Many-Body Models for Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity in Electron Transfer

[Alessandro](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Alessandro+Chiesa"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Chiesa, Elena [Garlatti,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Elena+Garlatti"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Matteo [Mezzadri,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Matteo+Mezzadri"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Leonardo](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Leonardo+Celada"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Celada, [Roberta](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Roberta+Sessoli"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Sessoli, Michael R. [Wasielewski,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Michael+R.+Wasielewski"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Robert](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Robert+Bittl"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Bittl, Paolo [Santini,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Paolo+Santini"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Stefano [Carretta](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Stefano+Carretta"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-4-0)

KEYWORDS: *Chirality-Induced Spin-Selectivity, Electron Transfer, Spin Polarization, Many-Body Models, Electron Correlations*

The Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) effect is ^a stunning but still not understood phenomenon in which electrons are strongly spin polarized when passing through chiral molecules. It attracted an increasing interest $1,2$ $1,2$ $1,2$ for explaining chemical reactions^{[3](#page-5-0)} or biological processes^{1,4} and for applications in spintronics^{[5](#page-5-0)} and quantum technologies.^{[6,7](#page-5-0)}

CISS was detected on a variety of systems, 1 using different experimental techniques.^{1,8,[9](#page-5-0)} Many models were proposed to interpret transport and photoemission experiments, 10 but a univocal explanation is still lacking.^{[11](#page-5-0)} Spin polarization is originated by spin–orbit coupling (SOC) , $12-14$ $12-14$ $12-14$ typically small in organic systems. Hence, additional interactions are needed to amplify spin polarization to the observed level. Single-
electron models^{[12,13](#page-5-0),[15](#page-5-0)−[20](#page-5-0)} generally yield small spin polarization with strong decoherence. Models for transport indicate that this can be enhanced^{[10,21](#page-5-0)–[26](#page-5-0)} by electron–electron^{21,[23](#page-5-0)} or electron–vibration^{22,[27](#page-6-0)–[29](#page-6-0)} interactions.

To disentangle the role of various ingredients, a different approach exploiting a simpler setup was recently proposed.[30](#page-6-0)[−][32](#page-6-0) Photoinduced electron transfer (ET) through a chiral molecular bridge enables to remove complex interfaces or metals with large SOC and to focus on the bridge. Fay and Limmer theoretically showed that an exchange interaction between the moving electron and the unpaired one on the donor can lead to a spin polarization.^{[33](#page-6-0)} However, such interaction is lacking in transport or photoemission experiments. Moreover, this model focuses on the initial and chargeseparated states, without a microscopic description of the internal degrees of freedom of the bridge. Very recently, CISS was observed in photoinduced ET.^{[34](#page-6-0)} This finding definitely

moves the focus to the only player present in all experiments, i.e. the chiral bridge, whose explicit description in ET is still lacking.^{[31](#page-6-0),[33](#page-6-0)}

Here we provide such a microscopic description by a Fermionic many-body model explicitly accounting for the internal degrees of freedom of the bridge. The large spin polarization (above 50%) observed in ET^{34} and transport measurements^{[35](#page-6-0)} on short chiral molecules indicates that the underlying mechanism should be captured by a minimal model on a limited number of sites, which therefore is our focus. In contrast with transport models, $2^{1,29}$ $2^{1,29}$ $2^{1,29}$ this permits an exact numerical solution of the ET dynamics, including electron− electron interactions, coherent and incoherent coupling with vibrations. Such a minimal model provides a deep insight into the spin polarization mechanism and allows us to explore different regimes of parameters.

We obtain a sizable spin polarization with reasonable parameters and highlight the crucial role of electron−electron interactions, consistently with proposals for transport.^{[10](#page-5-0),[21,22](#page-5-0)} Finally, we investigate the long-time relaxation of the donorchiral bridge-acceptor supramolecule, establishing opposite local spin polarization on donor and acceptor with the bridge in the ground singlet, as experimentally observed. 34

Received: June 20, 2024 Revised: August 23, 2024 Accepted: August 23, 2024 Published: September 22, 2024

© ²⁰²⁴ The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society **¹²¹³³**

Figure 1. System description and spin polarization dynamics. (a) Model system, consisting of a 4-site chiral bridge with nearest-neighbor spinindependent hopping (black), next-to-nearest-neighbor SOC (red, only the most relevant *z* component included) and on-site Coulomb repulsion (green). Sites 1 and 4 are coupled to the donor (excited) and acceptor states by an incoherent spin-independent hopping driven by a large energy gap, characterized by a rate Γ. (b) Energy level structure of the bridge many-body states with *N* + 1 (black) electrons. In blue (red) the energy levels for *N* electron on the bridge and one electron on the donor (acceptor). Only states within dashed lines are in the proper energy window to be involved in the ET. (c,d) Example of simulated electron transfer dynamics. (c) Charge on D, A ($n_i = n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$, $i = D$, A) and $\chi n_{\chi} = \sum_{i \in \chi} (n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow})$. On *χ* we have subtracted the initial value, 4. (d) Corresponding spin polarization $p_i = (n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})/n_i$ on A (black), D (red), and χ (blue). Inset: spin expectation values along the bridge axis 2⟨*S_{z,i}*⟩ = *n_{i↑}* − *n_{i↓}* for bridge (blue), acceptor (black), and sum of the two (magenta). Detailed oscillations of *n_i* and *p_i* (*i* = 1, ..., 4) are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S3. Simulation parameters: $t/U = 0.0125$, $\lambda/U = 6.25 \times 10^{-4}$, $\Gamma/U = 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$.

■ **MODEL SYSTEM**

To investigate the role of correlations, we consider a prototypical many-body model and describe the chiral bridge *χ* by a half-filled chain of *N* orbitals and *N* electrons with a singlet ground state, characterized by

$$
H_{\chi} = -t \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i+1,\sigma} + U \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + i\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} v_{i} \cdot \sigma c_{i+2,\sigma'} + \text{h. c.}
$$
\n(1)

Here $c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}$ ($c_{i\sigma}$) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin σ on site *i* and $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$. The first term is the hopping between neighboring sites, the second is the on-site Coulomb repulsion,[36,37](#page-6-0) and the last one is the spin−orbit coupling in form of a spin-dependent next-to-nearest neighbor hopping . We follow the minimal model by Fransson, 21 which does not target a specific system and hence yields general qualitative conclusions[○](#page-5-0). The vector *^υⁱ* is defined referring to ^a helix shape of the molecule with a single turn, radius *a*, pitch *c* and positions of the sites $\mathbf{r}_i = [a \cos\{(i-1)2\pi/(N-1)\}, a \sin\{(i-1)2\pi/(N-1)\}]$ $(-1)(2\pi/(N-1))$, $(i-1)c/(N-1)$, $v_i = d_{i+1} \times d_{i+2}$ and d_{i+s} $= (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i+s})/|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i+s}|$. Here, we assume $a = c$ as in ref [20](#page-5-0). Changing the enantiomer corresponds to the transformation $(v_{x,i}, v_{y,i}, v_{z,i}) \rightarrow (-v_{x,i}, v_{y,i}, v_{z,i})$. Donor (D) and Acceptor (A) are modeled by two additional sites at the two ends of the bridge [see Figure 1-(a) for $N = 4$], incoherently coupled to it (see below). A fast incoherent multistep ET was directly observed in many systems, such as the PXX-NMI₂−NDI molecule displaying CISS. 34 In eq 1 we assume degenerate orbitals, but this condition can be relaxed (see below). In addition, we do not explicitly consider the electrostatic energy contribution due to the charge separation from D to A^{40} .

The initial state after photoexcitation has two electrons in the singlet state on the two relevant donor orbitals, one each [blue oval in Figure 1- (a)]. In the absence of a coherent coupling between D and *χ* and before relaxation, we can trace out the state of the electron in the donor ground orbital and restrict to the moving electron, as demonstrated in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S1. Hence, we consider *many-body* eigenstates $|\psi_u\rangle$ of the full $supra$ -molecular Hamiltonian $H = H_\chi + H_D + H_A$ $(H_i =$ $\sum_{\sigma} E_i n_{i,\sigma}$, $i = D, A$) for $N + 1 = 5$ electrons. These can be gathered into factorized $|\psi_k^N \sigma_{D/A}\rangle$ states with N electrons on the bridge and one either on the excited D orbital or on A, and $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ states with $N+1$ electrons delocalized on the bridge [Figure 1-(b), bottom]. The energies of these states are sketched in Figure 1-(b), with some of the $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ lying in the energy window between the lowest-energy $|\psi_k^N \sigma_D \rangle$ and all the $|\psi_k^N \sigma_A \rangle$ states.

To drive ET, we consider jump operators $X_D = \sum_{\sigma} c_{i=1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{D\sigma} + h.c.$ and $X_A = \sum_{\sigma} c_{A\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i=4,\sigma} + h.c.$, inducing spinindependent hopping from the donor excited orbital onto the bridge or from the bridge to the acceptor and we derive (see [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf)) the Redfield equation^{[41](#page-6-0)} for the system density matrix ρ :

$$
\hbar \frac{d\rho}{d\tau} = -i[H, \rho] + \Gamma \sum_{\xi = D, A} \left(Y_{\xi} \rho X_{\xi}^{\dagger} - X_{\xi}^{\dagger} Y_{\xi} \rho + \text{h. c.} \right)
$$
\n(2)

The first term of eq 2 describes the coherent evolution, while the second the incoherent transfer with Y_{ξ} = $\sum_{\mu,\nu} |\psi_{\mu}\rangle \langle \psi_{\nu} | \langle \psi_{\mu} | X_{\xi} | \psi_{\nu} \rangle D_{\mu,\nu}$ *D_{μ,ν}* are proportional to the bath spectral function $\Im(x)$ and to the Bose-Einstein factor $n(x) = \left[\exp(x/k_B T) - 1 \right]^{-1}$ (for $E_\nu < E_\mu$) or $n(x) + 1$ (for $E_\nu > E_\mu$) evaluated at $x = |E_\nu - E_\mu|$, i.e. the energy gap between

Figure 2. Spin polarization mechanism. (a) Lowest-energy many-body states $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ $(j=1,...,32)$ within the $(N+1)$ -electron subspace. On the left, a sketch of the charge distribution of the (*N* + 1) = 5 delocalized electrons on *χ*, calculated as the expectation value of *ni* . (b) Zoom on the lowest energy block of the $(N + 1)$ -electron subspace. (c) Scheme of nonzero populations (red and blue) and coherences (green) in the density matrix generated by the jump operator *X_D* from D to *χ* and corresponding matrix elements of the Hamiltonian (symbols), explicitly shown for the two lowest energy doublets of panel (b) with $\tilde{\lambda}_z \propto \lambda v_z$. In this panel, to highlight the effect of SOC, we use the eigenbasis of *H* without SOC (i.e., λ = 0). (d) Time evolution of 2 $\langle S_{z,i=4} \rangle$ for an initial state prepared into $X_D \rho(0) X_D^{\dagger}$ (with population only in the lowest energy block of Figure 2-(a), i.e. $|\psi^{N+1}_j\rangle$, $j=1$, …, 8) and using t/U = 0.0125, λ_z/U = 0.0005. With the basis used in panel (c), the amplitude of the oscillations is proportional the real part of intermultiplet coherences. Results for the two enantiomers (corresponding to opposite $\tilde{\lambda}_z$) are represented by different colors, while dashed lines are obtained by halving correlations (i.e., using $t/U = 0.025$, $\lambda_z/U = 0.001$). (e) Full time evolution of $2\langle S_{z,i=4}\rangle$ including both coherent and incoherent dynamics in [eq](#page-1-0) 2 and separated into contributions of states with either *N* or *N* + 1 electrons on *χ*. The latter is proportional to the derivative of *SzA* accumulated on the acceptor (f), which can therefore be obtained from the shaded area in (e).

eigenstates $|\psi_{\mu}\rangle$ and $|\psi_{\nu}\rangle$ of Hamiltonian *H*. Any dependence on specific properties of the bath is eliminated by considering the low-temperature limit and assuming energy independent (x) (wide-band approximation⁴²), thus reducing $D_{\mu,\nu}$ to $\Theta(E_{\nu} - E_{\mu})$, Θ being the Heaviside step-function. This implies that only the subset of $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ states lying in the correct energy window [see [Figure](#page-1-0) 1- (b)] is involved in the ET. We have checked that these assumptions do not significantly alter our results (see calculations with a typical Debye spectral density function^{33,[43](#page-6-0)} in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S2). Other effects of temperature (e.g., a change of the initial state and of vibrations) are nontrivial and beyond the scope of this work.

Additional incoherent terms, modulating, e.g., hopping between different sites of the bridge, could be included in [eq](#page-1-0) [2](#page-1-0). However, they act on a much slower time scale compared to both the coherent dynamics (in particular in the $(N + 1)$ electron subspace) and the rates Γ (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S4). Hence, we consider the associated relaxation dynamics on the bridge separately after the ET process (see below).

An example of the simulated charge separation dynamics and of the corresponding spin polarization is reported in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1-(c,d). The system is initialized into $\rho(0) = |\psi_0^N\rangle \langle \psi_0^N|$ ⊗(|↓*D*⟩⟨↓*D*|+|↑*D*⟩⟨↑*D*|)/2, i.e. the singlet ground state with *N* electrons on *χ* and a mixture of |↑⟩ and |↓⟩ in the donor excited state, as obtained from an excited singlet on D, after tracing out the electron in the ground orbital. [Figure](#page-1-0) $1-(c)$ depicts that the charge $n_i = n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$ is completely transferred from D to A, after slightly populating $(N + 1)$ -electron states on χ . In parallel, spin polarization $p_A = 2S_{zA}/n_A = (n_{A\uparrow} - n_{A\downarrow})/n_A$ quickly raises

on A and then stabilizes at about 20% [black line in [Figure](#page-1-0) 1- (d)], accompanied by a negative spin polarization distributed on the four sites of χ [$S_{z,i}$ is the spin component along χ on site *i*].

Since we focus on the effect of correlations, we report the parameters in units of the Coulomb interaction *U*. In [Figure](#page-1-0) 1- (C,d) *t* = 0.0125*U*, λ = 6.25 × 10⁻⁴*U* and Γ = 2.5 × 10⁻⁴*U*. By setting $U = 4$ eV, we get $t = 0.05$ eV, $\lambda = 2.5$ meV and $\Gamma = 1$ meV. These numbers for $t^{21,23,44,45}$ $t^{21,23,44,45}$ $t^{21,23,44,45}$ $t^{21,23,44,45}$ $t^{21,23,44,45}$ and $\lambda^{14,20,46}$ $\lambda^{14,20,46}$ $\lambda^{14,20,46}$ $\lambda^{14,20,46}$ are reasonable for many systems and in particular the small *t* is typical of DNA.^{[13](#page-5-0),[14,19,](#page-5-0)[40](#page-6-0),[47](#page-6-0)} The resulting time scale of ET is on the order of 10 ps.

INSIGHT INTO THE SPIN POLARIZATION MECHANISM

To understand the mechanism building up a spin polarization on A we need to focus on the $(N + 1)$ – electron many-body states $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ reported in Figure 2-(a,b). The lowest energy $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ ($j = 1, ..., 32$) are organized in four blocks with different charge distribution (sketched on the left), split by ∼ *t* [Figure 2-(a)]. Each block displays a similar spin structure, consisting of two total-spin doublets $S = 1/2$ and a higher-energy quartet $S = 3/2$, split by the exchange $J = 4t^2/U$ [Figure 2-(b)]. SOC further splits the quartet into two Kramer's pairs (crosses vs circles). Note that, due to the energy dependent term $D_{\mu,\nu}$ in [eq](#page-1-0) 2, only some $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ states participate in the ET [we consider the lowest energy block of Figure 2-(a)].

The ingredients contributing to the rise of p_A are depicted in Figure 2-(c-e). First (1), the incoherent jump operator X_D

Figure 3. Dependence of spin polarization on model parameters. (a-c) Acceptor spin polarization $p_A = (n_{A\uparrow} - n_{A\downarrow})/(n_{A\uparrow} + n_{A\downarrow})$ as a function of *J* $= 4t^2/U$, and of Γ with cuts along *J* (a) and Γ (b) for fixed $\lambda = 6.25 \times 10^{-4}U$ and the whole colormap (c). (d) Dependence of p_A on λ , for the two opposite enantiomers [related by the transformation $(v_{x\beta}v_{y\beta}v_{z\beta}) \to (-v_{x\beta}v_{y\beta}v_{z\beta})$ in [eq](#page-1-0) 1], using t/\bar{U} = 0.0125 and Γ/U = 3.125 \times 10⁻⁴.

generates both populations and *coherences*[□](#page-5-0) [colors in panel (c)] between different multiplets of [Figure](#page-2-0) 2-(b). Then, the combined effect of *J* (which splits different multiplets) and *λ* (which mixes them) as sketched in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2- (c) , yields coherent oscillations (2) of the local spin polarization on different sites, and in particular of $S_{z,i=4}$ [[Figure](#page-2-0) 2-(d)]. Different enantiomers yield opposite *Szi* (red/blue curves). Spin polarization originates from the small SOC, whose effect on the coherent evolution *can become significant in the presence of a similar energy scale*, provided by *J*. The amplitude of these oscillations is proportional to the intermultiplet coherence, which increases for small *J* $\propto t^2/U$, i.e. strong correlations.

The coherent oscillations of $\langle S_{zi} \rangle$ are necessary but not sufficient to explain the spin polarization *accumulated* on A. The last ingredient (3) is represented by the incoherent terms in the Redfield [eq](#page-1-0) 2. Hence, we derive (see [SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) the variation of charge on A for an elementary time step according to [eq](#page-1-0) 2. We find

$$
d\langle n_{A\sigma}\rangle = \text{Tr}[d\rho n_{A\sigma}]
$$

=
$$
\Gamma d\tau \text{Tr}[Y_A \rho X_A^{\dagger} + X_A \rho Y_A^{\dagger}] \propto \text{Tr}[n_{i=4,\sigma}\rho^{(N+1)}],
$$
 (3)

i.e. the variation of $\langle n_{A\sigma} \rangle$ is proportional to $n_{i=4,\sigma}$ within the (*N* + 1)-electron subspace. Hence, ⟨*SzA*⟩ is proportional to the time integral of $\langle S_{z,i=4} \rangle$ within the $(N + 1)$ -electron subspace. Note that $\langle S_{z,i=4} \rangle$ oscillates, but it is *always positive* within the $(N + 1)$ -electron subspace [[Figure](#page-2-0) 2-(e)]. Hence, its integral $(\alpha \langle S_{zA} \rangle)$ is a monotonic increasing function [[Figure](#page-2-0) 2-(f)], resulting from the interplay between coherent and incoherent dynamics on similar time scales \heartsuit . The sign of the spin polarization does not depend on the specific choice of the parameters *t*, *U* and Γ, but is reversed by changing the molecular chirality. This effect on spin polarization practically vanishes if correlations (responsible of the multiplet structure of the $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ states) are neglected.

■ **NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS**

Having clarified the spin polarization mechanism, we investigate the dependence of p_A on the model parameters. Results are summarized in Figure 3. Panels $(a-c)$ show the behavior of p_A as a function of the incoherent transfer rate Γ and of the exchange *J*, for fixed $\lambda = 6.25 \times 10^{-4}$ *U*. We note a

maximum, both as a function of $J = 4t^2/U$ and of Γ , more evident in the cuts reported in panels (a) and (b). This nontrivial dependence highlights the complex interplay between coherent and incoherent dynamics. Remarkably, p_A vanishes for vanishing correlations (i.e., large *t*/*U*) and reaches its maximum for small *J*. The highest spin polarization ∼30% is achieved for *J*/*U* = 1.56×10^{-4} (corresponding to *t*/*U* ≈ 6.25 × 10[−]³) and intermediate Γ ≈ 2 × 10[−]⁴ *U*. This condition *J* ∼ Γ for the optimal spin polarization is consistent with findings of refs [33,](#page-6-0) [48.](#page-6-0) in a simplified model which does not include the degrees of freedom of the bridge. Note, however, that the meaning of *J* is very different in refs [33,](#page-6-0) [48](#page-6-0) because here it represents the exchange interaction within the bridge yielding entangled many-body states, while there it accounts for the coupling between the transferred electron and the one remaining on D.

Note that p_A also depends on the number of $|\psi_j^{N+1}\rangle$ states participating in the ET and it strongly decreases by including higher energy blocks in [Figure](#page-2-0) 2-(a). Figure 3-(d) reports the increase of $|p_A|$ with λ , with opposite sign for different enantiomers.

Energy gaps between orbitals⁴⁰ would possibly yield more localized eigenstates, affecting ET. We investigate this and find a significant spin polarization even in the presence of a sizable gap *ε* ≫ *λ* between orbitals ([Figure](#page-4-0) 4, magenta line). Moreover, strong electron coupling with local vibrations which can occur in these systems^{[49](#page-6-0)} leads to a renormalization of the orbital energies which can effectively reduce *ε*, and hence result in spin polarization dynamics close to [Figure](#page-1-0) 1. Although an extensive study on polarons is beyond the scope of this work, we show this by the simulations of [Figure](#page-4-0) 4, where an energy gap *ε* on one site with respect to the others and the strong coupling with a local vibration are explicitly included (see [SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf).

■ **DISCUSSION**

The final state in the simulations above is a superposition/ mixture of various many-body eigenstates $|\psi_k^N \sigma_A \rangle$ and is expected to undergo thermal relaxation. This will occur on a time scale longer than ET, but slower than EPR experiments, 34 > 10−50 ns. Therefore, EPR typically probes the state of D−*χ* − A with two unpaired electrons (one on D and one on A) *after relaxation* of *χ* into its singlet ground state. Hence, we

Figure 4. Nonequivalent orbitals and polarons. Effect on the acceptor spin polarization p_A of introducing an energy gap ε in H_γ on the fourth site (magenta line) and of adding a local vibration (blue), thus approaching the degenerate situation (black dashed curve). Inset: sketch of the minimal model we consider (with the Fermion-boson coupling in blue and details in the [SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf), with $t/U = 0.0125$, λ/U = 6.25 × 10[−]⁴ , Γ/*U* = 2.5 × 10[−]⁴ , *ε*/*U* = 0.0375, ℏ*ω*0/*U* = 0.0125, *g*/ $U = 0.01625$, up to 9 bosons included.

perform simulations starting with a singlet electron pair on D and including thermal relaxation, modeled via a rate-equation in the system eigenbasis [\(SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf). We obtain complete relaxation of the bridge into its singlet ground state (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S5), with its transient spin polarization redistributed among D and A. The resulting state of the DA radical pair has opposite spin polarization on D and A. This state is different from the singlet typical for spin-correlated radical pairs linked through nonchiral bridges, and hence yields a different EPR spectrum,^{[7](#page-5-0),[30](#page-6-0)} as observed.^{[34](#page-6-0)} Since our conclusions hold for a wide range of parameters (see [Figure](#page-3-0) 3) we expect to detect CISS in a similarly broad class of systems, besides ref [34](#page-6-0).

Another point concerns the dependence on the length of *χ*. Two different situations could occur: (i) ET takes place in two incoherent steps (as above) from D to χ and from χ to A, interleaved by the coherent evolution of the bridge many-body states. Then, a longer bridge with similar parameters $J \sim \lambda$ will exhibit a qualitatively similar evolution. We checked this by computing the dynamics for $N = 6$, finding a spin polarization comparable to that obtained with $N = 4$ ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S6). (ii) ET occurs as a sequential multistep incoherent process through different parts of a longer bridge. In that hypothesis, the process can be approximated as a concatenation of steps in which the final spin state becomes the initial state of the next one. Hence, spin polarization can accumulate in each step. For instance, restarting from $p_A = 0.20$ we get 0.27 and 0.29 in the following two steps [[Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf) S6]. These numbers depend on the parameters, but indicate that in a sequential multistep ET spin polarization can increase with the length of the bridge, as observed in conductance measurement on thick films of DNA and oligopeptides.^{[1](#page-5-0)}

Summarizing, we report the first microscopic model for CISS in electron transfer through chiral molecules, with the explicit inclusion of the bridge degrees of freedom that play an active role in the electron spin polarization. Based on experimental evidence of spin polarization even on short chiral chains, we build a minimal model system on a few sites and we pinpoint the essential ingredients for achieving a sizable spin polarization even in the presence of a small spin−orbit coupling. These are (i) strong electron−electron correlations giving rise to many-body states split by the exchange

interaction; (ii) an interplay of coherent (exchange + spin− orbit) dynamics on the chiral bridge and incoherent hopping from the donor or onto the acceptor; (iii) relaxation of the chiral bridge to establish the long-term spin polarization observed experimentally.

■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

\bullet Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912?goto=supporting-info)

Definition of the spin−orbit coupling, simulations starting from a singlet spin pair on the donor, details on the Redfield equation at finite temperature, simulations including bath spectral density, electron transfer dynamics on different sites, derivation of spin polarization accumulated on A, coupling with vibrations, relaxation, length dependence [\(PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912/suppl_file/nl4c02912_si_001.pdf)

■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

Stefano Carretta − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy; Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali (INSTM), I-50121 Firenze, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2536-1326) [2536-1326](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2536-1326); Email: stefano.carretta@unipr.it

Authors

- Alessandro Chiesa − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy; Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali (INSTM), I-50121 Firenze, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2955-3998) [2955-3998](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2955-3998)
- Elena Garlatti − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy; Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali (INSTM), I-50121 Firenze, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0370-0534) [0370-0534](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0370-0534)
- Matteo Mezzadri − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-2800-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2800-2695) [2695](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2800-2695)
- Leonardo Celada − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy*
- Roberta Sessoli − *Dipartimento di Chimica "U. Schiff" (DICUS), Universita*̀*degli Studi di Firenze, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy; Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali (INSTM), I-50121 Firenze, Italy;* @ orcid.org/0000-0003-3783-2700
- Michael R. Wasielewski − *Department of Chemistry, Center for Molecular Quantum Transduction, and Institute for Sustainability and Energy at Northwestern, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208-3113, United States;* orcid.org/0000-0003-2920-5440

Robert Bittl − *Fachbereich Physik, Berlin Joint EPR Lab, Freie Universität Berlin, D-14195 Berlin, Germany*

Paolo Santini − *Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Universita*̀*di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy; INFN*−*Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, gruppo collegato di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy; Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Materiali (INSTM), I-50121 Firenze, Italy*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c02912?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We warmly thank Anna Painelli and D. K. Andrea Phan Huu for very fruitful and stimulating discussions. The work was funded by the Horizon Europe Programme within the ERC-Synergy project CASTLE (proj. n. 101071533). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them. M.M. acknowledges funding from the European Union − NextGenerationEU under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 1 Investment 3.4 and 4.1. Decree by the Italian Ministry n. 351/2022 CUP D92B22000530005.

■ **ADDITIONAL NOTES**

 $\blacktriangle_{c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger}} c_{i} \cdot \sigma_{c_{i+2,\sigma'}}$ is a short-hand notation (see, e.g., ref.¹⁴) for $v_{\alpha\alpha}^{}(c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\downarrow}+c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\uparrow})\hbox{-}i v_{i\gamma}^{}(c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\downarrow}\hbox{-}c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\uparrow})+v_{iz}^{}(c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\uparrow}\hbox{-}c_{i,\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i+2,\downarrow}).$ $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{V}}\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}},\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{V}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}},\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{L}}\mathrm$ electron transfer and hence opens the possibility of a spin polarization. Indeed, no spin polarization arises in the presence of only nearest-neighbor interactions both in the hopping and SOC terms of eq 1, consistent with reports for transport in a two-terminal setup.13,[38,39](#page-6-0) An even number of orbitals on the bridge ensures that all the sites take part in both channels.

●This effect is implicitly included in the hierarchy of the many-body states.
 \Box Off-diagonal elements of ρ .

 \blacksquare For illustrative purposes we consider here only $\lambda_z = \lambda v_{iz}$, but all other simulations are performed with the hole *υⁱ*

 \diamondsuit Note that the three steps $(1–3)$ are all interconnected and

occur simultaneously in the dynamics. ◆For simplicity, we only include the *^υ^z* contribution to the SOC. We consider modulation of leading one-body terms in the Hamiltonian. Moreover, to allow for relaxation from states with $\sum_{i \in \chi} S_{z,i} \neq 0$ into the singlet ground state of χ , we include a modulation of the coupling of the bridge end spins with the two spins on D and A. Indeed, modulation of nearest-neighbor hopping and orbital energies involve rank-0 operators on the bridge, which preserve both its total spin and its *z* component.

■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Bloom, B. P.; Paltiel, Y.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. [Chiral](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00661?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Induced Spin [Selectivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.3c00661?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Rev.* 2024, *124*, 1950−1991.

(2) Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Waldeck, D. Chiral [molecules](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-019-0087-1) and the [electron](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-019-0087-1) spin. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2019, *3*, 250−260.

(3) Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Waldeck, D. H. Chiral [Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00485?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Selectivity Gives a New Twist on [Spin-Control](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.0c00485?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Chemistry. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2020, *53*, 2659−2667.

(4) Michaeli, K.; Kantor-Uriel, N.; Naaman, R.; Waldeck, D. H. [The](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00369A) electron's spin and [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00369A) chirality - how are they related and how do they affect life [processes?](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00369A) *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2016, *45*, 6478− 6487.

(5) Yang, S.-H.; Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Parkin, S. S. P. [Chiral](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00302-9) [spintronics.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00302-9) *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 2021, *3*, 328−343.

(6) Aiello, C. D.; et al. A [Chirality-Based](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c01347?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Quantum Leap. *ACS Nano* 2022, *16*, 4989−5035.

(7) Chiesa, A.; Privitera, A.; Macaluso, E.; Mannini, M.; Bittl, R.; Naaman, R.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Sessoli, R.; Carretta, S. [Chirality-](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202300472)Induced Spin Selectivity: An Enabling [Technology](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202300472) for Quantum [Applications.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202300472) *Adv. Mater.* 2023, *35*, 2300472.

(8) Ray, K.; Ananthavel, S. P.; Waldeck, D. H.; Naaman, R. [Asymmetric](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5403.814) Scattering of Polarized Electrons by Organized Organic Films of Chiral [Molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5403.814) *Science* 1999, *283*, 814−816.

(9) Naaman, R.; Paltiel, Y.; Waldeck, D. H. Chiral [Molecules](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c00474?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and the Spin [Selectivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.0c00474?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Effect. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2020, *11*, 3660−3666.

(10) Alwan, S.; Sharoni, A.; Dubi, Y. Role of Electrode [Polarization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c08223?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in the Electron Transport [Chirality-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.3c08223?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin-Selectivity Effect. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2024, *128*, 6438−6445.

(11) Evers, F.; et al. Theory of Chirality Induced Spin [Selectivity:](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106629) Progress and [Challenges.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106629) *Adv. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 2106629.

(12) Gutierrez, R.; Díaz, E.; Naaman, R.; Cuniberti, G. [Spin-selective](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.081404) transport through helical [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.081404) systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 2012, *85*, 081404.

(13) Guo, A.-M.; Sun, Q.-f. [Spin-Selective](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.218102) Transport of Electrons in DNA [Double](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.218102) Helix. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2012, *108*, 218102.

(14) Geyer, M.; Gutierrez, R.; Cuniberti, G. Effective [Hamiltonian](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005181) model for helically [constrained](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005181) quantum systems within adiabatic perturbation theory: Application to the [chirality-induced](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005181) spin [selectivity](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005181) (CISS) effect. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2020, *152*, 214105.

(15) Guo, A.-M.; Díaz, E.; Gaul, C.; Gutierrez, R.; Domínguez-Adame, F.; Cuniberti, G.; Sun, Q.-f. Contact effects in spin [transport](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.205434) along [double-helical](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.205434) molecules. *Phys. Rev. B* 2014, *89*, 205434.

(16) Medina, E.; González-Arraga, L. A.; Finkelstein-Shapiro, D.; Berche, B.; Mujica, V. [Continuum](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4921310) model for chiral induced spin selectivity in helical [molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4921310) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *142*, 194308.

(17) Matityahu, S.; Utsumi, Y.; Aharony, A.; Entin-Wohlman, O.; Balseiro, C. A. [Spin-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.075407) transport through a chiral molecule in the presence of spin-orbit interaction and [nonunitary](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.075407) effects. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *93*, 075407.

(18) Pan, T.-R.; Guo, A.-M.; Sun, Q.-F. [Spin-polarized](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235448) electron transport through helicene [molecular](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235448) junctions. *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *94*, 235448.

(19) Varela, S.; Mujica, V.; Medina, E. Effective [spin-orbit](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155436) couplings in an analytical [tight-binding](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155436) model of DNA: Spin filtering and chiral spin [transport.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.155436) *Phys. Rev. B* 2016, *93*, 155436.

(20) Michaeli, K.; Naaman, R. Origin of [Spin-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05020?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Tunneling Through Chiral [Molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b05020?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019, *123*, 17043− 17048.

(21) Fransson, J. [Chirality-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b02929?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Selectivity: The Role of Electron [Correlations.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b02929?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2019, *10*, 7126−7132.

(22) Fransson, J. [Vibrational](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.235416) origin of exchange splitting and "chiralinduced spin [selectivity.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.235416) *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *102*, 235416.

(23) Fransson, J. Charge [Redistribution](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00183?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Spin Polarization Driven by [Correlation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00183?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Induced Electron Exchange in Chiral [Molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00183?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2021, *21*, 3026−3032.

(24) Fransson, J. Charge and Spin Dynamics and [Enantioselectivity](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c03925?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Chiral [Molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c03925?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2022, *13*, 808−814.

(25) Das, T. K.; Tassinari, F.; Naaman, R.; Fransson, J. [Temperature-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c10550?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity Effect: [Experiments](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c10550?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Theory. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2022, *126*, 3257−3264.

(26) Naskar, S.; Mujica, V.; Herrmann, C. [Chiral-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c03747?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Selectivity and [Non-equilibrium](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c03747?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Accumulation in Molecules and Interfaces: A [First-Principles](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c03747?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Study. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2023, *14*, 694−701.

(27) Du, G.-F.; Fu, H.-H.; Wu, R. [Vibration-enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.035431) spin-selective [transport](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.035431) of electrons in the DNA double helix. *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *102*, 035431.

(28) Zhang, L.; Hao, Y.; Qin, W.; Xie, S.; Qu, F. [Chiral-induced](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.214303) spin [selectivity:](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.214303) A polaron transport model. *Phys. Rev. B* 2020, *102*, 214303.

(29) Klein, D.; Michaeli, K. Giant [chirality-induced](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.045404) spin selectivity of [polarons.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.045404) *Phys. Rev. B* 2023, *107*, 045404.

(30) Chiesa, A.; Chizzini, M.; Garlatti, E.; Salvadori, E.; Tacchino, F.; Santini, P.; Tavernelli, I.; Bittl, R.; Chiesa, M.; Sessoli, R.; Carretta, S. Assessing the Nature of [Chiral-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c01447?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Selectivity by Magnetic [Resonance.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c01447?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2021, *12*, 6341−6347.

(31) Fay, T. P. [Chirality-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00009?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Spin Coherence in Electron Transfer [Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.1c00009?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2021, *12*, 1407−1412.

(32) Luo, J.; Hore, P. J. [Chiral-induced](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abed0b) spin selectivity in the formation and [recombination](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abed0b) of radical pairs: cryptochrome [magnetoreception](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abed0b) and EPR detection. *New J. Phys.* 2021, *23*, 043032. (33) Fay, T. P.; Limmer, D. T. Origin of [Chirality](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02370?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Induced Spin Selectivity in [Photoinduced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02370?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Electron Transfer. *Nano Lett.* 2021, *21*, 6696−6702.

(34) Eckvahl, H. J.; Tcyrulnikov, N. A.; Chiesa, A.; Bradley, J. M.; Young, R. M.; Carretta, S.; Krzyaniak, M. D.; Wasielewski, M. R. Direct observation of [chirality-induced](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adj5328) spin selectivity in electron donor−acceptor [molecules.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adj5328) *Science* 2023, *382*, 197−201.

(35) Giaconi, N.; Poggini, L.; Lupi, M.; Briganti, M.; Kumar, A.; Das, T. K.; Sorrentino, A. L.; Viglianisi, C.; Menichetti, S.; Naaman, R.; Sessoli, R.; Mannini, M. Efficient [Spin-Selective](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.3c04878?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Electron Transport at Low Voltages of [Thia-Bridged](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.3c04878?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Triarylamine Hetero[4] helicenes [Chemisorbed](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.3c04878?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Monolayer. *ACS Nano* 2023, *17*, 15189− 15198.

(36) Hubbard, J.; Flowers, B. H. Electron correlations in narrow energy bands. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* 1963, *276*, 238−257.

(37) Scalettar, R. T. An Introduction to the Hubbard Hamiltonian. In *Quantum Materials: Experiments and Theory*; Pavarini, E., Koch, E., van den Brink, J., Sawatzky, G., Eds.; Verlag des Forschungszentrum Jülich: Jülich, 2016.

(38) Guo, A.-M.; Sun, Q.-F. [Spin-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407716111) electron transport in protein-like [single-helical](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407716111) molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2014, *111*, 11658−11662.

(39) Geyer, M.; Gutierrez, R.; Mujica, V.; Cuniberti, G. [Chirality-](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b07764?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)Induced Spin Selectivity in a [Coarse-Grained](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b07764?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Tight-Binding Model for [Helicene.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b07764?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019, *123*, 27230−27241.

(40) Renaud, N.; Berlin, Y. A.; Lewis, F. D.; Ratner, M. A. [Between](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3113998?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Superexchange and Hopping: An Intermediate [Charge-Transfer](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3113998?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Mechanism in [Poly\(A\)-Poly\(T\)](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3113998?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) DNA Hairpins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 3953−3963.

(41) Tupkary, D.; Dhar, A.; Kulkarni, M.; Purkayastha, A. [Fundamental](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.032208) limitations in Lindblad descriptions of systems weakly [coupled](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.105.032208) to baths. *Phys. Rev. A* 2022, *105*, 032208.

(42) Jauho, A.-P.; Wingreen, N. S.; Meir, Y. [Time-dependent](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.5528) transport in interacting and noninteracting [resonant-tunneling](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.5528) [systems.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.5528) *Phys. Rev. B* 1994, *50*, 5528−5544.

(43) Fay, T. P.; Lindoy, L. P.; Manolopoulos, D. E. [Spin-selective](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5041520) electron transfer reactions of radical pairs: Beyond the [Haberkorn](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5041520) master [equation.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5041520) *J. Chem. Phys.* 2018, *149*, 064107.

(44) Li, G.; Govind, N.; Ratner, M. A.; Cramer, C. J.; Gagliardi, L. Influence of Coherent Tunneling and [Incoherent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Hopping on the Charge Transfer [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Linear Donor−Bridge−Acceptor [Systems.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.5b02154?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2015, *6*, 4889−4897.

(45) Gutierrez, R.; Díaz, E.; Gaul, C.; Brumme, T.; Domínguez-Adame, F.; Cuniberti, G. Modeling Spin [Transport](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp401705x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Helical Fields: Derivation of an Effective [Low-Dimensional](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp401705x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Hamiltonian. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, *117*, 22276−22284.

(46) Díaz, E.; Domínguez-Adame, F.; Gutierrez, R.; Cuniberti, G.; Mujica, V. Thermal [Decoherence](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b02196?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Disorder Effects on Chiral-Induced Spin [Selectivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b02196?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2018, *9*, 5753−5758.

(47) Liu, Y.; Xiao, J.; Koo, J.; Yan, B. [Chirality-driven](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-00924-5) topological [electronic](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-00924-5) structure of DNA-like materials. *Nat. Mater.* 2021, *20*, 638−644.

(48) Fay, T. P.; Limmer, D. T. Spin selective charge [recombination](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0150269) in chiral donor−bridge−[acceptor](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0150269) triads. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2023, *158*, 194101.

(49) Zeidan, T. A.; Carmieli, R.; Kelley, R. F.; Wilson, T. M.; Lewis, F. D.; Wasielewski, M. R. [Charge-Transfer](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja803765r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Spin Dynamics in DNA Hairpin Conjugates with [Perylenediimide](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja803765r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) as a Base-Pair [Surrogate.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja803765r?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 13945−13955.