



# UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

## ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Lactobacillus paracasei 4341 as adjunct culture to enhance flavor in short ripened Caciotta-type cheese

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

*Original*

Lactobacillus paracasei 4341 as adjunct culture to enhance flavor in short ripened Caciotta-type cheese / Bancalari, Elena; Montanari, Chiara; Levante, Alessia; Alinovi, Marcello; Neviani, Erasmo; Gardini, Fausto; Gatti, Monica. - In: FOOD RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL. - ISSN 0963-9969. - 135:(2020), p. 109284. [<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109284>]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11381/2882968 since: 2022-01-18T13:00:07Z

*Publisher:*

Elsevier

*Published*

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109284>

*Terms of use:*

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

*Publisher copyright*

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

08 July 2025

1 ***Lactobacillus paracasei* 4341 as adjunct culture to enhance flavor in short ripened Caciotta-type**  
2 **cheese**

3  
4 **Elena Bancalari<sup>a</sup>, Chiara Montanari<sup>b</sup>, Alessia Levante<sup>a</sup>, Marcello Alinovi<sup>a</sup>, Erasmo Neviani<sup>a</sup>,**  
5 **Fausto Gardini<sup>b</sup>, Monica Gatti<sup>a\*</sup>**

6  
7 <sup>a</sup>*Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze 49/A 43124 Parma,*  
8 *Italy*

9 <sup>b</sup>*Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, University of Bologna, Viale Fanin 44 40127*  
10 *Bologna, Italy*

11  
12 **EB**, substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation  
13 of all the data, drafting the article. **CM**, substantial contributions to conception and design, organic acid  
14 and volatile compounds analysis, interpretation of data, drafting the article. **AL**, RealTime qPCR assay  
15 statistical analysis, interpretation of data, drafting the article. **MA**, Color, rheological, sensory and  
16 statistical analysis, drafting the article. **EN**, critical revision and final approval of the version to be  
17 published. **FG**, substantial contributions to conception, design analysis, interpretation of data and  
18 drafting the article. **MG** substantial contributions to conception, interpretation of data, drafting the final  
19 version to be published.

20  
21 \*Corresponding author: [monica.gatti@unipr.it](mailto:monica.gatti@unipr.it)

22  
23  
24

25

26 **Abstract**

27 Caciotta is the name used to define a type of Italian semi-hard cheese Caciotta-type cheese. Due to the  
28 short ripening time, pasteurization is necessary to eliminate the potential pathogenic bacteria, which  
29 may be present in raw milk, causing also the reduction of ripened cheese flavor. The purpose of this  
30 research was to evaluate the effect of a selected wild *Lactobacillus paracasei* strain experimentally  
31 used as adjunct culture to enhance the flavour formation in a short-ripened caciotta-type cheese. An  
32 integrated polyphasic approach was used to compare the experimental and control Caciotta produced in  
33 a company located in Emilia Romagna region (Italy). It was demonstrated how the *L. paracasei* 4341  
34 was able to develop in curd and cheese interacting with the acidifying commercial starter. The main  
35 acidifying starter species, were differently affected by the presence of the adjunct culture.  
36 *Streptococcus thermophilus* shown comparable behavior in all cheese-making step of control and  
37 experimental Caciotta, while *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp *bulgaricus* , growth was slowed down by  
38 the presence of the adjunct culture during the whole ripening time. The higher amount of volatile  
39 compounds and organic acids due to the adjunct *L. paracasei* 4341 lead to a clear differentiation of the  
40 experimental Caciotta respect to the control, in terms of aromatic profile, color, texture and sensorial  
41 perception.

42

43 **Keywords:** Experimental Caciotta cheese, adjunct culture *Lactobacillus paracasei*, cheese flavour,  
44 RT-qPCR, sensory characteristics

45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 The name Caciotta derives from the Italian term “cacio”, which is the familiar term to indicate the  
48 cheese (Mucchetti, & Neviani, 2006). The organoleptic properties of this kind of cheese could vary

49 depending on the tradition of the different geographical zone where it is produced (Gobbetti, Neviani,  
50 Fox, & Varanini, 2018). Usually, Caciotta is intended as a semi-soft cheese with a short-medium  
51 ripening time, with a weight of around 1 kg produced from pasteurized whole cow`s milk alone or a  
52 mixture of cow`s and ewe`s milk. After pasteurization, the milk is cooled at 37°C and usually  
53 inoculated with commercial/selected thermophilic and/or mesophilic lactic acid bacteria (Gobbetti,  
54 Neviani, Fox, & Varanini, 2018). The rind has a dark ivory color, and the inner part has a lighter color  
55 and a compact texture (Aquilanti et al., 2011). The ripening time, (commonly from 15–20 days to 2–6  
56 months), can make pasteurization necessary to eliminate the potential pathogenic bacteria which may  
57 be present in raw milk (Aquilanti et al., 2011). The flavour of Caciotta can vary in function of the  
58 production area, time of ripening and the milk used. Differences in the aroma profile of cows`, ewes`  
59 and goat`s milk are known, and, among them cow milk is known as the poorest one in terms of quantity  
60 and variety of aroma compounds (Moio, Dekimpe, & Etievant, 1993).

61 Moreover, by eliminating the majority of microorganisms, the pasteurization not only reduces the  
62 potential defects, but also drastically impact on the overall flavor of ripened cheese reducing the  
63 indigenous microflora that are known to contribute to the flavor of cheeses made with raw milk  
64 (Buchin et al., 1998Chambers, Esteve & Retiveau, 2009;). It has been already reported from different  
65 authors that cheeses made with pasteurized milk have a lower overall aroma intensity and somewhat  
66 different flavors, than those made from raw milk (Albenzio et al., 2001; Chambers, Corsetti, Minervini  
67 & Gobbetti, 2006; Aquilanti et al., 2011; Esteve & Retiveau, 2009; Di Cagno, Quinto,;).

68 Differences in terms of sensory profile were also found in uncooked and cooked cow's milk cheeses  
69 (Cheddar, Gouda, Raclette, Morbier-type, Cantal-type) compared to sheep or goat milk cheeses  
70 (Ballesteros, Poveda, Gonza, Cabezas, 2006Rodriguez-Alonso, Centeno, Grabal, 2009; Cornu et al.,  
71 2009), due to the diverse raw milk microflora (Callon, Berdague´, Dufour & Montel, 2005).

72 Moreover, the reason of "lack of flavour" in cheeses produced with pasteurized milk (Chambers,  
73 Esteve & Retiveau, 2009; Colonna, Durham & Meunier-Goddik, 2011) can be also due to the  
74 denaturation of milk enzymes such as proteases or lipases (Hickey, Kilcawley, Beresford & Wilkinson,  
75 2007; Crow, Curry & Hayes, 2001).

76 For the cheese industry it is mandatory to produce safe cheeses, but without undervaluing the  
77 organoleptic properties, that are crucial in determining consumer's acceptance. For this reason, the  
78 producers began to look for new strategies such as the use of "adjunct cultures", that can be defined as  
79 selected strains added to milk during cheese-making for different purposes than lactic acid production  
80 (El Soda, Madkor & Tong, 2000; Settanni & Moschetti, 2001). This definition almost coincides with  
81 that of secondary starters which are involved in the development and improvement of cheese sensory  
82 quality or in the speedup of cheese ripening (Settanni & Moschetti, 2001; Smid & Kleerebezem, 2014).

83 The adjuncts cultures are specifically selected and intentionally added during cheese-making process to  
84 increment the autochthonous milk non-starter lactic acid bacteria (NSLAB) reduced by pasteurization  
85 (Leroy & de Vuyst, 2004). NSLAB mainly consist of mesophilic facultative and obligate hetero-  
86 fermentative lactobacilli belonging to *Lactobacillus paracasei*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus*  
87 *curvatus*, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* and *Lactobacillus casei* species (Levante et al., 2017). NSLAB  
88 have the opposite growth kinetic as compared to starter lactic acid bacteria (SLAB) commonly used in  
89 cheeses production. In fact, after curd manufacture, their number is low, ranging from  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  cfu  
90 g/1, and then increases until  $10^7$ - $10^9$  cfu g/1 after a few to several months of ripening (Gatti, Bottari,  
91 Lazzi, Neviani & Mucchetti, 2013; Gobbetti et al., 2018), thanks to their capacity to tolerate the hostile  
92 environment of cheese, characterized by low pH, presence of salt, low moisture and nutrient depletion.  
93 Their multiplication in these conditions contribute to the development of the typical flavor of many  
94 cheeses (Settanni & Moschetti, 2001). In fact, during ripening, the residual lactose is fully depleted and  
95 thus a variety of chemical compounds such as peptides and amino acids are considered as potential

96 energy sources for NSLAB (Sgarbi et al., 2013). Furthermore, they are also able to use compounds  
97 such as carbohydrates deriving from glycomacropptides of caseins and glycoproteins deriving from fat  
98 globule membranes (Gobbetti et al., 2018).

99 The effect of NSLAB on cheese ripening is strain-dependent and the selection of adjunct cultures from  
100 NSLAB strains is the most time-consuming but productive way to improve the cheese flavor or  
101 accelerate ripening (Gobbetti et al., 2018). As an example, in a previous study, experimental  
102 pasteurized milk cheeses were made by inoculating milk with a single or in combination of wild strains  
103 of *Lactobacillus*. The manufactured cheese had higher scores for sensory attributes, due to more  
104 complex volatile profiles than that produced with commercial strains. This was observed in different  
105 cheeses e.g. Cheddar (Williams & Banks, 1997; Rehman et al., 2000), Roncal (Ortigosa, Arizcun,  
106 Irigoyen, Oneca, & Torre, 2006), Manchego (Gomez-Ruiz, Cabezas, Martinez- Castro, Gonzalez-  
107 Vinas, & Poveda, 2008), Greek Feta (Sarantinopoulos, Kalantzopoulos, & Tsakalidou, 2002), and  
108 Pecorino Siciliano ( Randazzo, Torriani, Akkermans, De Vos & Vaughan, 2002). With this in mind, the  
109 objective of this work was to evaluate the use of a previously studied wild *L. paracasei* strain  
110 (Bancalari et al., 2017) as adjunct culture to enhance the flavour formation in short-ripened Caciotta-  
111 type cheese, produced with pasteurized cow milk. The strains used to this purpose, was chosen for its  
112 ability to produce *in-vitro* acetoin and diacetyl, very important aromatic compounds that are known to  
113 be responsible for giving a pleasant buttery and creamy odor in cheese. With this purpose, in a cheese-  
114 making company, an experimental Caciotta cheese was made with the addition of *Lactobacillus*  
115 *paracasei* 4341 chosen as adjunct culture for its previously evaluated potential technological properties  
116 (Bancalari et al., 2017) and all the cheese-making process was followed until the end of cheese  
117 ripening. An integrated polyphasic approach was used to compare the experimental and control cheese  
118 to find out if any differences, due to the use of adjunct culture, existed.

119

## 120 **2. Materials and methods**

### 121 **2.1 Aromatic adjunctive culture preparation**

122 The strain *Lactobacillus paracasei* 4341, belonging to the culture collection of Food and Drug  
123 Department of the University of Parma, maintained as frozen stock culture in MRS (Oxoid, Ltd.,  
124 Basingstoke, United Kingdom) broth containing 20% (v/v) glycerol at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ , was recovered in MRS  
125 broth by two overnight sub-culturing (2% v/v) at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The cells were harvested by centrifugation  
126 (1000 rpm for 10 min), washed and re-suspended in sterile water and then used to perform other 2  
127 overnight sub-culturing (2% v/v) in UHT whole milk.

128 To prepare the adjunct culture (AJ4341) 200 ml of UHT whole milk was inoculated with the last  
129 overnight sub-culturing to reach a final concentration of  $5.0 \times 10^8$  cfu/ml. The viable cells concentration  
130 was verified before and after the final inoculum in milk through plating on MRS agar (Oxoid, Ltd.,  
131 Basingstoke, United Kingdom) at  $30^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 72 h.

132 AJ4341 was stored into sterile plastic bags and frozen. Before the use, frozen milk culture was thawed  
133 in a thermostatic bath at  $39^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 20 minutes.

### 134 **2.2 Experimental cheese-making design**

135 Two types of Italian Caciotta cheese were industrially produced in a company located in Emilia  
136 Romagna region (Caseificio Mambelli, Bertinoro, Italy): i) a control cheese (CC) was produced only  
137 with the commercial starters Lyofast Y080 B (Sacco System, Cadorago, Italy) composed by  
138 *Streptococcus thermophilus* (*S. thermophilus*) and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* (*L.*  
139 *bulgaricus*) in lyophilized form; and ii) an experimental Caciotta (EC) was produced with Lyofast  
140 Y080 B and the adjunct culture AJ4341 (Fig. 1).

141 For both Caciotta production, a standardized raw milk (3,45% protein, 4,05 % fat, w/w) was kept at  
142  $5^{\circ}\text{C}$  overnight in an insulated tank and then was pasteurized at  $73^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 28 s. 400L of pasteurized milk,  
143 divided in two batches, were heated at  $39.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  and inoculated with Lyofast Y080 B (according to Sacco

144 System indication) for CC and Lyofast Y080 B plus AJ4341 for EC (Fig. 1). After 1 h, calf rennet  
145 (Caglio Bellucci, Modena, Italy) containing 80% of chymosin and 20% of pepsin, having a clotting  
146 activity of 1:12,500 Soxhlet units, was added and kept at 39.5°C for 20 min, until a pH of 6.2 was  
147 reached. Thus, the curd was cut and rested under whey for 40 min. The curd was transferred into  
148 cylindrical molds with a diameter of 7.5 cm and a height of 13 cm (sample E1 or C1), and transferred  
149 to a ventilated, refrigerated cell at 5°C with a relative humidity (RH) > 90% where it remained for 2  
150 days to complete whey drainage and reach a pH of 5.18 (sample E2 or C2). Fourteen wheels for each  
151 type of Caciotta were stored for ripening at 4°C up to four weeks. After a short ripening time: 2 and 4  
152 weeks, two cheese samples (EC2W or CC2W and EC4W or CC4W, respectively) were stored for the  
153 analyses (Fig.1).

### 154 **2.3 DNA extraction and quantification**

155 Microbial DNA extraction, from curds (C1, C2, E1 and E2) and from cheeses (CC2W, CC4W, EC2W  
156 and EC4W) was performed, in duplicate, using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,  
157 Germany) modified as follows. To remove fat and milk impurities: 10 g of curd or cheese sample was  
158 mixed with 90 ml of 2 % (w/vol) sodium citrate and homogenized for 2 min by means of Stomacher®  
159 400 Circulator (VWR International Srl, Milan). Subsequently, the sodium citrate was incubated at 50°C  
160 for 30 min. After the incubation, the homogenate was centrifuged at 500 rpm for 4 min at 4°C, and the  
161 supernatant was transferred to a new tube, to partly separate it from contaminating fat layers. The  
162 supernatant was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, and the pellet was resuspended in 20 ml  
163 of 2 % (w/vol) sodium citrate and incubated for further 10 min at 50°C. The solution was centrifuged at  
164 10000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Subsequently, the manufacturer's protocol for DNA extraction from  
165 Gram+ bacteria was followed, by doubling the reagents volumes. Briefly, the cells were lysed in 360  
166 µL of lysis buffer containing 25 mg/mL of lysozyme for 30 min at 37 °C. The lysed cell suspension  
167 was protease treated for 30' at 56 °C. At the end of the spin-column protocol, the DNA was eluted with

168 50  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water, and the concentration and purity of the extracted nucleic acids were  
169 determined by Nanodrop (NanoDrop™ 2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts,  
170 USA).

171

## 172 **2.4 RealTime qPCR assay**

173 The absolute quantification of the species *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* was performed on curds  
174 (C1, C2, E1 and E2) and from cheeses (CC2W, CC4W, EC2W and EC4W), using specific primers  
175 (Table 1) designed on pheS gene sequences (Bottari et al., 2013). For absolute quantification of the  
176 strain *L. paracasei* 4341 specific primers designed on spxB gene sequence were used (Table 1), as  
177 previously described (Bancalari et al., 2017). Reaction mix for each primer pairs contained: 1 $\times$   
178 PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher Scientific, Milan, Italy), forward and reverse  
179 primers at concentration of 250 nM and nuclease-free water to a total of 20  $\mu$ L per well. All the  
180 reactions were performed on biological replicates of the samples in duplicate, and no template controls  
181 (NTC) were included in each experiment. The total DNA, previously extracted, was diluted 10-fold  
182 with nuclease free water and added to the reaction in a 5  $\mu$ L volume. The plate, after a short  
183 centrifugation, was placed in the QuantStudio® 3 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,  
184 Massachusetts, USA), the thermal cycle was as follows: a first hold stage of 2 min at 50 °C followed by  
185 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C, during which fluorescence acquisition  
186 took place, and a final melting curve stage from 60° to 95°C with a temperature gradient of 0.1°C/s.  
187 For absolute quantification, standard curves have been constructed using purified genomic DNA of  
188 type strains of *L. delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* LMG 6901, *S. thermophilus* LMG 6896 and *L. paracasei*  
189 ATCC 334, and copy number was calculated as described in Bottari et al. (2013). The standard curves  
190 were constructed from serially 10-fold diluted reference strains DNA at known copy number, covering  
191 a dilution range of 6 orders of magnitude, and plotting the resulting threshold cycles (Ct), against the

192 logarithm of the target gene copy number. The copy number of target gene of each species was  
193 calculated for all the samples by comparing the Ct of the sample with that of the respective standard  
194 curve.

195

196

## 197 **2.5 Organic acid measurement and volatile molecule profiles**

198 Organic acids were determined on curds (C1, C2, E1 and E2) and cheeses (CC2W, CC4W, EC2W and  
199 EC4W) with an HPLC (PU-2089 Intelligent HPLC quaternary pump, UV-VIS multiwavelength  
200 detector UV 2070 Plus, Jasco Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and a manual Rheodyne injector equipped with a  
201 20 µL loop (Rheodyne, Rohnert Park, Calif., U.S.A.). The extraction was performed on 10 g of samples  
202 according to Tabanelli et al. (2018) and the analytical conditions were those reported by the same  
203 authors.

204 Volatile compounds were monitored, on milk (M), curds (C1, C2, E1 and E2) and cheeses (CC2W,  
205 CC4W, EC2W and EC4W) through GC-MS coupled with a solid phase micro-extraction (GC-MS-  
206 SPME) technique by using an Agilent Hewlett–Packard 7890 GC gas-chromatograph and a 5975 MSD  
207 MS detector (Hewlett–Packard, Geneva, Switzerland). The analysis was performed on 3 g of samples  
208 according to Montanari et al. (2018). The volatile compounds were identified by computer matching of  
209 mass spectral data with those of compounds contained in NIST 2011 mass spectral library (Scientific  
210 Instrument Services, Ringoes, NJ, United States). The compounds are reported as ratio between each  
211 peak area and the area of internal standard (4-methyl-2-pentanol), added to a concentration of 3.3  
212 mg/kg.

## 213 **2.6 pH, moisture and water activity measurements**

214 The pH values of the control and experimental cheeses after 4 weeks of ripening (CC4W and EC4W),  
215 were measured with a Portamess pH-meter mod. 913 (Knick Elektronische, Berlin, Germany) equipped

216 with a Double Pore F electrode (Hamilton Company, Reno, Nevada, USA) in four different random  
217 spots of the inner part of the cheese. Moisture content of the same samples was determined in  
218 quadruplicate by oven-drying samples at 102°C (AOAC, 1990). Water activity ( $a_w$ ) was measured in  
219 quintuplicate with an AquaLab 4TE water activity meter (Decagon, Pullman, WA, USA).

## 220 **2.7 Color measurements**

221 Color measurements of control and experimental cheeses after 4 weeks of ripening (CC4W and  
222 EC4W), were performed using a CR-2600d spectrophotometer (Minolta Co., Osaka, Japan) equipped  
223 with a standard illuminant D65. The instrument was calibrated prior of each analysis using a white  
224 color tile standard. International Commission on Illumination (CIE)  $L^*a^*b^*$  color space was chosen to  
225 describe colorimetric characteristics of the cheeses.

226 Lightness of color ( $L^*$  that ranges between 100 of white to 0 of black), redness ( $a^*$ , that ranges between  
227 +120 of red to -120 of green), yellowness ( $b^*$ , that ranges between +120 of yellow to -120 of blue) were  
228 measured in specular component included (SCI) mode. Moreover, hue angle ( $h^\circ$ ), chroma (C) were  
229 calculated according to equation (1) and (2). Ten measurements were conducted on random points in  
230 the inner part of the cheeses.

$$231 \quad h^\circ = \arctan \frac{b^*}{a^*} \quad (1)$$

$$232 \quad C = \sqrt{a^{*2} + b^{*2}} \quad (2)$$

## 233 **2.8 Texture analysis and dynamic rheological analysis**

234 The textural properties of control and experimental cheeses after 4 weeks of ripening (CC4W and  
235 EC4W), were measured using a TA.XT2plus Texture Analyzer equipped with a 30 kg load cell (Stable  
236 Micro Systems, Godalming, UK), a force resolution of 0.01 N and an accuracy of 0.025%. A texture  
237 profile analysis (TPA) double compression test was performed using a stainless-steel cylindrical probe  
238 with a diameter of 30 mm; a crosshead speed of 1.5 mm/s was applied to compress the cube samples  
239 (15 mm side) to 60% strain. The textural parameters considered were hardness (N), cohesiveness,

240 springiness and gumminess (N). Prior to be analyzed, samples were equilibrated in a temperature-  
241 controlled climate chamber set at 25°C (mod. ICH256, Memmert, Schwabach, Germany). Ten  
242 replicates were measured for each sample.

243 Frequency sweep tests were performed on control and experimental cheeses after 4 weeks of ripening  
244 (CC4W and EC4W), according to Alinovi et al. (2018) using an ARES rheometer (TA instruments,  
245 New Castle, Delaware, USA) equipped with a 25 mm parallel plate geometry. Measurements were  
246 performed applying a constant strain of 0.05%; this strain value was included into the linear  
247 viscoelastic region of the cheese as determined by strain sweep measurements prior to frequency sweep  
248 tests. Dynamic analyses were performed at 25°C in the range between 0.1 and 12.5 Hz and temperature  
249 of the sample was controlled using a Peltier device. Frequency dependence of rheological moduli  $G'$   
250 and  $G''$  was evaluated using laws equations (1) and (2) (Steffe, 1992):

$$251 \quad G' = k'(f)^{n'} \quad (3)$$

$$252 \quad G'' = k''(f)^{n''} \quad (4)$$

253 Measurements were performed in quintuplicate.

## 254 **2.9 Sensory triangle test**

255 Triangle discriminant analysis of control and experimental cheeses after 4 weeks of ripening (CC4W  
256 and EC4W), was performed as reported by Alinovi et al., (2018) with a panel group of 27 people. The  
257 panel was asked to identify the different cheese sample and to indicate one or more sensory attributes  
258 that were perceived different between the samples.

## 259 **2.10 Statistical analysis**

260 One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS Statistics v.25 (IBM, Armonk, USA) was carried  
261 out to estimate the effect of treatments among physical, chemical and microbiological observations ( $\alpha =$   
262 0.05). Concerning the discrimination triangle test a binomial test was carried out to assess if the correct

263 classification by the panel gave a higher probability level (P) than a random classification process ( $P >$   
264  $1/3$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

265

### 266 **3. Results and discussion**

#### 267 **3.1 Bacterial dynamics of starter and adjunct cultures in cheese**

268 Analysis of qPCR data allowed to reconstruct the dynamics of acidifying starter culture and aromatic  
269 adjunct *L. paracasei* 4341 during cheese manufacturing and ripening. The two acidifying starter  
270 species were present in the curd after extraction, with a 50 fold prevalence of *S. thermophilus*, in both  
271 the experimental (E1), (Fig. 2A) and control (C1), (Fig. 2B) cheeses, with comparable measured values  
272 of  $6.83 \pm 0.03$  (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) and  $6.51 \pm 0.35$  Log copy number/g of cheese, respectively.  
273 The other acidifying starter species *L. delbrueckii* had lower concentrations in the curd after extraction,  
274 *i.e.*  $5.09 \pm 0.13$  and  $5.45 \pm 0.07$  Log copy number/g of cheese for control (C1) and experimental (E1)  
275 cheese, respectively. The small differences in quantity of both starter culture species between the two  
276 types of curds can be due to the reasonable differences that occur when working in two different vats in  
277 parallel. In the experimental cheese, the selected adjunct culture was present at an intermediate value of  
278  $6.08 \pm 0.05$  Log copy number/g of cheese.

279 During curd acidification (two days at 5°C until reaching a pH value of 5.18), the two acidifying  
280 species in the control curd C2, (Fig. 2B) developed in a similar way, reaching the maximum values of  
281  $5.76 \pm 0.13$  and  $7.18 \pm 0.17$  Log copy number/g for *L. delbrueckii* and *S. thermophilus*, respectively. In  
282 the experimental curd, (Fig. 2A), the presence of the adjunct culture did not influence the replication of  
283 *S. thermophilus*, that reached values comparable to those of the control curd ( $7.29 \pm 0.11$  Log copy  
284 number/g), but led to a slight restraint of *L. delbrueckii*, that reached values of  $5.56 \pm 0.01$  Log copy  
285 number/g (Fig. 2A).

286 In this cheese-making step, the presence of adjunct *L. paracasei* 4341 did not influence curd  
287 acidification, as expected from Bancalari et al. (2017), and confirmed by the produced amounts of  
288 lactic acid (Table 2). The adjunct culture underwent a small reduction in its concentration, to values of  
289  $5.82 \pm 0.13$  Log copy number/g. After two weeks of ripening (EC2W), (Fig. 2A), adjunct starter  
290 concentration continued to decrease, but, confirming their ability to survive in ripening condition  
291 (Bancalari et al. 2017), its value stabilized at a concentration of  $5.49 \pm 0.09$  Log copy number/g, after  
292 two further weeks of ripening (EC4W). Even though, the main starter species *S. thermophilus*  
293 decreased during ripening of Caciotta cheese, it was always the majority lactic acid bacteria species, in  
294 both the conventional and experimental production lines.

295 *L. delbrueckii*, instead, was affected by the presence of the adjunct culture during ripening. While in the  
296 control cheese C2 this species reached its maximum concentration at the end of the acidifying step  
297 (Fig. 2B), it started to decrease until the end of ripening. Conversely, in the experimental cheese *L.*  
298 *delbrueckii* growth was partly slowed down, reaching the highest values only after two weeks of  
299 ripening (Fig. 2A), and stabilizing at the end of four weeks (Fig. 2A) to values comparable to that of  
300 the adjunct culture, and higher with respect to the control cheese. For these sampling points, EC2W and  
301 EC4W, (Table 2), the measured lactic acid concentration resulted to be significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ )  
302 than that of control cheese (Table 2), probably ascribed to the metabolic activity interaction of *L.*  
303 *paracasei* 4341 and *L. delbrueckii*.

304 Thus, while the two types of Caciotta cheese show similar trends in the evolution of the main LAB  
305 population, there is a small effect on the *Lactobacillus* moiety, not only for the presence of the adjunct  
306 culture, but also for the observed difference in the starter development. These differences and the  
307 presence of the adjunct *L. paracasei* 4341 lead to a clear differentiation of the experimental cheese  
308 compared to the control one, due to the activation of metabolic pathways, as confirmed by the  
309 measured lactic acid production (Table 2) and the identified volatile compounds (see next paragraph).

### 310        **3.2 Aroma profile**

311        The volatile profile of the samples as determined by GC-MS-SPME analysis allowed to identify 32  
312        compounds belonging to different chemical classes, and namely aldehydes, alcohols, ketones, esters  
313        and acids (Table S1). Data are reported in the table as ratio between each peak area and the area of  
314        internal standard (4-methyl-2-pentanol), which was added in constant amount.

315        The aroma profile of milk showed, as expected, relatively few volatiles that were present in low  
316        amounts. The most important were ketones (mainly acetone and 2-butanone) and aldehydes (hexanal).

317        The aroma profile of the curds immediately after their transfer into the molds (C1 and E1) presented  
318        small differences compared to milk with regard to some aldehydes (nonanal, decanal) and hexanol. The  
319        most relevant difference concerned 3-hydroxy-2-butanone (acetoin) which resulted the most important  
320        volatile compound, without significant differences in relation to the presence of the adjunct culture *L.*  
321        *paracasei* 4341. The importance of acetoin production during cheese production lies in its  
322        characteristic aromatic notes, related to a pleasant buttery/creamy odor (Bancalari et al.,2017).

323        At the end of curd acidification, after 48 hours at 5°C, the presence of volatiles increased in both  
324        cheeses (C2 and T2) and a relevant accumulation of ketones was observed. These two groups remained  
325        the most important also in cheeses during ripening, accompanied by an increase of alcohols, while  
326        aldehydes remained quite constant. The presence of esters (ethyl acetate) was detected mainly during  
327        ripening.

328        Among ketones, diacetyl (2,3-butanedione) and acetoin (3-hydroxy-2-butanone) were the most  
329        represented molecules. While the first mainly accumulated during the first step of cheese-making and  
330        then decreased during ripening, the latter increased mainly during ripening, due to the chemical  
331        reduction of diacetyl. These compounds are extremely important for cheese flavor formation and,  
332        noteworthy, their presence was higher in the cheeses obtained with the use of the adjunct aromatic  
333        strain *L. paracasei* 4341. Also 3-hydroxy-3-methyl,2-butanone showed a similar trend. Acetone and 2-

334 butanone, the most important components of milk, remained constant or slightly decreased during the  
335 process.

336 Acetic acid increased during ripening and its concentration was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the  
337 experimental samples after 4 weeks rather than in the control, consistently with data obtained by HPLC  
338 (Table 2). Also, other acids (butanoic, hexanoic and octanoic) accumulated during ripening: for  
339 instance, butanoic and hexanoic acids were significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) during ripening in  
340 experimental cheeses, while octanoic acid showed no differences between cheeses.

341 Ethanol was the principal alcohol detected and its amount was significantly higher in the 4 weeks  
342 ripened cheeses obtained with the addition of *L. paracasei* 4341. The same trends were observed for 3-  
343 methyl-3-buten-1-ol, 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol and 2-butanol.

344 To better evidence the relationships between the formation of aroma profile in cheeses in relation to the  
345 starter cultures, a principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out on the correlation matrix based  
346 on the volatile compounds detected in the samples. In Fig. 3 the case coordinates on the sample score  
347 plot of the Factors 1 and 2 (representing 47.72% and 16.82% of the total variability, Fig.3A) and the  
348 PCA loadings plot of the volatiles on the same two factors (Fig. 3B) are reported.

349 The Factor 1 discriminated milk from the different steps of cheese-making moving from positively  
350 correlated (mainly acetone) to negatively correlated compounds (ethanol, 3-methyl-3-buten-1-ol, 3-  
351 methyl-2-buten-1-ol, 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-butanone, acetoin, 2-nonanone, 2-heptanone and organic  
352 acids). Interestingly, while no differences between the control cheeses after 2 and 4 weeks of ripening  
353 (CC2W and CC4W) were observed, relevant differences were present in the experimental cheeses,  
354 obtained with the addition of the aromatic strain *L. paracasei* 4341 (ECW2 and ECW4). This fact  
355 seems to indicate that the activity of the adjunct culture persisted throughout the ripening. The Factor 2  
356 is correlated positively with 2-butanone and negatively with diacetyl, benzaldehyde and 2,3-  
357 pentanedione.

358 The volatile molecules able to discriminate the cheeses are attributable to metabolic pathway  
359 influenced by microbial activities. In particular, pyruvate (deriving from citrate and other metabolisms)  
360 can lead to the production of diacetyl which can be successively reduced to acetoin. These compounds,  
361 characterized by butter and nut notes, are key aroma component of several cheeses. The diketone 2,3-  
362 pentanedione can be produced by two distinct routes, the first starting from pyruvate and acetate and  
363 the second from pyruvate and threonine (Smid & Kleerebezem, 2014). In this case, the samples  
364 obtained with *L. paracasei* 4341 are discriminated mainly by the presence of acetoin. 3-methyl-3-  
365 buten-1-ol, 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol (isoprenol and prenol, respectively) have already been detected in  
366 cheese (Mariaca, Fernandez-Garcia, Mohedano & Nunez, 2001; Bergamaschi et al., 2015) and may be  
367 the result of the dehydration of 3-methyl-3-butanediol and 3-methyl-2-butanediol (Morino, Yamada  
368 & Sato, 2014), which derive from isoleucine and leucine metabolism.

369 The increasing presence of acetate can be related to pathways starting from pyruvate which can be  
370 provided by lactic acid, residual sugars or amino acid metabolisms (Smid & Kleerebezem, 2014; Zotta,  
371 Parente & Ricciardi, 2017).

### 372 **3.3 Physico-chemical properties**

373 pH values of control and experimental Caciotta 4 weeks ripened cheeses (CC4W and EC4W) were  
374 significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ), respectively 4.90 and 4.51, respectively, (Table 3) were both lower  
375 than those of acidified curds (5.18) (data not shown). In particular, EC4W had a lower pH than the  
376 control CC4W accordingly to the measured higher concentration of organic acid after four weeks of  
377 aging (Table 2 and 3). The fermentation products lactic and acetic acids were detected in both samples  
378 and lactic acid was present at higher amounts already in the curds at the beginning of the process in the  
379 experimental cheese line (Table 2). During fermentation and ripening lactic acid accumulated, and in  
380 ECW4 reached a concentration of 16.34 that was significantly higher than CCW4 (9.58 g/kg) (Table 2).

381 Acetic acid was present at low concentrations (about 0.4-0.6 g/kg) and its amount slightly increased in  
382 the experimental samples during 4 weeks of ripening (Table 2).

383 For ECW4, a significantly lower ( $P<0.05$ ) moisture content and a significantly higher value of  $a_w$   
384 ( $P<0.05$ ) were observed (Table 3). This can be related to a lower water-holding capacity (WHC) of the  
385 ECW4, accordingly with a study of Marchesseau and colleagues (1997). Furthermore, it was already  
386 observed that higher pH values in cream cheese formulations could have promoted protein-to-water  
387 interactions and swelling of the protein network, while lower pH values could have favored  
388 hydrophobic protein-to-protein interactions and contraction of the protein network into denser and  
389 more rigid fibers (Monteiro, Tavares, Kindstedt & Gigante, 2009). This phenomenon has also been  
390 observed in Cheddar cheese (Pastorino, Hansen & McMahon, 2003). Moreover, higher acidification  
391 measured for the ECW4, caused by the additional activity of the adjunct culture, could also have  
392 promoted a decrease of the residual activity of rennet enzymes and endogenous proteases (i.e. plasmin)  
393 as their activity is lowered at lower pH values (Picon et al., 2010; Børsting, Qvist & Ardö, 2014). Even  
394 if, in the present work the degree of proteolysis was not measured, a higher degree of proteolysis and a  
395 higher release of peptides, could have occurred in CCW4, co-promoting a lower moisture loss and  $a_w$   
396 because of the formation of free ionic groups that can bond free water (Ak & Gunasekaran, 1996) and  
397 the release of low molecular weight peptides. In addition, it is known that the addition of adjunct  
398 culture may lead to an increment of free amino acids as well as peptides and also free fatty acids that  
399 could influence the physico-chemical characteristics, the overall aroma profile but also accelerate  
400 cheese ripening (Crow, Curry & Hayes, 2001).

401 Colorimetric coordinates showed significant differences between the two Caciotta type. In particular,  
402  $L^*$  and  $b^*$  values were significantly higher and lower ( $P<0.001$ ), respectively, in the ECW4 cheese  
403 than in the control CCW4. A higher lightness of the cheese body which is related to a higher amount of  
404 free water droplets and a lower degree of light scattering phenomena (Sánchez-Macías et al., 2010;

405 Sheehan et al., 2005), could be possibly associated to the decreased protein hydration in ECW4 caused  
406 by the lower pH of the cheese or by the higher proteolysis. Moreover, yellowness ( $b^*$ ), that can be  
407 related to oxidative reactions and a higher extent of ripening phenomena (Buffa, Trujillo, Pavia &  
408 Guamis, 2001), was higher in the control cheese. Consequently, to the measured differences in color  
409 coordinates, also values of C and  $h^\circ$  angle showed statistical differences; both cheeses were  
410 characterized by a dominant yellowish color.

### 411 **3.4 Rheological and textural properties**

412 Rheological properties measured in CC4W and in EC4W showed the predominance of the elastic  
413 behavior in both cheeses, as the storage modulus  $G'$  was higher than the loss modulus  $G''$  in the  
414 measured frequency range and  $\tan\delta$  of the cheeses was between 0.29 and 0.40 (Fig. 4 A,4 B, 4C). Both  
415  $G'$  and  $G''$  increased linearly with the increasing deformation rate (log-log scale). Power law equations  
416 fitted experimental dynamic data with a good level of accuracy, as determination's coefficients ( $R^2$ )  
417 were higher than 0.98.

418 Power law coefficients of  $G'$  and  $G''$  rheological moduli showed significant differences between the  
419 samples ( $P < 0.001$ ). EC4W was characterized by a higher  $k'$  than the control, that can be related to a  
420 stronger protein network and to its lower moisture content. In facts, as water acts as plasticizer in a gel  
421 or viscoelastic system, a decrease of its content can promote an increase of the structural rigidity of the  
422 cheese (Perreault et al., 2017).

423  $\tan\delta$ , that express the ratio between the amount of energy dissipated as viscous dissipation and the  
424 amount of energy that is stored or recovered ( $G''/G'$ ), is showed in Figure 4C.  $\tan\delta$  was higher in the  
425 CC4W than in EC4W in the low frequency range (0.1-1 Hz), while it was similar in the measured  
426 cheeses at higher frequency values. Because high frequency values mean low relaxation times, the  
427 different cheeses could not have enough time to reflect the structural differences in terms of  
428 viscoelastic behavior.

429 A lower frequency dependence of storage modulus than loss modulus in the case of treatment cheese  
430 can be observed from  $n'$  and  $n''$  parameters reported in Table 4; moreover, frequency dependence of  
431  $G'$  was also lower in EC4W than in CC4W. As a higher frequency dependence (higher  $n'$  values) is  
432 typical for weak gels (Tunick, 2011; Banville, Morin, Pouliot & Britten, 2014; Perreault et al., 2017), a  
433 lower structured cheese matrix was observed in control cheese and can be due to the lower degree of  
434 organization of casein micelles as a consequence of proteolysis or to the higher pH, as previously  
435 discussed.

436 Textural analyses confirmed rheological measurements, as EC4W had a higher hardness than the  
437 control cheese ( $16.93 \pm 2.76$  N vs  $6.16 \pm 2.16$  N) and also gumminess, that in cheese texture is often  
438 related to hardness development (Irudayaraj, Chen & McMahon, 1999) showed the same behavior.

439 On the contrary, cohesiveness, that is a measurement of the strength of the internal bonds in the matrix  
440 but that can be also sensorially related to the amount of deformation undergone by a material before  
441 rupture (Meullenet, Carpenter, Lyon & Lyon, 1997), was significantly lower for the control cheese than  
442 for the EC4W. In fact, the latter was characterized by a harder, more rigid structure but that was also  
443 brittle when subjected to large, destructive deformations. A higher brittleness of EC4W can be related  
444 to its lower water content and availability (Creamer & Olson, 1982) causing the development of a less  
445 plasticized matrix but also to modifications of protein structure and functionality and to a lower  
446 colloidal calcium content, as previously highlighted for other kind of cheeses (Luyten, Vliet &  
447 Walstra, 1991; Kindstedt, Zielinski, Almena-Aliste & Ge, 2001).

### 448 **3.5 Sensory triangle test**

449 The different physical, chemical and aroma properties of the two cheeses were also sensorially  
450 perceived, as the panel group correctly classified a number of 21 cheese comparisons, corresponding to  
451 the 77.8% of total number of tested comparisons and to a P-value lower than 0.001. EC4W, when

452 correctly classified, mainly discriminated from the control because of a higher firmness (63.0% of the  
453 times), different acidity (29.6%) and saltiness (22.2%).

454

## 455 **1. Conclusion**

456 In the present study a short-ripened Caciotta-type cheese was produced with and without the addition  
457 of a strain which was previously isolated and suggested for its potential technological features  
458 (Bancalari et al., 2017). The aim was to use *Lactobacillus paracasei* 4321, as adjunct aromatic culture,  
459 to enhance the flavour of a Caciotta-type produced with pasteurized cow milk and ripened for less time  
460 than the usual. The complete results obtained with a polyphasic approach are very interesting because it  
461 was demonstrated how the adjunct strain was able to develop in curd and cheese interacting with  
462 acidifying starter and producing higher amount of volatile compounds that lead to a clear  
463 differentiation of the experimental Caciotta respect to the control in terms of aromatic profile and  
464 physico-chemical and rheological properties. While the main acidifying starter species, *S.*  
465 *thermophilus*, has shown comparable behaviour in all cheese-making steps of control and experimental  
466 Caciotta. *L. delbrueckii*, growth was slowed down by the presence of the adjunct culture. In this way  
467 the acid production by *L. delbrueckii* was prolonged over time, resulting in higher lactic acid  
468 concentration in the experimental cheeses, ascribable to the metabolic activity interaction of *L.*  
469 *paracasei* 4341 and *L. delbrueckii*.

470 As previously observed by Gobbetti and colleagues (2018), also in our case, despite the advantages, the  
471 addition of adjunct NSLAB to cheese milk caused a slight over acidification of the curd in addition to  
472 primary starters. This phenomenon increased whey drainage, which affect microbial and biochemical  
473 activities during ripening and consequently physico-chemical, rheological and sensory characteristics  
474 of the cheese. These over acidification could probably be solved by using attenuated adjunct culture.

475 However, the results obtained with this study allow us to support the use of aromatic adjunct starters in  
476 cheeses made with pasteurized milk. This strategy could represent a very promising technique for  
477 obtaining pasteurized milk cheeses with improved aromatic profiles and improved organoleptic  
478 characteristics. For this reason, the choice of the strain to be used for this purpose continues to be a  
479 very important topic.

480

#### 481 **Figure captions**

482 **Figure 1** Sampling point scheme. Sampling points are shown as red rhombus for control line (C), green  
483 for experimental line (T). For Experimental cheese production: E1 (Curd transferred into molds), E2  
484 (curd at the end of acidification), EC2W (Experimental Cheese after 2 weeks of ripening), EC4W  
485 (Experimental Cheese after 4 weeks of ripening). For the Control cheese production: C1 (Curd  
486 transferred into molds), C2 (curd at the end of acidification), CC2W (Control Cheese after 2 weeks of  
487 ripening), CC4W (Control Cheese after 4 weeks of ripening).

488 **Figure 2** Bacterial dynamics and lactic acid production in cheese. The graph shows the dynamics of the  
489 species *S. thermophilus* (blue line and triangles), *L. delbrueckii* (blue dashed line and squares) and the  
490 adjunct starter *L. paracasei* 4341 (orange dashed line and triangles) at various production stages, in  
491 both the experimental (A) and conventional (B) manufacturing. For each production stage the measured  
492 lactic acid concentration is reported (white bars). Error bars represent standard deviation.

493 **Figure 3** PCA Results of Principal Component Analysis: a) projection of case coordinates on the  
494 sample score plot of the Factors 1 and 2; b) PCA loading plot of the aroma compounds selected on the  
495 first two factors obtained from PCA.

496 **Figure 4** Storage modulus ( $G'$ ), loss modulus ( $G''$ ), tangent of the phase angle ( $\tan\delta$ ) and complex  
497 viscosity ( $\eta^*$ ) frequency-dependent curves measured at 25°C of experimental cheeses manufactured  
498 with (ECW4) and the control (CCW4), without the addition of the secondary adjunct culture.



500

501 **Table 1** Primer pairs used in this study, the same as reported in Bottari et al., 2013 (1), or Bancalari et  
502 al., 2017 (2).

<b>Primer</b>	<b>Primer sequence (5'→3')</b>	<b>Lenght (bp)</b>	<b>Size (bp)</b>	<b>Reference</b>
LlpheSF	ACGTTGACGCTGACCACC	18	51	(1)
LlpheSR	GGCTTGAAGTGGTGAAGTCTG	21		
StpheSF	GAAGAAATCTTGCTTCGCACTC	22	50	(1)
StpheSR	AGTGTACGAGCTTGGACAGGA	21		
poxcDNAFw	CAGACGCAATGATCAAGGTG	20	150	(2)
poxPromRV	AATGCGCCyACTTCTTCATG	20		

503

504

505 **Table 2** Organic acid content (g/kg) in the samples of Caciotta during manufacturing and ripening.

506 Data are the mean of two cheeses, each analysed twice.

507

<b>Organic acids</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Experimental cheese</b>	<b>Control cheese</b>
Lactic acid	Curd before acidification	2.21 ( $\pm 0.46$ )	2.10 ( $\pm 0.22$ )
	Curd after acidification	7.65 ( $\pm 0.46$ )	7.18 ( $\pm 0.31$ )
	Cheese after 2 weeks of ripening *	12.35 ( $\pm 0.75$ )	9.25 ( $\pm 0.09$ )
	Cheese after 4 weeks of ripening *	16.48 ( $\pm 0.10$ )	9.76 ( $\pm 0.55$ )
Acetic acid	Curd before acidification *	0.39 ( $\pm 0.02$ )	0.59 ( $\pm 0.11$ )
	Curd after acidification *	0.38 ( $\pm 0.01$ )	0.30 ( $\pm 0.01$ )
	Cheese after 2 weeks of ripening *	0.61 ( $\pm 0.02$ )	0.37 ( $\pm 0.07$ )
	Cheese after 4 weeks of ripening *	0.64 ( $\pm 0.02$ )	0.47 ( $\pm 0.07$ )

508

509 The presence of an asterisk indicates significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the two samples

510 (experimental cheese vs. control cheese) for that organic acid.

511

512 **Table 3.** Mean values of pH, moisture content (MC), water activity ( $a_w$ ) and color attributes ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ , C,  $h^\circ$ ) of experimental cheeses  
 513 manufactured with (ECW4) and the control (CCW4), without the addition of the secondary adjunct culture.

Sample	pH	MC (% w/w)	$a_w$	$L^*$	$a^*$	$b^*$	C	$h^\circ$
CCW4	4.90 ± 0.05	48.89 ± 0.86	0.9697 ± 0.0015	88.54 ± 1.01	-0.20 ± 0.09	18.50 ± 0.74	18.50 ± 0.74	90.62 ± 0.27
ECW4	4.51 ± 0.01	47.62 ± 0.55	0.9719 ± 0.0008	90.62 ± 0.65	-0.06 ± 0.14	16.48 ± 0.21	16.48 ± 0.21	90.22 ± 0.49
Sign.	***	*	*	***	*	***	***	*

514 \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001

515

516

517

518 **Table 4.** Textural parameters derived from Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) curves and rheological parameters derived from power-law  
 519 equations for storage ( $G'$ ), loss ( $G''$ ) moduli and complex viscosity ( $\eta^*$ ) of experimental cheeses manufactured with (EC4W) and the  
 520 control (CC4W), without the addition of the secondary adjunct culture.

<b>Sample</b>	<b><math>k'</math> (Pa·s<sup>n'</sup>)</b>	<b><math>n'</math> (-)</b>	<b><math>k''</math> (Pa·s<sup>n''</sup>)</b>	<b><math>n''</math> (-)</b>	<b>Hardness (N)</b>	<b>Cohesiveness (-)</b>	<b>Gumminess (N)</b>	<b>Springiness (mm)</b>
CC4W	20226±2834	0.219±0.015	6986 ±950	0.201±0.004	6.16 ±2.16	0.45 ±0.06	2.84±1.16	5.16± 0.85
EC4W	54315±7266	0.177±0.004	16830±2368	0.209±0.005	16.93±2.76	0.21±0.03	3.60±0.88	4.37± 0.98
<b>Sign.</b>	***	***	***	*	***	**	***	

521 \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001

522

523

524

525

526

527 Table S1. Volatile profile of milk and cheeses during manufacturing and ripening determined by GC-  
528 MS-SPME. Data are expressed as ratio between each peak area and the area of internal standard (4-  
529 methyl-2-pentanol).

530

### 531 **Acknowledgements**

532 This work was supported by Regione Emilia-Romagna in the framework of the project “Collezioni  
533 microbiche regionali: la biodiversità al servizio dell'industria agroalimentare” (CUP  
534 J12F16000010009).

535

### 536 **References**

- 537 Albenzio, M., Corbo, M.R., Rehman, S.U., & Fox, P.F. (2001). Microbiological and biochemical  
538 characteristics of Canestrato Pugliese cheese made from raw milk, pasteurized milk or by heating  
539 the curd in hot whey. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 67, 35–48
- 540 Alinovi, M., Cordioli, M., Francolino, S., Locci, F., Ghiglietti, R., Monti, L., Tidona, F., Mucchetti, G.,  
541 & Giraffa, G. (2018). Effect of fermentation-produced camel chymosin on quality of Crescenza  
542 cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 84, 72–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2018.04.001>
- 543 Aquilanti, L., Babini, V., Santarelli, S., Osimani, A., Petruzzelli, A., & Clementi, F. (2011). Bacterial  
544 dynamics in a raw cow ' s milk Caciotta cheese manufactured with aqueous extract of *Cynara*  
545 *cardunculus* dried flowers. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 651–659.  
546 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03053.x>
- 547 Ak, M. M., & Gunasekaran, S. (1996). Dynamic rheological properties of Mozzarella cheese during  
548 refrigerated storage. *Journal of Food Science*, 61, 566-568
- 549 AOAC. (1990). Official methods of analysis of AOAC International (15th ed., Vol. 1). Washington,  
550 DC, USA: AOAC International

- 551 Ballesteros, C., Poveda, J.M., González-Vin, M.A., & Cabezas, L. (2006). Microbiological,  
552 biochemical and sensory characteristics of artisanal and industrial Manchego cheeses. *Food*  
553 *Control*, 17,249–255
- 554 Bancalari, E., Savo Sardaro, M. L., Levante, A., Marseglia, A., Caligiani, A., & Lazzi, C. (2017). An  
555 integrated strategy to discover *Lactobacillus casei* group strains for their potential use as aromatic  
556 starters. *Food Research International*, 100, 682–690. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2017.07.066
- 557 Banville, V., Morin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Britten, M. (2014). Shreddability of pizza Mozzarella cheese  
558 predicted using physicochemical properties. *Journal of dairy science*, 97, 4097-4110
- 559 Bergamaschi, M., Aprea, E., Betta, E., Biasioli, F. Cipolat-Gotet, C., Cecchinato, A., Bittante, G., &  
560 Gasperi, F. (2015). Effects of dairy system, herd within dairy system, and individual cow  
561 characteristics on the volatile organic compound profile of ripened model cheeses. *Journal of*  
562 *Dairy Science*, 98, 2183-2196
- 563 Børsting, M. W., Qvist, K. B., & Ardö, Y. (2014). Influence of pH on retention of camel chymosin in  
564 curd. *International Dairy Journal*, 38, 133–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2014.01.001>
- 565 Bottari, B., Agrimonti, C., Gatti, M., Neviani, E., and Marmiroli, N. (2013). Development of a  
566 multiplex real time PCR to detect thermophilic lactic acid bacteria in natural whey  
567 starters. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 160, 290–297.  
568 doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.10.011
- 569 Buchin, S., Delague, V., Duboz, G., Berdague, J.L., Beuvier, E., Pochet, S., & Grappin, R. (1998).  
570 Influence of Pasteurization and Fat Composition of Milk on the Volatile Compounds and Flavor  
571 Characteristics of a Semi-hard Cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 81:3097–3108 3097
- 572 Buffa, M. N., Trujillo, A. J., Pavia, M., & Guamis, B. (2001). Changes in textural, microstructural, and  
573 colour characteristics during ripening of cheeses made from raw, pasteurized or high-pressure-  
574 treated goats' milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 11(11-12), 927-934

575 Callon, C., Berdague, J. L., Dufour, E., & Montel, M. C. (2005). The Effect of Raw Milk Microbial  
576 Flora on the Sensory Characteristics of Salers-Type Cheeses. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 88,  
577 3840–3850

578 Chambers, D. H., Esteve, E., & Retiveau A. (2010). Effect of milk pasteurization on flavor properties  
579 of seven commercially available french cheese types. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 25, 494–511.

580 Colonna, A., Durham, C., & Meunier-Goddik, L. (2011). Factors affecting consumers' preferences for  
581 and purchasing decisions regarding pasteurized and raw milk specialty cheeses. *Journal of Dairy*  
582 *Science*, 94, 5217–5226. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4456

583 Cornu, A., Rabiau, N., Kondjoyan, N., Verdier-Metz, I., Pradel, P., Tournayre, P., Berdague, J.L., &  
584 Martin, B. (2009). Odour-active compound profiles in Cantal-type cheese: Effect of cow diet,  
585 milk pasteurization and cheese ripening. *International Dairy Journal*, 19, 588–594

586 Creamer, L. K., & Olson, N. F. (1982). Rheological evaluation of maturing Cheddar cheese. *Journal of*  
587 *Food Science*, 47, 631-636

588 Crow, V., Curry, B., & Hayes, M. (2001). The ecology of non-starter lactic acid bacteria (NSLAB) and  
589 their use as adjuncts in New Zealand Cheddar. *International Dairy Journal*, 11, 275–283

590 Di Cagno, R., Quinto, M., Corsetti, A., Minervini, F., & Gobbetti, M. (2006). Assessing the proteolytic  
591 and lipolytic activities of single strains of mesophilic lactobacilli as adjunct cultures using a  
592 Caciotta cheese model system. *International Dairy Journal*, 16, 119–130.

593 El Soda, M., Madkor, A., & Tong S. (2000). Adjunct cultures: recent developments and potential  
594 Significance to the cheese industry. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83:609–619

595 Gardini, F., Tabanelli, G., Lanciotti, R., Montanari, C., Luppi, M., Coloretti, F., Chiavari, C., & Grazia,  
596 L. (2013). Biogenic amine content and aromatic profile of Salama da sugo, a typical cooked  
597 fermented sausage produced in Emilia Romagna Region (Italy). *Food Control*, 32(2), 638-643.

598 Gänzle, M. (2015). Lactic metabolism revisited: metabolism of lactic acid bacteria in food  
599 fermentations and food spoilage. *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 2, 106-117

600 Gatti, M., Bottari, B., Lazzi, C., Neviani, E., & Mucchetti, G. (2013). Invited review: Microbial  
601 evolution in raw-milk, long-ripened cheeses produced using undefined natural whey starters.  
602 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 97 :573–59. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7187>

603 Gobbetti, M., Di Cagno, R., Calasso, M., Neviani, E., Foxd, P. F., & De Angelis, M. (2018). Drivers  
604 that establish and assembly the lactic acid bacteria biota in cheeses. *Trends in Food Science &*  
605 *Technology*, 78, 244–254

606 Gobbetti, M., Neviani, E., Fox, P., & Varanini, G.M. (2018) *The Cheeses of Italy: Science and*  
607 *Technology*. New York: Springer International Publishing

608 Gómez-Ruiz, J.Á., Cabezas, L., & Martínez-Castro, I., González-Viñas M. A., & Maria Poveda, J.  
609 (2008). Influence of a defined-strain starter and *Lactobacillus plantarum* as adjunct culture on  
610 volatile compounds and sensory characteristics of Manchego cheese. *European Food Research*  
611 *and Technology*, 227: 181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-007-0708-7>

612 Guinee, T. P., Feeney, E. P., Auty, M. A. E., & Fox, P. F. (2002). Effect of pH and Calcium  
613 Concentration on Some Textural and Functional Properties of Mozzarella Cheese. *Journal of*  
614 *Dairy Science*, 85, 1655–1669. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(02\)74238-0](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74238-0)

615 Hickey, D. K., Kilcawley, K. N., Beresford, T. P., & Wilkinson, M. G. (2007). Lipolysis in cheddar  
616 cheese made from raw, thermized, and pasteurized milks. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, 47–56.

617 Irudayaraj, J., Chen, M., & McMahon, D. J. (1999). Texture development in Cheddar cheese during  
618 ripening. *Canadian Agricultural Engineering*, 41, 253-258

619 Kindstedt, P. S., Zielinski, A., Almena-Aliste, M., & Ge, C. (2001). A post-manufacture method to  
620 evaluate the effect of pH on Mozzarella cheese characteristics. *Australian Journal of Dairy*  
621 *Technology*, 56, 202-207

622 Leroy, F., & De Vuyst L. Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter cultures for the food fermentation  
623 industry. (2004). *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 15, 67–78

624 Levante, A., De Filippis, F., La Storia, A., Gatti, M., Neviani, E., Ercolini, D., & Lazzi, C. (2017).  
625 Metabolic gene-targeted monitoring of non-starter lactic acid bacteria during cheese ripening.  
626 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 257, 276-284

627 Luyten, H., Vliet, T. V., & Walstra, P. (1991). Characterization of the consistency of Gouda cheese:  
628 Fracture properties. *Netherlands, Milk and Dairy Journal* (Netherlands)

629 Marchesseau, S., Gastaldi, E., Lagaude, A., & Cuq, J. L. (1997). Influence of pH on protein  
630 interactions and microstructure of process cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 80, 1483-1489

631 Mariaca, R.G., Fernandez-Garcia, E., Mohedano, A.F., & Nunez, M. (2001). Volatile fraction of ewe's  
632 milk semi-hard cheese manufactured with and without the addition of a cysteine proteinase. *Food*  
633 *science and technology international*, 7, 131-139

634 Meullenet, J. F., Carpenter, J. A., Lyon, B. G., & Lyon, C. E. (1997). Bi-cyclical instrument for  
635 assessing texture profile parameters and its relationship to sensory evaluation of texture. *Journal*  
636 *of Texture Studies*, 28,101-118

637 Moio, B. Y. L., Dekimpe, J., & Etievant, P. (1993). Neutral volatile compounds in the raw milks from  
638 different species. *Biology*, [doi:10.1017/s0022029900027515](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022029900027515)

639 Montanari, C., Barbieri, F., Magnani, M., Grazia, L., Gardini, F., & Tabanelli, G. (2018). Phenotypic  
640 diversity of *Lactobacillus sakei* strains. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 2003

641 Monteiro, R. R., Tavares, D. Q., Kindstedt, P. S., & Gigante, M. L. (2009). Effect of pH on  
642 microstructure and characteristics of cream cheese. *Journal of food science*, 74, C112-C117

643 Morino, Y., Yamada, Y., & Sato, S. (2014). Dehydration of 3-methyl-1,3-butanediol over Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  
644 modified with carbon. *Applied Catalysis A-general*, 475, 147-154

645 Mucchetti, G., & Neviani, E., (2006). *Microbiologia e tecnologia lattiero-casearia. Qualità e sicurezza.*  
646 Milano: Tecniche Nuove.

647 Ortigosa, M., Arizcun, C., Irigoyen, A., Oneca, M., & Torre, P.(2005). Effect of *lactobacillus* adjunct  
648 cultures on the microbiological and physicochemical characteristics of Roncal-type ewes'-milk  
649 cheese. *Food microbiology*, 23,591-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2005.09.005>

650 Pastorino, A. J., Hansen, C. L., & McMahon, D. J. (2003). Effect of pH on the chemical composition  
651 and structure-function relationships of Cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86, 2751-2760

652 Perreault, V., Rémillard, N., Chabot, D., Morin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Britten, M. (2017). Effect of  
653 denatured whey protein concentrate and its fractions on cheese composition and rheological  
654 properties. *Journal of dairy science*, 100, 5139-5152

655 Picon, A., Alonso, R., Gaya, P., Fernández-García, E., Rodríguez, B., de Paz, M., & Nuñez, M. (2010).  
656 Microbiological, chemical, textural and sensory characteristics of Hispánico cheese manufactured  
657 using frozen ovine milk curds scalded at different temperatures. *International Dairy Journal*, 20,  
658 344–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2009.12.008>

659 Randazzo, C.L., Torriani, S., Akkermans, A.D.L., De Vos, W.M., & Vaughan, E.E.(2002). Diversity,  
660 dynamics, and activity of bacterial communities during production of an artisanal sicilian cheese  
661 as evaluated by 16S rRNA analysis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 4, 1882-1892

662 Rehman, S., Banks, J. M., Brechany E. Y., Muir D. D., McSweeney P. L. H., & Fox P. F.(2000).  
663 Influence of ripening temperature on the volatiles profile and flavour of Cheddar cheese made  
664 from raw or pasteurised milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 10, 55-65

665 Rodriguez-Alonso, P., Centeno, J.A., & Grabal, J.I. (2009). Comparison of the volatile profiles  
666 of Arzua-Ulloa & Tetilla cheeses manufactured from raw and pasteurized milk. *Food Science*  
667 *and Technology*, 42, 1722–1728

668 Sánchez-Macías, D., Fresno, M., Moreno-Indias, I., Castro, N., Morales-delaNuez, A., Álvarez, S., &  
669 Argüello, A. (2010). Physicochemical analysis of full-fat, reduced-fat, and low-fat artisan-style  
670 goat cheese. *Journal of dairy science*, 93, 3950-3956

671 Sarantinopoulos, P., Kalantzopoulos, G., & Tsakalidou, E. (2002). Effect of *Enterococcus faecium* on  
672 microbiological, physicochemical and sensory characteristics of Greek Feta cheese. *International*  
673 *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 76, 93-105

674 Settanni, L., & Moschetti, G. (2010) Non-starter lactic acid bacteria used to improve cheese quality  
675 and provide health benefits. *Food Microbiology*, 27, 691-697

676 Sgarbi, E., Lazzi, C., Tabanelli, G., Gatti, M., Neviani, E., & Gardini, F. (2013). Nonstarter lactic acid  
677 bacteria volatiles produced using cheese components. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 7, 4223-4234

678 Sheehan, J. J., Huppertz, T., Hayes, M. G., Kelly, A. L., Beresford, T. P., & Guinee, T. P. (2005). High  
679 pressure treatment of reduced-fat Mozzarella cheese: Effects on functional and rheological  
680 properties. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 6, 73-81

681 Sheehan, J. J., & Guinee, T. P. (2004). Effect of pH and calcium level on the biochemical, textural and  
682 functional properties of reduced-fat Mozzarella cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 14, 161-172

683 Smid, E.J., & Kleerebezem, M. (2014). Production of Aroma Compounds in Lactic Fermentations  
684 *Annual Review in Food Science and Technology*, 5, 313–26

685 Steffe, J. F. (1992). Rheological methods in food process engineering. Miami, FL, USA: Freeman Press

686 Tabanelli, G., Pasini, F., Riciputi, Y., Vannini, L., Gozzi, G., Balestra, F., Caboni, M.F., Gardini, F., &  
687 Montanari, C. (2018). Fermented nut-based vegan food: characterization of a home-made product  
688 and scale-up to an industrial pilot-scale production. *Journal of Food Science*, 82, 716-722

689 Tunick, M. H. (2011). Small-strain dynamic rheology of food protein networks. *Journal of Agricultural*  
690 *and Food Chemistry*, 59, 1481-1486

- 691 Williams, A. G., & Banks, J. M. (1997). Proteolytic and Other Hydrolytic Enzyme Activities in Non-  
692 starter Lactic acid Bacteria (NSLAB) Isolated from Cheddar Cheese Manufactured in the United  
693 Kingdom. *International Dairy Journal*, 7, 763—774
- 694 Zotta, T., Parente, E., & Ricciardi, A. (2017). Aerobic metabolism in the genus *Lactobacillus*: impact  
695 on stress response and potential applications in the food industry. *Journal of Applied*  
696 *Microbiology*, 122, 857-869