

University of Parma Research Repository

RELATIONSHIPS AMONG MATERNAL BACKFAT DEPTH, PLASMA ADIPOKINES AND THE BIRTHWEIGHT OF PIGLETS

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

RELATIONSHIPS AMONG MATERNAL BACKFAT DEPTH, PLASMA ADIPOKINES AND THE BIRTHWEIGHT OF PIGLETS / Superchi, Paola; Saleri, Roberta; Menčik, Sven; Dander, Silvia; Cavalli, Valeria; Izzi, Chiara; Ablondi, Michela; Sabbioni, Alberto. - In: LIVESTOCK SCIENCE. - ISSN 1871-1413. - 223:(2019), pp. 138-143. [10.1016/j.livsci.2019.03.012]

Availability: This version is available at: 11381/2858305 since: 2019-04-11T10:59:30Z

Publisher:

Published DOI:10.1016/j.livsci.2019.03.012

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

Animal: An International Journal of Animal Bioscience RELATIONSHIP AMONG MATERNAL BACKFAT DEPTH, PLASMA ADIPOKINES AND BIRTHWEIGHT OF PIGLETS

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:				
Full Title:	RELATIONSHIP AMONG MATERNAL BACKFAT DEPTH, PLASMA ADIPOKINES AND BIRTHWEIGHT OF PIGLETS			
Short Title:	Maternal backfat depth and birthweight of piglets			
Article Type:	Research Article			
Section/Category:	3. Physiology and Functional Biology			
Keywords:	pregnant sows; backfat depth; adipokines; piglets; birth weight			
Corresponding Author:	Alberto Sabbioni, Prof. University of Parma Parma, ITALY			
First Author:	Paola Superchi, Prof.			
Order of Authors:	Paola Superchi, Prof.			
	Roberta Saleri, DVM, PhD			
	Sven Mencik, Prof.			
	Silvia Dander, Dr.			
	Michela Ablondi, Dr.			
	Valeria Cavalli, Dr.			
	Chiara Izzi, Dr.			
	Alberto Sabbioni, Prof.			
Manuscript Region of Origin:	ITALY			
Abstract:	A potentially important role into the mechanisms controlling intrauterine growth is provided by adipose tissue. The adipose tissue acts as a dynamic organ, with differentiated adipocytes functioning in an endocrine manner to secrete adipokines, as leptin and adiponectin, involved in modulating energy homeostasis. With the aim of assessing the relationships among maternal adiposity, leptin and adiponectin-pregnancy trend and foetal growth, 48 sows Large White x Landrace were recruited. On basis of backfat (BF) depth at the end of lactation phase, a Low Fat (LF, 11.60 mm; n=24) and a High Fat (HF, 18.20 mm; n=24) groups were formed. During the study, both groups received the same feeding level. At mating and at days 28, 85 and 113 of gestation, the BF was recorded and blood samples were collected to assess the leptin and adiponectin concentrations. At farrowing (BW0) and after 24 h (BW24), piglets were weighed and temperature was recorded. Body mass index (BMI) and ponderal index (PI) were calculated. Before suckling colostrum, blood samples were collected and leptin, adiponectin and IGF-I plasma levels were analysed. The BF depth change during pregnancy was the same in both groups (P >0.05); the BF depth differences between LF and HF groups observed at mating persist during pregnancy as well (P <0.05). A decrease in adiponectin and an increase in leptin plasma levels occur in both groups. Plasma adiponectin was lower in HF group compared to LF group (P <0.05), while plasma leptin to lighter piglets (P <0.05). In addition, 14% of the piglets in the LF group and 7% in the HF group reveal a weight that falls in the 25th percentile ($\leq 1 \text{ kg}$) (P <0.05). Piglet's anthropometric parameters and thermoregulatory ability were unaffected by maternal adiposity (P >0.05). Piglet's mortality at 24 h from birth was 13% and 3%, in LF and HF groups, respectively (P <0.05). Haematological differences were found only in the case of IGF-I level, which was lower in piglets born from LF than in those from HF sows (P <0.05). Our			

	maternal adiposity, due to individual factors and not to feeding levels, influence the offspring weight by acting on the secretion of adipokines.
Suggested Reviewers:	Dominiek Maes Ghent University dominiek.maes@ugent.be He is experienced in studies about relationships between sow fatness and reproductive efficiency
	Christian Fink Hansen, Dr. University of Copenhagen cfh@sund.ku.dk Expert in pig neonatology
	Emma Baxter, Dr. Scotland's Rural College emma.baxter@sruc.ac.uk Author with experience in neonatal survival in pigs
Opposed Reviewers:	

1 RELATIONSHIP AMONG MATERNAL BACKFAT DEPTH, PLASMA ADIPOKINES

2 AND BIRTHWEIGHT OF PIGLETS

3 P. Superchi¹, R. Saleri¹, S. Menčik², S. Dander¹, M. Ablondi¹, V. Cavalli¹, C. Izzi¹, A.
4 Sabbioni^{1,a}

- 5
- ¹ Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Via del Taglio 10, 43126
 Parma, Italy.
- ² Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of
 Zagreb, Viekoslava Heinzela 55, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia.
- ¹⁰ ^a Corresponding author: Alberto Sabbioni. E-mail: alberto.sabbioni@unipr.it
- 11 Short title: Maternal backfat depth and birthweight of piglets
- 12

13 **Abstract** A potentially important role into the mechanisms controlling intrauterine 14 growth is provided by adipose tissue. The adipose tissue acts as a dynamic organ, with differentiated adipocytes functioning in an endocrine manner to secrete 15 adipokines, as leptin and adiponectin, involved in modulating energy homeostasis. 16 17 With the aim of assessing the relationships among maternal adiposity, leptin and 18 adiponectin-pregnancy trend and foetal growth, 48 sows Large White x Landrace were 19 recruited. On basis of backfat (BF) depth at the end of lactation phase, a Low Fat (LF, 20 11.60 mm; n=24) and a High Fat (HF, 18.20 mm; n=24) groups were formed. During 21 the study, both groups received the same feeding level. At mating and at days 28, 85 22 and 113 of gestation, the BF was recorded and blood samples were collected to assess 23 the leptin and adiponectin concentrations. At farrowing (**BW0**) and after 24 h (**BW24**), piglets were weighed and temperature was recorded. Body mass index (BMI) and 24

25 ponderal index (PI) were calculated. Before suckling colostrum, blood samples were 26 collected and leptin, adiponectin and IGF-I plasma levels were analysed. The BF depth change during pregnancy was the same in both groups (P > 0.05); the BF depth 27 differences between LF and HF groups observed at mating persist during pregnancy 28 as well (P < 0.05). A decrease in adiponectin and an increase in leptin plasma levels 29 occur in both groups. Plasma adiponectin was lower in HF group compared to LF group 30 $(P \leq 0.05)$, while plasma leptin was not different between groups (P > 0.05). 31 32 Consequently, the adiponectin-leptin ratio resulted lower in HF than in LF group (P 33 <0.05). Compared to HF group, LF sows give birth to lighter piglets (P < 0.05). In addition, 14% of the piglets in the LF group and 7% in the HF group reveal a weight 34 that falls in the 25th percentile (\leq 1 kg) (*P* <0.05). Piglet's anthropometric parameters 35 and thermoregulatory ability were unaffected by maternal adiposity (P > 0.05). Piglet's 36 37 mortality at 24 h from birth was 13% and 3%, in LF and HF groups, respectively (P 38 <0.05). Haematological differences were found only in the case of IGF-I level, which 39 was lower in piglets born from LF than in those from HF sows (*P* <0.05). Our findings 40 suggest that differences in maternal adiposity, due to individual factors and not to feeding levels, influence the offspring weight by acting on the secretion of adipokines. 41 42

43 **Keywords:** pregnant sows; backfat depth; adipokines; piglets; birth weight

44

Implications. Birthweight represents a challenge in the pig industry. The adipose tissue, by secreting leptin and adiponectin, which are important in modulating glucose and lipid homeostasis, is a potentially regulator of the mechanisms that link maternal adiposity and intrauterine growth. It is likely, that leptin's role in foetal growth, untied by maternal fatness, is only permissive. Its increase during pregnancy may be the

signal to enhance the mobilization of maternal fat storage and the transplacental
transfer of lipids to the foetus. Conversely, reduced levels of adiponectin may represent
a mechanism by which a high maternal fat mass leads to an increased foetal weight.

53

54 Introduction

55 Birthweight is considered to be a critical indicator of postnatal performance. Growth and development of the foetus are the result of a balance between the foetal demand 56 57 and the maternal substrate availability (Jansson and Powell, 2006). To ensure nutrients to foetus, the sow undergoes to an alteration in the regulation of glucose 58 59 metabolism leading to a state of relative insulin resistance, attributed to the effect of placental hormones, as pregnancy progresses (Père et al., 2000). The development 60 61 of an insulin-resistant state, increasing hepatic gluconeogenesis and reducing glucose 62 uptake in maternal skeletal muscle and adipose tissue, promotes lipolysis in adipose tissue, thereby making glucose and lipids available to the foetus. This complex system 63 64 is monitored by a multitude of factors, including the body condition of the mother, the utero-placental blood flow and the expression and function of trophoblast nutrient 65 transporters (Aye et al., 2013). Maternal adiposity, as reflection of its nutritional status, 66 67 is one of the main extrinsic factors programming nutrient partitioning and growth, development and function of the major foetal organ systems (Redmer et al., 2004; 68 69 Amdi et al., 2014). Adipose tissue is a specialised endocrine and paracrine organ that modulates energy metabolism via secretion of circulating adipokines (such as leptin 70 71 and adiponectin), which are involved in the regulation of body fatness and energy 72 expenditure (Ahlsson et al., 2013). Adiponectin and leptin are key modulators of insulin 73 action and glucose metabolism; thus, they can be considered potential candidates for regulation of intrauterine foetal development. During pregnancy, leptin and adiponectin 74

75 participate in the process of insulin resistance with antagonistic actions: leptin 76 increases insulin resistance whereas adiponectin increases insulin sensitivity. Serum leptin concentrations have been demonstrated to be positively correlated with backfat 77 78 depth in sows (De Rensis et al., 2005). High leptin levels from the end of mid pregnancy to farrowing are reported in breeding sows where gestational hyperphagia is managed 79 80 through dietary strategies (Saleri et al., 2015). The increase of maternal leptin levels 81 during pregnancy may also be a consequence of placental production. The expression 82 of the short leptin receptor form in the sows' placental tissue at farrowing has been observed (Saleri et al., 2015). 83

Inverse correlations between adiponectin and fat mass and between adiponectin and body mass index have been reported (Skvarca *et al.*, 2013). It has been shown that in pregnant women longitudinal changes in serum adiponectin concentrations occurs; lowest levels were seen in late pregnancy when insulin levels rise (Fuglsang *et al.*, 2006). An inverse correlation between levels of maternal adiponectin and insulin resistance as well as rates of endogenous glucose production has been observed (Ahlsson *et al.*, 2013).

The purpose of this study was to assess the trend of leptin and adiponectin plasma concentrations in pregnant sows with different backfat depth at mating-time. We hypothesised that the interaction between maternal backfat and adipokines constitutes one of the mechanisms behind the offspring growth.

95

96 Material and Methods

97 The study was carried out in compliance with Italian law (Lgs. D. 26/2014) on animal
98 experiments and all animals were housed in accordance with EC Directive 2010/63/UE
99 on animal husbandry.

100

101 Animals

102 Based on backfat (BF) depth at the end of lactation and parity order, 48 sows Large 103 White x Landrace were recruited and Low Fat (LF, 11.60 ± 1.51 mm; n=24) and High 104 Fat (**HF**, 18.20 ± 2.05 mm; n=24) groups were formed. Backfat depth was measured 105 by a single operator on both left and right side on standing sows using an ultrasound scanner and the mean value was utilised (Lean-meater, Renco Corporation, 106 107 Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) (Maes *et al.*, 2004). Parity order was 4.0 ± 2.1 and 3.6108 ± 1.2 for LF and HF groups, respectively. Sows were identified and moved in individual 109 pens in the same gestation room. At onset of standing oestrus (4.75 ± 0.95) days after 110 the end of lactation), they were artificially inseminated two times, at day 0 of gestation 111 and again 24 h later using, in both cases, semen pooled from five Large White x Duroc 112 boars. During gestation and transition period (from day 110 of gestation to day 3 after 113 farrowing) all sows received the same standard diets offered twice a day at 0800 h and 114 1600 h (Table 1). From 0 to day 10 after mating sows were fed 1.5 kg per day of the 115 gestation diet. The same diet was administered at a dose of 2 kg per day until day 28 116 when the sows were evaluated with ultrasound examination (Aloka SSD 500®, Hitachi 117 Medical System SpA, Milano, Italy; linear probe 5.0 MHz). One of the sows belonging 118 to the LF group was not pregnant and thus, it was removed from the study. From day 119 29 until day 109 of gestation, sows were housed in a group housing system and fed 120 2.5 kg/sow per day of the gestation diet. At day 110 of gestation, sows were moved to 121 individual farrowing pens and fed 2.5 kg per day of the transition diet until three days 122 after farrowing. Parturition was induced on day 113 of gestation by deep intramuscular 123 injection of 0.7 ml per head of cloprostenol sodium (PGF VEYX ®, Veyx-Pharma 124 GmbH, Söhreweg, Germany). The farrowing took place on day 114 of gestation for all 125 sows. Floor heating and an infrared lamp were used to create a microclimate for the 126 piglets. After 24 h from birth, litter sizes were standardised to 12 ± 2 pigs by cross-127 fostering within groups. Access to water was always available on an *ad libitum* basis. 128 Gestation took place in a temperature-controlled room with an average ambient 129 temperature of 20°C. The day of farrowing and in the next 72 hours the ambient 130 temperature was raised up to 23°C.

131

132 Measurements

The BF was evaluated at days 0, 28, 85 and 113 of gestation. In the same time-points, blood samples from sows were collected using jugular venepuncture in 10-ml vacutainer tubes with lithium heparin before the morning meal. Samples were immediately centrifuged (1327 × g for 10 min) and plasma was collected and stored at -20° C until analysis.

138 Number of total born, born alive, dead within the first 24 hours of life and gender were 139 recorded. Piglets were individually weighed (electronic dynamometer; Wunder Sa. Bi. 140 srl, Trezzo sull' Adda -MI, Italy) within the first 2 hours of life (**BW0**) and 24 h after birth 141 of first born piglet (BW24). Body mass index (BMI) and ponderal index (PI) of all piglets were calculated from the crown-rump length and birthweight (Baxter et al., 2008). Body 142 143 temperature was assessed at birth and at 24 h using an infrared ear thermometer 144 (accuracy 0.2°C) (GIMA, Gessate- MI, Italy). Before suckling colostrum, six piglets 145 born alive per litter - three males and three females- were held in dorsal recumbency 146 and 5 ml of blood from external jugular vein into vacutainer tubes with lithium heparin 147 were taken. The blood samples were processed in the same way as above mentioned 148 for sows.

149

150 Diets and blood analyses

Proximate analyses of diets were performed according to the Commission Regulation
(EC) 152/2009 laying down the methods of sampling and analysis for the official control
of feed (Annex III).

154 Adiponectin plasma concentration, was determined using a species specific commercial kit (Porcine Adiponectin ELISA, BioVendor, Brno, Czech Republic). The 155 sensitivity of the method was 0.03 ng/ml and the variability coefficients within and 156 157 among samples were 6.7% and 8.2%, respectively. Leptin plasma concentration was assessed using a commercial kit (Multispecies Leptin RIA- Linco Research, St. Louis, 158 159 MO, USA). The sensitivity of the method was 100 pg/ml and the variability coefficients 160 within and among samples were 4.7% and 9.1%, respectively. Plasma IGF-I content 161 was evaluated using a multispecies IGF-I ELISA (Alpco Diagnostic, Salem NH, USA), 162 according to manufacturer's instructions. The intra- and interassay coefficients of 163 variation were 7.8% and 5.3%, respectively. The minimal detection limit was 30 pg/ml. 164

165 Statistical analyses

Data on litter size were processed by ANOVA (SAS 9.4, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, 166 167 2013), according to a model including the group of sows (LF, HF) as a fixed factor and 168 the parity order as a covariate. Data on piglets were processed by ANOVA, according 169 to a model that included the fixed factors of the group of sows (LF, HF) and sex (M, F), 170 and the random factor of sow within the group. The data recorded on sows were 171 analysed according to a repeated measure model, including the group (LF, HF) as a 172 fixed factor and, as a covariate, the parity order. Time was included as the repeated 173 statement, and interaction between time and group was considered. Residual were 174 checked for normality by means of PROC UNIVARIATE. When not normally

175distributed, data were log transformed. Based on birthweight, piglets were divided in176four percentiles classes (25^{th} , 50^{th} , 75^{th} and 95^{th}) by means of PROC UNIVARIATE.177The chi square test was applied to the observed and expected frequencies of piglets,178as categorised in percentiles weight classes, in relation to the adiposity of sows.179Mortality per litter was evaluated applying the chi square test. The significance level180was set at $P \leq 0.05$.

- 181
- 182 **Results**
- 183

184 Sows parameters

Data on maternal adiposity changes during gestation are reported in Table 2. The 185 186 differences in backfat depth observed at mating between LF and HF groups persist for 187 the entire pregnancy (P < 0.05). During pregnancy, no differences in backfat depth gain were observed between groups (P > 0.05). Adiponectin and leptin plasma 188 189 concentrations and adiponectin-leptin ratio are summarised in Figure 1. Adiponectin 190 concentration showed a decrease from 0 to 113 days of gestation (P < 0.05): at these 191 point times, mean values (\pm SD) were 195.85 \pm 21.5 and 61.67 \pm 7.4 µg/ml for LF group and 98.19 ± 10.5 and 19.87 ± 2.4 µg/ml for HF group, respectively (Figure 1A). As 192 193 compared to LF group, plasma adiponectin was lower in HF group at mating, at day 194 85 of gestation (P < 0.05) and at farrowing (P = 0.05) (Figure 1A). After the first month 195 of gestation plasma leptin increased in both groups to reach the highest concentration 196 at farrowing (P < 0.05). No differences between groups were observed in plasma leptin 197 throughout the pregnancy (P > 0.05). At conception, 85 and 113 days of gestation, the 198 adiponectin-leptin ratio was lower in HF than in LF group (P < 0.05) (Figure 1B).

200 Newborn piglet's performance and hormone plasma levels

The effects of maternal BF depth on piglet's performance are reported in Table 3. No 201 202 differences were observed in respect to total born and born alive number between groups (P > 0.05). Conversely, maternal adiposity affected foetal growth; piglets born 203 204 from HF sows showed a higher BW0 (+ 7%; P <0.014) and BW24 (+ 4%; P <0.001) than those from LF sows. In addition, significant differences in percentile weight 205 grouping was observed (P < 0.001). On total born per group, 14% of piglets in the LF 206 207 and 7% in the HF group revealed a weight falling in the 25th percentile (\leq 1kg). 208 Anthropometric parameters (BMI, PI) were not affected by maternal BF depth (P >0.05). In the first 24 h of life, the thermoregulatory ability was the same in both groups 209 210 (P >0.05). Piglet's mortality from 0 to 24 h of life was 13% and 3% for LF and HF 211 groups, respectively (P=0.035). Plasma adiponectin and leptin levels were not affected 212 by maternal adiposity (P > 0.05) (Table 4). Higher IGF-I plasma levels were recorded in piglets born from HF than LF sows (P < 0.001). 213

214

215 **Discussion**

Pregnancy is a dynamic anabolic state during which nutritional needs increase due to 216 217 the growing foetus and the development of associated maternal tissues. Pregnancy-218 induced changes in body weight and fat deposition are physiological events of 219 maternal adaptation, linked to the hormonal pregnancy changes (i.e. progesterone, 220 prolactin and cortisol) (Saleri et al., 2015). A previous research reported that maternal 221 backfat depth at mating had a greater influence on offspring growth, than feed level 222 during gestation (Amdi et al., 2014). In this study, we focused on the endocrine role of 223 maternal adipose tissue during pregnancy, to investigate how a different adiposity at 224 mating could influence the evolution of pregnancy both in terms of maternal hormone

225 levels and weight and size of the newborn piglets. Firstly, the increase in maternal fat 226 stores during pregnancy was the same in both groups, but sows with the lower backfat 227 depth at mating gave birth to lighter piglets. The percentage of piglets weighing less 228 than 1 kg was double in LF than HF group. This result could suggest an intrauterine 229 growth restriction in piglets born from LF sows, although the thermoregulatory capacity 230 and anthropometric parameters were found to be adequate in all piglets (Amdi et al., 231 2013). It is known that foetal glucose/insulin/insulin-like growth factor axis is the main 232 driver of growth (Hellström *et al.*, 2016). In the foetus, growth is directly modulated by 233 IGF system and it is not regulated by growth hormone. Insulin-like growth factor-I and 234 -II are expressed in foetal tissue only until birth. Insulin-like growth factor-II expression 235 is more extensive in foetal tissues than IGF-I from mid to late gestation in rodents and 236 humans (Randhawa and Cohen, 2005). It has been shown that a poor maternal body 237 condition at conception and in early pregnancy is signaled to the foetus which reacts 238 by promoting peripheral glucose utilization, essential for brain and heart, and by 239 reducing the demand for amino acids for growth, thereby to maintain energy supply at 240 the expense of growth (Bloomfield et al., 2013). After birth, GH stimulates IGF-I secretion with a quick increase of IGF-I blood levels. In our study, lower IGF-I values 241 242 were observed in piglets born from LF compared to HF group. Energy balance is 243 modulated also by adipose tissue hormones, i.e adipokines, which are acting to 244 regulate insulin sensitivity, appetite and lipid metabolism (Ahlsson et al., 2013). In both 245 groups, the most abundant adipokines produced by adipose tissue, leptin and 246 adiponectin, showed an inverse trend during pregnancy. Physiologically, decreased 247 adiponectin and increased leptin concentrations are associated with insulin-resistant 248 state (Ahlsson et al., 2013). However, it is known that the regulation of maternal 249 adiponectin and leptin during pregnancy becomes very complex. The high levels of 250 leptin in pregnancy are in agreement with a mechanism of resistance to central leptin 251 action previously reported in swine (Saleri et al., 2015), in humans and mice (Trujillo 252 et al., 2011). Production and regulation by non-adipose tissue, i.e placenta, contribute 253 to this increase in pregnant sows. Probably, the increase in maternal leptin enhances 254 the mobilization of maternal fat stores to increase the transplacental transfer of lipids 255 to the foetus during the latter stages of pregnancy (Walsh et al., 2014). In isolated rat 256 adipocytes, leptin inhibits accumulation of lipids by increasing the turnover of 257 triglycerides, inhibiting basal and insulin-stimulated de novo lipogenesis, but stimulating oxidation of glucose and free fatty acids (Harris, 2014). In humans, 258 259 maternal leptin concentration was not associated with infant birthweight (Misra et al., 2013). Similarly, in our study, leptin levels in sows and piglets do not differ between 260 261 groups, even though differences in birthweight of piglets were observed. These results 262 support that leptin may plays a permissive role in foetal growth. In addition, it has been 263 shown that leptin deficiency in women is not associated with major abnormalities 264 (Christou et al., 2002). To clarify the leptin role, it is important to take into account also 265 the adiponectin role. The strict link between adiponectin and leptin is underlined by the plasma adiponectin to leptin ratio (A/L ratio) which has been proposed as a better 266 marker for insulin resistance in pregnancy than leptin or adiponectin alone (Skvarca et 267 268 al., 2013). Our data showed that the A/L ratio is lower in HF than LF group, as evidence 269 that HF sows could have a better ability to provide adequate carbohydrate availability 270 for the fast-growing foetus. The lower adiponectin levels detected in HF than LF group 271 match the higher birthweight of offspring. Therefore, adiponectin may be an important 272 player in the mechanism by which maternal energy is stored in fat mass leading the 273 pattern of foetal growth (Zhang et al., 2016). Since we detected the same trend in the 274 adiponectin release in both groups, we hypothesised that adiponectin in pregnant sows

275 is involved in the management of mother's energy resources, regardless their backfat 276 depth. In the concept of energy balance, we can see a complex of mechanisms involving nutrient availability, growth and immunity. In this sense, adiponectin role is 277 278 also connected to the success of pregnancy: a crucial aspect is the maternal immune 279 tolerance of the foetus. Maternal immune responses regulate the early key events of 280 pregnancy (i.e., implantation, angiogenesis, and vascular remodeling) and 281 abnormalities in these early events are associated with foetuses' intrauterine growth 282 restriction and survival (Morelli et al., 2015). It is known that intrauterine growth restriction results in significant perinatal and long-term complications, including 283 284 increased neonatal mortality and morbidity. We may hypothesise that also in sow, 285 adiponectin might play an active role in this mechanism. In support of this hypothesis, 286 recently the presence of adiponectin and its receptors was demonstrated in the porcine 287 uteri, conceptuses, and trophoblasts during early pregnancy (Smolinska et al., 2012). 288 In vitro studies, it was showed that adiponectin arouses pro-inflammatory cytokine 289 production in human trophoblasts (i.e., IL-1ß and IL-8) and placenta (i.e., IL-1ß, IL-6 290 and TNF α) and thus it may be detrimental to the initiation and progression of pregnancy (Lappas et al., 2005; McDonald and Wolfe, 2011). In our study, the maternal immune 291 292 responses did not influence the litter number. However, the different plasma levels of 293 adiponectin observed between the groups of sows was associated with different weight 294 of the piglets at birth. All together, these findings suggest a critical role of adipokines, 295 i.e leptin and adiponectin, in modulating maternal energy balance in different stage of 296 pregnancy. Keeping an eye on the new findings on the endocrine function of adipose 297 tissue, we propose that the adiposity at mating time can be used as an effective 298 indicator to optimise the reproduction efficiency of sow. Endocrinology of maternal

adipose tissue and its influence on the offspring request further studies to elucidate the

300 pathways involved in foetus growth and its adaptation to intrauterine environment.

301

302 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr P. Benaglia for technical assistance. The authorsdeclare that there are no conflicts of interest.

305

306 **References**

- Ahlsson F, Diderholm B, Ewald U, Jonsson B, Forslund A, Stridsberg M and Gustafsson J
 2013. Adipokines and their relation to maternal energy substrate production, insulin
 resistance and fetal size. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and
 Reproductive Biology 168, 26-29.
- Amdi C, Giblin L, Ryan T, Stickland NC and Lawlor PG 2014. Maternal backfat depth in
 gestating sows has a greater influence on offspring growth and carcass lean yield than
 maternal feed allocation during gestation. Animal 8, 236-244.
- Amdi C, Krogh U, Flummer C, Oksbjerg N, Hansen CF and Theil PK 2013. Intrauterine growth
- 315 restricted piglets defined by their head shape ingest insufficient amounts of colostrum.
- Journal of Animal Science 91, 5605-5613.
- 317 Aye IL, Powell TL and Jansson T 2013. Adiponectin The missing Link between maternal 318 adiposity, placental transport and fetal growth? Placenta 34, (suppl 34) S40-S45.
- 319 Baxter EM, Jarvis S, D'Eath RB, Ross DW, Robson SK, Farish M, Nevison IM, Lawrence AB
- and Edwards SA 2008. Investigating the behavioural and physiological indicators of
 neonatal survival in pigs. Theriogenology 69, 773-783.
- Bloomfield FH, Spiroski A and Harding JE 2013. Fetal growth factors and fetal nutrition.
 Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 18, 118-123.
- Christou H, Serdy S and Mantzoros CS 2002. Leptin in relation to growth and developmental
 processes in the fetus. Seminars in Reproductive Medicine 20, 123-129.

De Rensis F, Superchi P and Kirkwood RN 2005. Relationships between backfat depth and
 plasma leptin during lactation and sow reproductive performance after weaning. Animal
 Reproduction Science 90, 95-100.

- Fuglsang J, Skjaerbaek C, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A and Ovesen P 2006. A longitudinal study of
 serum adiponectin during normal pregnancy. British Journal of Obstetrics and
 Gynaecology 113, 110-113.
- Harris RBS 2014. Direct and indirect effects of leptin on adipocyte metabolism. Biochimica et
 Biophysica Acta 1842, 414-423.
- Hellström A, Ley D, Hansen-Pupp I, Hallberg B, Löfqvist C, van Marter L, van Weissenbruch
 M, Ramenghi LA, Beardsall K, Dunger D, Hård AL and Smith LEI 2016. Insulin-like
 growth factor 1 has multisystem effects on foetal and preterm infant development. Acta
 Pædiatrica 105, 576-586.
- Jansson T and Powell TL 2006. Human placental transport in altered fetal growth: does the
 placenta function as a nutrient sensor? Placenta 27, (suppl.A) S91-S97.
- Lappas M, Permezel M and Rice GE 2005. Leptin and adiponectin stimulate the release of
 proinflammatory cytokines and prostaglandins from human placenta and maternal
 adipose tissue via nuclear factor-κB, peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor-y and
 extracellularly regulated kinase ½. Endocrinology 146, 3334-3342.
- Maes DGD, Janssens GPJ, Delputte P, Lammertyn A and de Kruif A 2004. Back fat measurements in sows from three commercial pig herds: relationship with reproductive efficiency and correlation with visual body condition scores. Livestock Production Science 91, 57-67.
- McDonald EA and Wolfe MW 2011. The proinflammatory role of adiponectin at the maternal fetal interface. American Journal of Reproductive Immunology 66, 128-136.
- Misra VK, Straughen JK and Trudeau S 2013. Maternal serum leptin during pregnancy and
 infant birth weight: the influence of maternal overweight and obesity. Obesity 21, 10641069.

- Morelli S, Mandal M, Goldsmith LT, Kashani BN and Ponzio NM 2015. The maternal immune
 system during pregnancy and its influence on fetal development. Research and
 Reports in Biology 6, 171-189.
- Noblet J and Perez JM 1993. Prediction of digestibility of nutrients and energy values of pig
 diets from chemical analysis. Journal of Animal Science 71, 3389–3398.
- 358 Père MC, Etienne M and Dourmad JY 2000. Adaptations of glucose metabolism in multiparous
- 359 sows: Effects of pregnancy and feeding level. Journal of Animal Science 78, 2933-360 2941.
- Randhawa R and Cohen P 2005. The role of the insulin-like growth factor system in prenatal
 growth. Molecular Genetics and Metabolism 86, 84-90.
- Redmer DA, Wallace JM and Reynolds LP 2004. Effect of nutrient intake during pregnancy on
 fetal and placental growth and vascular development. Domestic Animal Endocrinology
 27, 199-217.
- 366 Saleri R, Sabbioni A, Cavalli V and Superchi P 2015. Monitoring blood plasma leptin and
 367 lactogenic hormones in pregnant sows. Animal 9, 629-634.
- 368 Skvarca A, Tomazic M, Blagus R, Krhin B and Janez A 2013. Adiponectin/leptin ratio and 369 insulin resistance in pregnancy. Journal of International Medical Research 41, 123-128.
- 370 Smolinska N, Maleszka A, Dobrzyn K, Kiezun M, Szeszko C and Kaminski T 2012. Expression
- of adiponectin and adiponectin receptors 1 and 2 in the porcine uterus, conceptus, and
 trophoblast during early pregnancy. Theriogenology 82, 951-965.
- Trujillo ML, Spuch C, Carro E and Señarís R 2011. Hyperphagia and central mechanisms for
 leptin resistance during pregnancy. Endocrinology 152, 1355-1365.
- Walsh JM, Byrne J, Mahony RM, Foley ME and McAuliffe FM 2014. Leptin, fetal growth and
 insulin resistance in non-diabetic pregnancies. Early Human Development 90, 271-274.
- Zhang Z, Lu Q, Huang J, Jiao C, Huang S and Mao L 2016. Maternal and cord blood
 adiponectin levels in relation to post-natal body size in infants in the first year of life: a
 prospective study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 16,189-195.

	Gestation diet	Transition diet
	(g/kg)	(g/kg)
Ingredients:		
Wheat bran	240	
Barley	230	267
Corn	180	310
Unmolassed sugar-beet pulp	147	150
Sunflower meal	137	
Soybean meal		135
Fish meal		46
Soybean protein		10
Soybean oil	15	20
Dextrose		40
Rapeseed meal	20	
Ground limestone	15	
Dicalcium phosphate	10	15
Sodium chloride	4	4
Lysine HCI	0.5	1
Vitamins and minerals ¹	1.5	2.0
Chemical analysis:		
Dry matter (g/kg)	878	872
CP (g/kg)	141.2	153.6
Crude fibre (g/kg)	82.2	58.4
Crude fat (g/kg)	38.2	42.1
Digestible energy (MJ/kg) ²	12.9	13.5

380 **Table 1** Ingredients and chemical analysis of diets (on air dry basis g/kg as fed)

¹ Supplied per for kg of diet : Cu: 40 mg, Zn: 80 mg Fe: 150 mg, Se: 0.2 mg, I: 0.6 mg, Mn: 50 mg,
vitamin A: 12,000 IU, vitamin D3: 1,000 IU, vitamin E: 100 IU, vitamin K: 20 mg, vitamin B12: 55 µg,
vitamin B1: 2 mg, vitamin B2: 5 mg, vitamin B6: 1.5 mg, nicotinic acid: 12 mg, pantothenic acid: 10 mg,
folic acid: 5 mg, choline chloride: 500 mg, biotin: 200 µg.

385 ² According to the equation proposed by Noblet and Perez (1993).

387 **Table 2** *Pregnancy changes in backfat depth in sows with Low Fat or High Fat at the*

	Groups			
	LF	HF	SEM	P - value
BF depth (mm)				
day of mating	11.9	17.1	1.69	<0.001
day 28 of gestation	12.4	17.3	1.77	0.003
day 85 of gestation	13.9	18.9	1.47	0.013
day 113 of gestation	14.6	19.7	1.47	<0.001
Δ BF (mating – day 113 of gestation) (mm)	2.7	2.5	0.48	0.358

388 end of the previous lactation (Least squares mean values ± SEM).

389 LF (Low Fat; 11.6 \pm 1.5 mm) and HF (High Fat; 18.2 \pm 2.0 mm); BF= backfat

391 **Table 3** Effects of maternal backfat depth on anthropometric parameters and growth

Groups					
	LF	HF	SEM	P-value	
Total born (n.)	17.2	15.7	3.16	0.327	
Born alive (n.)	15.1	14.1	1.94	0.182	
BW0 (g)	1244	1334	278	0.014	
BW24 (g)	1310	1360	85	<0.001	
BMI (kg/m²)	20.87	20.63	2.091	0.384	
PI (kg/m³)	83.98	84.44	6.739	0.599	
Body temperature (°C)					
at birth	37.92	37.78	0.947	0.267	
at 24 h	38.75	38.71	0.495	0.608	

392 performance of piglets (Least squares mean values ± SEM).

393 LF (low fat: 11.6 ± 1.5 mm) and HF (high fat: 18.2 ± 2.0 mm) are referred to backfat depth recorded by 394 sows at the end of the previous lactation; BW0= Birthweight; BW24= Body weight at 24 h of life; BMI=

395 body mass index; PI= ponderal index

397 **Table 4** Plasma adiponectin, leptin and IGF-I in newborn piglets in relation to backfat depth of

398	sows (Least squares mean values ± SEM).
-----	---

Groups				
	LF	HF	SEM	P-value
Adiponectin (µg/ml)	14.3	14.9	1.66	0.339
Leptin (ng/ml)	3.0	3.3	0.57	0.096
IGF-I (pg/ml)	4.4	5.6	1.00	< 0.001

399 LF (low fat: 11.6 ± 1.5 mm) and HF (high fat: 18.2 ± 2.0 mm) are referred to backfat depth recorded by

400 sows at the end of the previous lactation

402 Figure caption

Figure 1 Trend of adiponectin and leptin (A) and adiponectin/leptin ratio (B) during
gestation, in relation to backfat depth of sows. Blood sampling period started at the
day of mating (0) and ended at the day 113 of gestation. Error bars indicate standard
deviation. Differences between groups within sampling time are labelled * (P<0.05).
Differences among sampling time within groups are labelled a,b,c, (P<0.05).

