the same time the kinetics of EPS production and release by three strains belonging to the same
22 species and, highlight that the production of EPS depends also on the number of EPS-producing cells
23 within the same population.. This approach could be useful for the selection of strains to be used as
24 starter cultures in dairy products where EPS production is considered an important feature.

25 Keywords: Exopolysaccharides, flow cytometry, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*,
26 impedometric analysis, fluorescence microscopy

27 **1. Introduction**

28 The ability to produce extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) is widespread among lactic acid bacteria (LAB).
29 Although their production may be high-energy-requiring for bacteria, benefits could be higher than
30 costs, as they might be involved in improving growth and survival of bacteria under stress conditions
31 (Zhou et al., 2019).

32 Furthermore, until today EPS have gained particular attention from food industries due to their ability to
33 increase physical properties of food products by influencing viscosity, syneresis and sensory profiles, and
34 prolonging shelf life (Lynch et al., 2018; Zeidan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2019). Besides these
35 technological advantages, the EPS produced by LAB are also associated to various biological properties,
36 such as prebiotic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, cholesterol lowering capacities, and other health
37 benefits particularly related to their beneficial effect in the gastrointestinal tract (Guérin et al., 2020;
38 Oerlemans et al., 2021)

39 EPS from LAB are classified according to their chemical composition in: homoexopolysaccharides (HoPS),
40 when made up of the same monosaccharide, and heteropolysaccharides (HePS), if different
41 monosaccharides are present in the chain. This differences in structure is only a first look into the
42 complex variability that could be found among EPS from LAB (Cirrincione et al., 2018; Notararigo et al.,
43 2013).

44 In fact, the EPS production and secretion are very unstable features and strongly dependent on diverse
45 factors such as the microbial species, composition of the medium (carbon and nitrogen sources, growth
46 factors, etc.), growth conditions, i.e. temperature, pH, oxygen tension, and incubation time (Degeest et

47 al., 2001; Loeffler et al., 2020). Moreover, nutrient, physical stresses and the effect of co-cultivation has
48 been reported to affect the EPS production by LAB (Nguyen et al., 2020)

49 EPSs can exist in two forms, based on their locations: i) cell-bound EPSs, adhering to the cell surface (as
50 capsular EPS), or ii) released EPSs into the growth medium (as free EPSs). Moreover, they can be
51 classified as ropy or non-ropy (Mende et al., 2016). This phenotype is evident on agar plates where
52 colonies of ropy strains produce long filament when extended with an inoculation loop; conversely, non-
53 ropy strains do not produce any strands (Cirrincione et al., 2018). Furthermore, a particular attention
54 should be given also to the determination of EPS chemical structure as well as the composition, as they
55 both have an important role in the interaction with the food matrix and, as a consequence, they can
56 differently modulate the rheology of the final product (Zhou et al., 2019).

57 Among LAB, *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* is recognized as an important starter in dairy
58 industry (Xu et al., 2019). Among its peculiar characteristics, of particular importance is the ability to
59 produce EPS *in situ*. Currently, *in situ* production of EPSs is of particular importance in the manufacture
60 of a great variety of fermented dairy products such as classical yogurt, drinking yogurt, fresh cheeses,
61 cultured cream, or milk-based desserts- (Zhu et al., 2019). Their contribution to the increment in
62 viscosity, prevention of syneresis and improvement of sensory and nutritional characteristics especially
63 in milk-derived products has been widely observed for several years (Doleyres et al., 2005). EPSs from *L.*
64 *delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* are heteropolysaccharides (HePS), with a various structure consisting of
65 repeating units of monomers such as glucose, galactose, rhamnose, and sometimes fructose (Sánchez-
66 Medina et al., 2007;; Shene and Bravo, 2007; Zhou et al., 2019). Despite the EPS biosynthetic pathway is
67 common to different bacterial species, intraspecific differences were also observed in the structure
68 produced by *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* species (Zhou et al., 2019).

69 Considering these differences, further studies are needed to implement the knowledge about the
70 differences in kinetic production among strains of the same species. To date, different methods have

71 been used to evaluate the EPS production by different LAB species (Bancalari et al., 2019; Rühmann et
72 al., 2015), from the simplest visual inspection of the colonies, to the more complex high-throughput
73 screening methods (Poulsen et al., 2019), and to the alternative rapid screening by using impedometric
74 analysis (Bancalari et al., 2019).

75 In the present study we describe a new approach aimed at investigating the differences in EPS synthesis
76 within *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* species. By combining impedometric analysis, fluorescent-
77 microscope observation and flow cytometry analysis, the maximum EPS production and release into the
78 medium were detected, with the final aim to find out the stage when EPS production is maximized.
79 Furthermore, we found that the EPS production depends also on the number of EPS-producer cells that
80 are present within the same population.

81

82 **2. Materials and methods**

83 2.1 Strains and growth conditions

84 Three wild *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* (*Ldb* 2214, *Ldb* 2285 and *Ldb* 2000) EPS positive strains,
85 belonging to the collection of the Food Microbiology Unit of the Department of Food and Drugs of the
86 University of Parma, previously isolated from dairy matrices, were used for the analysis. Strains,
87 maintained at -80°C as frozen stock cultures in MRS broth (Oxoid, Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom)
88 containing 20% (v/v) glycerol, were recovered in MRS by two overnight sub-culturing steps (2% v/v) at
89 42°C . Then, two additional sub-culturing steps (2% v/v) in MRS added with 40 g/L of sucrose instead of
90 glucose (called MRS-sucrose, MRSS) were performed for each strain at 37°C before preliminary
91 screening to evaluate EPS production and impedometric analysis (Bancalari et al., 2019; Pv and Singh,
92 2009).

93 2.2 Preliminary screening for EPS-producing strains

94 To confirm the ability of the strains to produce EPS, they were plated on MRSS agar medium. Ten μl of
95 each strain were spotted on agar plates and, after incubation for 48 h at 37°C in anaerobic conditions
96 (AnaeroGen, Oxoid, Milan, Italy), the EPS producers strains were recognized by evaluating their ability to
97 produce filament when extended with an inoculation loop (Bounaix et al., 2009).

98 2.3 Impedance measurement for detection of EPS production

99 The three strains were analyzed by means of BacTrac 4300[®] Microbiological Analyzer system (Sylab,
100 Austria). BacTrac 4300[®], consisting of two incubators allowing simultaneous setting of four different
101 temperatures. The equipment enables the separate registration of two specific impedance values, the *E*-
102 value (*E*%) which is the relative change in capacitance at the electrode surface, called capacitance, and
103 the *M*-Value (*M*%) which is the overall change in conductivity in the medium, called conductance
104 (Bancalari et al., 2016). Both measures were recorded every 10 min for 40 h. The *E*% was considered for
105 the evaluation of EPS production and growth behavior of the strains (Bancalari et al., 2019).

106 To evaluate, through impedometric analysis EPS production and growth behavior, the recovered strains
107 were inoculated (2% v/v) into previously sterilized measuring BacTrac 4300[®] vials filled with 6 mL of
108 MRSS, and incubated at 37°C. The analyses were carried out in triplicate and the collected *E*% data, at
109 the end of analysis, were used to compare the impedometric curves and growth parameters (Bancalari
110 et al., 2016) and to estimate ΔE as index of EPS production (Bancalari et al., 2019). The parameters
111 obtained from the impedometric analysis were used to describe the growth kinetics of the strains. In
112 particular, Lag value that is measured in hours, quantifies the time that the strains need to adapt before
113 starting to grow. Thus, the greater the value, the bigger the time that the strains need to adapt to the
114 growth conditions. Rate value describes the acidification speed and *y*End is the highest variation of
115 impedance recorded, thus the maximum acidifying capacity of the strains (Bancalari et al., 2016)."

116 Four sampling points were settled, as reported in Fig. 1, and subjected to subsequent analysis, that were
117 carried out in triplicate.

118 2.4 Microscopy analysis of EPS production

119 The EPS production by the three strains was followed during growth, by means of fluorescence
120 microscopy through a Nikon Eclipse 80i epifluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped
121 with a C-SHG1 100 W mercury lamp. Samples were stained with both Concanavalin-A (ConA), Alexa
122 Fluor™488 Conjugate (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) that binds selectively to mannopyranosyl and a-
123 glucopyranosil of EPS, and 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, di-hydrochloride (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich) to
124 observe bacterial cells. Stocks solution of ConA (5 mg/ml) and DAPI (1 mg/ml) were prepared in 0.1M
125 sodium bicarbonate (VWR, Milan, Italy) and milliQ water, respectively (Bancalari et al.,2019). ConA (7.5
126 µg/ml) and DAPI (1.5 µg/ml) were added to 1 mL of culture sample in a tube, mixed and incubated for 30
127 min at room temperature in the dark prior to observation.

128

129 2.5 Flow cytometry analysis

130

131 For the assessment of the total bacterial concentration, cell viability and EPS production, samples at
132 different time points were diluted in PBS (pH 7.4) and analyzed by flow cytometry (FCM). For the
133 assessment of viability, the cell suspensions were subjected to a simultaneous double staining with
134 SYTO™ 24 1 µM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Italy) and propidium iodide (PI) 2 µM (Sigma Aldrich, Italy), for
135 15 min, at 37 °C in the dark (ISO 19344, 2015). SYTO™ 24 permeates the membranes of all the cells in
136 suspension and stains the nucleic acids with green fluorescence. PI penetrates only bacteria with
137 damaged membranes, causing a reduction in SYTO™ 24 fluorescence when both dyes are present. Thus,
138 live bacteria with intact cell membranes fluoresce bright green (indicated as active fluorescent cells),

139 bacteria with slightly damaged membranes exhibit both green and red fluorescence (indicated as
140 damaged cells), whereas dead bacteria with broken membranes fluoresce red (defined as non-active
141 fluorescent cells) (ISO 19344, 2015).
142 For the analysis of EPS production, samples were diluted in water and stained with Concanavalin A,
143 Alexa Fluor™ 488 Conjugate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Italy).
144 All the samples were analyzed using the flow cytometer with the following threshold settings: FSC 3,000;
145 SSC 1,000; and 30,000 total events collected. All the parameters were collected as logarithmic signals.
146 The rate of events in the flow was generally lower than 2,000 events/s. The obtained data were
147 analyzed using BD Accuri™ C6 software version 1.0 (BD Biosciences, Milan, Italy). SYTO™ 24 (excitation
148 488 nm, emission 530/30 nm) and Alexa Fluor® (excitation 488 nm, emission 519 nm) fluorescence
149 intensities of the stained cells were recovered in the FL1 channel. PI fluorescence (excitation 488 nm,
150 emission filter 630/30 nm) intensity of the stained cells was recovered in the FL3 channel.

151

152 2.6 Statistical analysis

153 To investigate the differences observed among the strains, a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)
154 model was performed using SPSS Statistics v. 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's
155 HSD post hoc test were applied to test significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

156

157

158 **3. Results**

159 The visual inspection of the classical colony phenotype for EPS production after incubation on sucrose-
160 supplemented agar medium (Bounaix et al., 2009), allowed to observe that each of the tested strains
161 was able to produce slimy colonies after 48 h of incubation, confirming their capacity to produce EPS
162 (Fig. 2). Then, strains were used for kinetic studies of EPS production.

163

164 3.1 Strains growth kinetics

165 The strains growth kinetics were studied by means of two different methods: i) impedometric analysis for
166 the estimation of Lag phase length (Lag), acidifying velocity (Rate) and capacity (yEnd) (Bancalari et al.,
167 2016), and ii) Flow cytometry analysis (FCM) for the selective count of live, dead and damaged cells (Fig.
168 3). The impedometric curves are represented in Fig. 3 as grey line, as a mean of three replicates of E%
169 values. The shape of the grey curves obtained was different among the tested strains. In particular, the
170 curves of the two *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* strains, namely *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb* 2285 (Fig. 3a and 3b
171 respectively), were similar in shape and for the maximum E% value recorded. In fact, they reached the
172 maximum E% value around 10 hours of incubation. These results mean that these two strains showed a
173 similar acidification capacity, indicated by yEnd values of 28.2 for *Ldb* 2214 and 27.7 for *Ldb* 2285,
174 respectively, as shown in Table 1. As the bigger the yEnd value, the highest the acid production, this results
175 are in agreement with a previous study aimed at evaluating the acidifying abilities of starter lactic acid
176 bacteria (Bancalari et al., 2016). On the other hand, the rate value of *Ldb* 2214 was higher (5.1) than that
177 of *Ldb* 2285 (3.5), thus, showing a faster acidification rate. Regarding the lasting of the Lag phase, the two
178 strains showed values lower than 1h. Conversely, the E% curves of *Ldb* 2000 reveal its slowest growth as
179 compared to the other two strains (Fig. 3c). In particular, the calculated rate values were lower for these
180 strains (1.47), meaning a slowest growth rate. Furthermore, the highest Lag value (2.7h) was recorded for
181 this strain, confirming its slowest growth compared to the other two. In addition, also the acidifying
182 capacity was lower, with a calculated yEnd value of 14.9.

183 This intraspecific diversity in the acidifying characteristics has been already observed for this species in a
184 previous study (Bancalari et al., 2016), where the species *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* were the most
185 heterogeneous among the 80 strains analyzed.

186 In parallel, the growth of selected strains was monitored by FCM and at each time point, cell
187 suspensions were evaluated for the total cell number and for the selective quantification of live cells.
188 FCM data confirmed the differences in growth kinetic observed by impedometric analysis. Despite the
189 initial inoculum was similar (Table 1), the growth kinetics of the strains were different, mainly in terms
190 of growth velocity. In particular, after 8 h of incubation and growth monitoring, strains *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb*
191 2285 reached the maximum cell concentration (9.3 ± 0.1 log cells/ml and 9.1 ± 0.1 log cells/ml,
192 respectively). Conversely, strain *Ldb* 2000 reached the maximum cell concentration after 23 h of
193 incubation (8.9 ± 0.1 log cells/ml). As expected, around 95% of the cells were alive at the end of the
194 incubation.

195

196 3.2 EPS production

197 The E% values extrapolated from the impedometric analysis were used to evaluate the strains EPS
198 production, by using the parameter ΔE . This value was obtained by the differences between the
199 maximum value of E% recorded and the E% value after 40 h of incubation to better highlight the
200 differences. The obtained value describes the entity drop of the curve, which is an indication of EPS
201 production (Bancalari et al., 2019).

202 ΔE values were variable among the strains, with the statistically highest value ($p < 0.05$) of 9.16 ± 0.12
203 recorded for *Ldb* 2214, then 8.21 ± 0.20 for *Ldb* 2000 and 7.63 ± 0.24 for *Ldb* 2285. This data showed that
204 the acquisition of the capacitance values was more affected by the presence of the EPS produced by the
205 strains *Ldb* 2214.

206 Observing the impedometric curves it was possible to note that the maximum production occurred after
207 8 h of incubation (T2), for the strains *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb* 2285. Conversely, *Ldb* 2000 showed its maximum
208 production at 15 h (Fig. 3).

209 EPS production was also evaluated by FCM. Cells were stained with Concanavalin A- Alexa Fluor™488
210 Conjugate, which binds selectively to mannopyranosyl and a-glucofuranosyl linkage of EPS. EPS
211 production was evaluated at the inoculum, and then after 4, 8 and 23 h of incubation as measured
212 fluorescence after the binding of the fluorophore to the specific residue of EPS. This probe has been
213 already successfully used in the *in situ* detection of EPS both in cell cultures and in foods (Arltoft et al.,
214 2007; Bancalari et al., 2020a), but, to our knowledge, this is the first time that this probe is applied for
215 EPS detection by FCM.

216 The total emitted fluorescence measured (grey bars, Fig.3) has been directly related to the amount and
217 composition of cell bounded-EPS. The results showed differences among the strains, and in particular, a
218 peak of EPS production was found for the strains *Ldb* 2285 and *Ldb* 2214 after 8 h of incubation (namely,
219 early stationary phase of growth, according to Bancalari et al, 2016). Conversely, for the strain *Ldb* 2000
220 the highest peak has been found already at T0, likely due to EPS already present on the surface of cells
221 used to inoculate the fresh MRS. Despite the values recorded for each strain cannot be compared, as the
222 composition of the produced EPS might be different from strain to strain, on the other hand, it could be
223 very useful to describe the kinetics of EPS production and release into the environment. High values of
224 emitted fluorescence correspond to a high concentration of cells with attached EPS or EPS with higher
225 target of the fluorophore. In particular, the highest intensity of fluorescence was found for the strain *Ldb*
226 2285 after 8 hours of incubation (T2) (Fig. 3b, Fig. 4b). This observation is strengthened by the
227 microscopic observation at T2, where almost all the cells are surrounded by the EPS (Fig.4b).

228 Conversely, a decrease of total fluorescence was evident after 23 h of incubation (namely, late
229 stationary phase of growth) for *Ldb* 2214 (Fig. 3a), likely due to a possible release of EPS in the
230 surrounding. A different kinetic of release has been observed for the other two strains *Ldb* 2285 and
231 *Ldb* 2000. By observing the total emitted fluorescence, no EPS seemed to be released from *Ldb* 2285
232 between 8 and 23 hours (Fig. 3b).

233 Different was the behavior of *Ldb* 2000, which showed a decrement of total fluorescence between 8 and
234 15 hours, suggesting the potential release of EPS in the environment (Fig. 3c). This hypothesis was also
235 supported by the microscopic observation of the cells at 8 h of incubation (Fig. 4c). In fact, at this
236 sampling point, a lowest number of cells with the EPS anchored to the cells surface has been observed
237 by means of microscopy observation, suggesting a greater release into the environment (Fig. 4c).
238 Moreover, this highest EPS release could have interfered with the current flow between the electrodes,
239 resulting in a flatter E% curve observed for the strain *Ldb* 2000 (Fig. 3c) (Bancalari et al., 2019).
240 It has been already reported that *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* is able to produce HePS with a
241 different structure depending on the strain and the growth conditions (Nishimura, 2014; Zhou et al.,
242 2019). More specifically, it has been observed that several factors, mainly the biosynthesis position and
243 the diverse interaction between enzyme and substrates in the Wzx/Wzy pathway, lead to structural
244 diversity in HePS composition, resulting in an enormous difference in structure, from molecular
245 composition to EPS structure and branching (Whitfield et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019). In fact, the
246 complexity of Wzx/Wzy-dependent pathway, that has been found in lactobacilli, involves a high number
247 of enzyme and interacting sites and it is the cause of the larger variability observed in the HePS structure
248 (Zeidan et al., 2017). Moreover, as the probe used in our study strongly binds specific hetero-EPS (HePS)
249 sites (Arltoft et al., 2007), we can hypothesize that for *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb* 2000 a similar amount of total
250 emitted fluorescence could be attributed to a more similar EPS composition.
251 Comparing the results of the impedometric analysis and FCM analysis, it could be noted that after 8
252 hours of incubation (T2), where the impedometric curves began to drop, also the maximum values of
253 fluorescence were recorded for all *Ldb* strains, confirming the hypothesis that the maximum amount of
254 EPS synthesis can be found at this stage of growth phase. Moreover, according to both approaches,
255 different EPS production kinetics and release were detectable for the strains.

256

257 3.3 Phenotypic heterogeneity in EPS production

258 Beside the total fluorescence for the evaluation of EPS production, it is worth to underline that FCM is
259 capable of analyzing billions of single cells simultaneously, providing measurements of fluorescence and
260 physical parameters at single cell level, unravelling the heterogeneity of a cell population (McKinnon,
261 2018). In this context, after cell suspension staining with Concanavalin A- Alexa Fluor™488 Conjugate,
262 FCM analysis revealed the heterogeneity of the single cultures in terms of EPS production. Indeed, only
263 part of the cells displayed detectable fluorescence (Table 1, Fig. 5), indicating that only a fraction of the
264 growing cells was able to produce EPS, recognized by the selected fluorophore. Although only part of
265 the cell population was identified as EPS producer, an evident increase of positive events with EPS was
266 observed between 4 and 8 hours of growth, in particular for strains *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb* 2000. In specific,
267 positive events for EPS were around 73% and 20.9% for *Ldb* 2214 and *Ldb* 2000, respectively.

268 Conversely, EPS producer cell percentage was quite stable in *Ldb* 2285 (Table 1 and Fig. 5).

269 More in detail, after 8 h of incubation, when the maximum production is supposed to occur, *Ldb* 2000
270 and *Ldb* 2285, showed a concentration of EPS producer cells lower than *Ldb* 2214. In particular, 7.7 Log
271 cell/ml and 7.3 Log cell/ml for *Ldb* 2085 and *Ldb* 2000, respectively (Table 1). Conversely, 9 Log cell/ml
272 were positive for EPS fluorescence in *Ldb* 2014. This is of great interest as, in broad terms, 12.7 and 4.5%
273 of the total population of *Ldb* 2000 and *Ldb* 2285 respectively have EPS attached to the cell surface. On
274 the contrary, after 4 hours of incubation, *Ldb* 2214 showed a highest proportion of EPS-positive cells
275 (67.2%, corresponding to 9.0 Log cell/ml).

276 After 8 hours of incubation, about 73% (9.2 Log cell/ml) of *Ldb* 2214 cells were identified as EPS
277 producer, but only 10% (8.1 Log cell/ml) and 21% (7.6 Log cell/ml) were countable in *Ldb* 2285 and *Ldb*
278 2000, respectively.

279 At the end of the EPS production monitoring (23 h of incubation), 50% (9.1 Log cell/ml), 15.4% (8.4 Log
280 cell/ml), and 11% (8.0 Log cell/ml) of the populations were stained as EPS producer in *Ldb* 2214, *Ldb*

281 2285, and *Ldb* 2000, respectively. In particular, data on *Ldb* 2214 confirmed the simultaneous decrease
282 of the total fluorescence measured for this strain. Interestingly, this strain had also the highest
283 percentage of cells with EPS (ranging from 12.0 and 53.1%, Fig. 5), but the lowest fluorescence. This
284 effect could be due to the release of EPS into the medium.

285 The differences observed by comparing all the obtained results confirmed that the EPS production could
286 be extremely variable also within the same species.

287 *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* was chosen for this study as it is one of the most commonly
288 used species as starter cultures in dairy products for its multiple positive fermentation abilities
289 (Bancalari et al., 2020b; Dan et al., 2019). In fact, besides its acidifying capacity, of great technological
290 interest, is its potentiality to modulate the rheological characteristics of the fermented products through
291 EPS production (Nishimura, 2014), and the flavor through the production of aroma compounds (Dan et
292 al., 2019). Comparing the growth behaviors and EPS production kinetics of the three tested strains,
293 interesting differences have come to light, underling that the EPS production kinetic is a fundamental
294 information to be considered in the selection criteria for the strain to be used.

295 Even though different methods are available to select strains basing on their ability to produce EPS, our
296 results indicate that this information may not be enough, and more detailed study about the kinetic of
297 production and release are needed, in order to maximize the effect of EPS production and subsequent
298 interaction in a food matrix.

299 **4. Conclusions**

300 In our study, the EPS production kinetics of 3 strains belonging to *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*
301 species, one of the most important starters employed in dairy sector, was evaluated. As the ability to
302 produce EPS is one of the discriminating phenotypic traits in the selection of the strains to be used in
303 dairy transformations, of major importance is to deep the knowledge about EPS synthesis before strain

304 selection. To better characterize the growth and EPS production kinetics, we combined several
305 approaches: impedometric analysis, FCM and fluorescence microscopy.
306 Although the impedometric analysis allows to discriminate whether a strain is able to produce EPS, by
307 using FCM we moved a step forward. In fact, by a selective staining of cells with a probe binding a
308 specific EPS site, we were able to establish the kinetic of EPS production and release.
309 In particular, the used approach allowed to highlight the intraspecific diversity among the three strains
310 in EPS production and release kinetics, in relation to the different growth phases. More specifically, we
311 were able to identify that the maximum EPS production occurred between 4 and 8 hours, and then,
312 depending on the strain, a possible release of EPS in the environment occurred.
313 The highest fluorescence values were measured for *Ldb* 2285, conversely, the lowest were detected for
314 *Ldb* 2000 and *Ldb* 2214, who probably released the EPS in the medium.
315 Interestingly, the FCM allowed us to determine that only a part of the cell population of the strains was
316 identified as EPS producer. This last finding needs further investigations to figure out the mechanism
317 behind the observed heterogeneity in EPS production in a population with a homogeneous genetic
318 background.
319 Our findings may contribute to a more specific selection of the strains depending on the intended use.

320 **Author contribution**

321 **Elena Bancalari:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Resources;
322 Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing; **Monica Gatti:**
323 Conceptualization; Data curation, Resources; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft, Writing -
324 review & editing; **Benedetta Bottari:** Writing - review & editing; Resources, Data curation; **Diego Mora:**
325 Writing - review & editing; Resources, Data curation; **Stefania Arioli:** Conceptualization; Data curation;

326 Formal analysis; Investigation; Resources; Supervision; Visualization; Writing - original draft, Writing -
327 review & editing

328

329 **Declaration of competing interest**

330 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

331 **Acknowledge**

332 This research was partially supported by the SIMTREA (Italian Society of Agrifood and Environmental
333 Microbiology): Dr Elena Bancalari was awarded the national mobility fellowship.

334 **References**

335 Arltoft, D., Madsen, F., Ipsen, R., 2007. Screening of probes for specific localisation of polysaccharides.

336 Food Hydrocolloids 21, 1062–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2006.07.020>

337 Bancalari, E., Bernini, V., Bottari, B., Neviani, E., Gatti, M., 2016. Application of Impedance Microbiology

338 for Evaluating Potential Acidifying Performances of Starter Lactic Acid Bacteria to Employ in Milk

339 Transformation. Front. Microbiol. 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01628>

340 Bancalari, E., Castellone, V., Bottari, B., Gatti, M., 2020a. Wild Lactobacillus casei Group Strains:

341 Potentiality to Ferment Plant Derived Juices. Foods 9, 314.

342 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9030314>

343 Bancalari, E., D’Incecco, P., Savo Sardaro, M.L., Neviani, E., Pellegrino, L., Gatti, M., 2019. Impedance

344 microbiology to speed up the screening of lactic acid bacteria exopolysaccharide production.

345 International Journal of Food Microbiology 306, 108268.

346 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108268>

347 Bancalari, E., Montanari, C., Levante, A., Alinovi, M., Neviani, E., Gardini, F., Gatti, M., 2020b.
348 Lactobacillus paracasei 4341 as adjunct culture to enhance flavor in short ripened Caciotta-type
349 cheese. Food Research International 135, 109284.
350 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109284>

351 Bounaix, M.-S., Gabriel, V., Morel, S., Robert, H., Rabier, P., Remaud-Siméon, M., Gabriel, B., Fontagné-
352 Faucher, C., 2009. Biodiversity of Exopolysaccharides Produced from Sucrose by Sourdough
353 Lactic Acid Bacteria. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 10889–10897. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf902068t>

354 Cirrincione, S., Breuer, Y., Mangiapane, E., Mazzoli, R., Pessione, E., 2018. “Ropy” phenotype,
355 exopolysaccharides and metabolism: Study on food isolated potential probiotics LAB.
356 Microbiological Research 214, 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2018.07.004>

357 Dan, T., Ren, W., Liu, Y., Tian, J., Chen, H., Li, T., Liu, W., 2019. Volatile Flavor Compounds Profile and
358 Fermentation Characteristics of Milk Fermented by Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus.
359 Front. Microbiol. 10, 2183. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02183>

360 Degeest, B., Vaningelgem, F., De Vuyst, L., 2001. Microbial physiology, fermentation kinetics, and
361 process engineering of heteropolysaccharide production by lactic acid bacteria. International
362 Dairy Journal 11, 747–757. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\(01\)00118-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00118-2)

363 9

364 Doleyres, Y., Schaub, L., Lacroix, C., 2005. Comparison of the Functionality of Exopolysaccharides
365 Produced In Situ or Added as Bioingredients on Yogurt Properties. Journal of Dairy Science 88,
366 4146–4156. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(05\)73100-3](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)73100-3)

367 ISO 19344:2015 [IDF 232:2015]Milk and milk products — Starter cultures, probiotics and fermented
368 products — Quantification of lactic acid bacteria by flow cytometry

369 Loeffler, M., Hilbig, J., Velasco, L., Weiss, J. 2020. Usage of *in situ* exopolysaccharide-forming lactic acid bacteria in
370 food production:Meat products—A new field of application?. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf.* 19; 2932–
371 2954. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12615

372 Lynch, K.M., Coffey, A., Arendt, E.K., 2018. Exopolysaccharide producing lactic acid bacteria: Their
373 techno-functional role and potential application in gluten-free bread products. *Food Research*
374 *International* 110, 52–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.03.012>

375 Marie Guérin, M., Robert-Da Silva, C., Garcia, C., Remize, F. 2020. Lactic Acid Bacterial Production of
376 Exopolysaccharides from Fruit and Vegetables and Associated Benefits. *Fermentation* 6, 115;
377 doi:10.3390/fermentation6040115

378

379 McKinnon, K.M., 2018. Flow Cytometry: An Overview. *Current Protocols in Immunology* 120.
380 <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpim.40>

381 Mende, S., Rohm, H., Jaros, D., 2016. Influence of exopolysaccharides on the structure, texture, stability
382 and sensory properties of yoghurt and related products. *International Dairy Journal* 52, 57–71.
383 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2015.08.002>

384 Nguyen, P.T., Nguyen, T.T., Bui, D.C., Hong, P.T., Hoang, Q.K., Nguyen, H.T.2020. Exopolysaccharide
385 production by lactic acid bacteria: the manipulation of environmental stresses for industrial
386 applications. *AIMS Microbiology*, 6, 451–469. dOI: 10.3934/microbiol.2020027

387 Nishimura, J., 2014. Exopolysaccharides Produced from *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*
388 subsp. *bulgaricus*; *AiM* 04, 1017–1023.
389 <https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2014.414112>

390 Notararigo, S., Náchter-Vázquez, M., Ibarburu, I., Werning, M.L., de Palencia, P.F., Dueñas, M.T., Aznar,
391 R., López, P., Prieto, A., 2013. Comparative analysis of production and purification of homo- and

392 hetero-polysaccharides produced by lactic acid bacteria. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 93, 57–64.
393 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.05.016>

394 Oerlemans, M.M.P., Akkerman, R., Ferrari, M., Walvoort, M.T.C., de Vos, P. 2021. Benefits of bacteria-
395 derived exopolysaccharides on gastrointestinal microbiota, immunity and health. *J. Funct. Foods*
396 76; 104289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2020.104289>

397 Poulsen, V.K., Derkx, P., Oregaard, G., 2019. High-throughput screening for texturing *Lactococcus* strains.
398 *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 366. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnz001>

399 Pv, B., Singh, R., 2009. Exopolysaccharides of lactic acid bacteria: A review. *J Food Sci Technol* 12.

400 Rühmann, B., Schmid, J., Sieber, V., 2015. Methods to identify the unexplored diversity of microbial
401 exopolysaccharides. *Front. Microbiol.* 06. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00565>

402 Sánchez-Medina, I., Gerwig, G.J., Urshev, Z.L., Kamerling, J.P., 2007. Structure of a neutral
403 exopolysaccharide produced by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* LBB.B26. *Carbohydrate*
404 *Research* 342, 2430–2439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2007.06.014>

405 Shene, C., Bravo, S., 2007. Whey fermentation by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* for
406 exopolysaccharide production in continuous culture. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* 40,
407 1578–1584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.11.003>

408 Whitfield, C., Wear, S.S., Sande, C., 2020. Assembly of Bacterial Capsular Polysaccharides and
409 Exopolysaccharides. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 74, 521–543. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-011420-075607)
410 [011420-075607](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-011420-075607)

411 Xu, Y., Coda, R., Holopainen-Mantilab, U., Laitilab, A., Katina, K., Tenkanen, M. 2019. Impact of in situ
412 produced exopolysaccharides on rheology and texture of fava bean protein concentrate. *Food*
413 *Res. Int.* 115, 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.08.054>

414 Zeidan, A.A., Poulsen, V.K., Janzen, T., Buldo, P., Derkx, P.M.F., Øregaard, G., Neves, A.R., 2017. Polysaccharide

415 production by lactic acid bacteria: from genes to industrial applications. *FEMS Microbiology*
416 *Reviews* 41, S168–S200. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux017>
417 Zhou, Y., Cui, Y., Qu, X., 2019. Exopolysaccharides of lactic acid bacteria: Structure, bioactivity and
418 associations: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 207, 317–332.
419 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.11.093>

Table1. Impedometric (Lag, rate, γ End, $\Delta E\%$) and flow cytometry (EPS production, total cells count, live cells count and total emitted fluorescence) measurement of three *L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* strains (2214, 2285 and 2000) evaluated at four different sampling points.

Species	Strain	Origin	Sampling points	Time (h)	*EPS production (events/ μ L)	*Total cells count (events/ml)	*Live cells count (events/ml)	Total emitted fluorescence (Fluorescent Unit, FU)	% of EPS producing cells	Lag values (hours)	Rate values	γ End values	$\Delta E\%$
<i>L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus</i>	2214	Milk	T0	0	1.3E+07 ^b	1.1E+08 ^b	9.8E+07 ^b	9095 \pm 455 ^b	12.0% \pm 0.6	<1 \pm 0.12 ^a	5.1 \pm 0.15 ^c	28.2 \pm 0.10 ^b	9.16 \pm 0.12 ^c
			T1	4	1.0E+09 ^c	1.5E+09 ^c	1.4E+09 ^c	16814 \pm 835 ^c	67.2% \pm 3.4				
			T2	8	1.5E+09 ^d	2.0E+09 ^d	2.0E+09 ^d	23688 \pm 1085 ^d	73.7% \pm 3.7				
			T3	23	1.2E+09 ^e	2.4E+09 ^e	2.3E+09 ^e	11344 \pm 567 ^e	50.1% \pm 2.5				
<i>L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus</i>	2285	Milk	T0	0	1.1E+07 ^b	7.8E+07 ^b	6.7E+07 ^b	49156 \pm 2350 ^b	14.3% \pm 0.7	<1 \pm 0.10 ^a	3.5 \pm 0.10 ^b	27.7 \pm 0.23 ^b	7.63 \pm 0.24 ^a
			T1	4	4.6E+07 ^c	1.0E+09 ^c	9.8E+08 ^c	48083 \pm 2389 ^b	4.5% \pm 0.2				
			T2	8	1.4E+08 ^d	1.4E+09 ^d	1.3E+09 ^d	116407 \pm 5632 ^c	10.0% \pm 0.5				
			T3	23	2.2E+08 ^e	1.5E+09 ^d	1.4E+09 ^d	102276 \pm 5085 ^d	15.4% \pm 0.8				
<i>L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus</i>	2000	Curd	T0	0	6.6E+05 ^b	3.8E+07 ^b	3.2E+07 ^b	26205 \pm 1310 ^b	2.5% \pm 0.1	2.7 \pm 0.09 ^b	1.47 \pm 0.21 ^a	14.9 \pm 0.10 ^a	8.21 \pm 0.20 ^b
			T1	7	2.1E+07 ^c	1.7E+08 ^c	1.5E+08 ^c	24528 \pm 1226 ^b	12.7% \pm 0.6				
			T2	15	4.0E+07 ^d	2.0E+08 ^d	1.7E+08 ^d	16407 \pm 820 ^c	20.2% \pm 1.0				
			T3	23	4.7E+08 ^e	1.0E+09 ^e	8.8E+08 ^e	24693 \pm 1234 ^b	46.7% \pm 2.3				

*Standard deviation less than 5%.

^{a-e} lower case superscript letters (within a column of each strain) highlight differences and/or analogies among different time points for the same strain.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the study

Figure 2. Example of the slimy colonies formed by the strains on MRSS agar medium

Figure 3. Growth curves (red and blue lines, corresponding to viable and total cell counting, respectively), EPS production kinetics (grey histograms) and quantification of EPS-producer cells (green line) of *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* strains. A) *Ldb* 2214; b) *Ldb* 2285; c) *Ldb* 2000. E% values (grey line) is also indicated.

Figure 4. Microscopic observation of the strains: a) *Ldb* 2214; b) *Ldb* 2285 and c) *Ldb*2000 at T2.

Figure 5. Dot plot of FCM analysis to evaluate EPS production heterogeneity. FSC-H: forward scatter of cell suspension; FL1-H: green fluorescence of Alexa Fluor. The green gate encompasses EPS-producer cells; outside this gate, unstained cells (non-producer cells or cells which had already released EPS into the medium). The percentage represent the mean of 3 independent assays. Standard error is less than 5%.