

University of Parma Research Repository

A Liposome-Micelle-Hybrid (LMH) Oral Delivery System for Poorly Water-Soluble Drugs: Enhancing Solubilisation and Intestinal Transport

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

A Liposome-Micelle-Hybrid (LMH) Oral Delivery System for Poorly Water-Soluble Drugs: Enhancing Solubilisation and Intestinal Transport / Romana, Bilquis; Musfizur Hassan, Md; Sonvico, Fabio; Garrastazu Pereira, Gabriela; Mason, Alex F; Thordarson, Pall; Bremmell, Kristen E; Barnes, Timothy J; Prestidge, Clive A. - In: EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICS AND BIOPHARMACEUTICS. - ISSN 0939-6411. -154:(2020), pp. 338-347. [10.1016/j.ejpb.2020.07.022]

Availability: This version is available at: 11381/2879400 since: 2024-10-01T09:08:43Z

*Publisher:* Elsevier B.V.

Published DOI:10.1016/j.ejpb.2020.07.022

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

# Liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMH) carriers for controlled co-delivery of 5-FU and paclitaxel as chemotherapeutics

Md. Musfizur Hassan<sup>1,2</sup> Bilquis Romana<sup>1,3, §</sup>, Guangzhao Mao<sup>2</sup>, Naresh Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Fabio Sonvico<sup>4</sup>, Pall Thordarson<sup>1</sup>, Paul Joyce<sup>3</sup>, Kristen E. Bremmell<sup>3</sup>, Timothy J. Barnes<sup>3</sup>, Clive A Prestidge<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> School of Chemistry, the Australian Centre for Nanomedicine, the University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

<sup>2</sup>School of Chemical Engineering, the University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW

2052, Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Clinical and Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Food and Drug, University of Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy

<sup>§</sup>Contributed equally with the 1<sup>st</sup> author

#### Abstract:

Paclitaxel (PTX) and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) are clinically relevant chemotherapeutics, but both suffer a range of biopharmaceutical challenges (e.g. either low solubility , or permeability and limited controlled release from nanocarriers), which reduces their effectiveness in new medicines. Anticancer drugs have several major limitations, which include non-specificity, wide biological distribution, short half-life, and systemic toxicity. Here, we investigate the potential of liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMH) carriers (i.e. drug loaded micelles encapsulated within drug loaded liposomes) to enhance the co-formulation and delivery of PTX and 5-FU, facilitating new delivery opportunities with enhanced chemotherapeutic performance. We focus on the combination of liposomes and micelles for co-delivery of PTX and 5\_FU to investigate increased drug loading, improved solubility and transport/permeability to enhance chemotherapeutic potential. Furthermore, a combination chemotherapy (i,e, containing two or more drugs in a single formulation) may offer improved pharmacological performance. Compared with individual liposome and micelle formulations the optimised PTX-5FU-LMH carriers demonstrated increased drug loading and solubility, temperature sensitive release, enhanced permeability in a Caco-2 cell monolayer model and cancer cell eradication. LMH have significant potential for cancer drug delivery and as a next generation chemotherapeutics.

#### **1. Introduction**

Clinical cancer chemotherapy with paclitaxel (PTX) is currently limited due to its low oral bioavailability (<10%) that resultsing from its inadequatelow aqueous solubility and dissolution kinetics<sup>1-2</sup>, poor intestinal permeability<sup>3</sup> and first-pass hepatic metabolism.<sup>4-5</sup> PTX is also a P-glycoprotein (P-gp) substrate resulting in efflux from the intestinal tract and limited efficacy against drug resistance.<sup>6-8</sup> Over-expression of efflux pumps, such as P-gp, is one of the major causes of multi-drug resistance (MDR). In breast cancer, P-gp-related drug resistance has been reported to occur in approximately 40% of breast cancer cells.<sup>9-10</sup> Other mechanisms contributing to MDR include reduced drug uptake, resistance to drug-related apoptosis and ability to repair DNA damage.<sup>11-12</sup> Most of the frontline chemotherapeutics such as PTX, cisplatin, and doxorubicin are P-gp substrates and induce the P-gp overexpression, with associated MDR.<sup>13</sup> Thus, effective oral administration of PTX is challenging and intravenous (i.v.) administration is the clinically used dosage route.<sup>1</sup>

The pyrimidine analogue fluorouracil (5-FU) has broad antitumour action, often providing

synergistic activity with other anticancer drugs<sup>14</sup>, e.g. a modified form of 5-FU can be used in association with PTX to achieve optimal therapeutic benefits against drug-resistant cancer.<sup>15</sup> However, 5-FU is sparingly soluble in water and slightly soluble in alcohol, hence has low bioavailability<sup>16</sup>. Furthermore, 5-FU has a short plasma half-life after i.v. bolus administration, requiring high doses that lead to severe gastrointestinal and cardiovascular toxicity along with potential development of drug resistance by tumour cells.<sup>17-18</sup> 5-FU is sparingly soluble in water and slightly soluble in alcohol. The physicochemical and biopharmaceutical characteristics of PTX and 5-FU significantly limit their use as oral formulations and desirable i.v. combination products are not available.<sup>1,19</sup> Hence, new drug delivery systems for 5-FU and PTX (and their combination) are required to achieve better therapeutic efficacy with fewer side effects.<sup>20</sup>

Nanomedicine approaches have emerged to improve solubility, reduce side-effects and enable targeted delivery of anticancer drugs<sub>7</sub>.<sup>21-23</sup> Liposomes, can incorporate drug candidates either within their lipophilic bilayer or hydrophilic core and provide advantages for improving drug stability, plasma half-life and modulating toxicity as reviewed previously.<sup>24</sup> e.g. Liposomes have been successfully translated to the clinic, e.g. liposomal doxorubicin (Doxil<sup>®</sup>). was the first FDA-approved nanomedicine and a liposomal PTX (Lipusu<sup>®</sup>) recently was recently approved by the FDA.<sup>25-26</sup> Thermal enhancement of drug cytotoxicity is also being established for improved chemotherapy, e.g. thermosensitive doxorubicin liposome (ThermoDox<sup>®</sup>), in combination with mild hyperthermia, was reported to be significantly more effective than the free drug in treating human squamous cell carcinoma xenografts.<sup>27-28</sup> Riganti *et al.* reported that liposomal doxorubicin effectively inhibits P-gp and reversed doxorubicin resistance of drug-resistant 126 HT29-dx cells.<sup>29</sup> Resveratrol and 5-FU coencapsulated in PEGylated liposomes improved chemotherapeutic efficacy against head and neck squamous cell carcinoma-<sup>30</sup> and liposomal 5-FU was found to increase accumulation of drug in tumour tissue.<sup>22</sup> However, liposomes suffer from poor drug loading and limited ability to control release.

Micelle-based encapsulation and delivery systems <u>can increase solubility of poorly water soluble</u> <u>drugs</u>, offer controlled release and enhanc<u>ed</u> circulation.<sup>31-33</sup> A micellar formulation of cyclosporine was approved for ocular application by the FDA in 2018.<sup>34</sup> It is also noteworthy that phospholipid liposomes<sup>35</sup> and d-α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (TPGS) micelles can block P-gp transport in Caco-2 cells.<sup>36-37</sup> <u>Micelles are only useful for poorly water</u> soluble drugs, undergo fast, diffusion-controlled release and have been reported to undergo dissociation following administration, releasing drug prematurely<sup>38</sup>. Thus, a combination of liposomes and micelles offers the opportunity to increase drug loading and control release,

# gaining benefits of each nano structure.<sup>39-40</sup>

It is clear that further innovation is required to better overcome the physical and biological challenges of cancer drug delivery using liposomes and micelles and to advance chemotherapy in the clinic.<sup>41-43</sup> Previously we have reported on the development of a liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMH) delivery system using the model insoluble drug lovastatin.<sup>39</sup> We now propose to employ the new LMH technology for the co-delivery of 5-FU and PTX with the aim of enhancing drug loading, controlled release (with potential thermo-responsiveness), cellular uptake <u>in human</u> <u>cervical adenocarcinoma (HeLa) cells</u> and <u>drug</u> permeability.

#### 2. Materials & Methods

#### 2.1. Materials

1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylglycerol (DPPG), 1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-1'-rac-glycerol, sodium-salt (DSPG-Na) with C18:0, <99%, (Molecular Weight: 801.058), and phosphatidylcholine (PC) with C18:0, < 98% were purchased from Avanti polar lipids, (Alabaster, AB, USA). PTX was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China). 5-FU was supplied by Beijing Mesochem Technology Co (Beijing, China). d- $\alpha$  -Tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (TPGS) was purchased from Antares, Health Products, INC (Jonesborough, TN, USA).

Human cervical adenocarcinoma (HeLa) and Neuro 2A cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and Human epithelial colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (Caco-2) were obtained from ATCC and kindly donated by the School of Medical Science, University of Sydney (Sydney, NSW, Australia). Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium (DMEM) with [+] 4.5 g/ L-D glucose, [+] L- glutamine, [-] sodium pyruvate, 1% nonessential amino acids, and 10% Foetal bovine serum (FBS), Trypsin 0.25% (w/v) in Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS), Cholesterol (CHO), Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 1500 & 400, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), PBS tablets, HPLC grade acetonitrile, Propidium iodide and Hoechst 33342 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Alamar Blue<sup>®</sup> (Cat; DAL1025) was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA.

Caco-2 studies were undertaken using 24-well polystyrene plates with inserts and lids (polycarbonate Transwell filter with 0.4  $\mu$ m pores and a surface area of 0.7 cm<sup>2</sup>). Transepithelial electrical resistance of the Caco-2 monolayers was determined using a Millicell ERS-2 Voltohmmeter (Millipore Corporation Ltd., Bedford, MA, USA). Transport buffer Hank's

balanced salt solution (HBSS); were purchased from Gibco Life Technologies, (Camarillo, CA, USA). A Class II cabinet with a laminar flow hood, fluorimeter, and monochromator plate reader (BioTek Instruments Inc, USA) was used and provided by the UNSW cell culture facility in the school of medical science (Lowy children cancer centre). All reagents: Sodium Chloride (NaCl), Sodium hydroxide (NaOH), Phosphoric acid (H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>), Tween 20, analytical grade chloroform, methanol and ethanol were ordered from Ajax Chemicals (Scoresby, VIC, Australia). Ultrapure MilliQ water was used for all experiments and generated by a Milli-Q® Ultrapure water system connected with Q Gard® purification cartridge and Quantum® EX polishing cartridge.

## 2.2. Preparation of PTX encapsulated micelles

The method used for the preparation of PTX-loaded micelles was modified from the direct dissolution and solvent evaporation method described by Romana *et al.*<sup>39</sup> Briefly, different quantities of PTX (5, 10, and 15 mg) were mixed with 100 mg of TPGS and then dissolved in chloroform. The solvent was evaporated by a rotary evaporator for 2 h at 38°C while rotating at 60 rpm. The film was then hydrated with 10 mL PBS solution containing 0.9% sucrose. The hydrated solution was sonicated for 30 min to form micelles. A clear drug-loaded micelle solution was formed. The free drug was separated by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 min.

### 2.3. Preparation of PTX encapsulated thermosensitive liposomes

PTX loaded liposomes were prepared combining DPPG, DSPG, PC, cholesterol and PEG-1500 in a molar ratio of 80:5:5:2.5:7.5 by thin-film hydration (TFH) method accordingly to Romana *et al.*<sup>39</sup> and Bangham *et al.*<sup>44</sup> The lipids (100 mg) and PTX (10, 15, 20 and 25 mg) were dissolved in a mixture of methanol-chloroform-water (10 mL) with a ratio of 1:5:0.2. in a round bottom flask by gentle handshaking and sonication (1-2 min continuous sonication at 20000 Hz in direct mode) to form a clear solution. The excipient-solvent mixture was subjected to vacuum evaporation at 60 °C for 3 h (BUCHI rotavapor R-124 and BUCHI water bath B-480) until complete evaporation of the solvents produced a thin drug-lipid film. This process was above the phase transition temperature (Tc) of the lipids (55°C). The rotation speed was kept at 60 rpm.

The homogenous thin lipid film was further dried for an hour with flowing N<sub>2</sub> gas and kept under a vacuum in a hood overnight to remove the solvents completely. The resultant film was hydrated with 0.9 % (w/v) sucrose in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 2 h in a water bath (60°C) and constant rotation at slow speed. The hydrated lipid mixture was subsequently sonicated in an ice bath for 10 min. The drug-loaded liposomes were separated from the unencapsulated free drug by ultracentrifugation (32,000 rpm at 4 °C, The Avanti JXN-30, Beckman Coulter Life Science, NSW, Australia). The collected pellets were suspended in the hydration media, extruded through polycarbonate filters (400-800 nm pore diameter) 8-10 times to obtain highly monodispersed (PDI < 0.25) and unilamellar liposomes. The final liposome product appeared as a clear transparent light bluish-white suspension. The liposome suspension was stored in fridge conditions (4  $^{\circ}$ C) until used.

#### 2.4. Preparation of PTX encapsulated thermosensitive liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMHPTX)

To fabricate the LMH systems, PTX-loaded TPGS micelles were used in the hydration step of liposomes in the TFH method described in Section 2.3. After rehydration, the suspension was sonicated and ultracentrifuged in the same conditions reported for PTX-loaded liposomes. <u>PTX</u> present in the pellet (encapsulated within LMH) and supernatant (unencapsulated drug) was analysed separately. The LMH pellets were re-suspended in hydration solution and extruded, as per the liposome preparation method described in Section 2.3. <u>LMH were previously reported to be stable for more than 1 month upon storage in the fridge<sup>39</sup>.</u>

#### 2.5. Preparation of PTX-5-FU loaded LMH PTX-5-FU

Firstly, 5-FU (10, 15, 20 and 25 mg) was mixed with 100 mg of TPGS for 5-FU micelles formulation. The micelle preparation method then followed the same procedure described in Section 2.2 for PTX-loaded micelles.

To prepare 5-FU loaded LMH, 5-FU-loaded-micelles were used to hydrate the PTX-loadedliposome film for LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> prepared as per Section 2.3. After preparation of LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub>, sonication-ultracentrifugation-extrusion methods followed the same procedures as described in Section 2.4. 5-FU loaded liposomes were not prepared because 5-FU was loaded in LMH core only and it was not suitable for loading in the phospholipid bilayer due to its hydrophilic nature.

Blank micelles, liposomes and LMH were also prepared. All samples were freeze-dried with <u>sucrose as a cryoprotectant (Martin Christ Freeze Dryer</u>, D-37520)) at -50 °C and 0.001 bar <u>in</u> <u>preparation</u> for cell culture studies.

## 2.6. Encapsulation efficiency and drug loading

PTX and 5-FU nanocarrier formulations were prepared by diluting 100  $\mu$ L of each formulation with 900  $\mu$ L of acetonitrile and vortexed to disrupt the carriers. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm (5000 × g) for 10 min to separate the filtrate and filtered through 0.22  $\mu$ m PTFE syringe filters. The concentrations of PTX and 5-FU were analysed by HPLC (described below).

The <u>encapsulation efficiency (EE%) was calculated as percentage ratio between the quantity</u> of drug encapsulated in <u>the nanocarriers and the initial drug added</u>, and drug loading (DL%) was expressed as <u>drug</u> entrapped in the nanocarriers compared to the total <u>nanocarrier</u> weight.

## 2.7. PTX assay method

The concentration of PTX was analysed using HPLC (Shimadzu UFLC Model LC-20 AD) with an X-Bridge<sup>TM</sup> C18 column (156 x 10.0 mm), Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA. The mobile phase was a mixture of 45% (v/v) of acetonitrile and 55% (v/v) of water. An isocratic elution method was used. The flow rate was set at 1.0 mL/min, with a run time of 10 min and the absorbance measured at 227 nm. Samples were injected at a volume of 50  $\mu$ L at room temperature. A series of working solutions with known concentration were used to generate a linear calibration curve (n = 4) by plotting the chromatographic peak area versus PTX concentration.

## 2.8. 5-FU assay method

5-FU concentration was analysed using the same HPLC and column as above, with a mobile phase of methanol (10% (v/v)) and PBS (90% (v/v) PBS). The mobile phase was degassed via ultra-sonication for 30 min before use. The absorbance was measured at 254 nm. Samples (50  $\mu$ l) were injected at room temperature. A linear calibration curve (n = 4) was generated using a series of working solutions by plotting the chromatographic peak area versus 5-FU concentration.

# 2.9. Characterisation of liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMH) nanocarriers

# 2.9.1. Particle diameter and size distribution

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) and Phase Analysis Light Scattering (PALS) (Zetasizer Nano ZSP (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) was used to determine the average particle diameter (z-average), size distribution (polydispersity index, PDI) and zeta potential of the nanocarriers. The micelles were analysed without dilution, while liposomes and LMH were diluted 100 times in Milli-Q water prior to analysis. Zeta potential was measured in PBS (10<sup>-3</sup> M). Each sample was measured 3 times at 25 °C and the material RI was 1.59.

# 2.9.2. Differential Scanning Calorimetry

The lipid phase transition temperature was assessed by DSC (TA Instruments, USA) for all drugloaded nanocarriers. DSC measurements were performed by employing nitrogen flow (50 mL/min) using a heating rate of 2 °C/min, an empty pan as reference. Two heating/cooling scans are carried out from 20 °C to 70 °C and the transition temperature,  $T_m$  as well as the temperature width at half maximum of the DSC were determined by Prism<sup>®</sup> software (GraphPad, San Diego, USA).

## 2.9.3. Morphological characterisation of nanocarriers

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to characterise the micelles, liposomes, and LMH morphology. <u>Samples were first diluted (PBS) prior to</u> a drop of sample (6  $\mu$ L) applied on a Formvar-coated copper grid (200 mesh size) for 1 min and excess <u>removed</u> with filter paper. Samples were subsequently negatively stained with uranyl acetate (2%, 20  $\mu$ L) for 60 s and the excess stain blotted away with filter paper. The grid was then dried overnight in air and TEM micrographs were recorded on a JEOL 1400 (100 kV) (16/11/16) and an FEI Tecnai G2 20 from the Electron Microscope Unit at the Mark Wainwright Analytical Centre of the University of New South Wales (Sydney, NSW, Australia).

## 2.10. Temperature-triggered release of PTX and 5-FU from nanocarriers

In vitro drug release from the nanocarriers was studied in PBS buffer (pH =7.4) in the presence of 0.5 % PEG-400 as a solubilizer to maintain sink conditions in the release media. Each formulation (4 mL) was tightly sealed in a dialysis bag (MWCO 12 kDa, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and immersed in 40 mL of release medium. <u>A MWCO of 12 kDa was selected</u> to ensure drug transport through the membrane was not a contributing factor. The release study was performed at 37 °C and 42 °C and mixing was achieved using a magnetic stirrer (100 rpm). At defined time intervals, an aliquot of 0.5 mL was taken from the release medium followed by the immediate replacement with an equal volume of the fresh media into the release. The samples were dissolved equal volume of acetonitrile, centrifuged at 10,000 rpm (5000 × g) for 10 min to separate the supernatant and analysed by HPLC.

## 2.11. In vitro drug release kinetics

Drug release data for PTX and 5-FU from the micelles, liposomes, and LMH (at 37°C and 42°C), were fitted with the Korsmeyer–Peppas<sup>45</sup> model as described in equation 1.

This model has previously been used to describe drug release from polymeric systems where  $M_t/M_{\infty}$  is the fractional drug release (usually as %), K is a characteristic kinetic constant that depends on the rate of degradation and dissolution, and n, an exponent coefficient that

characterises the mechanism of release <u>(either diffusion, swelling/relaxation, or a combination</u> <u>of both)</u>.

To elucidate more details of the release mechanism, the Korsmeyer-Peppas model can be extended to incorporate the diffusion coefficient (*D*) for the drug molecule in the nanocarrier matrix,<sup>39</sup> when n=1/2 as shown in equation 2.

$$M_t / M_\infty = 4 (D_t / \pi \lambda^2)^{1/2} \dots$$

Where  $D_t$  is the diffusion coefficient at time t and  $\lambda$  is the thickness of the nanocarriers.

## 2.12. Permeability assessment

Permeability assessment of PTX-loaded micelles, liposomes and LMH followed the same procedure as described in Romana *et al.*<sup>39</sup> Briefly, to prepare a Caco-2 monolayer, cells were seeded at a density of approximately 40,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> on polycarbonate Transwell filters (0.4  $\mu$ m pores and a surface area of 0.7 cm<sup>2</sup>) in 24-well polystyrene plates and maintained in <u>an incubator in DMEM</u> for 21 days. The medium was changed on alternate days, firstly the basolateral (400  $\mu$ L) and then the apical (600 $\mu$ L). At the end of day 14 and 21, transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) was used to assess integrity of the monolayers in culture medium using a Millicell ERS-2 Voltohmmeter.

TEER values ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ ) were calculated by subtracting resistance of the blank media (DMEM + FBS-10%) without cells from the total resistance and then multiplying by the effective membrane area (0.49 cm<sup>2</sup>). The control TEER value was < 200  $\Omega \cdot cm^2$  and remained constant for the duration of the experiment. The average TEER value in Caco-2 cell monolayers (CCM) containing media was found to be 1036 ± 327  $\Omega \cdot cm^2$  on day 14 and 1363 ± 262  $\Omega \cdot cm^2$  on day 21 of culture. These indicated a complete cell monolayer was developed by 14 days. All TEER values were above 305  $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ , indicating integrity of the cell monolayer was maintained.<sup>46</sup>

For this study, PTX loaded nanocarriers were dissolved in 0.5% (v/v) of DMSO and HBSS to prepare experimental samples (LMH, along with individual samples of liposomes, micelles, and free PTX for comparison). Each of the nanocarriers and free PTX samples contained 100  $\mu$ M of PTX. After 21 days, the DMEM (growth media) from the apical chamber was replaced by the transport buffer HBSS for both control and sample (400  $\mu$ L in the apical wells and 600  $\mu$ L in the basal wells) for 30 min. <u>Subsequently</u>, HBSS buffer was replaced by samples in the apical (400  $\mu$ L) or basal (600  $\mu$ L) wells. After an incubation of 2 h, <u>a</u> sample (500  $\mu$ L) was <u>removed</u> from the appropriate well, <u>to calculate</u> an efflux ratio (ER) as described in Eq. (4).

After treatment, cells were washed three times with PBS. <u>Solution</u> in the basolateral chamber was collected and lysed with methanol. Samples were quantitatively analysed <u>for PTX</u> by HPLC as described above.

The apparent permeability  $(P_{app})$  and efflux ratio <u>was determined</u> from equations 3 and 4.<sup>47</sup>

a. The apparent PTX permeability ( $P_{app} \ge 10^{-6} \mu g/s$ ) was calculated as follows:  $P_{app}$ 

$$P_{app} = \frac{VR}{A X C0} X \frac{dMt}{dt} \qquad (3)$$

 $V_R$  is the volume of the receiving chamber, A = monolayer filter area (cm<sup>2</sup>), Co = mass of compound initially in the donor compartment, dMt/dt = the rate of drug permeation across the cells.

b. The efflux ratio (ER) was calculated as the ratio of  $P_{app}$  determined in the A-to-B direction to  $P_{app}$  determined in the B-to-A direction:

where the ratio of the basolateral–apical (secretion) component  $P_{app}$  B–A to the apicalbasolateral (absorption) component  $P_{app}$  A–B was assessed. Theoretically, an ER superior to unity implies the action of one or various efflux transporters on the tested compound.

#### 2.13. Cell viability studies

HeLa and Neuro 2a Cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum (FBS) and glutamine (1%). The cells were maintained in an incubator supplied with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% air humidified atmosphere at 37°C. Suspension samples of cells in growth media were seeded into 96-well tissue culture plates at a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  cells per well and allowed to attach overnight.

Initially, the nanocarrier samples were prepared by dissolving with DMEM and FBS (10%) and 0.5% (v/v) of DMSO was used to dissolve PTX+5-FU. A total of nine concentrations of each formulation were prepared and placed in 96-well cell plates for 48 h in both 37 °C (CellXpert<sup>®</sup> C170 - Cell Culture Incubator, Eppendorp, Hamburg, Germany) and 42 °C (HERACELL VIOS 160i CO2 incubator, ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USE). After 48 h, the media was aspirated and Alamar Blue solution was directly added to the medium resulting in a final concentration of 10% in each well. After 3.4 h of incubation with Alamar Blue<sup>®</sup>, absorbance was measured at 570 and 596 nm using a BioRad microplate reader (ELX800,

Biotek, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Untreated cells were taken as control with 100% viability and cells with the addition of drug-free nanocarriers were used as a blank. Results were expressed as cell viability (%) as absorbance ratio between cells treated with free drug or drug-loaded formulations and to the absorbance of cells without any drug treatment.

Cellular morphology analysis was performed by double staining with Hoechst 33342 and propidium iodide. After incubation of the selected samples (5-FU+PTX, LMH) into cells in a 96-well plate, the cells were stained with 0.5 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 and 1 µg/mL propidium iodide and left for 10 min. The cells were then immediately imaged using an Olympus CellR epi-fluorescence microscope (XM10, Olympus, Japan). Microscopy images were used to highlight the difference between living and dead cells. Here, Hoechst acts as a marker for all cells while propidium iodide is selective for apoptotic or dead cells only. The microscopy data was processed with ImageJ software.

## 2.14. Statistics analysis method

Statistical <u>analysis was performed using</u> one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical software package, SPSS. Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). <u>A</u> multiple range test was used to compare each group, and the resulting *p* values for indicated in the figures.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Preparation and Characterisation of Liposome, Micelle and LMH Formulations

PTX-loaded liposomes and PTX and 5-FU loaded micelles were initially prepared. PTX loaded micelles where encapsulated into the core of PTX loaded liposomes to form double-loaded PTX-LMH (LMH<sub>PTX-PTX</sub>); 5-FU loaded micelles where encapsulated into PTX loaded liposomes to prepare LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> and PTX loaded micelles were incorporated into the liposomes to form LMH<sub>PTX</sub>. The size and zeta potentials of the nanocarriers are given in Table 1.

Nanocarrier Type		Mean	PDI	Zeta
		Diameter		potential
		(nm)		(mV)
Micelle	Blank	$13 \pm 0.4$	$0.242 \pm 0.027$	
	5-FU Loaded	$12\pm0.3$	$0.059\pm0.005$	
	PTX-Loaded	$10 \pm 0.1$	$0.039\pm0.007$	
Liposomes	Blank	$154 \pm 2.3$	$0.121\pm0.015$	$-32.8 \pm 0.4$
	PTX Loaded	$167\pm4.5$	$0.276\pm0.024$	$-31.1\pm0.1$
LMH	Blank	$151\pm2.6$	$0.087\pm0.021$	$-34.1\pm0.2$
	LMH <sub>PTX</sub>	$157\pm2.5$	$0.256\pm0.029$	$-29.6\pm0.7$
	LMH <sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> .	$164 \pm 1.9$	$0.171\pm0.028$	$-32.9\pm0.6$
	LMH-PTX-PTX	$175 \pm 1.7$	0.217±0.0208	$-30.0\pm0.7$

**Table 1:** Particle diameter, polydispersity index (PDI) and zeta potential of micelles, liposomes, and LMH in the presence or absence of PTX or 5-FU loading (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3).

In line with expectations, the micelles (loaded and unloaded) displayed mean diameters in the range 10-13 nm and the liposomes and LMH in the range 150-175 nm with PDI < 0.3. A trend of a small increase in liposome size was observed upon incorporation of drug and drug loaded micelles; this is consistent with previous results.<sup>39, 48</sup> The measured zeta potentials of liposomes and LMH were in the range -29 to -35 mV, which is as expected for DSPG and DPPG lipid-based systems and of an appropriate magnitude for good colloidal stability.<sup>49-50</sup> Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of micelles, liposomes and LMH systems are provided in Figure 1.



**Figure 1:** Representative TEM images of the nanocarriers: a) micelles b) liposomes and c) LMH carriers.

The micelles (Figure 1a) were revealed to be roughly spherical with sizes in the 10-20 nm range, in agreement with DLS analysis. The liposomes (Figure 1b) and LMH (Figure 1c) images confirmed sphericity with some unilamellar character for liposomes and high contrast for the LMH which contained encapsulated micelles and higher drug loading. Previous studies on chitosan-coated curcumin nanoliposomes and PTX loaded PEGylated liposomes have shown similar features.<sup>51</sup> Size of the nanocarriers (< 180 nm) is appropriate for effective delivery, with potential for enhancing circulation time and tumour delivery.<sup>52</sup>

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data for both drug-loaded and blank nanocarriers is given in Figure 2 and identified differences in thermal transitions. TPGS micelles showed the lowest (and a sharp) transition temperature at 34.5 °C that was independent of drug loading. In general, a higher phase transition temperature was observed for liposomes and LMH which is considered due to the combination of cholesterol and phospholipids and the lamellar structure and thermal stability.<sup>53-54</sup> More specifically, blank liposomes showed a broad thermogram with a phase transition temperature around 39 °C, this sharpened and shifted to ~45.5 °C with PTX loading. This is in agreeance with reports that PTX causes interdigitation and formation of a stable gel phase.<sup>55</sup> The blank LMH and drug loaded LMH showed phase transition temperatures of ~41 and 39.5 °C, respectively. The lower phase transition temperature ( $T_m$ ) for the LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> compared to the drug loaded liposomes is linked to the crystalline to liquid phase behaviour of the DPPG bilayer 40-42 °C (phase transition of DPPG is 41.5 °C <sup>56</sup>), which may facilitate temperature dependent drug release, which is carrier type dependent; this is explored in subsequent sections.



**Figure 2:** DSC profile of micelles, liposomes and  $LMH_{(PTX-5-FU)}$ . The heating scan rate for all nanoparticles was 2 °C min <sup>-1</sup> from 20 to 70 °C. The dotted lines represent blank micelles, liposomes and LMH respectively.

#### 3.2. Drug loading behaviour

Drug loading data for each nanocarrier is reported in Table 2. PTX loading in the liposomes (4.72  $\pm$  0.40 %) was higher than for the TPGS micelles (2.20  $\pm$  0.14 %) and LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> (3.12  $\pm$  0.53 %). Importantly, the PTX loading increased in LMH<sub>PTX-PTX</sub> (6.04  $\pm$  0.13 %) due to the combination of the 2 loading environments (Table 2). PTX loading (1.42  $\pm$  0.03 %) within the micelle core of LMH<sub>PTX</sub> was lower than when directly loaded in micelles (2.20  $\pm$  0.14 %). Similarly, 5-FU loaded in LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> was slightly lower than directly in micelles. Importantly, the dual loaded LMH<sub>PTX-PTX</sub> enabled PTX to be dosed at a 208-fold increased solubility, compared with the pure drug.

**Table 2:** PTX and 5-FU loading in micelle, liposome and LMH nanocarriers (mean  $\pm$  SD, n=3)

Nanocarrier type	Drug loadin	ng (mg/mL)	Aqueous solubility	
(loaded phase)			improvement (fold	
			increase)	
-	РТХ	<b>5-</b> FU	PTX *	
Micelle (core)	$2.20\pm0.14$	$3.16\pm0.36$	73.4	
Liposome (bilayer)	$4.72\pm0.40$	-	157.3	
LMH <sub>PTX</sub> (core)	$1.42\pm0.03$	-	47.3	
LMH(PTX-5-FU) (PTX	$3.12\pm0.53$	$2.91\pm0.41$	103.3	
bilayer & 5-FU core)				
LMH <sub>PTX-PTX</sub> (bilayer &	$6.04\pm0.13$	-	208.6	
micelles in core)				

\*Solubility of PTX in water = 0.03 mg/mL

## 3.4. Temperature-dependent drug release from micelles, liposomes and LMH

*In vitro* release of PTX and 5-FU from LMH, liposomes and micelles at physiological (37 °C) and hyperthermia temperature (42 °C) in sink conditions are presented in Figure 3.



**Figure 3:** a) Temperature-dependent PTX release from liposomes,  $LMH_{PTX}$  and  $LMH_{(PTX-5-FU)}$ , b) Temperature-dependent PTX release from micelles and 5-FU release from micelles and  $LMH_{(PTX-5-FU)}$  as inset. (mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3)).

PTX release kinetics from the standalone micelle and liposome formulations is rapid, which can be undesirable and problematic when considering premature release and potential for precipitation of poorly soluble drugs during application. Ji *et al.*<sup>57</sup> reported similar PTX release from liposomes. Significantly, the LMH carriers provide considerably slower and sustained release; this has potential advantages when considering drug delivery applications, e.g. crossing biological barriers, sustained circulation and passive targeting. This controlled release behaviour is considered due to the combined barriers of micelles and lipid bilayers which provide synergy in reducing transport from the nanocarrier into the external aqueous environment. <u>5-FU released quickly from the micelles and Thethe</u> sustained release of 5-FU from LMH is less pronounced and reflects the drug's lower molecular weight and higher water solubility in comparison to PTX.

It is also apparent that drug release is more pronounced at 42 °C than at 37 °C and that the temperature dependence is carrier type dependent, with the LMH formulation showing a great temperature dependence. The observed increase in drug release at 42°C compared to 37°C is due to at least two potential mechanisms. Firstly, due to temperature increased drug diffusion and secondly, due to transition temperature of the lipids in the formulation, i.e. increased barrier transport kinetics at temperatures above the transition temperature. For example, the transition temperature of the DPPG liposome system used here is exceeded as the temperature increases from 37 to 42 °C, hence, triggering a change in the lipid bilayer packing from an ordered gel phase, to the disordered liquid crystalline phase, which reduces the barrier for drug release.<sup>58</sup>

In more quantitative terms, after 48 h at 37 °C, PTX release from liposomes, LMH<sub>PTX</sub> and LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> was ~87%, 50% and 27%, respectively. LMH<sub>PTX</sub> showed a clearly different drug release profile compared with liposomes, which may be as a result of TPGS molecules from the micelles influencing the structure of the lipid bilayer as reported for other non-ionic surfactants when interacting with liposomes.<sup>57</sup> The highly sustained release of PTX from LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> may be due to 5-FU's influence on the packing of the lipid bilayer, as observed previously for resveratrol release from dual-loaded 5-FU and resveratrol liposomes.<sup>30</sup> For 5-FU in LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub>, 60% release was in 1 h at 37 °, which increased to 84% in 1h and 100% in 2 h at 42 °C. Clearly, 5-FU can readily cross both micelle and lipid bilayer barrier<sup>22</sup>.

## 3.5. Analysis of release kinetics

Following a previously reported approach, the Korsmeyer and Peppas kinetic model was used to better understand the PTX release behaviour and mechanism.<sup>45</sup> *K*-t plots obtained using equations 1 and 2 are shown in Figure 4, with the associated n and D values given in Table 3.

Since *n* is observed to be < 0.5 for PTX release from all nanocarriers at both temperatures, Fickian diffusion via a potential chemical gradient is most likely.<sup>59</sup>

**Table 3:** The *K*, *n* and *D* were determined from the Korsmeyer and Peppas model, Equations 1 and 2, using non-linear fitting (MATLAB-R2017a) and Microsoft excel solver as a function of time over 192 and 48 h.  $R^2$  values were >0.9 for LMH<sub>PTX</sub> (both models), LMH<sub>PTX-5-FU</sub>, liposomes (model 2) and micelles (model 2), and > 0.75 for liposomes (model 1) and micelles (model 1).

Nanocarrier	37°C			42°C		
Туре	K	n	$D (\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{s})$	K	n	D (m <sup>2</sup> /s)
			x 10 <sup>-10</sup>			x10 <sup>-10</sup>
LMH <sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub>	0.187	0.408	0.138	0.447	0.357	0.215
LMH <sub>PTX</sub>	0.290	0.433	0.699	0.900	0.361	1.301
Liposome	6.117	0.204	2.491	8.501	0.195	2.850
Micelle	5.957	0.205	1.532	7.447	0.214	2.532



**Figure 4.** Kinetics of drug release data fitted with models 1 and 2, for a)  $LMH_{(PTX-5-FU)}$ , b) micelle, c) liposomes and d)  $LMH_{PTX}$  The solid line is model 1 and the dotted line area is model 2. The blue data represents 37 °C and the red indicates 42 °C.

The calculated rate constant (*K*) and diffusion coefficient (*D*) for PTX gradually increased in the order of LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> < LMH<sub>PTX</sub> < micelles < liposomes (Table 3). The fitted curves are shown in Figure 4 (dotted and dashed lines). The rate constant and the diffusion coefficient are the lowest ( $0.14 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ) for the LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> system, which suggests that the slowest diffusion of the drug molecules. For other nanocarriers, a trend of increased diffusion coefficient of i.e  $0.70 \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $1.53 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $2.49 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  was observed for LMH<sub>PTX</sub>, micelles and liposomes, respectively. This is in agreement with our previous work where the rate of drug diffusion was limited by the liposomal lipid layer around the micelles in the LMH.<sup>39</sup> Rate constants and diffusion coefficients were greater at 42 °C in comparison to 37 °C; this correlated with the release profiles and is considered due to changes to the lipid-bilayer ordering and explained by classical kinetic theory.<sup>60</sup>

## 3.6. Permeability behaviour of LMH nanocarriers

The influence of nanocarrier type on PTX permeability was investigated in Caco-2 cell monolayers, following our previous LMH studies.<sup>39</sup> For free and nanocarrier encapsulated PTX the TEER values decreased (Figure 5a), i.e. by 63%, 60%, 55% and 31% after 2 h for micelles, liposomes, LMH<sub>PTX</sub> and free PTX, respectively. A similar TEER reduction was reported previously for LOV-LMH<sup>39</sup>, liposomes<sup>61</sup> and micelles.<sup>62</sup> The increased transport is considered due to opening of the Caco-2 cell monolayer tight junctions and correlated with the observed increase in PTX permeability coefficient (Figure 5b)<sup>63</sup>. The apparent permeability coefficient of PTX was increased significantly compared to unformulated PTX when using all the nanocarriers.



**Figure 5:** a) TEER of Caco-2 cell monolayers (21 days old) in HBSS after PTX (100  $\mu$ M) treatment (free and in micelles, liposome and LMH<sub>PTX</sub> formulations), b) Apparent permeability coefficient for PTX (P<sub>app</sub> for LMH is significant different to free PTX (\*\*\*), but not for micelles (\*\*) and liposomes (\*).

#### 3.8 *In vitro* cell viability studies

HeLa and Neuro 2a (N2a) are cancer derived cells and we have determined their viability (at both 37 °C and 42 °C) against PTX concentration (free PTX and encapsulated in micelles, liposomes and LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub>) (Figure. 6). The free carriers, TPGS micelles and DPPG liposomes have been investigated for delivery of many chemotherapeutics and shown to be well tolerated by cells (> 80% viability) at the concentrations used in this study<sup>64-65</sup>. 5-FU-loaded micelles were not evaluated in cellular studies due to the fast drug release profile making them unsuitable for testing.



**Figure 6.** Viability of HeLa (a. at 37 °C and b at 42 °C) and Neuro 2a (c. at 37 °C and d. at 42 °C) cells after 48 h of treatment against PTX-loaded micelles (blue circles), PTX-loaded liposomes (pink circles), PTX and 5-FU loaded LMH<sub>(PTX-5FU)</sub> (green circles), and the free drug combination of PTX and 5-FU (PTX+5-FU; red circles) (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 4).

A key observation is that the LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> formulation is significantly more cytotoxic against both cell lines (and at both temperatures) than the free drug combination (PTX+5-FU), PTXloaded micelles and PTX-loaded liposomes. At 37 °C, the LC50 values for LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> are ~1.0 and ~0.5 µM against Hela and Neuro 2a, respectively; these are ~10 times lower than for the other formulations and free drugs. Furthermore, LC50 values for LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> are significantly reduced (more than 5 times lower) at 42 °C compared with 37 °C. The high cytotoxicity of LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> concurs with high drug loading levels and sustained drug release. The observed change in cell viability when PTX and 5-FU were delivered using LMH may be hypothesized to be due to increased uptake of the nanocarrier into the cell, resulting in cell death.

This behaviour is confirmed by the cellular images and cell morphology data in Figure 7. That is, a significantly higher number of cells appear damaged and have undergone apoptosis for

LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> treatment compared with free PTX+5-FU and in both 37 °C and 42 °C (Figure 7A and B), which is supported by the significantly higher number of dead cells for the LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> treatment (Figure 7C and D), which is more pronounced at the higher temperature. It can be hypothesised that this behaviour is also related to the improved cellular delivery of PTX and 5-FU using the LMH nanocarrier.



**Figure 7.** Bright field microscopic images of A. Hela (upper left) and B) Neuro 2a (upper right) cells: for control, and PTX+5-FU and LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU)</sub> treatment 37 °C and 42 °C (scale bar = 1000  $\mu$ m). With corresponding fluorescence microscopy images of C. HeLa (bottom left) and D. Neuro (bottom right) (scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m). N.B. cells treated with propodium iodide and Hoechst 33342: live cells are blue and dead cells are red.

Overall, these results revealed that LMH<sub>(PTX-5-FU</sub> (PTX and 5-FU loaded LMH nanocarriers) are more effective as anti-cancer agents compared with micelles, liposomes and free PTX+5-FU, with strong thermo-responsive characteristics.

# 4. Conclusion

The LMH system for PTX and 5-FU was successfully developed with optimum particle size distribution and increased drug loading compared with single micelle or liposome formulations. The LMH nanocarrier facilitated sustained PTX release (not 5-FU) and increased permeability and transport of PTX across Caco-2 cell monolayers in comparison to micelle or liposome formulations formulations permeability and transport of PTX. PTX loaded LMH significantly enhanced cytotoxicity against

HeLa and Neuro 2a cells with extensive temperature dependency (cytotoxicity at 42  $^{\circ}$ C > at 37  $^{\circ}$ C). LMH nanocarriers offers potential as a next generation cancer drug delivery system with advantages over conventional liposomes and micelles for improving the therapeutic efficacy of anticancer drugs for future exploitation in cancer medicine.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

# Acknowledgments

Financial support of the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council project grant scheme (APP1026382) is gratefully acknowledged. The Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project Grant (DP130101512), ARC Centre of Excellence Grant (CE140100036), ARC Future Fellowship to PT (FT120100101) and the Australian Government for Australian Postgraduate Awards (APA) scholarship with University top-up to support this research to B. Romana. Special Thanks to Associate Professor Fazlul Huq from the University of Sydney for donating cells.

## References

1. Sparreboom, A.; Van Asperen, J.; Mayer, U.; Schinkel, A. H.; Smit, J. W.; Meijer, D. K. F.; Borst, P.; Nooijen, W. J.; Beijnen, J. H.; Van Tellingen, O., Limited oral bioavailability and active epithelial excretion of paclitaxel (Taxol) caused by P-glycoprotein in the intestine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1997**, *94* (5), 2031-2035.

2. Yang, Q.; Zu, C.; Li, W.; Wu, W.; Ge, Y.; Wang, L.; Wang, L.; Li, Y.; Zhao, X. Enhanced Water Solubility and Oral Bioavailability of Paclitaxel Crystal Powders through an Innovative Antisolvent Precipitation Process: Antisolvent Crystallization Using Ionic Liquids as Solvent *Pharmaceutics* [Online], 2020.

3. Qu, X.; Zou, Y.; He, C.; Zhou, Y.; Jin, Y.; Deng, Y.; Wang, Z.; Li, X.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, Y., Improved intestinal absorption of paclitaxel by mixed micelles self-assembled from vitamin E succinate-based amphiphilic polymers and their transcellular transport mechanism and intracellular trafficking routes. *Drug Delivery* **2018**, *25* (1), 210-225.

4. Choi, J.-S.; Jo, B.-W.; Kim, Y.-C., Enhanced paclitaxel bioavailability after oral administration of paclitaxel or prodrug to rats pretreated with quercetin. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* **2004**, *57* (2), 313-318.

5. Panday, V. R.; Huizing, M. T.; Willemse, P. H.; De Graeff, A.; ten Bokkel Huinink, W. W.; Vermorken, J. B.; Beijnen, J. H., Hepatic metabolism of paclitaxel and its impact in patients with altered hepatic function. *Semin Oncol* **1997**, *24* (4 Suppl 11), S11-34-S11-38.

6. Singla, A. K.; Garg, A.; Aggarwal, D., Paclitaxel and its formulations. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **2002**, *235* (1), 179-192.

7. Singh, S.; Dash, A. K., Paclitaxel in cancer treatment: perspectives and prospects of its delivery challenges. *Critical Reviews™ in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems* **2009**, *26* (4).

8. Guo, W.; Dong, W.; Li, M.; Shen, Y., Mitochondria P-glycoprotein confers paclitaxel resistance on ovarian cancer cells. *Onco Targets and Therapy* **2019**, *12*, 3881.

9. Hida, K.; Kikuchi, H.; Maishi, N.; Hida, Y., ATP-binding cassette transporters in tumor endothelial cells and resistance to metronomic chemotherapy. *Cancer Letters* **2017**, *400*, 305-310.

10. Gottesman, M. M.; Fojo, T.; Bates, S. E., Multidrug resistance in cancer: role of ATP– dependent transporters. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **2002**, *2* (1), 48-58.

11. Wu, Q.; Yang, Z.; Nie, Y.; Shi, Y.; Fan, D., Multi-drug resistance in cancer chemotherapeutics: mechanisms and lab approaches. *Cancer Letters* **2014**, *347* (2), 159-166.

12. Bukowski, K.; Kciuk, M.; Kontek, R., Mechanisms of multidrug resistance in cancer chemotherapy. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2020**, *21* (9), 3233.

13. Halder, J.; Pradhan, D.; Kar, B.; Ghosh, G.; Rath, G., Nanotherapeutics approaches to overcome P-glycoprotein-mediated multi-drug resistance in cancer. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine* **2021**, 102494.

14. Keil, F.; Selzer, E.; Berghold, A.; Reinisch, S.; Kapp, K. S.; De Vries, A.; Greil, R.; Bachtiary, B.; Tinchon, C.; Anderhuber, W.; Burian, M.; Kasparek, A.-K.; Elsäßer, W.; Kainz, H.; Riedl, R.; Kopp, M.; Kornek, G., Induction chemotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil followed by radiotherapy with cetuximab for locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *European Journal of Cancer* **2013**, *49* (2), 352-359.

15. Wang, D.; Tang, J.; Wang, Y.; Ramishetti, S.; Fu, Q.; Racette, K.; Liu, F., Multifunctional nanoparticles based on a single-molecule modification for the treatment of drug-resistant cancer. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* **2013**, *10* (4), 1465-1469.

16. Giacchetti, S.; Perpoint, B.; Zidani, R.; Le Bail, N.; Faggiuolo, R.; Focan, C.; Chollet, P.; Llory, J.; Letourneau, Y.; Coudert, B., Phase III multicenter randomized trial of oxaliplatin added to chronomodulated fluorouracil–leucovorin as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Journal of clinical oncology* **2000**, *18* (1), 136-136.

17. Jeong, S. H.; Chavani, O.; Burns, K.; Porter, D.; Findlay, M.; Helsby, N., Severe 5-Fluorouracil-Associated Gastrointestinal Toxicity Unexplained by Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Deficiency and Renal Impairment: Should We Be Investigating Other Elimination Pathways to Assess the Risk of 5-Fluorouracil Toxicity? *European Journal of Drug Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics* **2021**, *46* (6), 817-820.

18. More, L. A.; Lane, S.; Asnani, A., 5-FU cardiotoxicity: vasospasm, myocarditis, and sudden death. *Current Cardiology Reports* **2021**, *23* (3), 1-8.

19. Gupte, A.; Ciftci, K., Formulation and characterization of Paclitaxel, 5-FU and Paclitaxel + 5-FU microspheres. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **2004**, *276* (1), 93-106.

20. Chandran, S. P.; Natarajan, S. B.; Chandraseharan, S.; Shahimi, M. S. B. M., Nano drug delivery strategy of 5-fluorouracil for the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Journal of Cancer Research and Practice* **2017**, *4* (2), 45-48.

21. Jain, K. K., Role of nanobiotechnology in drug delivery. In *Drug Delivery Systems*, Springer: 2020; pp 55-73.

22. Wang, W.; Joyce, P.; Bremmell, K.; Milne, R.; Prestidge, C. A., Liposomal 5-Fluorouracil Polymer Complexes Facilitate Tumor-Specific Delivery: Pharmaco-Distribution Kinetics Using Microdialysis. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14* (2), 221.

Saraf, S.; Jain, A.; Tiwari, A.; Verma, A.; Panda, P. K.; Jain, S. K., Advances in liposomal drug delivery to cancer: An overview. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology* 2020, *56*, 101549.
 Filipczak, N.; Pan, J.; Yalamarty, S. S. K.; Torchilin, V. P., Recent advancements in liposome technology. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 2020, *156*, 4-22.

25. Barenholz, Y. C., Doxil<sup>®</sup>—the first FDA-approved nano-drug: from an idea to a product. In *Handbook of harnessing biomaterials in nanomedicine*, Jenny Stanford Publishing: 2021; pp 463-528.

26. Koudelka, Š.; Turánek, J., Liposomal paclitaxel formulations. *Journal of Controlled Release* **2012**, *163* (3), 322-334.

27. Pereira Gomes, I.; Aparecida Duarte, J.; Chaves Maia, A. L.; Rubello, D.; Townsend, D. M.; Branco de Barros, A. L.; Leite, E. A., Thermosensitive Nanosystems Associated with Hyperthermia for Cancer Treatment. *Pharmaceuticals* **2019**, *12* (4).

28. Needham, D.; Anyarambhatla, G.; Kong, G.; Dewhirst, M. W., A New Temperature-sensitive Liposome for Use with Mild Hyperthermia: Characterization and Testing in a Human Tumor Xenograft Model1. *Cancer Research* **2000**, *60* (5), 1197-1201.

29. Riganti, C.; Voena, C.; Kopecka, J.; Corsetto, P. A.; Montorfano, G.; Enrico, E.; Costamagna, C.; Rizzo, A. M.; Ghigo, D.; Bosia, A., Liposome-encapsulated doxorubicin reverses drug resistance by inhibiting P-glycoprotein in human cancer cells. *Molecular Pharmaceutics* **2011**, *8* (3), 683-700.

30. Mohan, A.; Narayanan, S.; Sethuraman, S.; Krishnan, U. M., Novel resveratrol and 5fluorouracil coencapsulated in PEGylated nanoliposomes improve chemotherapeutic efficacy of combination against head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *BioMed Research International* **2014**, *2014*.

31. Guo, Y.; Luo, J.; Tan, S.; Otieno, B. O.; Zhang, Z., The applications of Vitamin E TPGS in drug delivery. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **2013**, *49* (2), 175-186.

32. Sezgin, Z.; Yüksel, N.; Baykara, T., Preparation and characterization of polymeric micelles for solubilization of poorly soluble anticancer drugs. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* **2006**, *64* (3), 261-268.

33. Nimtrakul, P.; Williams, D. B.; Tiyaboonchai, W.; Prestidge, C. A. Copolymeric Micelles Overcome the Oral Delivery Challenges of Amphotericin B *Pharmaceuticals* [Online], 2020.

34. Mandal, A.; Gote, V.; Pal, D.; Ogundele, A.; Mitra, A. K., Ocular Pharmacokinetics of a Topical Ophthalmic Nanomicellar Solution of Cyclosporine (Cequa®) for Dry Eye Disease. *Pharmaceutical Research* **2019**, *36* (2), 36.

35. Allen, T.; Hansen, C., Pharmacokinetics of stealth versus conventional liposomes: effect of dose. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta -Biomembranes* **1991**, *1068* (2), 133-141.

36. Lo, Y.-L., Phospholipids as multidrug resistance modulators of the transport of epirubicin in human intestinal epithelial Caco-2 cell layers and everted gut sacs of rats. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **2000**, *60* (9), 1381-1390.

37. Dintaman, J. M.; Silverman, J. A., Inhibition of P-Glycoprotein by D-α-Tocopheryl Polyethylene Glycol 1000 Succinate (TPGS). *Pharmaceutical Research* **1999**, *16* (10), 1550-1556.

38. Owen, S. C.; Chan, D. P. Y.; Shoichet, M. S., Polymeric micelle stability. *Nano Today* **2012**, *7* (1), 53-65.

39. Romana, B.; Hassan, M. M.; Sonvico, F.; Garrastazu Pereira, G.; Mason, A. F.; Thordarson, P.; Bremmell, K. E.; Barnes, T. J.; Prestidge, C. A., A liposome-micelle-hybrid (LMH) oral delivery system for poorly water-soluble drugs: Enhancing solubilisation and intestinal transport. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* **2020**, *154*, 338-347.

40. Li, Y.; Chen, Z.; Cui, Y.; Zhai, G.; Li, L., The construction and characterization of hybrid paclitaxel-in-micelle-in-liposome systems for enhanced oral drug delivery. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* **2017**, *160*, 572-580.

41. Ruysschaert, T.; Germain, M.; da Silva Gomes, J. P.; Fournier, D.; Sukhorukov, G. B.; Meier, W.; Winterhalter, M., Liposome-based nanocapsules. *IEEE Transactions on NanoBioscience* **2004**, *3* (1), 49-55.

42. Gong, J.; Chen, M.; Zheng, Y.; Wang, S.; Wang, Y., Polymeric micelles drug delivery system in oncology. *Journal of Controlled Release* **2012**, *159* (3), 312-323.

43. Dou, Y.; Hynynen, K.; Allen, C., To heat or not to heat: Challenges with clinical translation of thermosensitive liposomes. *Journal of Controlled Release* **2017**, *249*, 63-73.

44. Bangham, A.; Standish, M.; Watkins, J., Diffusion of univalent ions across the lamellae of swollen phospholipids. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **1965**, *13* (1), 238.

45. Korsmeyer, R. W.; Gurny, R.; Doelker, E.; Buri, P.; Peppas, N. A., Mechanisms of solute release from porous hydrophilic polymers. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **1983**, *15* (1), 25-35.

46. Liang, E.; Chessic, K.; Yazdanian, M., Evaluation of an accelerated Caco-2 cell permeability model. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **2000**, *89* (3), 336-345.

47. Zidan, A. S.; Spinks, C. B.; Habib, M. J.; Khan, M. A., Formulation and transport properties of tenofovir loaded liposomes through Caco-2 cell model. *Journal of Liposome Research* **2013**, *23* (4), 318-326.

48. Hope, M. J.; Nayar, R.; Mayer, L. D.; Cullis, P., Reduction of liposome size and preparation of unilamellar vesicles by extrusion techniques. In *Liposome Technology: Interactions of Liposomes with the Biological Milieu*, Gregoriadis, G., Ed. CRC Press, Inc: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1993; pp 123-139.

49. Selvamani, V., Stability studies on nanomaterials used in drugs. In *Characterization and biology of nanomaterials for drug delivery*, Simon, H., Ed. Matthew Dean Press: London, UK, 2019; pp 425-444.
50. Freitas, C.; Müller, R. H., Effect of light and temperature on zeta potential and physical stability in solid lipid nanoparticle (SLN<sup>™</sup>) dispersions. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **1998**, *168* (2), 221-229.

51. Yang, T.; Cui, F.-D.; Choi, M.-K.; Cho, J.-W.; Chung, S.-J.; Shim, C.-K.; Kim, D.-D., Enhanced solubility and stability of PEGylated liposomal paclitaxel: in vitro and in vivo evaluation. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **2007**, *338* (1-2), 317-326.

52. Bulbake, U.; Doppalapudi, S.; Kommineni, N.; Khan, W. Liposomal Formulations in Clinical Use: An Updated Review *Pharmaceutics* [Online], 2017.

53. Gaber, M. H.; Hong, K.; Huang, S. K.; Papahadjopoulos, D., Thermosensitive Sterically Stabilized Liposomes: Formulation and in Vitro Studies on Mechanism of Doxorubicin Release by Bovine Serum and Human Plasma. *Pharmaceutical Research* **1995**, *12* (10), 1407-1416.

54. Genz, A.; Holzwarth, J.; Tsong, T., The influence of cholesterol on the main phase transition of unilamellar dipalmytoylphosphatidylcholine vesicles. A differential scanning calorimetry and iodine laser T-jump study. *Biophysical Journal* **1986**, *50* (6), 1043-1051.

55. Demetzos, C., Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC): A Tool to Study the Thermal Behavior of Lipid Bilayers and Liposomal Stability. *Journal of Liposome Research* **2008**, *18* (3), 159-173.

56. Hanpft, R.; Mohr, K., Influence of cationic amphiphilic drugs on the phase-transition temperature of phospholipids with different polar headgroups. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* - *Biomembranes* **1985**, *814* (1), 156-162.

57. Ji, X.; Gao, Y.; Chen, L.; Zhang, Z.; Deng, Y.; Li, Y., Nanohybrid systems of non-ionic surfactant inserting liposomes loading paclitaxel for reversal of multidrug resistance. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **2012**, *422* (1), 390-397.

58. Pereira Gomes, I.; Aparecida Duarte, J.; Chaves Maia, A. L.; Rubello, D.; Townsend, D. M.; Branco de Barros, A. L.; Leite, E. A., Thermosensitive Nanosystems Associated with Hyperthermia for Cancer Treatment. *Pharmaceuticals* **2019**, *12* (4), 171.

59. Costa, P.; Lobo, J. M. S., Modeling and comparison of dissolution profiles. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Science* **2001**, *13* (2), 123-133.

60. Arnold, J. H., Studies in diffusion. II. A kinetic theory of diffusion in liquid systems. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1930**, *52* (10), 3937-3955.

61. Hossain, Z.; Kurihara, H.; Hosokawa, M.; Takahashi, K., Docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid-enriched phosphatidylcholine liposomes enhance the permeability, transportation and uptake of phospholipids in Caco-2 cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry* **2006**, *285* (1), 155-163.

62. Mathot, F.; van Beijsterveldt, L.; Préat, V.; Brewster, M.; Ariën, A., Intestinal uptake and biodistribution of novel polymeric micelles after oral administration. *Journal of Controlled Release* **2006**, *111* (1–2), 47-55.

63. Cheng, H. C.; Chang, C. Y.; Hsieh, F. I.; Yeh, J. J.; Chien, M. Y.; Pan, R. N.; Deng, M. C.; Liu, D. Z., Effects of tremella-alginate-liposome encapsulation on oral delivery of inactivated H5N3 vaccine. *Journal of Microencapsulation* **2011**, *28* (1), 55-61.

64. Liu, B.-Y.; Wu, C.; He, X.-Y.; Zhuo, R.-X.; Cheng, S.-X., Multi-drug loaded vitamin E-TPGS nanoparticles for synergistic drug delivery to overcome drug resistance in tumor treatment. *Science Bulletin* **2016**, *61* (7), 552-560.

65. Cui, M.; Wu, W.; Hovgaard, L.; Lu, Y.; Chen, D.; Qi, J., Liposomes containing cholesterol analogues of botanical origin as drug delivery systems to enhance the oral absorption of insulin. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* **2015**, *489* (1), 277-284.