



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

In vitro and in vivo characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide for safe and effective intratracheal administration

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

In vitro and in vivo characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide for safe and effective intratracheal administration / Ricci, Francesca; Catozzi, Chiara; Ravanetti, Francesca; Murgia, Xabier; D'Alo', Francesco; Macchidani, Natalia; Sgarbi, Elisa; Di Lallo, Valentina; Saccani, Federica; Pertile, Marisa; Cacchioli, Antonio; Catinella, Silvia; Villetti, Gino; Civelli, Maurizio; Amadei, Francesco; Stellari, Fabio Franco; Pioselli, Barbara; Salomone, Fabrizio. - In: PEDIATRIC RESEARCH. - ISSN 0031-3998. - 82:6(2017), pp. 1056-1063-1063. [10.1038/pr.2017.171]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2835877 since: 2021-10-07T11:41:31Z

Publisher:

Nature Publishing Group

Published

DOI:10.1038/pr.2017.171

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)



In vitro and in vivo characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide for safe and effective intratracheal administration

Francesca Ricci, Chiara Catozzi, Francesca Ravanetti, Xabier Murgia, Francesco D'Aló, Natalia Macchidani, Elisa Sgarbi, Valentina Di Lallo, Federica Saccani, Marisa Pertile, Antonio Cacchioli, Silvia Catinella, Gino Villetti, Maurizio Civelli, Francesco Amadei, Franco Stellari Fabio, Barbara Pioselli, Fabrizio Salomone

Cite this article as: Francesca Ricci, Chiara Catozzi, Francesca Ravanetti, Xabier Murgia, Francesco D'Aló, Natalia Macchidani, Elisa Sgarbi, Valentina Di Lallo, Federica Saccani, Marisa Pertile, Antonio Cacchioli, Silvia Catinella, Gino Villetti, Maurizio Civelli, Francesco Amadei, Franco Stellari Fabio, Barbara Pioselli, Fabrizio Salomone, In vitro and in vivo characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide for safe and effective intratracheal administration, *Pediatric Research* accepted article preview 19 July 2017; doi: [10.1038/pr.2017.171](https://doi.org/10.1038/pr.2017.171).

This is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication. NPG are providing this early version of the manuscript as a service to our customers. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting and a proof review before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

Received 21 April 2017; accepted 18 June 2017; Accepted article preview online 19 July 2017

In vitro and *in vivo* characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide for safe and effective intratracheal administration

Running Head: Poractant alfa plus budesonide mixture

Ricci Francesca¹, Catozzi Chiara¹, Ravanetti Francesca², Murgia Xabier³, D'Aló Francesco¹, Macchidani Natalia¹, Sgarbi Elisa¹, Di Lallo Valentina¹, Sacconi Federica¹, Pertile Marisa¹, Cacchioli Antonio², Catinella Silvia¹, Villetti Gino¹, Civelli Maurizio¹, Amadei Francesco¹, Stellari Fabio Franco¹, Pioselli Barbara¹, Salomone Fabrizio^{1*}.

¹*Chiesi Farmaceutici, R&D Department, Parma, Italy.*

²*University of Parma, Department of Veterinary Science, Parma, Italy.*

³*Department of Drug Delivery, Helmholtz Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland, Saarbrücken, Germany.*

*Corresponding author:

Fabrizio Salomone, PhD. Largo Francesco Belloli, 11/A 43122, Parma, ITALY; Tel. +39 0521 1689158. E-mail: f.salomone@chiesi.com

Statement of financial support: The present study was supported by Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A.

Disclosure Statement: Ricci F, Machidani N, Sgarbi E, Di Lallo V, Sacconi F, Pertile M, Catinella S, Villetti G, Civelli M, Amadei F, Stellari F, Pioselli B, Salomone F are employees of Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. (Curosurf, poractant alfa, owner). Murgia X served as consultants for Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. (Curosurf, poractant alfa, owner). in this study.

Category of study: Translational research

ABSTRACT

Background: The intratracheal (IT) administration of budesonide using surfactant as a vehicle has been shown to reduce the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) in preterm infants.

Objective: To characterize the *in vitro* characteristics and *in vivo* safety and efficacy of the extemporaneous combination of budesonide and poractant alfa.

Methods: The stability, minimum surface tension, and viscosity of the preparation were evaluated by means of HPLC, Wilhelmy balance, and Rheometer, respectively. The safety and efficacy of the IT administration of the mixture were tested in two RDS animal models: 27th day gestational age premature rabbits and surfactant-depleted adult rabbits.

Results: A pre-formulation trial identified a suitable procedure to ensure the homogeneity and stability of the formulation. Wilhelmy Balance tests clarified that budesonide supplementation has no detrimental effect on poractant alfa surface tension activity. The addition of budesonide to poractant alfa did not affect the physiological response to surfactant treatment in both RDS animal models and was associated to a significant reduction of lung inflammation in surfactant-depleted rabbits.

Conclusions: Our *in vitro* and *in vivo* analysis suggests that the IT administration of a characterized extemporaneous combination of poractant alfa and budesonide is a safe and efficacious procedure in the context of RDS.

INTRODUCTION

Major advances in the treatment of premature birth over the last decades such as the extended use of antenatal steroids and surfactant replacement therapy have significantly improved the survival rates of preterm neonates with Respiratory Distress Syndrome (RDS)(1,2), lowering the limits of viability to the 22nd week of gestation(3). Nevertheless, preterm infants born at such low gestational ages often require intensive resuscitation approaches with prolonged periods of mechanical ventilation and continuous exposure to supplemental oxygen. Mechanical ventilation and oxygen therapy, often in combination with previous fetal exposures to inflammation (chorioamnionitis), are well described factors known to trigger pulmonary inflammation(4–6). If inflammation persists over time, it can induce the arrest of lung development and impair the normal lung physiology, yielding a chronic lung disease state termed Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia (BPD)(7). BPD affects about half of preterm neonates with a birth weight below 1,000 g(8–10). In this patient population, non-invasive respiratory support is often inefficient and infants generally require invasive mechanical ventilation and surfactant replacement. In this scenario, the occurrence of inflammation is hard to avoid, increasing the risk of BPD.

Because of the important role of inflammation in BPD(10), corticosteroids have been used in the perinatal context due to their anti-inflammatory effects(11–17). For instance, postnatal systemic dexamethasone therapy has been shown to reduce the incidence of BPD(16,17). Nevertheless, in spite of the pulmonary benefits, the routine use of systemic corticosteroids in premature infants is now discouraged due to the risk of neurodevelopmental impairment(18). As an alternative delivery method, lung-targeted approaches for corticosteroid therapy have been explored. Direct intratracheal instillation of budesonide has been shown to be ineffective(19), while administration of

inhaled budesonide by aerosol delivery to neonates poses significant technical challenges, and with the current technology the intrapulmonary aerosol deposition rates are variable and relatively low(20). As a result, the efficacy of inhaled corticosteroids in the context of BPD remains inconclusive(11,13).

Yeh *et al.* have developed an elegant and efficient method for the pulmonary delivery of budesonide to preterm infants(15). They suspended a dose of budesonide in a dose of exogenous surfactant used for the treatment of RDS. They hypothesized that surfactant would act as a vehicle for budesonide and therefore the distribution and the efficacy of budesonide would be maximized. In a recent trial Yeh *et al.* have reported a significantly lower incidence of BPD with no observed immediate or long-term adverse effects(14). The authors also demonstrated that the performance of the bovine-derived surfactant preparation (Survanta®, AbbVie, US, phospholipid concentration 25 mg/mL) as well as the stability of budesonide were optimal when both substances were combined (14).

Poractant alfa is one of the most effective clinically available exogenous surfactant preparations(21). Preliminary *in vitro* studies suggest a good surfactant performance of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide(22,23). Moreover, the combination of poractant alfa with beclomethasone dipropionate, a glucocorticoid with anti-inflammatory properties, showed an optimal surfactant performance *in vivo* and a reduction of the acute phase inflammation, suggesting a good compatibility with corticosteroids(24,25). Nevertheless, the *in vivo* performance of poractant alfa in combination with budesonide has not yet been investigated.

Therefore, considering the growing interest for extemporaneous combinations of surfactant and corticosteroids, the aim of the present study was to perform a preclinical characterization of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide in order to provide to

the clinicians detailed information on safety and efficacy of a combination of the two products. For that purpose, we prepared an extemporaneous formulation of clinical relevance combining poractant alfa and budesonide. We hypothesized that this formulation would show an equivalent surface tension reduction function *in vitro* and *in vivo* compared to poractant alfa alone, yet maintaining the anti-inflammatory properties of budesonide. Additionally, viscosity and 24-hour stability of the extemporaneous formulation were evaluated with a rotational rheometer and by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), respectively.

Accepted manuscript

MATERIAL AND METHODS*Compounds and reagents*

Poractant alfa (Curosurf[®], Chiesi Farmaceutici, Parma, Italy) is a sterile aqueous suspension for pulmonary endotracheal instillation. It is a natural surfactant prepared from porcine lungs, constituted of a complex mixture of phospholipids (80 mg/mL, mainly dipalmytoylphosphatidylcholine) and about 1% of specific low molecular weight hydrophobic proteins.

Budesonide (Pulmaxan[®], AstraZeneca, Sweden) is a glucocorticoid supplied as a sterile aqueous suspension in single ampules of 2 mL (0.25 mg/mL) for inhalation via nebulizer.

For analytical purposes, budesonide was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and NaH₂PO₄, H₃PO₄, absolute ethanol (etOH) and CH₃CN gradient grade were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI).

Extemporaneous formulation preparation of poractant alfa and budesonide

Since the dose regimen previewed for *in vivo* studies consist of a dose of 200 mg/kg of poractant alfa (2.5 mL/kg) and 0.25 mg/kg of budesonide (1 mL/kg), the extemporaneous formulation of poractant alfa and budesonide was prepared for all testing by simple mixing and gentle shaking (5x) poractant alfa and budesonide in a vial at the following volumetric ratio: 1 to 0,4 (final poractant alfa and budesonide concentrations were 57.14 mg/mL and 0.071 mg/mL, respectively).

Budesonide stability after mixing with poractant alfa

The stability of the extemporaneous mixture of poractant alfa and budesonide was assessed at different time-intervals (0-24 hours) at room temperature by means of HPLC. HPLC-UV analysis were carried out using a liquid chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) equipped with an UV-Vis Detector (UV6000 model, Thermo Fisher Scientific, US) operating at 240 nm. The chromatograms were analysed with Chromquest 4.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US).

Chromatographic separation was performed on a Hypersil BDS C18, 150x4.6 mm, 5 μ m column (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US), at room temperature. The mobile phase was aqueous solution 0.02M NaH₂PO₄ pH3.2 (eluent A) and CH₃CN ratio 68:32, with a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. The injection volume was 50 μ L. The total run time was 22 min.

The standard solution of budesonide was prepared by dissolving about 6 mg working standard into a 100 mL of solvent H₂O/Ethanol (40/60 v/v).

The test solution containing poractant alfa and budesonide was prepared as follows: 1 mL of poractant alfa suspension and 400 μ L of budesonide suspension, both sampled under stirring, were transferred into a 5 mL volumetric flask (sample solution for stability, budesonide sample concentration: 0.071 mg/mL). Then 600 μ L of water were added and the solution was diluted up to volume with ethanol (sample solution for injection, budesonide theoretical sample concentration: 0.02mg/mL).

Determination of the viscosity of the extemporaneous formulation by Rheometer

The viscosity of the extemporaneous formulation was investigated using a ARG2 Rheometer (TA Instruments Trios version, US) equipped with cone-plate geometry (plate diameter 40 mm, angle 0.9939°) and applying a flow ramp in the rheometer ranging from 0.001 to 10,001/s shear rates. Poractant alfa alone (80 mg/mL) was used

as a reference. All test samples were gently turned upside down without shaking before analysis. One mL of each test suspension was placed directly onto the rheometer's steel plate and the geometry was lowered up to the defined gap (27 μm). All measurements were performed at 25° C. The viscosity value was extrapolated from the obtained flow ramp curve by applying the Carreau-Yasuda model (TRIOS software, TA Instruments, US). Measurements were conducted immediately after the preparation of the extemporaneous mixture and again after a 24 hour incubation period at room temperature. Results are shown as the mean \pm SD of three runs for each sample (four samples were prepared).

In vitro surface tension reduction function of poractant alfa after addition of budesonide

To detect potential changes in surface tension attributable to the addition of budesonide to poractant alfa, the surface tension of poractant alfa alone (80 mg/mL) was measured and compared to the surface tension of the extemporaneous combination of poractant alfa/budesonide (57.14 mg/mL and 0.071 mg/mL concentrations, respectively). Surface tension measurements were performed with a customized Wilhelmy Surface Balance (KSV, Nima, Biolin Scientific Oy, Finland). The device uses a platinum plate connected to a strain gauge that is inserted 1 mm into the hypophase, consisting of 50 ml of 0.9% NaCl in a Teflon through. The test samples (312 μL) were added into the hypophase. Recordings were then made during 80 cycles for minimum surface tension (γ_{min}) measurements. A surface tension value below 3 mN/m was accepted as optimal surfactant function. All the measurements were performed at 37° C. The results obtained were the mean \pm SD of two runs for each sample (two samples were prepared).

In vivo surface tension reduction function of poractant alfa after addition of budesonide in preterm rabbits

Pregnant New Zealand White rabbits were supplied by Charles River (Domaine des Oncins, France) and housed until the 27th day of gestation under standard conditions, according to the current procedures for animal housing and handling. All experimental procedures involving animal research performed in this work were approved by Italian Ministry of Health (Prot.n°263/2011) and complied with the European and Italian regulations for animal care. The delivery procedure and the postnatal handling of the fetuses has been described elsewhere(26). In total 27 fetuses from 3 litters were allocated within 4 different groups: 1) control group, untreated animals (n=6); 2) budesonide group, animals received 0.25 mg/kg of budesonide (n=6); 3) poractant alfa group, animals received 200 mg/kg of poractant alfa (n=7); 4) poractant alfa/budesonide group, animals received 0.25 mg/kg and 200 mg/kg of budesonide and poractant alfa combined in an extemporaneous mixture that contained 57.14 mg/ml of poractant alfa and 0.071 mg/ml of budesonide (n=8). Fetuses, paralyzed with pancuronium bromide (0.06 mg, i.p.), were ventilated in parallel using a servo-ventilator (Siemens 900 C, Erlangen, Germany) with a standardized sequence of varying peak insufflations pressures with 100% oxygen, as previously described(26).

In vivo efficacy of surfactant after addition of budesonide

The experiments were carried out in 6- to 7-week-old New Zealand white adult rabbits with a body weight between 1.5–2.5 kg. Animal sedation and surgical procedures have been recently described by Ricci et al(27).

Rabbits, in supine position, were intubated and stabilized on mechanical ventilation (MV; Acutronic Fabian HFO, Acutronic Medical, Hirzel, Switzerland) with the

following settings: $\text{FiO}_2=100\%$, Flow=10 L/min, respiratory rate=40 breaths/min, PEEP=3 cmH₂O, V_T targeted to 7 ml/kg (with PIP not higher than 23 cmH₂O) and inspiratory time of 0.5 sec. A flow sensor was connected to the endotracheal tube to continuously monitor lung mechanics. All animals underwent repeated broncho-alveolar lavages (BALs) to achieve surfactant depletion as described by Ricci *et al*(27). Following surfactant depletion animals were randomized to one of the four study groups: 1) control group, untreated animals (n=6); 2) budesonide group, animals received 0.25 mg/kg of budesonide (n=6); 3) poractant alfa group, animals received 200 mg/kg of poractant alfa (n=6); and 4) poractant alfa/budesonide group, animals received 0.25 mg/kg and 200 mg/kg of budesonide and poractant alfa mixed in an extemporaneous mixture that contained 57.14 mg/ml of poractant alfa and 0.071 mg/ml of budesonide (n=6). All animals were maintained in MV for 300 min.

Arterial pH, blood gases, dynamic compliance (C_{dyn}), and V_T were measured right after intubation and stabilization (baseline), after inducing surfactant depletion by repeated BALs, and after the stabilization period that followed the insult to confirm the respiratory failure (15ST). These parameters were also measured 5, 15 and 30 min after treatment and thereafter every 30 min until the end of the observational period (300 min). After the observational period animals were sacrificed by exsanguination. The lung was fixed for histological analysis.

Histological analysis

A standard sampling of the cranial right lung was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Lung samples were dehydrated in graded alcohol solutions, xylene clarified, paraffin infiltrated by means of an automatic processor (ATP 700 Tissue Processor, Histo-line laboratories, Italy), and embedded with the dorsal surface of the slice down

(EG 1160, Leica Biosystems, Germany). Five μm thick serial sections were obtained using a rotary microtome (Slee Cut 6062, Germany). Slides were further deparaffinized, rehydrated in descending grades of ethanol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Sigma). Images of the samples were acquired with a digital slide scanner (NanoZoomer S60, Hamamazu Photonics, Japan). Ten random non-overlapping regions of interest (ROIs) were acquired at 5x magnification for each slide. Lung injury was estimated by using a semi-quantitative scoring system performed by an investigator blinded to the study design. Alveolar and interstitial lung inflammation, alveolar and interstitial haemorrhage, edema, and atelectasis were each scored on a 0 to 4 point-scale(28,29). Each field was scored as 0 if the item was absent or normal, as 1 if the item was present in 25% of the field, 2 for 50% of the field, 3 for 75% of the field, and 4 if the item was apparent throughout the whole field. The alveolar and interstitial score were put together for both lung inflammation and haemorrhage. The total injury score was calculated as a sum of these scores. For each group the average score of the ROIs is reported.

Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise stated, all the data are presented as mean \pm SEM. The raw data of the *in vivo* studies were analysed and compared by repeated measures of two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as a function of group and time, followed by Tukey's t post-hoc test. The data of the histological score were analysed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad software, version 6.0 (Prism, CA).

RESULTS

Budesonide stability after mixing with poractant alfa

The stability of the budesonide in the extemporaneous mixture composed of 1 mL of poractant alfa suspension (80 mg/mL) and 400 μ L of budesonide (0.25 mg/mL) was tested immediately after preparation (t_0) and thereafter, the budesonide content was checked again 3, 6, 9.5, and 24 hours after preparation at room temperature. The chemical stability of budesonide dispersed within poractant alfa over 24 hours was demonstrated by HPLC-UV analysis (**table 1**). No significant difference in the content of Budesonide was observed during the 24 hour incubation at room temperature

Viscosity of the extemporaneous formulation

The viscosity of poractant alfa (80 mg/mL) was compared to the viscosity of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide (final poractant alfa and budesonide concentrations were 57.14 mg/mL and 0.071 mg/mL, respectively) by means of rheometer. The viscosity of the suspensions was determined immediately after preparation, at t_0 , and was determined again after a 24 hour incubation at room temperature. The Carreau-Yasuda model was fit to the flow-ramp curves in order to achieve the infinite rate viscosity values. As it could be expected due to the simple phospholipid dilution effect, the addition of budesonide to poractant alfa induced a slight drop of the viscosity from 5.5 to 3.7 cP (30%; **figure 1**).

The 24 hour incubation experiment was designed to investigate whether the viscosity of the extemporaneous suspension would remain stable over time. Neither poractant alfa alone nor poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide showed significant changes in viscosity after incubation.

In vitro surface tension reduction function of poractant alfa after addition of budesonide

The effect of budesonide supplementation on the *in vitro* surface tension reducing properties of poractant alfa was examined with a Wilhelmy balance and further compared to poractant alfa alone. In both cases the minimum surface tension remained below 3 mN/m, thus confirming the optimal surfactant action of poractant alfa, with or without budesonide supplementation. The film 'refinement', defined as the number of dynamic cycles required to reduce minimum surface tension to a level below 3 mN/m, was 4 ± 0 mN/m for poractant alfa alone and 5.5 ± 0.7 mN/m for the poractant alfa + budesonide mixture.

In vivo surface tension reduction function of poractant alfa after addition of budesonide

The *in vivo* biological activity of poractant alfa combined with budesonide was evaluated in preterm rabbits with primary surfactant deficiency. No significant difference was found between groups in terms of bodyweight. The animal groups treated with poractant alfa or with the poractant alfa + budesonide mixture showed a significantly higher ($P < 0.0001$) V_T compared to untreated animals (Control group) and to animals treated with intratracheal budesonide alone (no surfactant) at 5, 10, 15 and 30 min (**figure 2**). Moreover, the pulmonary improvement achieved after instilling the poractant alfa + budesonide mixture was at the same level as the one observed following instillation of a clinical dose of poractant alfa. On the other hand, budesonide alone could not revert the severe RDS of the preterm rabbits as evidenced by the low V_T , at the same level of the untreated controls.

In order to further investigate the *in vivo* efficacy of poractant alfa in combination with budesonide in terms of gas exchange, lung mechanics, and histological outcomes, we

conducted an additional study in adult surfactant-depleted rabbits. Neither the body weight of the animals (control group: 1.72 ± 0.08 kg; poractant alfa group: 1.73 ± 0.01 kg; poractant alfa + budesonide: 1.7 ± 0.1 kg; budesonide: 1.7 ± 0.04 kg) nor the number of BALs required to induce surfactant depletion differed significantly between the groups. Moreover, all animals had similar gas exchange and ventilation parameters at baseline as well as 15 min after induction of surfactant deficiency (15ST, **figure 3**). The BALs produced an abrupt decrease of PaO_2 .

The PaO_2 values rapidly increased in all surfactant treated animals (**figure 3a**). Both poractant alfa/budesonide and poractant alfa only groups had equivalent mean PaO_2 values during the whole experimental period, and remained significantly higher in comparison with the mean PaO_2 values of the budesonide group and the untreated control group. The oxygenation values of untreated controls and budesonide-treated animals remained below 200 mmHg, even though the FiO_2 was set at 100%.

Surfactant depletion produced hypercapnia and a dramatic drop of the pH in all animals (Supplemental figure S1). Nevertheless, mean PaCO_2 and pH levels returned to normal physiological levels in those animals treated with poractant alfa or poractant alfa + budesonide (**figure 3b and Supplemental figure S1**). On the other hand, acidosis and hypercapnia persisted in the control group and in the animals treated with budesonide only. It is worth to report here also that no unexpected events, like liquid reflux or endotracheal tube obstruction, were observed during treatment administration in all groups. At the end of the experimental period, poractant alfa/budesonide and poractant alfa groups had significantly better mean PaCO_2 and pH values in comparison to the other groups. Although hypercapnia persisted in the budesonide group, the mean PaCO_2 value was significantly lower than in untreated controls.

Mean C_{dyn} values rapidly increased after surfactant treatment in poractant alfa + budesonide and poractant alfa groups (**figure 4**). At the end of the experimental period, C_{dyn} was significantly higher in all surfactant-treated animal groups in comparison to untreated controls. Significant differences were also seen between the poractant alfa group and the budesonide group at the 300 min time-interval. No significant difference was detected between the control and the budesonide only groups.

Histological analysis

The histological examination of the lung parenchyma revealed a mild to marked inflammatory neutrophilic infiltration intermingled with alveolar macrophages, alveolar haemorrhages, and proteinaceous edema. Variably, alveolar walls were thick and atelectatic with multifocal interstitial haemorrhages. These findings, clearly expressing an acute inflammation (**figure 5**), affected all the evaluated groups and were more evident in the control group, with varying degrees of severity in treated groups.

Histological examination showed a significant decrease of the overall lung injury score in the poractant alfa + budesonide, poractant alfa, and budesonide groups in comparison to the untreated control group (**table 2**). Moreover, significantly lower inflammation and edema scores were found for the poractant alfa + budesonide group in comparison to the animals group treated with poractant alfa only.

DISCUSSION

In the present study we prepared and characterized a clinically relevant extemporaneous formulation of poractant alfa supplemented with budesonide. Our results demonstrate that this formulation could be safely administered for the treatment of RDS and potentially for prevention of BPD in a clinical setting. On the one hand, for the treatment of RDS it is essential that the formulation maintains good surface tension reducing properties. On the other hand, for the prevention of BPD the budesonide contained within the formulation should be stable and remain in its active form in order to fully exert its anti-inflammatory properties.

In view of the relevant role played by inflammation in the development of BPD the use of corticosteroids was rationally suggested. Systemic dexamethasone reduces the incidence of BPD(17). However, a chronic exposure to systemic corticosteroids increases the risk of neurodevelopmental impairment(18,30). Therefore, the local administration of corticosteroids, and in particular of budesonide, has been proposed as an alternative to maximize the lung dose and reduce the side effects of systemic exposure(11,13,14). Yeh *et al.* developed an elegant approach of pulmonary delivery of budesonide coupled to surfactant replacement therapy(15). They prepared surfactant/budesonide (beractant/pulmicort) mixtures (100 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively) that were delivered to preterm infants with RDS by intratracheal instillation. This approach significantly lowered the incidence of BPD or death (42.0%) in infants treated with the surfactant/budesonide mixture, compared to infants treated with surfactant alone (66%).

The aforementioned study prompt us to investigate on an extemporaneous formulation containing a clinical dose of the porcine-derived surfactant poractant alfa (200 mg/kg or 2.5 mL/kg) and the same budesonide dose as reported by Yeh *et al.* (0.25 mg/kg or 1

mL/kg) in order to provide useful information for the clinical practice on this new treatment approach. We hypothesised that poractant alfa could be a suitable clinical surfactant for this application because similarly to beractant it has a long history of clinical practice and it is an animal-derived cholesterol-free preparation (a critical aspect for budesonide carrier activity according to Zhang et al.(31)). The final concentration of our extemporaneous mixture resulted in a phospholipid concentration of 57.14 mg/mL and a budesonide concentration of 0.071 mg/mL. Interestingly, the final phospholipid concentration of the extemporaneous poractant alfa and budesonide mixture is still higher than the original phospholipid concentration of beractant (25 mg/ml) or any other approved natural surfactant preparation(32). Therefore, we hypothesized that the addition of 0.071 mg/mL of budesonide would not significantly influence the surface properties of poractant alfa. However, investigators were concerned that the sterol budesonide molecule could exert inhibitory effects on surfactant similar to those induced by cholesterol(33–35). The minimum surface tension as measured *in vitro* for the extemporaneous formulation remained below 3 mN/m, the benchmark set in our *in vitro* setting for an optimal surface tension reducing function. This observation is in line with previous studies conducted with natural surfactant mixed with budesonide in which the surfactant action is preserved, provided that the budesonide wt% is below 10%(15,31,35). The extemporaneous formulation used in the present study had a budesonide wt% of 0.001%. The optimal surfactant function shown *in vitro* by the poractant alfa + budesonide formulation could be further confirmed *in vivo* in a preterm rabbit model with severe RDS. Preterm rabbits extracted on the 27th day of gestation suffer from a severe RDS due to a primary surfactant deficiency and therefore, this model has been shown to be a good platform to test the efficiency of different surfactant preparations(26,36). Of note, the response curve observed in terms of lung mechanics

for the poractant alfa/budesonide group was indistinguishable to the one obtained for the poractant alfa only group. The mere instillation of budesonide (without surfactant) did not elicit an improvement of lung mechanics, as expected. We could appreciate a slight decrease of the viscosity of the poractant alfa/budesonide extemporaneous formulation in comparison to the viscosity of poractant alfa alone. This difference was expected and derives from adding a 40% volume of budesonide (0.25 mg/kg) to a clinical dose of poractant alfa (200 mg/kg). Lower viscosity may actually improve the spreading of the preparation upon instillation, potentially avoiding the risk of transient airway obstructions associated to surfactant installation(37,38).

The chemical stability of budesonide within the extemporaneous poractant alfa + budesonide mixture was also investigated to address if alterations or degradation phenomena of the active form of budesonide could take place over time. The HPLC-UV analysis revealed the stability of budesonide over 24 hours within the extemporaneous poractant alfa + budesonide mixture. A full anti-inflammatory action of budesonide can therefore be expected.

Further we analysed the impact on gas exchange and the anti-inflammatory effects of the intratracheal instillation of the poractant alfa + budesonide mixture in surfactant-depleted adult rabbits. Once again, the performance of the extemporaneous mixture was at the same level of the treatment with poractant alfa alone, achieving significant improvements in arterial oxygenation, carbon dioxide removal, and pH. It is noteworthy that no safety issues were identified while dosing the animals with the poractant alfa + budesonide mixture. As seen in the preterm rabbit model, the intratracheal instillation of budesonide alone could not reverse the pulmonary failure induced by the BALs. The histological readouts clearly indicate that the lesions in the control group are indicative of an RDS model(39). Interestingly, however, the animals receiving intratracheal

budesonide with or without surfactant obtained the lowest inflammation scores and were assessed with the lowest overall lung injury scores. It is noteworthy to mention that supplementation of poractant alfa with budesonide significantly reduced inflammation and edema in comparison to surfactant treatment alone, indicating a beneficial effect of budesonide supplementation already in the early process of the inflammatory response. Nevertheless, these results must be interpreted taking into account that the pathophysiology of the pulmonary failure induced by the BALs is different from that observed in neonatal RDS. In addition, the observational period of the study was rather short in order to properly assess the anti-inflammatory effects of budesonide.

In conclusion, we have characterized, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, an extemporaneous combination of poractant alfa and budesonide, which shows an optimal surfactant function and an optimal budesonide stability. This formulation is aimed at simultaneously administering a clinical dose of surfactant (200 mg/kg) and a dose of budesonide (0.25 mg/kg) known to reduce the incidence of BPD in preterm infants. In the light of our results, this formulation could be potentially appropriate in terms of safety and efficacy to be used in human preterm neonates.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The present study was supported by Chiesi Farmaceutici.

REFERENCES

1. Liggins GC, Howie RN. A controlled trial of antepartum glucocorticoid treatment for prevention of the respiratory distress syndrome in premature infants. *Pediatrics* 1972;50:515–25.
2. Collaborative European Multicenter Study Group. Surfactant replacement therapy for severe neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: an international randomized clinical trial. Collaborative European Multicenter Study Group. *Pediatrics* 1988;82:683–91.
3. Guillén Ú, Weiss EM, Munson D, et al. Guidelines for the Management of Extremely Premature Deliveries: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics* 2015;136:343–50.
4. Hillman NH, Moss TJM, Kallapur SG, et al. Brief, large tidal volume ventilation initiates lung injury and a systemic response in fetal sheep. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;176:575–81.
5. Vento M, Moro M, Escrig R, et al. Preterm resuscitation with low oxygen causes less oxidative stress, inflammation, and chronic lung disease. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:e439–49.
6. Speer CP. Chorioamnionitis, postnatal factors and proinflammatory response in the pathogenetic sequence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Neonatology* 2009;95:353–61.
7. Hayes D, Feola DJ, Murphy BS, Shook LA, Ballard HO. Pathogenesis of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Respiration* 2010;79:425–36.
8. Jensen EA, Schmidt B. Epidemiology of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Birth*

9. Bhandari A, Carroll C, Bhandari V. BPD Following Preterm Birth: A Model for Chronic Lung Disease and a Substrate for ARDS in Childhood. *Front Pediatr* 2016;4:60.
10. Speer CP. Neonatal respiratory distress syndrome: An inflammatory disease? In: *Neonatology* 2011;99:316-9.
11. Bassler D, Plavka R, Shinwell ES, et al. Early Inhaled Budesonide for the Prevention of Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:1497–506.
12. Baud O, Maury L, Lebail F, et al. Effect of early low-dose hydrocortisone on survival without bronchopulmonary dysplasia in extremely preterm infants (PREMILOC): A double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre, randomised trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:1827–36.
13. Nakamura T, Yonemoto N, Nakayama M, et al. Early inhaled steroid use in extremely low birthweight infants: a randomised controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2016;101:F552-F556.
14. Yeh TF, Chen CM, Wu SY, et al. Intratracheal administration of budesonide/surfactant to prevent bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2016;193:86–95.
15. Yeh TF, Lin HC, Chang CH, et al. Early intratracheal instillation of budesonide using surfactant as a vehicle to prevent chronic lung disease in preterm infants: a pilot study. *Pediatrics* 2008;121:e1310–8.

16. Yeh TF, Torre JA, Rastogi A, Anyebuno MA, Pildes RS. Early postnatal dexamethasone therapy in premature infants with severe respiratory distress syndrome: a double-blind, controlled study. *J Pediatr* 1990;117:273–82.
17. Lin YJ, Yeh TF, Hsieh WS, Chi YC, Lin HC, Lin CH. Prevention of chronic lung disease in preterm infants by early postnatal dexamethasone therapy. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1999;27:21–6.
18. Shinwell ES, Karplus M, Reich D, et al. Early postnatal dexamethasone treatment and increased incidence of cerebral palsy. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2000;83:F177-81.
19. Lin, YJ; Lin, HC,; Lin, CH; Chang, SJ; Yeh T. Double-blind controlled trial of endotracheal instillation of budesonide in preterm infants with RDS: a preliminary report. In: Pediatric Academic Society (PAS). 2000. Available from: http://www.abstract2view.com/pasall/view.php?nu=PASOL_2436
20. Mazela J, Polin RA. Aerosol delivery to ventilated newborn infants: Historical challenges and new directions. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 2011;170:433–44.
21. Ramanathan R, Bhatia JJ, Sekar K, Ernst FR. Mortality in preterm infants with respiratory distress syndrome treated with poractant alfa, calfactant or beractant: a retrospective study. *J Perinatol* 2013;33:119–25.
22. Zuo YY, Zhang H, Wang YE, Neal CR. Differential effects of cholesterol and budesonide on biophysical properties of clinical surfactant. *Pediatr Res* 2012;71:316–23.
23. Todorov R, Exerowa D, Alexandrova L, et al. Behavior of thin liquid films from

aqueous solutions of a pulmonary surfactant in presence of corticosteroids.

Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Asp 2017;521:105–11.

24. Dani C, Corsini I, Burchielli S, et al. Natural surfactant combined with beclomethasone decreases lung inflammation in the preterm lamb. *Respiration* 2011;82:369–76.
25. Dani C, Corsini I, Burchielli S, et al. Natural surfactant combined with beclomethasone decreases oxidative lung injury in the preterm lamb. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2014;44:1159–67.
26. Ricci F, Murgia X, Razzetti R, Pelizzi N, Salomone F. In vitro and in vivo comparison between poractant alfa and the new generation synthetic surfactant CHF5633. *Pediatr Res.* 2017;81:369–75.
27. Ricci F, Catozzi C, Murgia X, et al. Physiological, Biochemical, and Biophysical Characterization of the Lung-Lavaged Spontaneously-Breathing Rabbit as a Model for Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0169190.
28. Mrozek JP, Smith KM, Bing DR, et al. Exogenous surfactant and partial liquid ventilation: Physiologic and pathologic effects. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997;156:1058–65.
29. Zimmermann AM, Roberts KD, Lampland AL, et al. Improved gas exchange and survival after KL-4 surfactant in newborn pigs with severe acute lung injury. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2010;45:782–8.
30. Tam EWY, Chau V, Ferriero DM, et al. Preterm cerebellar growth impairment after postnatal exposure to glucocorticoids. *Sci Transl Med* 2011;3:1–6.

31. Zhang H, Wang YE, Neal CR, Zuo YY. Differential effects of cholesterol and budesonide on biophysical properties of clinical surfactant. *Pediatr Res* 2012;71:316–23.
32. Filoche M, Tai C-F, Grotberg JB. Three-dimensional model of surfactant replacement therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112:9287–92.
33. Palmer D, Schurch S, Belik J. Effect of budesonide and salbutamol on surfactant properties. *J Appl Physiol* 2000;89:884–90.
34. López-Rodríguez E, Ospina OL, Echaide M, Taeusch HW, Pérez-Gil J. Exposure to polymers reverses inhibition of pulmonary surfactant by serum, meconium, or cholesterol in the captive bubble surfactometer. *Biophys J* 2012;103:1451–9.
35. Wang YE, Zhang H, Fan Q, Neal CR, Zuo YY. Biophysical interaction between corticosteroids and natural surfactant preparation: implications for pulmonary drug delivery using surfactant as a carrier. *Soft Matter* 2012;8:504.
36. Almlén A, Stichtenoth G, Linderholm B, et al. Surfactant proteins B and C are both necessary for alveolar stability at end expiration in premature rabbits with respiratory distress syndrome. *J Appl Physiol* 2008;104:1101–8.
37. Rey-Santano C, Mielgo VE, Andres L, Ruiz-del-Yerro E, Valls-i-Soler A, Murgia X. Acute and sustained effects of aerosolized vs. bolus surfactant therapy in premature lambs with respiratory distress syndrome. *Pediatr Res* 2013;73:639–46.
38. Rey-Santano C, Mielgo VE, Murgia X, et al. Cerebral and lung effects of a new generation synthetic surfactant with SP-B and SP-C analogs in preterm lambs.

39. Matute-Bello G, Downey G, Moore BB, et al. An official american thoracic society workshop report: Features and measurements of experimental acute lung injury in animals. *Am J Resp Cell Mol Biol*. 2011;44:725–38.

Accepted manuscript

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. The viscosity of poractant alfa (black bars) was compared to the viscosity of the extemporaneous mixture of poractant alfa + budesonide (white bars) right after preparation ($t=0$) and after a 24 hour incubation ($t=24h$). Mean \pm SD are shown.

Figure 2. Tidal volumes of preterm rabbits with severe surfactant deficiency treated with poractant alfa (200 mg/kg, solid circles), with poractant alfa + budesonide (200 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively; white circles), and with budesonide only (0.25 mg/kg, white squares). Untreated animals served as controls (solid squares). Mean \pm SEM are shown. * represents poractant alfa group Vs. control and budesonide groups ($P<0.01$) and § represents poractant alfa + budesonide group Vs. control and budesonide groups ($P<0.01$).

Figure 3. (a) Mean PaO_2 and (b) $PaCO_2$ values of lung-lavaged adult rabbits treated with poractant alfa (200 mg/kg, solid circles), with poractant alfa + budesonide (200 mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively; white circles), and with budesonide only (0.25 mg/kg, white squares). Untreated animals served as controls (solid squares). There were no differences between the experimental groups before the induction of respiratory distress (baseline, B in the X-axis). The time point 0 refers to the 15 min stabilization period established to confirm the respiratory distress induced by repeated broncho-alveolar lavages (BALs). Mean \pm SEM are shown. * Vs. control group ($P<0.01$) and § Vs. Budesonide group ($P<0.01$).

Figure 4. Mean Dynamic compliance (C_{dyn}) values of lung-lavaged adult rabbits treated with poractant alfa (200 mg/kg, solid circles), with poractant alfa + budesonide (200

mg/kg and 0.25 mg/kg, respectively; white circles), and with budesonide only (0.25 mg/kg, white squares). Untreated animals served as controls (solid squares). There were no differences between the experimental groups before the induction of respiratory distress (baseline, B in the X-axis). The time point 0 refers to the 15 min stabilization period established to confirm the respiratory distress induced by repeated broncho-alveolar lavages (BALs). Mean and SEM are shown. * Vs. control group ($P < 0.01$) and § Vs. Budesonide group ($P < 0.01$).

Figure 5. Haematoxylin-eosin staining of lung-sections from control (a), poractant alfa (b), budesonide (c), and poractant alfa + budesonide-treated (d), surfactant-depleted adult rabbits. Neutrophilic infiltrates are indicated with a red arrow. The microphotograph of an adult rabbit with normal morphology of the lung parenchyma is shown for comparison (e). The scale bars (bottom left) indicate 100 μm .

TABLES

Table 1. Stability over time of budesonide within the poractant alfa + budesonide extemporaneous mixture.

Check-point (hours)	Budesonide (µg/mL)	Budesonide % Residual vs. Initial
Initial	18.0	100.0
3	18.4	101.7
6	18.2	100.6
9.5	18.3	101.1
24	18.6	102.8

Table 2. Results of the histological lung injury score. * Vs. control group, $P<0.01$; † Vs.Poractant alfa group, $P<0.05$; ‡ Vs. Poractant alfa, $P<0.01$; § Vs. Budesonide group, $P<0.05$.

Group	Inflammation	Hemorrhage	Edema	Atelectasis	Sum-score
Control	4.59 ± 0.43	1.65 ± 0.26	1.90 ± 0.16	2.22 ± 0.21	10.36 ± 0.77
Poractant alfa	$2.61 \pm 0.19^*$	$0.91 \pm 0.18^*$	$1.26 \pm 0.15^*$	$1.30 \pm 0.26^*$	$6.09 \pm 0.58^*$
Budesonide	$2.15 \pm 0.25^*$	$1.14 \pm 0.04^*$	$0.74 \pm 0.05^{*\ddagger}$	$1.05 \pm 0.14^*$	$5.08 \pm 0.26^*$
Poractant alfa + Budesonide	$1.80 \pm 0.20^{*\ddagger\§}$	$1.16 \pm 0.12^*$	$0.98 \pm 0.13^{*\ddagger\§}$	$1.24 \pm 0.16^*$	$5.18 \pm 0.38^*$









