



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Stalagmites: from Science Application to Museumization

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

Stalagmites: from Science Application to Museumization / Columbu, Andrea; Calabrò, Laura; Chiarini, Veronica; De Waele, Jo. - In: GEOHERITAGE. - ISSN 1867-2485. - 13:2(2021), pp. 47.1-47.11. [10.1007/s12371-021-00573-9]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2901681 since: 2021-12-15T16:36:03Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1007/s12371-021-00573-9

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

12 August 2025

1 **Stalagmites: from science application to museumization**

2
3 Andrea Columbu^{1*}, Laura Calabrò¹, Veronica Chiarini¹, Jo De Waele¹

4
5 ¹ Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, University of
6 Bologna, Via Zamboni 67, 40126 Bologna, Italy andrea.columbu2@unibo.it;
7 laura.calabro@studio.unibo.it; veronica.chiarini3@gmail.com;
8 jo.dewaele@unibo.it

9
10 * Corresponding author

11 12 **Abstract**

13 The concept of geoheritage is related to places of geological interest, generally of
14 aesthetic, cultural, socio-economic and/or scientific value. Many geosites are of
15 karstic nature, because of their intrinsic beauty, their singularity and high
16 geodiversity. Caves are among the most visited and economically exploited
17 geological landforms. They constitute geosites as a whole, with their scenic
18 landscapes, hydrogeological importance, and the presence of bewildering natural
19 rock and mineral formations including stalactites, stalagmites, flowstones and
20 many other bizarre speleothem shapes. In some cases, a single speleothem, and
21 the palaeoclimate record it contains, can be on its own of extraordinary
22 importance to science. Once studied, these samples are often stored in research
23 institution collections, rarely accessible to the wide public. In this paper, we
24 report on the museumization of a stalagmite that has delivered a unique and
25 exceptionally long glacial climate record from southern Italy, shedding light on
26 the causes that led to the Neanderthal contraction and Modern Human expansion
27 in this mild Mediterranean climate between 45 and 42 thousands years ago. The
28 proposed museumization aims to demonstrate the potential of speleothems,
29 after scientific application, in terms of educational and tourist resources. This
30 approach allows to highlight the scientific importance of karst and cave geosites
31 to the wide public, promoting their conservation and the valorisation of the
32 studied cave-material.

34 Keywords: speleothem; palaeoclimate record; Karst; Museum; Neanderthal,
35 geosites, geological heritage, science communication

36

37 **Introduction**

38 In the past, nature conservation was usually focused on its biological
39 components, while geological features were mainly considered a platform on
40 which biological systems are situated (Sharples 1995). However, in the late
41 nineties, awareness towards the importance to protect the geological heritage
42 has considerably increased (Wimbledon 1996). This led to the identification and
43 protection of geological landforms identified as “geosites”, which are
44 characterised by aesthetic, cultural, socio-economic and/or scientific value
45 (Panizza 2001; Brilha 2016). The birth of the European Association for the
46 Conservation of Geological Heritage – ProGEO dates back to this period;
47 additionally, the research project “GEOSITES” was launched by the International
48 Union of Geological Sciences (IUGS) (Wimbledon 1996). This project, still
49 ongoing, aims to create an international digital inventory of relevant geological
50 sites to support both scientific research and political decision-making for the
51 conservation of the geological heritage. Accordingly, aspects related to
52 geoheritage, geodiversity, geosites, and geomorphosites are now widely
53 discussed among researchers (Panizza 2001; Reynard and Panizza 2007; Pereira
54 and Pereira 2010; Coratza and De Waele 2012; Brilha 2016). In Italy, the project
55 “National Inventory Geosites” started in 2002 and was promoted by the Institute
56 for the Environmental Protection (ISPRA). At a Regional level, further databases
57 exist where geosites are subdivided according to their relevance (i.e. regional or
58 national). Importantly, karst areas and caves are often identified as geosites, and
59 many karst sites are listed as UNESCO World Heritage Sites (Williams 2008).
60 Indeed, these landforms hold a great value in terms of both nature conservation,
61 tourism promotion and scientific research (van Beynen 2011; Delle Rose et al.
62 2014; Santangelo et al. 2015; Ruban 2018; Sardella et al. 2019; Nyssen et al.
63 2020).

64 Cave science developed exponentially over the last years. Several disciplines
65 exploit caves for scientific purposes, among which the most important are
66 archaeology, geology, geography and biology. The results have been brilliant,

67 with several breakthrough discoveries (Benazzi et al. 2015; Hoffmann et al.
68 2018; Dumitru et al. 2019; Trontelj et al. 2019; Bajo et al. 2020). Furthermore, it
69 is likely that in the near future more and more scientists will frequent caves
70 thanks to the technological advancements in exploration as well as analytical
71 analyses on cave-derived material. For example, a multi-kilometre long karst
72 system has been recently discovered in the Tepui mountains (Venezuela) (Sauro
73 et al. 2018, 2019) that develops within quartzite, a bedrock in which the
74 presence of extensive dissolution caves was considered unlikely until a few years
75 ago (Wray and Sauro 2017). On the other hand, scientific and technological
76 advancement in DNA-based analyses only recently have allowed application on
77 cave chemical precipitates (Stahlschmidt et al. 2019). Although just the presence
78 of humans could compromise their delicate microenvironment, sometimes
79 untouched for even millions of years (Leuko et al. 2017), most of the cave-based
80 studies are non-invasive and/or semi-invasive with respect to the sampled
81 material. Indeed, caves are often equipped with instruments that are removed
82 once the project finishes, as for example in the case of environmental monitoring
83 (Baker et al. 2019) and studies on sound propagation (Revilla-Martín et al.
84 2020). In other circumstances, only negligible amounts of liquid and/or rocky
85 material are sampled, as for mineralogical and hydrogeological studies (Mecchia
86 et al. 2019). Considering archaeological excavations, in most cases trenches are
87 usually around the cave entrance (Romandini et al. 2020), while the cave interior
88 often remains untouched. All in all, these (and other) scientific activities
89 accomplished in caves have no significant consequences for the underground
90 landscape.

91 In the last two decades, cave-based palaeoclimate studies have greatly developed
92 too (Fairchild and Baker 2012). This is because speleothems can be
93 radiometrically dated with extremely high precision by using the uranium-series
94 method. At the same time, speleothems provide a plethora of geochemical
95 (oxygen and carbon stable isotopes, trace elements, etc.) and physical (growth
96 rate, fabric, etc) characteristics that, rigidly constrained in time-series, can be
97 applied as palaeoclimate proxies (McDermott 2004). However, contrarily to
98 other cave-related disciplines, this science requires the removal of a remarkable
99 amount of material, often involving the most iconic elements composing the cave

landscape: stalagmites. Although several approaches have been proposed to try to limit the irreversible damage to the cave landscape (Frappier 2008; Spötl and Matthey 2012; Scroxton et al. 2016; Weij et al. 2018), there is almost no way back once stalagmites have been sampled, considering that chemical-physical analyses involve the partial destruction of the stalagmite itself. But not all sampled stalagmites are actually used for producing palaeoclimate data. After slicing and/or preliminary analyses, most of the stalagmites are judged not suitable for more detailed investigations and therefore discarded. In any case, the fate of most sampled stalagmites is to end up in university collections, seldom visited by students or researchers only (de Lima and de Souza Carvalho 2020a). Most of the time, they rest in dusty shelves for an undetermined amount of time.

This paper presents a way to exploit stalagmites after scientific applications from an educational point of view. It aims to boost the awareness towards the scientific importance of karst and cave geosites, promoting their conservation and the valorisation of the cave-material studied. We here report the plan of museumization we adopted for a stalagmite sampled in Pozzo Cucù Cave (Southern Italy, figure 1); the geochemical study of this stalagmite was crucial for recent advancements in the field of palaeoclimatology and anthropology (Columbu et al. 2020). The museumization of stalagmites is frequent in geological and natural museums (Currens, 1981), although they are seldom displayed for scientific and didactic purposes. For example, the geological museum of the Courel Mountains UNESCO Global Geopark in Spain shows stalagmites studied by Railsback et al. (2017); similarly, the museum of the Castañar de Ibor cave displays the specimens studied in Alonso-Zarza et al. (2012). Other examples are the Jackson School of Geosciences at the University of Texas in Austin (USA), the Carnegie Museum of Natural History in Pittsburgh (USA), The National Museum of Natural History in Manila (Philippines), the National History Museum in London (the Red Zone), just to mention a few. Some exhibitions have all but a scientific interest, using stalagmites and speleothems as scenic natural sculptures, such as in the Gysean Global Village Folk Museum in Daegu (South Korea) or the Bristol City Museum (UK). Some museums also have reconstructions of entire cave systems, such as in Cincinnati (USA). However, in-

detail studies on museumization of speleothems for didactic purposes are still scarce. Accordingly, the aim of this work is to demonstrate that the utility of stalagmites, and all speleothems by extension, continues after scientific application, this time in terms of educational and tourist resources. Aiming to compensate the irreversible damage caused to the cave landscape, and maximize the employment of stalagmites after their removal from their natural environment, this paper suggests to the entire speleothem community an easy-to-do method to valorise this special geoheritage.




Figure 1. Location of Pozzo Cucú Cave (red circle) in Apulia region, Southern Italy. Black dots indicate the >3000 caves mapped in this region (from the Apulian governmental cave registry available on-line).

Caves: a conflict between science and conservation

Caves are delicate environments under different perspectives. From a biological point of view, there are species that occasionally frequent underground habitats (i.e. troglloxenes and trogophiles), but also organisms (i.e. troglobites) that undergo their whole life cycle in caves. These species have adapted to this dark and oligotrophic environment for thousands and possibly millions years (Culver and Pipan 2019). Their subsistence relies on a subtle equilibrium of their

ecosystem, which is sustained by the stable conditions of caves throughout the year (in terms of temperature, moisture, availability of nutrients, etc). The consequences of the human frequentation causes disturbance to cave habitats, leading to an ecological stress for the species that live there. Other ecological niches, although still poorly known, are those constituted by microorganisms (Barton and Northup 2007). Their aggregation into colonies can create morphologies visible at naked eye, as for example vermiculations (Addesso et al. 2019). However, most of the time these microorganisms are invisible, and their identification is only possible by DNA studies (Sauro et al. 2018). Although some thrive on organic substances brought into the cave by flowing water, gravity, wind or animals, some are true autotrophs, and geochemical parameters such as water pH and/or mineralization are essential for their subsistence. While human presence can indeed influence these habitats (Leuko et al. 2017), it is important to maintain these niches pristine because, often, microorganisms have evolved in chemical-physical-ecological conditions totally different with respect to the Earth surface, thus can be useful indicators of life conditions of the early Earth and/or other planetary subsurfaces (Boston et al. 2001).

Under a geological perspective, caves contain unique mineralogical formations, often peculiar from one cave to another. The uniqueness lies both in the shape and composition, and can consist in giant crystals (Forti and Sanna 2010), rare minerals (Galli et al. 2013) and unusual speleothems (Tisato et al. 2015; Bontognali et al. 2016). In this case the damage can be the modification of chemical/physical parameters inducing the formation of a certain mineralogical species and/or their breakage. For example, cave formations are often white in colour; careless cavers and scientists can irremediably disrupt their original appearance by only touching with dirty hands and/or walking over them with muddy boots.

Scientists willing to perform speleothem sampling have to take into account the above reported risks when exploring caves. Additionally, the intended practice is *per-se* invasive, considering the (often conspicuous) removal of material from its original environment. Some research groups follow an *ethos* (Lourenço and Wilson 2013) during sampling campaigns, and only already broken speleothems are removed (Scroxton et al. 2016; Weij et al. 2018); this approach can be

186 applied both to stalagmites (Chiarini et al. 2017; Woodhead et al. 2019) and
187 flowstones (Columbu et al. 2017a). For several reasons, not all research groups
188 follow these approaches. The will to produce long and continuous palaeoclimate
189 records leads to discard this more conservative approach (Scroxton et al. 2016),
190 although our sample demonstrated a continuous 80 thousand years long
191 palaeoclimate record from a stalagmite found already broken in the cave
192 (Columbu et al. 2020). Also, it can be difficult and time-consuming to only target
193 the broken ones. Sampling campaigns are often accomplished in remote areas,
194 where there is the need to collect as much as possible promising samples in the
195 shortest time. Another practice is to core large flowstones (Columbu et al. 2019b;
196 Regattieri et al. 2019). Eventually, the hole produced by the coring can be easily
197 plugged with cave materials (e.g. sediments), hence reducing the visual impact of
198 sampling. Flowstone coring is rarely accomplished because the genesis of these
199 speleothems, contrary to stalagmites, can produce peculiar geochemical
200 characteristics that impede a correct geochemical interpretation for
201 palaeoclimate purposes. This is a pity, considering that flowstones can
202 potentially produce very long records (Pozzi et al. 2019). The shortcut is to select
203 a still-standing stalagmite that is considered promising for geochemical analyses
204 | (usually candle-shaped stalagmites), and remove it at its base. However, because
205 of the unknowns regarding the speleothem age and the effective feasibility of
206 analyses, this cutting operation is often accomplished on several stalagmites and
207 in multiple caves. Consequently, the original subterranean heritage is
208 compromised irreversibly.

209 Stalagmites could theoretically be reinstalled in caves if, after their sampling,
210 they are not considered adequate for palaeoclimate studies. However, this can
211 only be accomplished if speleothems are not sliced and their internal structure is
212 preliminarily investigated by, for example, X-ray tomography (Walczak et al.
213 2015). As a matter of fact, this time- and money-consuming approach is basically
214 never applied. Preliminary observations could also be carried out by coring,
215 instead of slicing, the selected stalagmite. However, this risky practice (the
216 stalagmite could break into pieces during coring) can only be applied to medium-
217 or large-size stalagmites. In any case, the reinstallation is unlikely in the case of
218 expeditions to remote areas (because of time and resources).

Frappier (2008) proposed a screening system to select the most suitable sample(s) avoiding massive sampling; this requires sequential operations, also involving cave monitoring, that are not always affordable by all research groups. It has also been demonstrated that the extraction of mini-cores from in-situ stalagmites can successfully provide useful information on palaeoclimate-driven speleothem growth history (Scroxton et al. 2016). Coring is targeted at the bottom and top of stalagmites, thus removal is not necessary. However, this minimally invasive approach is inapplicable if the intent is the creation of proxy-based (i.e. oxygen and carbon stable isotopes) palaeoclimate timeseries from stalagmites.

It is evident that speleothem-based palaeoclimate science requires the modification of cave landscapes. Notwithstanding, contrarily to other rocks, stalagmites are usually characterized by an attractive visual aspect, because of the shape, colours and internal fabric. Indeed, after slicing and polishing, speleothems show eye-catching features, the reason why they are often exposed at natural history museums (Currens, 1981). However, the general public is often unaware of the scientific potential of these carbonate deposits. Indeed, the museumization of stalagmites after scientific application could have the twofold aim of valorising a cave component removed from its original collocation while entertaining and educating people on topics related to palaeoclimate and the vulnerable and highly valuable cave environment.

The PC stalagmite and its scientific importance

Pozzo Cucù Cave is located in Apulia Region (Southern Italy, figures 1 and 2), in the province of Bari and in the municipality of Castellana Grotte. The latter is a well-known karst area (*Grotte* means caves in Italian), and the Castellana Show Cave is one of the most visited in Italy, with more than 300,000 tickets per year (Parise 2011). An important portion of the local economy relies on this cave, because of tickets profit and employment, as well as the touristic flux attracted by the underground landscape. More or less in the same area, ~50 km west nearby the town of Altamura, there is Lamalunga Cave, which is worldwide famous for hosting the remnants of the so called “Altamura Man”, a pristine skeleton of an ancient Neanderthal man covered by calcite crusts (Vanghi et al.

252 2017). Indeed, Apulia is a hot spot for cave-based anthropological studies
253 because of the multiple findings. For example, the fossils of the oldest European
254 Sapiens have been found in this region (Benazzi et al. 2015). Importantly, this
255 was one of the few areas in continental Europe where Sapiens and Neanderthals
256 shared the same territory, from ~45 to ~42 thousand years ago (hereafter ka),
257 before the extinction of the latter (Benazzi et al. 2011; Higham et al. 2014).



Figure 2. Caves in Apulia. Pozzo Cucù Cave explored by scientists and local speleologists (A). A Broken stalagmite (B, see the fractures at the base and top) is checked by a scientist (C) in Pozzo Cucù Cave. Grave Santa Lucia Cave (D) and its multitude of white, very delicate, carbonate formations. All photos taken by O. Lacarbonara.

Pozzo Cucù Cave is included in the Geosite Inventory of the Apulia Region with the code "CGP0357". Located around 10 km from the Adriatic coastline, it is carved in the Cretaceous limestone plateau belonging to the Calcare di Altamura Formation (figures 1 and 2). The modern entrance, a narrow ~8 m deep pit at ~270 m a.s.l., leads to a subhorizontal environment that stretches for ~300 m. A gate blocks the entrance, and access is allowed for scientific purpose upon permission by local authorities; exploration is permitted to expert speleologists only. This is a valid conservation method, considering that the cave is decorated by pristine carbonate formations, most of which are delicate and could be easily broken by inexperienced visitors (figure 2). PC stalagmite was found detached – but nearby - its original position. It has grown over loose sediments, in part constituted by fossils of large mammals. It is the unstable nature of these sediments that likely caused the fall of the stalagmite, perhaps after earthquakes and/or low discharge flooding. We tend to exclude intense episodes of flooding because: 1) the stalagmite and fossils would have been scattered around the cave; and 2) other evidences of flooding would have been found in the cave. PC appears as a 46 cm long candle-shaped stalagmite, composed of yellowish to brownish calcite. After slicing along the growth axis, the two halves were polished to obtain a better visualization of the internal macrofabrics. The stalagmite exhibits a well-preserved lamination from the bottom to 3/4 of its height, while the remaining portion (i.e. the first ~10 cm from the top) is composed of translucent calcite. After preliminary U-Th dating (n = 3), which attested its possible formation during the last glacial period, dating with very high spatial resolution was carried out along the growth axis, obtaining a total of 27 ages. Dating was executed at the Melbourne University (Australia), School of Earth Sciences, following tested protocols (Columbu et al. 2017b, 2019a). Additionally, a stalagmite half was further halved along the growth axis in order

to facilitate the operations of high resolution sampling for oxygen ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) and carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) stable isotopes. The latter were accomplished at the Innsbruck University (Austria), Institute of Geology, with a spacing of 0.1-0.2 mm for a total of 2659 analyses that followed tested protocols (Moseley et al. 2020). This set of analyses allowed to create the longest and continuous European speleothem-based palaeoclimate record for the last glacial period (Comas-Bru et al. 2020). In fact, PC stalagmite continuously grew from $106.0^{+2.8}_{-2.7}$ to $26.6^{+0.8}_{-0.9}$ ka (Columbu et al. 2020).




Figure 3. The PC stalagmite. A) The sample as it was found in the cave. Note the remains of big mammals scattered on the ground, a loose surface where possibly the stalagmite originally grew. B) The PC stalagmite slice used for dating (black lines and IDs) and stable isotopes analyses (blue vertical line). On the right there is the age depth model, showing that the stalagmite continuously grew from ~106 to ~27 ka (dots and bars are respectively the obtained U-Th ages and the uncertainties, while the envelope is the calculated age model. After Columbu et al. (2020)

It resulted as a rare, possibly unique, specimen in the European and Mediterranean context. In fact, in this macro region, the prolonged deposition of cave calcite in colder-than-now climate regimes is impeded because of the drier and colder conditions. PC record is an ideal candidate to become a “reference record” to which new Mediterranean, European and even global palaeoclimate reconstruction will be compared to, considering that the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ variations demonstrated a strong global signal (i.e. the climate was controlled by climate variations occurring in Greenland and the Atlantic Ocean), but modulated by a regional signal (i.e. climate influenced by variations occurring within the Mediterranean basin). Most importantly, the interpretation of PC $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ timeseries sheds light on the climate status during: 1) the late settlement of Neanderthal in Apulia (~106 to ~42 ka); 2) the arrival of Sapiens in Apulia (~45 ka); 3) the 3000 years long cohabitation of the two human species; 4), the Neanderthal extinction (~42 ka). The Sapiens-Neanderthal turnover is the most important cultural transition in Human history, yet there is no leading theory explaining this interchange. Climate variations, particularly the occurrence of frequent very harsh periods during the last glacial, have often been invoked as primary triggers of the Neanderthal demise in other localities (Finlayson and Carrion 2007; Wolf et al. 2018; Staubwasser et al. 2018; Melchionna et al. 2018). However, the PC record gave another view to this topic, attesting that during the Sapiens-Neanderthal turnover, climate in Apulia was never affected by dramatic cold and dry periods and relative environmental modifications that could have caused the extinction of Neanderthal in this region. Accordingly, Apulia has been defined as a “climate niche” in that period. Because of PC record, it is now clear

that in Apulia-like areas, i.e. mid-latitude regions where global climatic variations during the last glacial were attenuated by latitudinal, orographic and/or geographical factors, climate cannot be considered as the primary factor in Neanderthal demise (Columbu et al. 2020) and the consequent territorial supremacy of modern humans. Accordingly, the PC record indirectly reinforces the theories that see the advanced hunting technology of Sapiens groups compared to that of Neanderthals since their migration to Europe as a possible explanation for their turnover (Sano et al. 2019; Marciani et al. 2020).




Figure 4. PC stable isotope timeseries ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ on the top, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ on the bottom) compared to palaeoclimate reconstruction from Greenland ice core (NGRIP project members (2004), black curve in the centre). Bars on the bottom indicate Neanderthal and Modern Human (Sapiens) turnover. Grey vertical bars are the proposed correlation with NGRIP. The soil bioproductivity plateau ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and the moderate rainfall variation ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) attest to stable environmental conditions during Neanderthal demise and Sapiens territorial predominance. After Columbu et al. (2020).

The museumization approach

We here propose a plan for the museumization of the PC stalagmite, which has been agreed with the Castellana Cave society. The latter greatly contributed for

356 the PC scientific venture, both from a financial and logistic aspect, and it is now
357 participating in this new phase. Indeed, the institution selected for the display, to
358 which the sample will be donated, is the Franco Anelli Speleological Museum.
359 The museum is in Castellana Grotte, and belongs to the facilities of the Castellana
360 Show Cave, which is supervised by the Castellana Society. All visitors accessing
361 the show cave have free access to the Franco Anelli Museum, hence the
362 exposition is visited frequently throughout the year. Notwithstanding, the aim of
363 selecting this institution is to bring back the stalagmite to its original region,
364 considering the vicinity between Castellana and Pozzo Cucù. By extension, we
365 suggest to all scientists willing to adopt the museumization approach to donate
366 the speleothem to the closest available institution with respect to the cave where
367 the speleothem has been collected. Before the donation, it is good practice to
368 make a full photographic documentation of the sample and compile a personal
369 database with the most important characteristics of the specimen. This might
370 turn useful to the next generation of scientists that could be willing to work on
371 the same speleothem (Fairchild and Baker 2012).

372 The museumization requires the creation of two items: 1) the showcase where
373 the stalagmite will be exhibited; 2) a didactic panel, which will be disclosed
374 besides the case itself. The first, together with finding the appropriate collocation
375 in the museum collection as well as planning the most suitable lighting,
376 necessitates of the expertise and skills of the museum superintendent and
377 his/her crew. Scientists should instead provide the contents of the second. We
378 have thus created a didactic panel that will complete the exposition of the PC
379 stalagmite. This represents the principal “supporting element” in scientific
380 museums (Miglietta et al. 2011). Its layout is of key importance because the
381 visitor should be able to identify the most important information and the level of
382 details he is interested in. Besides the informative function, the panel often gives
383 physical support to the object, becoming an integral part of the specimen itself
384 (Bartoli et al. 1996). In this way, it captures the visitors’ attention, which is
385 attracted by its shape, colours and schematism. Indeed, the fruition by the visitor
386 strictly depends on the interaction between text and graphic. These components
387 should not be taken separately when planning the composition of a panel
388 (Merzagora and Rodari 2007), in order to enhance the communicative power.

The latter is favoured by taking into consideration the readability of the text and emphasis in the message delivered by the text, balance and coherence between elements and equilibrium between text and background. For example, text blocks are a useful expedient to fragment a long text, and visitors more easily remember the panel contents if text is proposed in short isolated paragraphs rather than a long continuous one (Appiano 1998). Additionally, it is important to avoid as much as possible scientific jargon, which could result hard to understand by the average public, while lexicon should be simple, non-redundant, captivating and supported by images. From a general perspective, the hierarchical order of the information must be straightforward in order to facilitate the comprehension and memorization, as well as to highlight a logical and easy-to-follow reading path.

The proposed panel is currently under evaluation by the Franco Anelli Museum superintendent. The chosen font is Verdana, because of its great readability even for smaller dimension text. The panel is bilingual (Italian and English), and the two languages are reported with two different text colours in order to better delineate the reading path. The Gulpease index (Lucisano and Piemontese, 1988) was used to test the text readability, making contents fully comprehensible for the younger public (targeting middle school average students). The title and subtitle of the panel are characterised by vivid colour, in order to capture the public's attention immediately. The title itself is captivating, already stimulating the curiosity of the reader. The sub-title, instead, proposes a super condensed summary of the panel content, still procuring a sort of gap of information that the visitor can only fill by continuing the exploration of the panel. In the top corners there is a brief explanation about the genesis of stalagmites and their potential for scientific applications. On the left, on the bottom part, there is instead a one-line message recalling the importance of the cave environment protection, which clearly establishes that removing cave deposits is allowed for scientific purpose only. Three short paragraphs compose the main reading path. They are numbered and connected by an arrow, extending from the left to the right of the panel. In this way, the visitor is invited to observe the panel in its whole. The path starts with a question about the importance of the stalagmite, which intrigues the reader and tempts to continue reading. The three blocks highlight

422 the uniqueness of the sample (i.e. one of the few last glacial European stalagmite
423 ever found) and the outcome of the scientific application (i.e. climate was not the
424 main trigger of Neanderthal extinction in southern Italy). The central part is
425 instead dedicated to the stalagmite itself. The intent of the big picture of the
426 sample is to straightforwardly declare what the panel is about, and this can be
427 noticed from distance (i.e. even at distance where text is non readable). Visitors
428 are thus attracted by this expedient and conveyed toward the showcase. The two
429 paragraphs beside the picture are a slightly detailed explanation on the
430 geochemical analyses carried out on the stalagmite; the visitor might decide their
431 reading according to the level of detail he/she is interested in. The panel also
432 includes other two images: 1) on the left portion there is a picture taken in Pozzo
433 Cucù Cave, which shows a scientist inspecting stalagmites for their sampling; 2)
434 on the bottom right corner there is a proposed reconstruction of the Neanderthal
435 and Sapiens appearance. This is the last component of the panel that the visitor
436 should theoretically look at, as a take-home message that the exposed item (the
437 stalagmite) is in someway telling a story about ancient populations. This is a
438 powerful expedient for enhancing the possibilities that the visitors will
439 remember the panel, and its main content, in the future. However, aimed to
440 visitors wishing more information, a QR code placed in this same portion of the
441 panel connects to the scientific paper upon which the panel is based (Columbu et
442 al. 2020).

443 The proposed museumization approach takes also into account an evaluation
444 strategy (Economou 2004), which will help the museum superintendent to
445 understand the audience appreciation and feelings toward the new exposition. A
446 survey will assess how intriguing the content of the proposed panel is and how
447 much the informative expedients enhance the visitors' memory. Experts in
448 museum management will conceive the survey; the results will give the
449 possibility to modify the panel if needed. In fact, the characteristics of the real or
450 even potential public must be considered to clarify or adapt a cultural project
451 (MacDonald 1992). The analysis of the survey information permits to increase
452 the value of the cultural offer, making it more readable and attractive for a range
453 of different types of visitors (Tobelen 2003). This will also allow to comprehend
454 if this approach can be applied to other Italian and/or non-Italian museums,

similar in contents and public. This is because the knowledge of the demography and social characteristics of the various kind of public, as well as their motivations and expectations, are essential elements for the effectiveness of cultural management (Merzagora and Rodari 2007). Indeed, while in the past visitors were considered only figures to be counted, in the last few years they have become attentive users (Kotler and Kotler 1999); curators must focus on them if the final aim is to achieve the main goals of modern museums, which are primarily to inform and educate (Posi et al. 2010).

Last but not least, the final step of the museumization should be the advertising by authorities. Indeed, *“for an object to be considered as heritage, it is necessary to recognise value on it; if only one person recognises it as such, it is not enough, since heritage is necessarily a collective concept”* (de Lima & de Souza Carvalho 2020).

In our opinion, this process should be boosted by local authorities by a detailed and precise project of social and cultural enhancement of the represented heritage. This would gradually educate people on the importance of a single item as a record of a small slice of the ancient history and culture of a specific area.



Figure 5. The didactic panel created for the museumization of the PC stalagmite (see text for details). The final size will be decided according to the space available in the exposition room and its characteristics.

Conclusions

Speleothems used for palaeoclimate research are generally disassembled by the multiple geochemical and petrographic analyses required for their full scientific exploitation. Generally, one half of the speleothem is spared, acting as a reference model for the exact location of samples, hiatuses, and petrographic changes, as

well as for future researches. This work proposed the museumization of a speleothem after scientific application. To this aim we have selected the PC stalagmite that, in previous studies, has allowed the reconstruction of the changing climate conditions when Modern Humans gradually substituted Neanderthal groups in Apulia. This stalagmite, with its intriguing story, is a perfect sample to be displayed in a museum considering its scenic and scientific value. Indeed, the polished half of the speleothem is ideal for exhibition purposes, attracting the interest of visitors because of its aesthetic aspect. A panel has been designed to accompany this sample in a museum exhibition close to its original finding. The panel intends to highlight the scientific value of the sample, as well as to procure a didactic mean for visitors. This important stalagmite is a good example of how these samples, which are normally stored in collections of research institutions and are often lost or forgotten, can help in promoting the knowledge on palaeoclimate research in general, and of cave speleothems as palaeoclimate archives in the specific, becoming a useful tool for the karst geosites valorisation.

Acknowledgements

The research on Pozzo Cucù has been possible thanks to the participation of the Gruppo Puglia Grotte (Castellana), the Grotte di Castellana Srl. (managers of the Castellana show cave), and the Federazione Speleologica Pugliese. Field work also involved cavers from different speleological groups, mainly Gruppo Speleologico Martinese, Gruppo Escursionistico Speleologico Ostunese GEOS, and Centro Altamurano di Ricerche Speleologiche. Prof. Mario Parise (University of Bari) also helped during the sampling operations. The palaeoclimate research involved, besides Bologna University, the Universities of Melbourne (Australia) with Dr. John Hellstrom, Xi'an Jiaotong (China) with Prof. Hai Cheng, and Innsbruck (Austria) with Prof. Christoph Spötl. Anthropological interpretations were possible thanks to Prof. Stefano Benazzi (Bologna University). We are thus grateful to all the people that have contributed to this project. Finally, we are indebted to D. Ballesteros (Granada university, Spain) and an anonymous reviewer for the insightful comments.

References

Addesso R, Bellino A, D'Angeli IM, De Waele J, Miller AZ, Carbone C, Baldantoni D (2019) Vermiculatations from karst caves: The case of Pertosa-Auletta system (Italy). *Catena* 182: 104178.

- Alonso-Zarza A, Martín-Pérez A, Martín-García R (2012) Diagenesis of a drapery speleothem from Castañar Cave: from dissolution to dolomitization. *International Journal of Speleology* 41: 251-266.
- Appiano A (1998) *Manuale di immagine: intelligenza percettiva, creatività progetto*, Meltemi Editore srl., Rome.
- Bajo P, Drysdale RN, Woodhead J, Hellstrom J, Hodell DA, Ferretti P, Voelker A, Zanchetta G, Rodrigues T, Wolff E, Tyler JJ, Frisia S, Spötl C, Fallick AE (2020) Persistent influence of obliquity on ice age terminations since the Middle Pleistocene transition. *Science* 367: 1235-1239.
- Baker A, Hartmann A, Duan W, Hankin S, Comas-Bru L, Cuthbert MO, Treble PC, Banner J, Genty D, Baldini LM, Bartolome M, Moreno A, Perez-Mejias C, Werner M (2019) Global analysis reveals climatic controls on the oxygen isotope composition of cave drip water *Nature Communications* 10(1): 2984.
- Bartoli G, Gianni AM, Bonaiuto P (1996) *Funzione della percezione visiva nell'ambito del museo*. La nuova Italia, Florence.
- Barton HA, Northup DE (2007) Geomicrobiology in cave environments: past, current and future perspectives. *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies* 69(1): 163-178.
- Benazzi S, Douka K, Fornai C, Bauer CC, Kullmer O, Svoboda J, Pap I, Mallegni F, Bayle P, Coquerelle M (2011) Early dispersal of modern humans in Europe and implications for Neanderthal behaviour. *Nature* 479(7374): 525-529.
- Benazzi S, Slon V, Talamo S, Negrino F, Peresani M, Bailey SE, Sawyer S, Panetta D, Vicino G, Starnini E (2015) The makers of the Protoaurignacian and implications for Neandertal extinction. *Science* 348(6236): 793-796.
- Bontognali TR, D'Angeli IM, Tisato N, Vasconcelos C, Bernasconi SM, Gonzales ER, De Waele J (2016) Mushroom speleothems: stromatolites that formed in the absence of phototrophs. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 4: 49.
- Boston PJ, Spilde MN, Northup DE, Melim LA, Soroka DS, Kleina LG, Lavoie KH, Hose, LD, Mallory LM, Dahm CN, Crossey LJ, Schelble RT (2001) Cave biosignature suites: microbes, minerals, and Mars. *Astrobiology* 1(1): 25-55.
- Brilha J (2016) Inventory and Quantitative Assessment of Geosites and Geodiversity Sites: a Review. *Geoheritage* 8: 119-134.
- Chiarini V, Couchoud I, Drysdale R, Bajo P, Milanolo S, Frisia S, Greig A, Hellstrom J, De Waele J (2017) Petrographical and geochemical changes in Bosnian stalagmites and their palaeo-environmental significance. *International Journal of Speleology* 46(1): 33-49.
- Columbu A, Chiarini V, De Waele J, Drysdale R, Woodhead J, Hellstrom J, Forti P (2017a) Late quaternary speleogenesis and landscape evolution in the northern Apennine evaporite areas. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 42(10): 1447-1459.
- Columbu A, Chiarini V, Spötl C, Benazzi S, Hellstrom J, Cheng H, De Waele J (2020) Speleothem record attests to stable environmental conditions during Neanderthal-Modern Human turnover in Southern Italy. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 4(9): 1188-1195.
- Columbu A, Drysdale R, Capron E, Woodhead J, De Waele J, Sanna L, Hellstrom J, Bajo P (2017b) Early last glacial intra-interstadial climate variability

572 recorded in a Sardinian speleothem. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 169:
 573 391-397.
 574 Columbu A, Drysdale R, Hellstrom J, Woodhead J, Cheng H, Hua Q, Zhao J-X,
 575 Montagna P, Pons-Branchu E, Edwards RL (2019a) U-Th and radiocarbon
 576 dating of calcite speleothems from gypsum caves (Emilia Romagna, North
 577 Italy). *Quaternary Geochronology* 52: 51-62.
 578 Columbu A, Spötl C, De Waele J, Yu T-L, Shen C-C, Gázquez F (2019b) A long
 579 record of MIS 7 and MIS 5 climate and environment from a western
 580 Mediterranean speleothem (SW Sardinia, Italy). *Quaternary Science*
 581 *Reviews* 220: 230-243.
 582 Comas-Bru L, Rehfeld K, Roesch C, Amirnezhad-Mozhdehi S, Harrison SP,
 583 Atsawawaranunt K, Ahmad SM, Ait Brahim Y, Baker A, Bosomworth M,
 584 Breitenbach SFM, Burstyn Y, Columbu A, Deininger M, Demény A, Dixon B,
 585 Fohlmeister J, Hatvani IG, Hu J, Kaushal N, Kern Z, Labuhn I, Lechleitner
 586 FA, Lorrey A, Martrat B, Novello VF, Oster J, Pérez-Mejías C, Scholz, D,
 587 Scroxton N, Sinha N, Ward BM, Warken S, Zhang H, and the SISAL
 588 members (2020) SISALv2: A comprehensive speleothem isotope database
 589 with multiple age-depth models. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss*: 1-47.
 590 Coratza P, De Waele J (2012) Geomorphosites and natural hazards: teaching the
 591 importance of geomorphology in society. *Geoheritage* 4(3): 195-203.
 592 Culver DC, Pipan T. (2019) *The biology of caves and other subterranean habitats*.
 593 Oxford University Press, New York.
 594 Currens JC (1981) *Kentucky Caves: Natures Mineral Museums*. *Rocks & Minerals*
 595 56: 93-97.
 596 de Lima JTM, de Souza Carvalho I (2020a) Research and Educational Geological
 597 Collections in Brazil: the Conflict Between the Field's Paradigms of
 598 Heritage's Conservation and Geology. *Geoheritage* 12(3): 72.
 599 de Lima JTM, de Souza Carvalho I (2020b) Geological or Cultural Heritage? The
 600 Ex Situ Scientific Collections as a Remnant of Nature and Culture.
 601 *Geoheritage* 12(1): 3.
 602 Delle Rose M, Desideri D, Patianna M, Posi ME, Renzulli A, Zanchetta G (2014)
 603 Carbonate Accretion Processes, Conservation and Enjoyment of the
 604 'Mannute Caves' Geoheritage Site (Salento, Southern Italy). *Geoheritage* 6:
 605 257-269.
 606 Dumitru OA, Austermann J, Polyak VJ, Fornos JJ, Asmerom Y, Ginés J, Ginés A,
 607 Onac BP (2019) Constraints on global mean sea level during Pliocene
 608 warmth. *Nature* 574(7777): 233-236.
 609 Economou M (2004) Evaluation strategies in the cultural sector: the case of the
 610 Kelvingrove Museum and Art Gallery in Glasgow. *Museum and society* 2:
 611 30-46.
 612 Fairchild IJ, Baker A (2012) *Speleothem science: from process to past*
 613 *environments*: John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
 614 Finlayson C, Carrion JS (2007) Rapid ecological turnover and its impact on
 615 Neanderthal and other human populations. *Trends in Ecology and*
 616 *Evolution* 22(4): 213-222.
 617 Forti P, Sanna L (2010) The Naica Project-A multidisciplinary study of the largest
 618 gypsum crystals of the world. *Episodes* 33(1): 23-32.

619 Frappier AB (2008) A stepwise screening system to select storm-sensitive
620 stalagmites: Taking a targeted approach to speleothem sampling
621 methodology. *Quaternary International* 187(1): 25-39.

622 Galli E, Brigatti MF, Malferrari D, Sauro F, De Waele J (2013) Rossiantonite,
623 $\text{Al}_3(\text{PO}_4)(\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{10} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$, a new hydrated aluminum phosphate-
624 sulfate mineral from Chimanta massif, Venezuela: Description and crystal
625 structure. *American Mineralogist* 98(10): 1906-1913.

626 Higham T, Douka K, Wood R, Ramsey CB, Brock F, Basell L, Camps M,
627 Arrizabalaga A, Baena J, Barroso-Ruiz C (2014) The timing and
628 spatiotemporal patterning of Neanderthal disappearance. *Nature*
629 512(7514): 306-309.

630 Hoffmann DL, Standish CD, Garcia-Diez M, Pettitt PB, Milton JA, Zilhao J, Alcolea-
631 Gonzalez JJ, Cantalejo-Duarte P, Collado H, de Balbin R, Lorblanchet M,
632 Ramos-Munoz J, Weniger G C, Pike AWG (2018) U-Th dating of carbonate
633 crusts reveals Neandertal origin of Iberian cave art. *Science* 359(6378):
634 912-915.

635 Kotler N, Kotler P (1999) *Museum strategy and marketing: Designing mission*
636 *building audiences generating revenue and resources*. Jossey-Bass, San
637 Francisco.

638 Leuko S, Koskinen K, Sanna L, D'Angeli IM, De Waele J, Marcia P, Moissl-Eichinger
639 C, Rettberg P (2017) The influence of human exploration on the microbial
640 community structure and ammonia oxidizing potential of the Su Bentu
641 limestone cave in Sardinia, Italy. *PloS one* 12(7): e0180700.

642 Lourenço MC, Wilson L (2013) Scientific heritage: Reflections on its nature and
643 new approaches to preservation, study and access. *Studies in History and*
644 *Philosophy of Science Part A* 44(4): 744-753.

645 Lucisano P, Piemontese ME (1988) GULPEASE: una formula per la predizione
646 della difficoltà dei testi in lingua italiana. *Scuola e città* 3: 110-124

647 Marciani G, Ronchitelli A, Arrighi S, Badino F, Bortolini E, Boscato P, Boschini F,
648 Crezzini J, Delpiano D, Falcucci A (2020) Lithic techno-complexes in Italy
649 from 50 to 39 thousand years BP: An overview of lithic technological
650 changes across the Middle-Upper Palaeolithic boundary. *Quaternary*
651 *International* 551: 123-149.

652 McDermott F. (2004) Palaeo-climate reconstruction from stable isotope
653 variations in speleothems: a review. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 23(7-8):
654 901-918.

655 MacDonald S. (1992) Cultural imagining among museum visitors: a case study.
656 *The International Journal of Museum Management and Curatorship*,
657 11(4): 401-409.

658 Mecchia M, Sauro F, Piccini L, Columbu A, De Waele J (2019) A hybrid model to
659 evaluate subsurface chemical weathering and fracture karstification in
660 quartz sandstone. *Journal of Hydrology* 572: 745-760.

661 Melchionna M, Di Febbraro M, Carotenuto F, Rook L, Mondanaro A, Castiglione S,
662 Serio C, Vero VA, Tesone G, Piccolo M (2018) Fragmentation of
663 Neanderthals' pre-extinction distribution by climate change.
664 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 496: 146-154.

665 Merzagora M, Rodari P (2007) *La scienza in mostra: musei, science centre e*
666 *comunicazione*. Pearson Italia Spa, Genova.

667 Miglietta AM, Pace R, Boero F (2011) I pannelli nei musei. Il punto di vista dei
668 ragazzi. *Museologia Scientifica* 5(1-2): 136-141.

669 Moseley GE, Spötl C, Brandstätter S, Erhardt T, Luetscher M, Edwards RL (2020)
670 NALPS19: Sub-orbital scale climate variability recorded in Northern
671 Alpine speleothems during the last glacial period. *Climate of the Past*
672 16(1): 29-50.

673 NGRIP, North Greenland Ice Core Project members (2004) High-resolution
674 record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last
675 interglacial period. *Nature* 431(7005): 147-151.

676 Nyssen J, Yonas M, Annys S, Ghebreyohannes T, Smidt W, Welegerima K,
677 Gebreselassie S, Sembroni A, Dramis F, Ek C, Causer D (2020) The Zeyi
678 cave geosite in northern Ethiopia. *Geoheritage* 12, 1-15.

679 Panizza M (2001) Geomorphosites: concepts, methods and examples of
680 geomorphological survey. *Chinese science bulletin* 46: 4-5.

681 Parise, M. (2011) Some considerations on show cave management issues in
682 Southern Italy. In: *Karst management* (ed. P. van Beynen), 159-167.
683 Dordrecht: Springer.

684 Pereira P, Pereira D (2010) Methodological guidelines for geomorphosite
685 assessment. *Géomorphologie: relief, processus, environnement* 16: 215-
686 222.

687 Posi ME, Belmonte G, Boero F, Miglietta AM (2010) Una sala come piace a te.
688 Front-end evaluation per la realizzazione di una nuova proposta
689 espositiva. *Museologia Scientifica* 4: 152-159.

690 Pozzi JP, Rousseau L, Falgueres C, Mahieux G, Deschamps P, Shao Q, Kachi D,
691 Bahain JJ, Tozzi C (2019) U-Th dated speleothem recorded geomagnetic
692 excursions in the Lower Brunhes. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 1114.

693 Railsback LB, Liang F, Vidal Romaní JR, Blanche Garrett K, Sellers RC, Vaqueiro-
694 Rodríguez M, Grandal-d'Anglade A, Chen H., Edwards RL (2017)
695 Radiometric, isotopic, and petrographic evidence of changing interglacials
696 over the past 550,000 years from six stalagmites from the Serra do Courel
697 in the Cordillera Cantábrica of northwestern Spain. *Palaeogeography*
698 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 466: 137-152.

699 Regattieri E, Zanchetta G, Isola I, Zanella E, Drysdale RN, Hellstrom JC, Zerboni A,
700 Dallai L, Tema E, Lanci L, Costa E, Magrì F (2019) Holocene Critical Zone
701 dynamics in an Alpine catchment inferred from a speleothem multiproxy
702 record: disentangling climate and human influences. *Scientific Reports*
703 9(1): 17829.

704 Revilla-Martín N, Budinski I, Puig-Montserrat X, Flaquer C, López-Baucells A
705 (2020) Monitoring cave-dwelling bats using remote passive acoustic
706 detectors: a new approach for cave monitoring. *Bioacoustics*: 1-16.

707 Reynard E, Panizza M (2005) Geomorphosites: definition, assessment and
708 mapping. An introduction. *Géomorphologie: relief, processus,*
709 *environnement* 11: 177-180.

710 Romandini M, Oxilia G, Bortolini E, Peyrégne S, Delpiano D, Nava A, Panetta D, Di
711 Domenico G, Martini P, Arrighi S (2020) A late Neanderthal tooth from
712 northeastern Italy. *Journal of Human Evolution* 147: 102867.

713 Ruban DA (2018) Karst as important resource for geopark-based tourism:
714 Current state and biases. *Resources* 7(4): 82.

715 Sano K, Arrighi S, Stani C, Aureli D, Boschini F, Fiore I, Spagnolo V, Ricci S, Crezzini
716 J, Boscato P (2019) The earliest evidence for mechanically delivered
717 projectile weapons in Europe. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3(10): 1409-
718 1414.

719 Santangelo N, Romano P, Santo A (2015) Geo-itineraries in the Cilento Vallo di
720 Diano Geopark: A tool for tourism development in Southern Italy.
721 *Geoheritage* 7(4): 319-335.

722 Sardella R, Iurino DA, Mecozzi B, Sigari D, Bona F, Bellucci L, Coltorti M, Conti J,
723 Lembo G, Mutillo B, Mazzini, I. (2019) Grotta Romanelli (Lecce, Southern
724 Italy) between past and future: new studies and perspectives for an
725 archaeo-geosite symbol of the Palaeolithic in Europe. *Geoheritage* 11:
726 1413-1432.

727 Sauro F, Cappelletti M, Ghezzi D, Columbu A, Hong PY, Zowawi HM, Carbone C,
728 Piccini L, Vergara F, Zannoni D (2018) Microbial diversity and
729 biosignatures of amorphous silica deposits in orthoquartzite caves.
730 *Scientific Reports* 8(1): 17569.

731 Sauro F, Mecchia M, Piccini L, De Waele J, Carbone C, Columbu A, Pisani L,
732 Vergara F (2019) Genesis of giant sinkholes and caves in the quartz
733 sandstone of Sarisariñama tepui, Venezuela. *Geomorphology* 342: 223-
734 238.

735 Scroxton N, Gagan MK, Dunbar GB, Ayliffe LK, Hantoro WS, Shen CC, Hellstrom JC,
736 Zhao JX, Cheng H, Edwards RL, Sun H, Rifai H (2016) Natural attrition and
737 growth frequency variations of stalagmites in southwest Sulawesi over
738 the past 530,000 years. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
739 *Palaeoecology* 441: 823-833.

740 Sharples C. (1995) Geoconservation in forest management – principles and
741 procedures. *Tasforests* 7: 37-50.

742 Spötl C, Matthey D (2012) Scientific drilling of speleothems—a technical
743 note. *International Journal of Speleology* 41(1): 29-34.

744 Stahlschmidt MC, Collin TC, Fernandes DM, Bar-Oz G, Belfer-Cohen A, Gao Z,
745 Jakeli N, Matskevich Z, Meshveliani T, Pritchard JK, McDermott F, Pinhasi
746 R (2019) Ancient Mammalian and Plant DNA from Late Quaternary
747 Stalagmite Layers at Solkoto Cave, Georgia. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 6628.

748 Staubwasser M, Drăgușin V, Onac BP, Assonov S, Ersek V, Hoffmann DL, Veres D
749 (2018) Impact of climate change on the transition of Neanderthals to
750 modern humans in Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
751 *Sciences* 115(37): 9116-9121.

752 Tisato N, Torriani SF, Monteux S, Sauro F, De Waele J, Tavagna ML, D'Angeli IM,
753 Chailloux D., Renda M, Eglinton TI, Bontognali, T. R. (2015) Microbial
754 mediation of complex subterranean mineral structures. *Scientific*
755 *reports* 5(1): 1-10.

756 Tobelem JM (2003) Utilisation des études de publics et stratégie de
757 développement des organisations culturelles. In: *Le(s) Public(s) de la*
758 *culture*. Presse de Sciences Po, Paris.

759 Trontelj P, Borko Š, Delić T (2019) Testing the uniqueness of deep terrestrial
760 life. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 1-9.

761 van Beynen PE (Ed.). (2011) *Karst management*. Dordrecht: Springer Science &
762 *Business Media*.

- Vanghi V, Frisia S, Borsato A (2017) Genesis and microstratigraphy of calcite coralloids analysed by high resolution imaging and petrography. *Sedimentary Geology* 359: 16-28.
- Walczak IW, Baldini JUL, Baldini LM, McDermott F, Marsden S, Standish CD, Richards DA, Andreo B, Slater J (2015) Reconstructing high-resolution climate using CT scanning of unsectioned stalagmites: A case study identifying the mid-Holocene onset of the Mediterranean climate in southern Iberia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 127: 117-128.
- Weij R, Woodhead J, Hellstrom J, Sniderman K, Reed L, Drysdale R, Bajo P (2018) Speleothem rubble as a new low-impact tool for cave-based palaeoclimate studies. In EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, 13848.
- Williams, P. (2008) World heritage caves and karst. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.
- Wimbledon WAP (1996) GEOSITES-a new conservation initiative. *Episodes Journal of International Geoscience* 19: 87-88.
- Wolf D, Kolb T, Alcaraz-Castano M, Heinrich S, Baumgart P, Calvo R, Sanchez J, Ryborz K, Schafer I, Bliedtner M, Zech R, Zoller L, Faust D (2018) Climate deteriorations and Neanderthal demise in interior Iberia. *Scientific Reports* 8(1): 7048.
- Woodhead JD, Sniderman JK, Hellstrom J, Drysdale RN, Maas R, White N, White S, Devine P (2019) The antiquity of Nullarbor speleothems and implications for karst palaeoclimate archives. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 1-8.
- Wray RA, Sauro F (2017) An updated global review of solutional weathering processes and forms in quartz sandstones and quartzites. *Earth-Science Reviews* 171: 520-557.