ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository
Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking games
This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:
Original Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking games / Menegatti, M In: PUBLIC CHOICE ISSN 0048-5829 (2020). [10.1007/s11127-020-00828-z]
Availability: This version is available at: 11381/2885010 since: 2024-11-08T13:12:13Z
Publisher: Springer
Published DOI:10.1007/s11127-020-00828-z
Terms of use:
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available
Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

Public Choice

Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking games -- Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	PUCH-D-19-00446R3	
Full Title:	Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking ga	mes
Article Type:	Original Research	
Keywords:	rent-seeking games; two-period framework wealth	c; risk aversion; risky rent; changes in
Corresponding Author:	Mario Menegatti Universita degli Studi di Parma Parma, ITALY	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universita degli Studi di Parma	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Mario Menegatti	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Mario Menegatti	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Funding Information:	Fondazione Cariparma	Prof. Mario Menegatti
Abstract:	This work analyzes a two-period rent-seeking game, with the aim of studying the effect of risk aversion on the optimal choices made by the rent-seekers. We first prove that the equilibrium in two-period rent-seeking games always is unique. The analysis also shows that more risk aversion reduces the investment in the rent-seeking game in a two-period framework without introducing the additional condition of prudence, required in one-period models. Similarly, the introduction of a risky rent, instead of a given rent, implies, in the two-period framework, a reduction in investment under the condition that the rent-seekers are risk averse. Moreover, with risk aversion, larger first-period wealth increases investment in the rent-seeking game and larger second-period wealth reduces it. When both first-period and second-period wealth increase, investment in the rent-seeking game declines if the rent-seeker is risk averse and imprudent. Lastly, when a risky level of second-period wealth is introduced, the rent-seeker increases (reduces) investment in the rent-seeking game if he is risk averse and prudent (imprudent)	
Response to Reviewers:	The paper i accepted. There were no report	ts

Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking games

MARIO MENEGATTI

Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche e Aziendali Università di Parma via Kennedy 6 Parma Italy

 $mario.menegatti@unipr.it\\ ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5893-9753$

Risk aversion in two-period rent-seeking games

Abstract

This work analyzes a two-period rent-seeking game, with the aim of studying the effect of risk aversion on the optimal choices made by the rent-seekers. We first prove that the equilibrium in two-period rent-seeking games always is unique. The analysis also shows that more risk aversion reduces the investment in the rent-seeking game in a two-period framework without introducing the additional condition of prudence, required in one-period models. Similarly, the introduction of a risky rent, instead of a given rent, implies, in the two-period framework, a reduction in investment under the condition that the rent-seekers are risk averse. Moreover, with risk aversion, larger first-period wealth increases investment in the rent-seeking game and larger second-period wealth reduces it. When both first-period and second-period wealth increase, investment in the rent-seeking game declines if the rent-seeker is risk averse and imprudent. Lastly, when a risky level of second-period wealth is introduced, the rent-seeker increases (reduces) investment in the rent-seeking game if he is risk averse and prudent (imprudent).

Keywords: rent-seeking games, two-period framework, risk aversion, risky rent, changes in wealth.

JEL Classification: C72, D72, D81

1 Introduction

Many activities in peoples' lives can be described by rent-seeking games in which different agents compete for obtaining a rent. Activities of that kind are, for instance, lobbying, R&D rivalry for innovating, sports competitions and competitions for obtaining grants.

All such activities have some common characters. First, all rent-seekers have an *exante* probability of winning the game, whereas, *ex-post*, only one of them will win. Moreover, each individual rent-seeker can increase his probability of winning, simultaneously reducing competitors' probabilities, by investing resources in the game. The investment always is costly, but it can be of a different nature or dimension. For instance, it can be a financial cost in the case of lobbying or R&D competition, training in the case of sports competitions, or effort in preparing a project or application in the case of a competition for a grant.

Thanks to their very general formulation, rent-seeking games have been studied widely in the literature, starting from the seminal papers by Tullock (1967, 1980). Tullock's approach has be applied in many fields. Beyond the examples listed above, rent-seeking games have been shown to be relevant for studying the effects of entrepreneurial activity on economic growth (Baumol 1990; Murphy et al. 1993), military conflicts and election campaigns (Hirshleifer 1989), politicians' behavior (McChesney 1997) and, very recently, to compare development across countries (Acemoglu and Robinson 2019) and in its early stages (e.g., Carugati et al. 2019) as well as to analyze polycentric governance (Tarko and Farrant 2019).

Since in rent-seeking games, each player has only the chances of winning or losing, the games describe a risky context. Despite that observation, the study of rent-seeking games initially was implemented in a framework wherein rent-seekers were assumed to be risk neutral. Starting from Konrad and Schlesinger (1997), a significant literature (e.g., Cornes and Hartley 2003, 2012; Yamazaki 2009) introduced risk aversion into the analysis. In particular, Konrad and Schlesinger (1997) examined the effect of risk aversion on optimal investments in a rent-seeking game, concluding that the direction of the effect is ambiguous. More recently, Treich (2010) found a clear negative effect of risk aversion on investment in the rent-seeking game, relative to risk neutrality, when the risk averse rent-seeker also is prudent, i.e., when the utility function of the rent-seeker has a positive third derivative.² Moreover, Liu et al. (2018) contributed new results to the model studied by Treich (2010) and derived the opposite result, namely that risk aversion has a positive

¹For other recent and less recent applications, see Mitchell and Munger (1991), Congleton (2019) and Mitchell (2019).

²The relevance of prudence was first identified in the precautionary saving problem studied by Leland (1968) and then was formalized in the seminal paper by Kimball (1990). A subsequent and broad body of literature has made prudence a well-established concept in decision theory under risk, and it also has proved prudence to be significant for many economic issues, such as self-protection models (Eeckhout and Gollier 2005; Menegatti 2009), portfolio choice (Chiu et al. 2012) and stochastic dominance (Levy 2006).

effect on investments in rent-seeking games when we introduce a payment contingent on the outcome of the contest and paid only by the winner ("contingent payment"), instead of considering thepayment to be a fixed cost independent from the game's outcome ("up front payment"), as it had been assumed in all of the previous literature. It is worth noting that such heterogenous results suggest clearly that the effect of risk aversion on investments in rent-seeking depend heavily on the structure of the game analyzed.

An important, but substantially neglected element in the analysis of rent-seeking games is the role of the timing of investments in them. In fact, models introducing risk neutrality and those assuming risk aversion both usually study rent-seeking games in a one-period framework, such that rent-seeking effort is expended contemporaneously with the contest that assigns the rent. But, although that timing can be appropriate for some real world situations, it is unsuitable for many others, wherein the investment precedes the beginning of the contest.

Consider the examples mentioned above. It is clear that lobbying is carried out over a long time horizon before the relevant decision on rent attribution is taken. Similarly, R&D investment typically precedes the period in which the innovation is discovered. Training for a sports competition takes place for a long period before the competition starts and grant applications require investments of time and knowhow that precede the grant's assignment.

It is worth noting that issues associated with a game's time structure were not as salient in the literature assuming risk neutrality, since the models studied there, although formally one-period games, basically were "atemporal". That feature, which will be explained in more detail in Section 3, depends substantially on the fact that in a two-period framework and in the case of risk neutrality, the intertemporal allocation of wealth is irrelevant for the decision maker, meaning that one-period and two-period frameworks substantially are equivalent in the case of risk neutrality.

However, the issue of the time structure of the game becomes important in the case of risk aversion for at least two reasons. First, wealth allocation between periods matters for a risk averse rent-seeker. Second, the literature on other economic problems, such as self-protection models (see Eeckhoudt and Gollier 2005; Menegatti 2009), shows that, in the presence of risk aversion, the conclusions in one-period and in two-period frameworks can be very different.

Moreover, the analysis of a two-period framework for rent-seeking games likewise is important for a different reason. As emphasized in the recent survey by Dechenaux et al. (2015, p. 627) "it appears that ... the findings from experimental studies suggest that more risk-aversion leads to lower effort in contests", while it has been noted that "theoretically, the direction of the effect of risk aversion on effort depended on the third derivative of utility". The results obtained in the present paper provide new insights into

³Some experimental economics papers obtaining results in this direction are, for instance, Anderson and Freeborn (2010), Sheremeta and Zhang (2010) and Mago et al. (2013).

that issue. A brief relevant discussion will be proposed in Section 4.

Starting from the foregoing premises, the aim of this paper is to study, for the first time, investment in rent-seeking games in a two-period framework, wherein investment occurs before the rent assignment.⁴

It will be shown that our two-period framework yields results that differ significantly from those obtained in the case of one-period games. In particular, the main results relate to the effects of risk aversion on optimal investment. We show that, once a constraint on contestants' wealth is introduced, risk aversion alone reduces optimal investment in the two-period framework, without requiring the additional condition of prudence. That result, which differs from Treich (2010), holds both when comparing the risk averse rent-seeker with the risk neutral rent-seeker and when comparing two rent-seekers with different levels of risk aversion.

The paper also addresses the case of risky rent. In that context, we show that, unlike the previous literature, introducing a risky rent in a two-period framework again yields clear effects of risk aversion without needing to introduce other preference requirements.

Lastly, as emphasized recently by Schroyen and Treich (2016), an important issue in the study of contests is the effect of changes in wealth. We examine different cases considering changes in first-period wealth, second-period wealth, wealth in both periods and the effects of shifting from non-random to risky wealth. For all of those cases we derive clear conclusions relating to the effects of attitudes toward risk on players' investments in the game, providing for each of them a specific interpretation.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 presents the rent-seeking game and its properties. Section 3 studies the benchmark case of risk neutrality. Section 4 examines optimal investment in the rent-seeking game under risk aversion. Section 5 analyzes the effect of changes in wealth. Section 6 concludes.

2 The game

The framework introduced is based on Konrad and Schlesinger (1997) and Treich (2010), except for the time structure of the model. We consider a contest with n identical utility maximizing agents competing for a rent b > 0. The probability of winning the contest for the representative rent-seeker i is given by $p_i = p_i(x_1, ...x_n)$, where $x_j \ge 0$ for j = 1, ..., n is the investment made in winning the contest by rent-seeker j. The contest success function (CSF) p_i is assumed to be both differentiable and symmetric, such that for all i = 1, ..., n, $p_i \in [0, 1]$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$.

⁴It is worth emphasizing that the issue of a two-period game studied in the present paper is different from that of two-stage games previously analyzed in the literature (e.g., Sheremeta 2010; Stracke et al. 2014). In fact, two-stage games consider one contest occurring over two periods but, instead, a kind of game comprising two contests wherein the winners of the first stage are admitted to the second.

As in Konrad and Schlesinger (1997) and Treich (2010), we introduce the following assumptions for the contest success function:

Assumption A1: $\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}(x_1,...x_n) \geq 0$ and $\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}(x_1,...x_n) \leq 0$ for all x_i .

Assumption A2: $\frac{\partial^2 p_i}{\partial x_i^2}(x,...x) < 0$ for all x.

Assumption A3: $\frac{\partial^2 p_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x,...x) \leq 0$ for all x.

Assumption A4: $p_i(x,...,x) = \frac{1}{n}$ for all x

Assumption A1 states that the probability of success is a non-decreasing function of one's own investment and a non-increasing function of the investments of the other rent-seekers. Assumptions A2 and A3 introduce the usual requirements of decreasing marginal returns to investment. Assumption A4 states that, when all rent-seekers exert the same level of effort, they have the same probability of winning the contest.

Notice that our analysis is restricted to the case of symmetric games. That is the same restriction as in the contributions of Konrad and Schlesinger (1997), Treich (2010) and Liu et al. (2018), which provide the main starting point for the present paper.

Unlike Konrad and Schlesinger (1997) and Treich (2010), we assume that the investment in the game is made before the rent is assigned, which means that the investment is made in Period 0 and the contest for obtaining the rent takes place in Period 1. In the two periods, the representative rent-seeker is endowed with initial wealth w_0 and w_1 , respectively.

In the specified framework, we consider the representative rent-seeker's choice of the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game, x_i , in order to maximize his intertemporal expected utility:

$$U(x_i) = u(w_0 - x_i) + \delta[p_i u(w_1 + b) + (1 - p_i)u(w_1)], \tag{1}$$

where u is the rent-seeker's one-period utility function and $\delta \leq 1$ is the subjective intertemporal discount factor.⁵ We assume that intertemporal utility is such that at least one solution for the maximization problem exists.

Lastly, notice that Eq. (1) implicitly assumes that one-period utility is the same in the two periods. That assumption often is introduced in two-period problems but it is worth emphasizing that it is necessary for some of the results derived henceforth.

⁵Notice the formal similarity between the present game and the "ability contest" of Schroyen and Treich (2016). That model, however, does not study an intertemporal framework but, rather, a one-period framework wherein the cost of participating in the contest is non-monetary.

3 Risk neutrality

In order to analyze the effects of risk aversion on the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game, we first study the benchmark case of risk neutrality. In that case intertemporal utility (1) simply becomes

$$F(x_i) = w_0 - x_i + \delta[p_i(w_1 + b) + (1 - p_i)w_1] = w_0 - x_i + \delta[w_1 + p_i b].$$
 (2)

Given (2), the optimal level of x_i for the risk neutral agent (labelled x_n) satisfies the first-order condition:

$$p_n' = \frac{1}{\delta b} \tag{3}$$

(where $p'_n = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}$ for $x_i = x_n$). Note that the maximum is unique since Assumption A2 ensures that $\frac{d^2F}{dx_i^2} = F''(x_i) < 0$ for every x_i .

As anticipated in Section 1, under the assumption of no intertemporal discounting $(\delta = 1)$, the formalization of the choice problem for the risk neutral agent essentially is atemporal, since the two-period intertemporal utility in (2) is analytically equivalent to the corresponding utility in the one-period framework given by

$$p_i(w+b) + (1-p_i)w = w - x_i + p_i b, (4)$$

letting $w = w_0 + w_1$.

The foregoing implies that the first-order condition for Problem (4) is the same as for Problem (2), implying in turn that:

Proposition 1. Under no intertemporal discounting, the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game is the same in the one-period and in the two-period frameworks with risk neutrality.

The equivalence of the one-period and the two-period games in the case of risk neutrality has a straightforward interpretation. With risk neutrality, the allocation of wealth between the two periods is irrelevant because the rent-seeker wants only to maximize his total wealth. Choosing in a one-period framework or in a two period framework thus is the same for the rent-seeker.

The picture clearly changes in the case of positive intertemporal discounting. Here, in fact, the first-order conditions for the one-period and two-period frameworks differ because the two-period framework includes the term δ . Moreover, since p'_n is decreasing in x_i by assumption A2, we obtain immediately that

Proposition 2. Heavier intertemporal discounting (i.e., a smaller δ) reduces the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game x_i with risk neutrality.

The interpretation of that result again is straightforward. More discounting means that the rent seeker assigns lesser importance to future wealth. Since in a two-period setup the cost of investing in the game is in the present, while the potential benefit is in the future, the implication is that the potential benefit is less valuable for the rent-seeker and, hence, less is invested in the game.

It is worth emphasizing that a larger discount rate also can be interpreted as a circumstance in which the interval between the two periods is longer. Such an interpretation may open the model for applications to analyses comparing choices under different waiting times. For example, different firms may have different head-starts in their R&D projects or in building relationships with governments, implying that the time before they might gain the rent can vary for them. Those differences affect the extent of rent dissipation, since if a firm has to wait longer for projects to bear fruit, it invests less. For example, the previous literature has noted that restrictions on who is allowed or not allowed to seek rents may have the purpose of securing morer rents by preventing dissipation (Haber 2002; Aligica and Tarko 2014).

4 Risk aversion

4.1 Uniqueness of the equilibrium

We consider now a risk averse rent-seeker, whose preferences are represented by the utility function u(.), with $\frac{du}{dx_i} = u'(.) > 0$ and $\frac{d^2u}{dx_i^2} = u''(.) < 0$. It is worth noting that the assumption of the utility function's concavity, which identifies risk aversion with reference to the rent-seeker's attitude toward risk, also has consequences for the optimal intertemporal allocation of wealth. In particular, in an intertemporal framework, the concavity of the utility function is related to both risk aversion and the elasticity of intertemporal substitution. A discussion of some of the implications of that for our analysis is provided in the interpretations of Propositions 6 and 7 in Subsection 4.2. Moreover, the restriction can be seen as a possible limitation of the present analysis and opens space for future development of the model in the direction of considering more complex kinds of preferences. An example, although not widely adopted in the literature, is the case of Kreps-Porteus (1978) preferences. .6Lastly, for a general discussion of the issue, see, for instance, Gollier (2001, chapter 20).

In order to clarify some of the following results and the comparison between the results in the present paper and those in the previous literature, we also recall that a risk-averse agent can be either prudent, imprudent or prudence-neutral, depending on whether the

⁶Kreps-Porteus preferences describe a setting which distinguish between risk aversion and intertemporal substitution. They are, however, not widely adopted because of their complexity from an analytical standpoint.

third derivative of the utility function is positive, negative or null.⁷

The risk-averse rent-seeker chooses the optimal level of investment, x_i , in order to maximize intertemporal expected utility (1). Given (1), the optimal level of x_i for the risk-averse rent-seeker (labelled x_a) satisfies the first-order condition:

$$U'(x_a) = -u'(w - x_a) + \delta p'_a [u(w + b) - u(w)] = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $p'_a = \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_i}$ for $x_i = x_a$. One of the first issues with (5) is the uniqueness of the equilibrium. As such, we obtain that

Proposition 3. The optimal investment in the rent-seeking game is unique in the twoperiod setup with risk aversion.

Proof. The result is straightforward since, given assumption A2 and risk aversion, we have $\frac{\partial p'_a}{x_i}unexpected''inmath < 0$ and u'' < 0 for every x_i which ensure that $U''(x_i) < 0$ for every x_i .

The last result is important since to date the literature has shown that multiple equilibria may, in general, arise in one-period rent-seeking games (see Cornes and Hartley 2008; Yamazaki 2009; Treich 2010), with the exception of the case of contingent payment (Liu et al. 2018). Proposition 3 shows instead that, in the two-period framework, the optimal level of investment in the rent-seeking game always is unique.

Moreover, as in the case of risk neutrality, we can identify the effect of a larger intertemporal discounting (lower δ) on the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game:

Proposition 4. Heavier intertemporal discounting (i.e., a smaller δ) reduces the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game under risk aversion.

Proof. By the implicit function theorem we have that

$$\frac{dx_a}{d\delta} = -\frac{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial \delta}}{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a}}.$$
 (6)

As shown above, $\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a} < 0$, while

$$\frac{\partial U'}{\partial \delta} unexpected'' in math = p'_{a}[u(w+b) - u(w)] > 0, \tag{7}$$

impling that $\frac{dx_a}{d\delta} > 0$ and proving the proposition.

⁷For a more detailed description of prudence see, for instance, Kimball (1990). Also note that, as shown by Menegatti (2014), risk aversion and imprudence are compatible only when the utility function is defined over a bounded domain. That assumption is, however, suitable for the context studied herein.

4.2 Risk aversion and optimal investment

The main issue for the analysis of risk aversion in rent-seeking games is to study how it affects the optimal choice of investment x_i . To examine that problem, we first compare the optimal choices of risk averse and risk neutral rent-seekers. We start the analysis with the simplified case wherein rent-seeker wealth in the two periods is the same $(w_0 = w_1 = w)$. We obtain the following results:

Proposition 5. When rent-seeker wealth in the two periods is the same, the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game is smaller with risk aversion than with risk neutrality $(x_a < x_n)$.

Proof. We evaluate $U'(x_i)$ in (5) for $x_i = x_n$, obtaining

$$U'(x_n) = -u'(w - x_n) + \delta p'_n[u(w + b) - u(w)], \tag{8}$$

which, by (3), is equivalent to

$$U'(x_n) = -u'(w - x_n) + \frac{1}{b}[u(w + b) - u(w)]$$
(9)

and, by the mean value theorem is, in turn, equivalent to

$$U'(x_n) = -u'(w - x_n) + u'(w + k), \tag{10}$$

where $k \in (0, b)$. Now, risk aversion implies that

$$u'(w - x_n) > u'(w + k), \tag{11}$$

implying in turn that $U'(x_n) < 0$. That result, together with U''(.) < 0, implies $x_a < x_n$, proving the proposition.

Proposition 5 states clearly that risk aversion leads to less investment in the rent-seeking game than risk neutrality when rent seekers are endowed with the same wealth in both two periods. Although that case is simplified, it has a clear and relevant interpretation. In fact, assuming that wealth is the same in the two periods means removing the incentive for the rent-seeker to reallocate wealth from one period to the other for the purpose of consumption smoothing.⁸ Therefore the problem analyzed here is "free" of consumption smoothing reallocation effects.

In the more general case (where $w_0 \neq w_1$), we have:

⁸It should be noted, however, that, if saving were introduced in the model in the place of effort, it would not be zero because of the presence of risk and according to the so-called "precautionary motive for saving".

Proposition 6. Ooptimal investment in the rent-seeking game is lower with risk aversion than with risk neutrality $(x_a < x_n)$, when first-period wealth is not larger than second-period wealth (i.e., $w_0 \le w_1$).

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 5 until Equation (10). That equation is replaced here by

$$U'(x_n) = -u'(w_0 - x_n) + u'(w_1 + k).$$
(12)

Risk aversion now implies that

$$u'(w_0 - x_n) > u'(w_1 + k) \tag{13}$$

if $w_0 \leq w_1$. Given that result, the last steps of the proof are the same as in the proof of Proposition 5.

The interpretation of Proposition 6 is related to that of Proposition 5. Proposition 5 showed that, under no incentive of intertemporal reallocation for consumption smoothing, risk aversion implies less investment in the rent-seeking game than risk neutrality. Proposition 6 shows that, if the incentive for intertemporal reallocation exists, the same result holds when the goal of consumption smoothing (related to the comparison between w_0 and w_1) pushes the rent-seeker to reallocate wealth to the present, reinforcing the incentive to reduce the investment in the game.

Propositions 5 and 6 reveal significant findings for the analysis of the effects of risk aversion on investment in rent-seeking games. In fact, in a one-period framework, Treich (2010) showed that a risk averse agent chooses to invest less in rent-seeking games than the risk neutral agent does under the assumption that the risk averse agent also is prudent (i.e., that his the third derivative of his utility function is positive). However, Liu et al. (2018) showed that, in a one-period game wherein the payment of the entry cost is contingent on winning the contest, risk aversion implies a larger investment than risk neutrality does. The present paper shows that, in a two-period game, when the incentive of consumption smoothing is not relevant, risk aversion implies less investment in rent-seeking games than risk neutrality, without requiring the assumption of prudence.

The next step in the analysis of the effects of risk aversion on the optimal investment in rent-seeking games is the comparison between two rent-seekers who both are risk averse, but one is more risk averse than the other. For that case, we consider two rent-seekers whose preferences are represented by the utility functions u(.) and v(.), both of which are increasing and concave. We also assume that rent-seeker u is more risk averse than rent-seeker v in the sense of Arrow and Pratt, which implies that function u can be written as an increasing and concave transformation of function v (i.e., that a function h(.) exists such that u(.) = h(v(.)), where h'(.) > 0 and h''(.) < 0). In this case we obtain:

Proposition 7. If rent-seeker u is more risk averse than rent-seeker v in the sense of Arrow and Pratt then he chooses less investment in the rent-seeking game, when first-period wealth is not larger than second-period wealth (i.e., $w_0 \leq w_1$).

Proof. We let $U(x_i) = u(w_0 - x_i) + \delta[p_i u(w_1 + b) + (1 - p_i)u(w_1)]$ and $V(x_i) = v(w_0 - x_i) + \delta[p_i v(w_1 + b) + (1 - p_i)v(w_1)]$. We also label by x_u the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game for rent-seeker u and by x_v the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game for rent-seeker v. The first-order condition for rent-seeker v requires

$$V'(x_v) = -v'(w_0 - x_v) + \delta p_v'[v(w_1 + b) - v(w_1)] = 0$$
(14)

(where $p'_v = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$ for $x_i = x_v$), which implies

$$p'_{v} = \frac{v'(w_{0} - x_{v})}{\delta[v(w_{1} + b) - v(w_{1})]}$$
(15)

We now evaluate $U'(x_v)$ obtaining:

$$U'(x_v) = -u'(w_0 - x_v) + \delta p_v'[u(w_1 + b) - u(w_1)]$$
(16)

Since rent-seeker u is more risk averse than rent-seeker v in the sense of Arrow and Pratt, (16) can be rewritten as:

$$U'(x_v) = -h'(v(w_0 - x_v))v'(w_0 - x_v) + \delta p'_v[h(v(w_1 + b)) - h(v(w_1))]$$
(17)

By mean value Theorem, (17) is equivalent to

$$U'(x_v) = -h'(v(w_0 - x_v))v'(w_0 - x_v) + \delta p_v'h'(v(w_1 + k))[v(w_1 + b) - v(w_1)]$$
(18)

(where $k \in (0, b)$). Substituting now (15) into (18) we obtain

$$U'(x_v) = v'(w_0 - x_v)[-h'(v(w_0 - x_v)) + h'(v(w_1 + k))]$$
(19)

Now, since h(.) is concave, the right-hand side of (19) is negative under the assumption $w_0 \leq w_1$, implying that $U'(x_v) < 0$. Since U''(.) < 0 and since $U'(x_u) = 0$ for the first-order condition for rent-seeker u, we get that $x_u < x_v$ proving the proposition.

Proposition 7 generalizes Proposition 6 showing that more risk aversion in the sense of Arrow and Pratt implies less investment in the rent-seeking game when the incentive of consumption smoothing is excluded or acts in the same direction. Note that, while no results on this issue are derived by Treich (2010), Liu et al. (2018) showed that, in the case of one-period games and up front payment, a reduction in optimal investment is obtained when the rent-seeker has more risk aversion and more downside risk aversion in the sense of Ross. Also note that, like Proposition 6, this result is also the opposite to a further result derived by Liu et al. (2018) who show that, in a one-period game where the payment of the investment cost is contingent on winning the contest, more risk aversion in the sense of Arrow and Pratt implies more investment in the rent-seeking game.

4.3 Risky rent

Starting from Wärneryd (2003) a further issue in the analysis of rent-seeking games is the case where rent b is risky instead of being given. In this case we assume that the rent is represented by the random variable \tilde{b} where $E[\tilde{b}] = b$. We now study the effect of the introduction of a random rent, by comparing the optimal choice of the risk averse rent-seeker when the rent is risky with optimal choice when the rent is given.

When the rent is risky, a risk averse rent-seeker chooses the optimal level of investment by maximizing

$$E[Ux_i] = u(w - x_i) + \delta[p_i E[u(w + \tilde{b})] + (1 - p_i)u(w)]$$
(20)

The optimal level of x_i (labelled x_{aa}) thus satisfies the first-order condition:

$$E[U'(x_{aa})] = -u'(w - x_{aa}) + \delta p'_{aa}[E[u(w + \tilde{b})] - u(w)] = 0$$
(21)

(where $p'_{aa} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$ for $x_i = x_{aa}$). By comparing (5) and (21) we now obtain that:

Proposition 8. The optimal investment in case of risky rent is lower than in case of given rent under risk aversion.

Proof. Given, \tilde{b} where $E[\tilde{b}] = b$, risk aversion implies $E[u(w + \tilde{b})] < u(w + b)$, implying in turn that, evaluating E[U'(.)] in (21) for $x_i = x_a$, we obtain $E[U'(x_a)] < 0$. Since U''(.) < 0, this implies $x_{aa} < x_a$.

It is significant to compare the result above with those obtained by Treich (2010) for one-period rent-seeking games and Liu et al. (2018) for one-period games with contingent payment. In fact, both Treich (2010) and Liu et al. (2018) obtain that the risk averse rent-seeker chooses less investment with a risky rent only if he also is prudent. On the contrary, Proposition 8 shows that in the two-period framework the same behavior occurs without introducing the assumption of prudence.

4.4 Comparison of results

The results derived in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3 show that the effects of risk aversion on the optimal choice of investment in rent-seeking games differ in one-period and two-period games. Table 1 summarizes the main differences, comparing the results obtained herein with those derived in the previous literature. The main conclusions that can be drawn from the comparisons are the following.

INSERT TABLE 1 HERE

First, in a two-period framework, more risk aversion tends to reduce investment in the rent-seeking game. That finding holds both when comparing a risk-averse agent with a risk-neutral one and when comparing two risk averse rent-seekers.

The result comparing risk averse with risk neutral rent-seekers is similar to that obtained by Treich (2010) in a one-period framework, with the significant difference that, in the one-period framework, the additional assumption of prudence is required, while it is not in the two-period framework.

Similarly, the result comparing two risk averse agents is consistent with that obtained by Liu et al. (2018) in the one-period framework, with the main difference being that, in the one-period framework, we find less investment in the rent-seeking game under the two conditions of more risk aversion and more downside risk aversion á la Ross (1981), which are stronger than the condition of more Arrow-Pratt risk aversion required in the two-period framework. The effect derived also is opposite to that obtained by Liu et al. (2018) in the one-period model with contingent payment.

Moreover, risk aversion likewise has a negative effect on investment in the rent-seeking game when the rent becomes risky. That conclusion holds both in the two-period and one-period frameworks with upfront and contingent payments. In the two-period framework, however, risk aversion alone is sufficient to obtain that results, while in both one-period models it must be accompanied by prudence.

Lastly, it is worth noting that the foregoing results also are important in light of the findings from experimental economics. As discussed in Section 1, experimental evidence strongly supports the existence of a negative effect of risk aversion on investment in rent-seeking games. In that regard, the conclusion obtained in the present paper, which confirms the same idea from a theoretical standpoint without requiring the additional condition of prudence, provides a new theoretical foundation for experimental findings, potentially stronger than that provided by the existing literature.

5 Two-period games and changes in wealth

As emphasized recently by Schroyen and Treich (2016), a further significant issue in the analysis of contests relates to the effects of changes in wealth on optimal investments. In the two-period framework examined in the present paper, that issue has many dimensions. First, we consider the case when wealth in the two periods is different (i.e., when $w_0 \neq w_1$) and we study the effect of a change in first-period wealth and of a change in second-period wealth. We then consider cases when wealth in the two periods is the same (i.e., $w_0 = w_1 = w$) and of the effects of changes in it. In all such cases, we focus on the choice of the risk averse agent.

In the case of different wealth in the two periods, we obtain the following results:

Proposition 9. Larger wealth in first period (w_0) increases optimal investment in the rent-seeking game with risk aversion.

Proof. By the implicit function theorem, we have that

$$\frac{dx_a}{dw_0} = -\frac{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w_0}}{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a}}.$$
 (22)

As shown above, $\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a} < 0$, while

$$\frac{\partial U'}{\partial W_0} unexpected'' in math = -u''(w_0 - x_a) > 0, \tag{23}$$

whichimplies that $\frac{dx_a}{dw_0} > 0$, thus proving the proposition.

Proposition 10. Larger second-period wealth (w_1) reduces optimal investment in the rent-seeking game with risk aversion.

Proof. By the implicit function theorem, we have that

$$\frac{dx_a}{dw_1} = -\frac{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w_1}}{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a}}. (24)$$

As shown above, $\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a} < 0$, while

$$\frac{\partial U'}{\partial W_1} unexpected'' inmath = \delta p'_a [u'(w_1 + b) - u'(w_1)] < 0, \tag{25}$$

implying that $\frac{dx_a}{dw_1} < 0$ and proving the proposition.

The two effects obtained in Propositions 9 and 10 have straightforward interpretations. When first-period wealth increases, the rent seeker's first-period marginal utility declines, reducing the marginal cost of investment and, thus, incentivizing the rent-seeker to invest more. On the other hand, when second-period wealth increases, the rent seeker's second-period marginal utility declines, reducing the marginal benefit of the potential rent and, hence, incentivizing the rent-seeker to invest less in the game.

It is worth emphasizing some possible applications of the result in Proposition 9. First, it implies that receiving a large inheritance should increase rent-seeking into bequests. That finding has a simple empirical implication: when comparing different generations of entrepreneurs we should observe second-generation wealthy people engaged in more rent-seeking than first-generation self-made entrepreneurs. Similarly, Proposition 9 also suggests that firms experiencing an increase in wealth should invest more in lobbying, possibly explaining the commonly observed pattern in which firms that ignore lobbying at first engage in it after they have become successful.⁹

A more complex situation arises when we assume that wealth in the two periods is the same. In that case we obtain

⁹The sam issue potentially could be studied in a framework wherein firms compete in a sequence of two games: an R&D game and a lobbying game. According to Proposition 9, firms succeeding in the first game should invest more in lobbying in the second game.

Proposition 11. Larger wealth in both periods (i.e., when $w_0 = w_1 = w$) reduces the optimal investment in the rent-seeking game under risk aversion and imprudence.

Proof. By the implicit function theorem, we have that

$$\frac{dx_a}{dw} = -\frac{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w}}{\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a}}. (26)$$

As shown above, $\frac{\partial U'}{\partial x_a} < 0$, while

$$\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w}unexpected''inmath = -u''(w - x_a) + \delta p'_a[u'(w + b) - u'(w)], \tag{27}$$

which, by the mean value theorem, is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w}unexpected''inmath = -u''(w - x_a) + \delta p'_a b[u''(w + k)]$$
(28)

(when $k \in (0, b)$).

Proposition 5 showed that, when $w_0 = w_1 = w$, $x_a < x_n$, which by assumption A2 implies that $p'_a > p'_n$. That result, together with (3) and u''(.) < 0, implies:

$$-u''(w-x_a) + \delta p'_a b[u''(w+k)] < -u''(w-x_a) + \delta p'_n b[u''(w+k)] = -u''(w-x_a) + [u''(w+k)].$$
(29)

The foregoing implies that $\frac{\partial U'}{\partial w}unexpected''inmath < 0$ and, thus, $\frac{dx_a}{dw} < 0$ when

$$u''(w+k) < u''(w-x_a). (30)$$

We have that (30) holds when the third derivative of the utility function is negative, i.e., with imprudence. Thus, risk aversion and imprudence together imply that an increase in w reduces x_a , proving the proposition.

Proposition 11 shows that, if wealth increases in both periods, the rent-seeker reduces investment in the rent-seeking game if he is not only risk averse but also imprudent. The interpretation of that results is related to a possible interpretation of imprudence found in the literature. On the one hand, optimal wealth allocation with risk aversion requires that part of the additional wealth is reallocated to the first period (where expected wealth is smaller by reducing investment in the game. On the other hand, Eeckhoudt and Schlesinger (2006) and Menegatti (2007) show that imprudence can be seen as a desire to allocate less wealth to the period when uncertainty is faced. Given that interpretation,

¹⁰Both papers provide interpretations for prudence. The interpretation of imprudence can, however, be derived easily. Eeckhoudt and Schlesinger (2006) relate the result to harm disaggregation, i.e to the desire to separate the harm of incurring a sure loss and the harm of facing a risk. Menegatti (2007) relates it to a reduction in the utility premium.

it is clear that, when wealth in both periods increases, an imprudent rent-seeker is pushed to reallocate some of the additional wealth to the first period and to reduce second-period expected wealth (where uncertainty is faced) by lowering investment in the game. Doing so reinforces the effect of risk aversion, determining a clear direction of the change in rent-seeking investments.

The last change in wealth considered is when second-period wealth becomes risky.¹¹ For that purpose, we assume that second-period wealth to be a random variable \tilde{w} , with $E[\tilde{w}] = w$. As such, the rent-seeker's maximization problem becomes:

$$E[U(x_i)] = u(w - x_i) + \delta[p_i E[u(\tilde{w} + b)] + (1 - p_i) E[u(\tilde{w})]]. \tag{31}$$

The optimal level of x_i (labelled x_{aaa}) thus satisfies the first-order condition:

$$E[U'(x_{aaa})] = -u'(w - x_{aaa}) + \delta p'_{aaa}[E[u(\tilde{w} + b)] - E[u(\tilde{w})]] = 0$$
(32)

(where $p'_{aaa} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$ for $x_i = x_{aaa}$). By comparing (5) and (32) we now obtain

Proposition 12. The optimal investment in the rent-seeking game is larger (smaller) with risky second-period wealth under both risk aversion and prudence (imprudence).

Proof. We evaluate E[U'(.)] in (32) for $x_i = x_a$, which is equal to

$$E[U'(x_a)] = -u'(w - x_a) + \delta p_a' [E[u(\tilde{w} + b)] - E[u(\tilde{w})]].$$
(33)

Since U''(.) < 0, we now have that $x_{aaa} > x_a$ if $E[U'(x_a)] > 0$. By (5), that occurs if

$$E[u(\tilde{w} + b)] - E[u(\tilde{w})] > u(w + b) - u(w). \tag{34}$$

By Jensen's Inequality, the relation holds when the function u(w+b) - u(w) is convex in w, which occurs, in turn, when u''(w+b) - u''(w) > 0. The last inequality holds under prudence. Lastly, the proof in the case of imprudence is similar.

Proposition 12 shows that, if second-period wealth becomes random, the risk averse rent-seeker increases (reduces) investment in the rent-seeking game if he also is prudent (imprudent). The same result likewise is related to the interpretation of prudence provided above. When we introduce a further source of uncertainty owing to the risky income in the second-period, a prudent (imprudent) rent-seeker desires to raise (lower) expected wealth in that period since he will face uncertainty from it. For that reason, the rent-seeker is willing to invest more (less) in the first period in order to affect the probability of winning the rent in the second period so as to increase (reduce) expected second-period wealth. It is important to emphasize that the mechanism at work here is exactly the same as the traditional mechanism in which prudence affects optimal agent behavior when second-period income risk is introduced into saving models (e.g., Leland 1968).

¹¹We do not perform the same analysis for first-period wealth since it is plausible to assume that the values of all variables for the period wherein the rent-seeker makes a choice are known with certainty.

6 Conclusions

The time structure of activities that can be described by rent-seeking games suggests that, in many cases, investment in the game precedes the time at which the rent is assigned. Such a structure implies that a two-period formalization, unlike the one-period formalization usually adopted in the literature, is appropriate for such situations.

Starting from those premises, the present article proposes the first formalization of a two-period rent-seeking game, with the aim of studying the effects of risk aversion on the optimal choices made by the rent seekers. The main results are the following.

We first show that, unlike one-period frameworks, the equilibrium level of investment is unique in a two-period framework.

The analysis also shows that risk aversion reduces investments in the rent-seeking game in a two-period framework with respect to the optimal choices of risk neutral agents. Unlike the traditional one-period framework with upfront payments, the same result holds in the two-period framework without introducing the additional condition of prudence. Moreover, the same result holds when comparing two risk averse rent-seekers after introducing more risk aversion á la Arrow and Pratt instead of introducing the stronger condition of more risk aversion and more downside risk aversion á la Ross that is required in the one-period framework. Lastly, introducing a risky rent instead of a given rent in the two-period framework implies less investment when the rent-seeker is risk averse, while the same effect occurs only when the rent-seeker is both risk averse and prudent in the one-period framework.

It is worth noting that the results presented herein imply that less is invested in the rent-seeking game in the two-period framework under a more parsimonious set of conditions on risk attitudes than in a one-period framework.

Examining different kinds of changes in wealth provides other noteworthy results. With risk aversion, larger first-period wealth raises investment in the rent-seeking game and larger second-period wealth reduces it. When both first-period and second-period wealth increase, investment in the rent-seeking game declines when the rent-seeker is risk averse and imprudent. Lastly, when a risky level of wealth in the second-period is introduced, the rent-seeker increases (reduces) investment in the contest when he is risk averse and prudent (imprudent).

It is worth noting that prudence/imprudence, which disappears as a requirement in comparisons between risk aversion and risk neutrality in a two-period framework, is again significant when changes in wealth are analyzed. The role of that feature of agent preferences can be interpreted in the same way that it is interpreted in the literature with respect to other problems, such as saving, and relates to a desire to manage the level of given wealth in the period wherein the rent-seeker faces risks.

The analysis of two-period rent-seeking games proposed in this study also paves the way for future extensions in different directions. One of the most significant extensions would be to explore the optimal rent-seeking investment in contexts where different indi-

vidual or household choices are made at the same time. In particular, the intertemporal framework studied in this paper implies that rent-seeking effort plays two roles: it changes the probability of winning the contest in the second period and it changes the allocation of wealth over the two periods. That conclusion suggests that one of the next analytical steps could be to examine joint choices of optimal investments in the game and of variables affecting the intertemporal allocation of wealth, such as saving. ¹²

Moreover, as mentioned in Section 1, the results derived in the present paper's two-period setup usefully could be extended to other rent-seeking models, usually studied in one-period frameworks. It also is important to emphasize that new possible fields of application for rent-seeking analysis specifically related to the time structure introduced in the present paper are possible. In some cases, in fact, rent-seeking processes necessarily occur over time. That is what happens, for instance, in the case of "regime uncertainty" (see Higgs 1997), wherein future changes in regimes may produce uncertainty about the sizes of future rents. A similar effect likewise is generated by creative destruction, which makes future rents uncertain too. Other applications may involve issues relating to economic history, such as the potential effects of changes in state capacity in creating rent availability uncertainty.

Lastly, notice that a future research strand stemming from the present paper also could explore Tullock's paradox. In fact, the present paper shows that risk aversion pushes agents to reduce investment in rent seeking in a two-period framework. Risk aversion and risky rents in a context of rentseeking across different periods may imply a mitigation of rent dissipation and potentially resolve the paradox at least in part. A specific research agenda in that direction may be promising.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Liqun Liu, Nicolas Treich, the Editor and three anonymous referees for their useful comments and suggestions. The author also acknowledges financial support by the Programme FIL-Quota Incentivante of University of Parma and co-sponsored by Fondazione Cariparma.

References

Aligica, P. D. & Tarko V. (2014). Crony capitalism: Rent seeking, institutions and ideology. *Kyklos*, 67, 156-76.

¹²The spirit of such possible future analyses would be similar to that of work examining in a two-period framework the interaction between saving and self-protection (e.g., Menegatti and Rebessi 2011; Steinorth 2011; Peter 2017), starting from models wherein each instrument is first studied on its own.

¹³For instance, the creation of ride-sharing apps generates uncertainty about taxicab rents. Many other applications to the so-called gig economy are possible

Anderson, L. A., & Freeborn, B. A. (2010). Varying the intensity of competition in a multiple prize rent seeking experiment. *Public Choice*, 143, 237-254.

\item Arrow K J, undated, Liquidity preference, Lecture VI in "Lecture Notes for Economics 285, The Economics of Uncertainty, pp. 33-53, Stanford University.

Baumol, W. J. (1990). Entrepreneurship: Productive, unproductive, and destructive. *Journal of Political Economy 98*, 893921.

Carugati, F., Ober, J., & Weingast, B. (2019). Is development uniquely modern? Ancient Athens on the doorstep. *Public Choice* 181, 29-47.

Congleton, R. D. (2018). On the emergence of a classic work: A short history of the impact of Gordon Tullocks Welfare costs of tariffs, monopolies, and theft. *Public Choice*. 181, 5-12.

Cornes R. & Hartley R. (2003). Risk aversion, heterogeneity and contests. *Public Choice* 117, 1-25.

Cornes R. & Hartley R. (2012). Risk aversion in symmetric and asymmetric contests. *Economic Theory* 52, 247-275.

Dechenaux, E., Kovenock, D. & Sheremeta, R.M. (2015). A survey of experimental research on contests, all-pay auctions and tournaments. *Experimental Economics*, 18, 609-669.

Eeckhoudt L., & Gollier C. (2005). The impact of prudence on optimal prevention. *Economic Theory*, 26, 989-994.

Eeckhoudt L. & Schlesinger H. (2006). Putting risk in its proper place. American Economic Review, 96, 280-289.

Gollier C. (2001). The economics of risk and time, MIT Press, Cambridge MA, US.

Haber, S. (2002). Crony capitalism and economic growth in Latin America: Theory and evidence. Stanford, Calif: Hoover Institution Press.

Higgs R. (1997). Regime uncertainty. Why the Great Depression lasted so long and why prosperity resumed after the war. *The Independent Review*, Spring, 561-590 1997.

Kimball M.S. (1990) Precautionary saving in the small and in the large. *Econometrica*, 58, 53-73

Konrad K. A. & Schlesinger H. (1997). Risk aversion in rent-seeking and rent-augmenting games. *Economic Journal*, 107, 1671-1683.

\item Kreps D. M. & Porteus E. L. (1978). Temporal resolution of uncertainty and dynamic choice theory. *Econometrica* 46, 185-200

Leland H. (1968). Saving and uncertainty: the precautionary demand for saving. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 82, 465-473.

Levy, H. (2006). Stochastic Dominance. Investment Decision Making Under Uncertainty, 2nd edn. Springer, New York City.

Liu L., Meyer J., Rettenmaier A.J. & Saving T.S. (2018) Risk and risk aversion effects in contests with contingent payments. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 56, 289-305

Mago, S. D., Sheremeta, R. M., & Yates, A. (2013). Best-of-three contest experiments: Strategic versus psychological momentum. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 31, 287-296.

Menegatti M. (2007) A new interpretation for the precautionary saving motive: a note. *Journal of Economics 92*, 275-280.

Menegatti M. (2009). Optimal prevention and prudence in a two-period model. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 58, 393-397.

Menegatti M. & Rebessi F. (2011). On the substitution between saving and prevention. *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 62, 176-182.

Menegatti M. (2014) New results on the relationship among risk aversion, prudence and temperance. European Journal of Operational Research 232, 613-617

Peter, R., 2017. Optimal self-protection in two periods: on the role of endogenous saving. Journal of Economic Behaviour and Organization, 137, 19-36.

Mitchell W.C. (2019) Rent seeking at 52: an introduction to a special issue of public choice, *Public choice 181*, 1-4

Mitchell W.C. & Munger M. C. (1981) Economic Models of Interest Groups: An Introductory Survey, *American Journal of Political Science 35*, 512-546

Murphy, K. M., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1993). Why is rent-seeking so costly to growth? *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings* 83, 409-414

\item Pratt, J. W. 1964 Risk aversion in the small and in the large. *Econometrica*, 32, 122-136.

Robinson, J. & Acemoglu, D. (2019). Rents and economic development: The perspective of why nations fail. *Public Choice* 181, 13-28.

\item Ross S. (1981). Some stronger measures of risk aversion in the small and the large with applications. *Econometrica*, 49, 621-638

Schroyen F. & Treich N. (2016) The power of money: Wealth effects in contests. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 100, 46-68.

Sheremeta, R. M. (2010). Experimental comparison of multi-stage and one-stage contests. Games and Economic Behavior, 68, 731-747.

Sheremeta, R.M. & Zhang, J. (2010). Can groups solve the problem of over-bidding in contests? *Social Choice and Welfare*, 35, 175-197.

Stracke, R., Höchtl, W., Kerschbamer, R. & Sunde, U. (2014). Optimal prizes in dynamic elimination contests: Theory and experimental evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 102, 43-58.

Steinorth P. (2011). Impact of health savings accounts on precautionary savings, demand for health insurance and prevention effort. *Journal of Health Economics*, 30, 458-465.

Tarko, V., & Farrant, A. (2019). The efficiency of regulatory arbitrage. *Public Choice* 181, 141-166.

Treich N. (2010) Risk-aversion and prudence in rent-seeking games. *Public Choice* 145, 339-349

Tullock G. (1980). Efficient rent-seeking. In J. M. Buchanan, R. D. Tollison, & G. Tullock (Eds.), *Toward a theory of the rent-seeking society* (pp. 97-112). College Station: Texas A. & M. University Press

Yamazaki T. (2009). The uniqueness of pure-strategy Nash equilibrium in rent-seeking games with risk averse players. *Public Choice*, 139, 335-342.

Table 1: A comparison of results in one-period and two-period rent-seeking games

	Risk aversion vs risk neutrality	More risk aversion	Risky rent
One-period game with up front payment (Treich, 2010) (Liu et al., 2018)	Risk averse rent-seeker invests less in the game under prudence (Treich, 2010)	More risk aversion á la Ross and more downside risk aversion á la Ross implies less investment in the game (Liu et al., 2018)	Risk averse rent-seeker invests less in the game under prudence (Treich, 2010)
One-period game with contingent payment (Liu et al., 2018)	Risk averse rent-seeker invests more in the game	More risk aversion á la Arrow and Pratt implies more investment in the game	Risk averse rent-seeker invests less in the game under prudence
${\bf Two-period\ game} \\ {\bf with}\ w_0 \leq w_1 \\ {\bf (This\ paper)}$	Risk averse rent-seeker invests less in the game	More risk aversion á la Arrow and Pratt implies less investment in the game	Risk averse rent-seeker invests less in the game

```
1
2
3
4
         This is pdfTeX, Version 3.14159265-2.6-1.40.19 (TeX Live 2018/W32TeX)
5
         (preloaded format=pdflatex 2018.7.12) 9 JUN 2020 09:21
6
         entering extended mode
7
          restricted \write18 enabled.
8
          %&-line parsing enabled.
9
         **"wfs edits manuscript pubchoice 2019 accepted corrected.tex"
10
         (./WFS Edits manuscript PubChoice 2019 accepted corrected.tex
11
         LaTeX2e <2018-04-01> patch level 5
12
         (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/article.cls
13
         Document Class: article 2014/09/29 v1.4h Standard LaTeX document class
14
         (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/size12.clo
15
         File: size12.clo 2014/09/29 v1.4h Standard LaTeX file (size option)
16
17
         \c@part=\count80
18
         \c@section=\count81
19
20
         \c@subsection=\count82
21
         \c@subsubsection=\count83
22
         \c@paragraph=\count84
23
         \c@subparagraph=\count85
24
         \c@figure=\count86
25
         \c@table=\count87
26
         \abovecaptionskip=\skip41
27
         \belowcaptionskip=\skip42
28
         \bibindent=\dimen102
29
         ) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/inputenc.sty
30
         Package: inputenc 2018/04/06 v1.3b Input encoding file
31
         \inpenc@prehook=\toks14
32
         \inpenc@posthook=\toks15
33
         (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/latin9.def
34
         File: latin9.def 2018/04/06 v1.3b Input encoding file
35
         )) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/booktabs/booktabs.sty
36
         Package: booktabs 2016/04/27 v1.618033 publication quality tables
37
         \heavyrulewidth=\dimen103
38
         \lightrulewidth=\dimen104
39
40
         \cmidrulewidth=\dimen105
41
         \belowrulesep=\dimen106
42
         \belowbottomsep=\dimen107
43
         \aboverulesep=\dimen108
44
         \abovetopsep=\dimen109
45
         \cmidrulesep=\dimen110
46
         \cmidrulekern=\dimen111
47
         \defaultaddspace=\dimen112
48
         \@cmidla=\count88
49
         \@cmidlb=\count89
50
         \@aboverulesep=\dimen113
51
         \@belowrulesep=\dimen114
52
         \@thisruleclass=\count90
53
         \@lastruleclass=\count91
54
         \@thisrulewidth=\dimen115
55
         ) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsmath/amsmath.sty
56
         Package: amsmath 2017/09/02 v2.17a AMS math features
57
         \@mathmargin=\skip43
58
         For additional information on amsmath, use the `?' option.
59
         (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsmath/amstext.sty
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
Package: amstext 2000/06/29 v2.01 AMS text
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsmath/amsgen.sty
File: amsgen.sty 1999/11/30 v2.0 generic functions
\@emptytoks=\toks16
ex@=\dim 116
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsmath/amsbsy.sty
Package: amsbsy 1999/11/29 v1.2d Bold Symbols
\pmbraise@=\dimen117
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsmath/amsopn.sty
Package: amsopn 2016/03/08 v2.02 operator names
\inf@bad=\count92
LaTeX Info: Redefining \frac on input line 213.
\uproot@=\count93
\leftroot@=\count94
LaTeX Info: Redefining \overline on input line 375.
\classnum@=\count95
\DOTSCASE@=\count96
LaTeX Info: Redefining \ldots on input line 472.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \dots on input line 475.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \cdots on input line 596.
\Mathstrutbox@=\box26
\strutbox@=\box27
\big@size=\dimen118
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Redeclaring font encoding OML on input line 712.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Redeclaring font encoding OMS on input line 713.
\macc@depth=\count97
\c@MaxMatrixCols=\count98
\dotsspace@=\muskip10
\c@parentequation=\count99
\dspbrk@lvl=\count100
\tag@help=\toks17
\row@=\count101
\column@=\count102
\maxfields@=\count103
\andhelp@=\toks18
\eqnshift@=\dimen119
\alignsep@=\dimen120
\tagshift@=\dimen121
\tagwidth@=\dimen122
\totwidth@=\dimen123
\lineht@=\dimen124
\@envbody=\toks19
\multlinegap=\skip44
\multlinetaggap=\skip45
\mathdisplay@stack=\toks20
LaTeX Info: Redefining \[ on input line 2817.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \] on input line 2818.
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amscls/amsthm.sty
Package: amsthm 2017/10/31 v2.20.4
\t \
\thm@bodyfont=\toks22
\thm@headfont=\toks23
\thm@notefont=\toks24
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
\thm@headpunct=\toks25
\thm@preskip=\skip46
\thm@postskip=\skip47
\thm@headsep=\skip48
\dth@everypar=\toks26
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amssymb.sty
Package: amssymb 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS font symbols
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amsfonts.sty
Package: amsfonts 2013/01/14 v3.01 Basic AMSFonts support
\symAMSa=\mathgroup4
\symAMSb=\mathgroup5
                    Overwriting math alphabet `\mathfrak' in version
LaTeX Font Info:
`bold'
(Font)
                        U/euf/m/n --> U/euf/b/n on input line 106.
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/rotfloat/rotfloat.sty
Package: rotfloat 2004/01/04 v1.2 Combining float+rotating package (AS)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/float/float.sty
Package: float 2001/11/08 v1.3d Float enhancements (AL)
\c@float@type=\count104
\float@exts=\toks27
\float@box=\box28
\@float@everytoks=\toks28
\@floatcapt=\box29
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/rotating.sty
Package: rotating 2016/08/11 v2.16d rotated objects in LaTeX
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphicx.sty
Package: graphicx 2017/06/01 v1.1a Enhanced LaTeX Graphics (DPC, SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/keyval.sty
Package: keyval 2014/10/28 v1.15 key=value parser (DPC)
\KV@toks@=\toks29
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphics.sty
Package: graphics 2017/06/25 v1.2c Standard LaTeX Graphics (DPC, SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/trig.sty
Package: trig 2016/01/03 v1.10 sin cos tan (DPC)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-cfg/graphics.cfg
File: graphics.cfg 2016/06/04 v1.11 sample graphics configuration
Package graphics Info: Driver file: pdftex.def on input line 99.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-def/pdftex.def
File: pdftex.def 2018/01/08 v1.01 Graphics/color driver for pdftex
) )
\Gin@req@height=\dimen125
\Gin@req@width=\dimen126
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/ifthen.sty
Package: ifthen 2014/09/29 v1.1c Standard LaTeX ifthen package (DPC)
\c@r@tfl@t=\count105
\rotFPtop=\skip49
\rotFPbot=\skip50
\rot@float@box=\box30
\rot@mess@toks=\toks30
Package rotfloat Info: float package v1.3 detected on input line 74.
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/hyperref.sty
```

```
Package: hyperref 2018/02/06 v6.86b Hypertext links for LaTeX
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/oberdiek/hobsub-hyperref.sty
Package: hobsub-hyperref 2016/05/16 v1.14 Bundle oberdiek, subset
hyperref (HO)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/oberdiek/hobsub-generic.sty
Package: hobsub-generic 2016/05/16 v1.14 Bundle oberdiek, subset generic
Package: hobsub 2016/05/16 v1.14 Construct package bundles (HO)
Package: infwarerr 2016/05/16 v1.4 Providing info/warning/error messages
Package: ltxcmds 2016/05/16 v1.23 LaTeX kernel commands for general use
(HO)
Package: ifluatex 2016/05/16 v1.4 Provides the ifluatex switch (HO)
Package ifluatex Info: LuaTeX not detected.
Package: ifvtex 2016/05/16 v1.6 Detect VTeX and its facilities (HO)
Package ifvtex Info: VTeX not detected.
Package: intcalc 2016/05/16 v1.2 Expandable calculations with integers
Package: ifpdf 2017/03/15 v3.2 Provides the ifpdf switch
Package: etexcmds 2016/05/16 v1.6 Avoid name clashes with e-TeX commands
Package etexcmds Info: Could not find \expanded.
(etexcmds)
                       That can mean that you are not using pdfTeX 1.50
or
                       that some package has redefined \expanded.
(etexcmds)
(etexcmds)
                       In the latter case, load this package earlier.
Package: kvsetkeys 2016/05/16 v1.17 Key value parser (HO)
Package: kvdefinekeys 2016/05/16 v1.4 Define keys (HO)
Package: pdftexcmds 2018/01/30 v0.27 Utility functions of pdfTeX for
LuaTeX (HO
Package pdftexcmds Info: LuaTeX not detected.
Package pdftexcmds Info: \pdf@primitive is available.
Package pdftexcmds Info: \pdf@ifprimitive is available.
Package pdftexcmds Info: \pdfdraftmode found.
Package: pdfescape 2016/05/16 v1.14 Implements pdfTeX's escape features
(HO)
Package: bigintcalc 2016/05/16 v1.4 Expandable calculations on big
integers (HO
Package: bitset 2016/05/16 v1.2 Handle bit-vector datatype (HO)
Package: uniquecounter 2016/05/16 v1.3 Provide unlimited unique counter
(HO)
Package hobsub Info: Skipping package `hobsub' (already loaded).
Package: letltxmacro 2016/05/16 v1.5 Let assignment for LaTeX macros (HO)
Package: hopatch 2016/05/16 v1.3 Wrapper for package hooks (HO)
Package: xcolor-patch 2016/05/16 xcolor patch
Package: atveryend 2016/05/16 v1.9 Hooks at the very end of document (HO)
Package atveryend Info: \enddocument detected (standard20110627).
Package: atbegshi 2016/06/09 v1.18 At begin shipout hook (HO)
Package: refcount 2016/05/16 v3.5 Data extraction from label references
(HO)
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
Package: hycolor 2016/05/16 v1.8 Color options for hyperref/bookmark (HO)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/ifxetex/ifxetex.sty
Package: ifxetex 2010/09/12 v0.6 Provides ifxetex conditional
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/oberdiek/auxhook.sty
Package: auxhook 2016/05/16 v1.4 Hooks for auxiliary files (HO)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/oberdiek/kvoptions.sty
Package: kvoptions 2016/05/16 v3.12 Key value format for package options
(HO)
)
\@linkdim=\dimen127
\Hy@linkcounter=\count106
\Hy@pagecounter=\count107
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/pdlenc.def
File: pdlenc.def 2018/02/06 v6.86b Hyperref: PDFDocEncoding definition
(HO)
Now handling font encoding PD1 ...
... no UTF-8 mapping file for font encoding PD1
)
\Hy@SavedSpaceFactor=\count108
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/latexconfig/hyperref.cfg
File: hyperref.cfg 2002/06/06 v1.2 hyperref configuration of TeXLive
Package hyperref Info: Option `unicode' set `true' on input line 4383.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/puenc.def
File: puenc.def 2018/02/06 v6.86b Hyperref: PDF Unicode definition (HO)
Now handling font encoding PU ...
... no UTF-8 mapping file for font encoding PU
Package hyperref Info: Option `bookmarks' set `false' on input line 4383.
Package hyperref Info: Option `breaklinks' set `false' on input line
4383.
Package hyperref Info: Option `colorlinks' set `false' on input line
4383.
Package hyperref Info: Hyper figures OFF on input line 4509.
Package hyperref Info: Link nesting OFF on input line 4514.
Package hyperref Info: Hyper index ON on input line 4517.
Package hyperref Info: Plain pages OFF on input line 4524.
Package hyperref Info: Backreferencing ON on input line 4527.
Package hyperref Info: Implicit mode ON; LaTeX internals redefined.
Package hyperref Info: Bookmarks OFF on input line 4768.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/backref.sty
Package: backref 2016/05/21 v1.39 Bibliographical back referencing
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/oberdiek/rerunfilecheck.sty
Package: rerunfilecheck 2016/05/16 v1.8 Rerun checks for auxiliary files
Package uniquecounter Info: New unique counter `rerunfilecheck' on input
line 2
82.
\c@Hy@tempcnt=\count109
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/url/url.sty
\Urlmuskip=\muskip11
Package: url 2013/09/16 ver 3.4 Verb mode for urls, etc.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
LaTeX Info: Redefining \url on input line 5115.
\XeTeXLinkMargin=\dimen128
\Fld@menulength=\count110
\Field@Width=\dimen129
\Fld@charsize=\dimen130
Package hyperref Info: Hyper figures OFF on input line 6369.
Package hyperref Info: Link nesting OFF on input line 6374.
Package hyperref Info: Hyper index ON on input line 6377.
Package hyperref Info: backreferencing ON on input line 6382.
Package hyperref Info: Link coloring OFF on input line 6389.
Package hyperref Info: Link coloring with OCG OFF on input line 6394.
Package hyperref Info: PDF/A mode OFF on input line 6399.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \ref on input line 6439.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \pageref on input line 6443.
\Hy@abspage=\count111
\c@Item=\count112
\c@Hfootnote=\count113
)
Package hyperref Info: Driver (autodetected): hpdftex.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/hpdftex.def
File: hpdftex.def 2018/02/06 v6.86b Hyperref driver for pdfTeX
\Fld@listcount=\count114
\c@bookmark@seq@number=\count115
\Hy@SectionHShift=\skip51
)
Package hyperref Warning: Option `hypertex' has already been used,
                          setting the option has no effect on input line
(hyperref)
15.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/frontendlayer/tikz.sty
(c:/TeXLive/20
18/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/basiclayer/pgf.sty (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/
latex/pqf/utilities/pqfrcs.sty (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/util
ities/pgfutil-common.tex
\pgfutil@everybye=\toks31
\pgfutil@tempdima=\dimen131
\pgfutil@tempdimb=\dimen132
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfutil-common-
lists.tex)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfutil-latex.def
\pgfutil@abb=\box31
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/ms/everyshi.sty
Package: everyshi 2001/05/15 v3.00 EveryShipout Package (MS)
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfrcs.code.tex
Package: pgfrcs 2015/08/07 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.31)
Package: pgf 2015/08/07 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.15)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcore.sty
(c:/TeXLive/20
18/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsys.sty
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsys.code.tex
Package: pgfsys 2014/07/09 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.48)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfkeys.code.tex
\pgfkeys@pathtoks=\toks32
\pgfkeys@temptoks=\toks33
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfkeysfiltered.code.tex
\pgfkeys@tmptoks=\toks34
))
\pqf@x=\dimen133
\py=\dim 134
\pgf@xa=\dimen135
\pgf@ya=\dimen136
\pgf@xb=\dimen137
\pgf@yb=\dimen138
\pgf@xc=\dimen139
\pgf@yc=\dimen140
\w@pgf@writea=\write3
\r@pgf@reada=\read1
\c@pgf@counta=\count116
\c@pgf@countb=\count117
\c@pgf@countc=\count118
\c@pgf@countd=\count119
\t@pgf@toka=\toks35
\t@pgf@tokb=\toks36
\t@pqf@tokc=\toks37
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgf.cfg
File: pgf.cfg 2008/05/14 (rcs-revision 1.7)
Driver file for pgf: pgfsys-pdftex.def
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsys-pdftex.def
File: pgfsys-pdftex.def 2014/10/11 (rcs-revision 1.35)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsys-common-
pdf.def
File: pgfsys-common-pdf.def 2013/10/10 (rcs-revision 1.13)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsyssoftpath.code.tex
File: pgfsyssoftpath.code.tex 2013/09/09 (rcs-revision 1.9)
\pgfsyssoftpath@smallbuffer@items=\count120
\pqfsyssoftpath@bigbuffer@items=\count121
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/systemlayer/pgfsysprotocol.code.tex
File: pgfsysprotocol.code.tex 2006/10/16
                                         (rcs-revision 1.4)
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/xcolor/xcolor.sty
Package: xcolor 2016/05/11 v2.12 LaTeX color extensions (UK)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-cfg/color.cfg
File: color.cfg 2016/01/02 v1.6 sample color configuration
)
Package xcolor Info: Driver file: pdftex.def on input line 225.
Package xcolor Info: Model `cmy' substituted by `cmy0' on input line
1348.
Package xcolor Info: Model `hsb' substituted by `rgb' on input line 1352.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
Package xcolor Info: Model `RGB' extended on input line 1364.
Package xcolor Info: Model `HTML' substituted by `rgb' on input line
1366.
Package xcolor Info: Model `Hsb' substituted by `hsb' on input line 1367.
Package xcolor Info: Model `tHsb' substituted by `hsb' on input line
1368.
Package xcolor Info: Model `HSB' substituted by `hsb' on input line 1369.
Package xcolor Info: Model `Gray' substituted by `gray' on input line
1370.
Package xcolor Info: Model `wave' substituted by `hsb' on input line
1371.
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcore.code.tex
Package: pgfcore 2010/04/11 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.7)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmath.code.tex
(c:/TeXLive/2
018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathcalc.code.tex
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf
-dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathutil.code.tex) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/te
x/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathparser.code.tex
\pgfmath@dimen=\dimen141
\pgfmath@count=\count122
\pgfmath@box=\box32
\pgfmath@toks=\toks38
\pgfmath@stack@operand=\toks39
\pgfmath@stack@operation=\toks40
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.code.tex
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.basic.code.te
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.trigonometric
.code.tex)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.random.code.t
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.comparison.co
de.tex)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.base.code.tex
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.round.code.te
\times)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.misc.code.tex
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfunctions.integerarithm
etics.code.tex))) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmathfloat
.code.tex
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
```

```
\c@pgfmathroundto@lastzeros=\count123
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorepoints.code.te
File: pgfcorepoints.code.tex 2013/10/07 (rcs-revision 1.27)
\pgf@picminx=\dimen142
\pgf@picmaxx=\dimen143
\pgf@picminy=\dimen144
\pgf@picmaxy=\dimen145
\pgf@pathminx=\dimen146
\pgf@pathmaxx=\dimen147
\pgf@pathminy=\dimen148
\pgf@pathmaxy=\dimen149
\pqf@xx=\dimen150
\pgf@xy=\dimen151
\pgf@yx=\dimen152
\neq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}
\pgf@zx=\dimen154
\pgf@zy=\dimen155
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorepathconstruct.cod
e.tex
File: pgfcorepathconstruct.code.tex 2013/10/07 (rcs-revision 1.29)
\pgf@path@lastx=\dimen156
\pgf@path@lasty=\dimen157
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorepathusage.code.te
File: pgfcorepathusage.code.tex 2014/11/02 (rcs-revision 1.24)
\pgf@shorten@end@additional=\dimen158
\pgf@shorten@start@additional=\dimen159
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorescopes.code.tex
File: pgfcorescopes.code.tex 2015/05/08 (rcs-revision 1.46)
\pgfpic=\box33
\pqf@hbox=\box34
\pgf@layerbox@main=\box35
\pgf@picture@serial@count=\count124
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoregraphicstate.code
.tex
File: pgfcoregraphicstate.code.tex 2014/11/02 (rcs-revision 1.12)
\pgflinewidth=\dimen160
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoretransformations.c
ode.tex
File: pgfcoretransformations.code.tex 2015/08/07 (rcs-revision 1.20)
\pgf@pt@x=\dimen161
\pqf@pt@y=\dimen162
\pgf@pt@temp=\dimen163
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
```

```
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorequick.code.tex
File: pgfcorequick.code.tex 2008/10/09 (rcs-revision 1.3)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoreobjects.code.te
File: pgfcoreobjects.code.tex 2006/10/11 (rcs-revision 1.2)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorepathprocessing.co
de.tex
File: pgfcorepathprocessing.code.tex 2013/09/09 (rcs-revision 1.9)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorearrows.code.tex
File: pgfcorearrows.code.tex 2015/05/14 (rcs-revision 1.43)
\pgfarrowsep=\dimen164
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoreshade.code.tex
File: pgfcoreshade.code.tex 2013/07/15 (rcs-revision 1.15)
\pgf@max=\dimen165
\pgf@sys@shading@range@num=\count125
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoreimage.code.tex
File: pgfcoreimage.code.tex 2013/07/15 (rcs-revision 1.18)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoreexternal.code.tex
File: pgfcoreexternal.code.tex 2014/07/09 (rcs-revision 1.21)
\pgfexternal@startupbox=\box36
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorelayers.code.te
File: pgfcorelayers.code.tex 2013/07/18 (rcs-revision 1.7)
)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcoretransparency.code
File: pgfcoretransparency.code.tex 2013/09/30 (rcs-revision 1.5)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/basiclayer/pgfcorepatterns.code.tex
File: pgfcorepatterns.code.tex 2013/11/07 (rcs-revision 1.5)
))) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/modules/pgfmoduleshapes.code.te
File: pgfmoduleshapes.code.tex 2014/03/21 (rcs-revision 1.35)
\pgfnodeparttextbox=\box37
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/modules/pgfmoduleplot.code.tex
File: pgfmoduleplot.code.tex 2015/08/03 (rcs-revision 1.13)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/compatibility/pgfcomp-version-
0-65.st
У
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
Package: pgfcomp-version-0-65 2007/07/03 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.7)
\pgf@nodesepstart=\dimen166
\pgf@nodesepend=\dimen167
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/compatibility/pgfcomp-version-
1-18.st
V
Package: pgfcomp-version-1-18 2007/07/23 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.1)
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/utilities/pgffor.sty
(c:/TeXLive/2
018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/pgf/utilities/pgfkeys.sty
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/
tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgfkeys.code.tex)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/la
tex/pgf/math/pgfmath.sty (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfma
th.code.tex)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/utilities/pgffor.code
Package: pgffor 2013/12/13 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.25)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/pgf/math/pgfmath.code.tex)
\pgffor@iter=\dimen168
\pgffor@skip=\dimen169
\pgffor@stack=\toks41
\pgffor@toks=\toks42
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/frontendlayer/tikz/tikz.code.tex
Package: tikz 2015/08/07 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.151)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/libraries/pgflibraryplothandlers.co
de.tex
File: pgflibraryplothandlers.code.tex 2013/08/31 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision
1.20)
\pgf@plot@mark@count=\count126
\pgfplotmarksize=\dimen170
\tikz@lastx=\dimen171
\tikz@lasty=\dimen172
\tikz@lastxsaved=\dimen173
\tikz@lastysaved=\dimen174
\tikzleveldistance=\dimen175
\tikzsiblingdistance=\dimen176
\tikz@figbox=\box38
\tikz@figbox@bg=\box39
\tikz@tempbox=\box40
\tikz@tempbox@bg=\box41
\tikztreelevel=\count127
\tikznumberofchildren=\count128
\tikznumberofcurrentchild=\count129
\tikz@fig@count=\count130
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/modules/pgfmodulematrix.code.tex
File: pgfmodulematrix.code.tex 2013/09/17 (rcs-revision 1.8)
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
\pgfmatrixcurrentrow=\count131
\pgfmatrixcurrentcolumn=\count132
\pgf@matrix@numberofcolumns=\count133
\tikz@expandcount=\count134
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/frontendlayer/tikz/libraries/tikzli
brarytopaths.code.tex
File: tikzlibrarytopaths.code.tex 2008/06/17 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.2)
)))
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/tex/generic/pgf/frontendlayer/tikz/libraries/tikzli
brarvcalc.code.tex
File: tikzlibrarycalc.code.tex 2013/07/15 v3.0.1a (rcs-revision 1.9)
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/caption/caption.sty
Package: caption 2018/05/01 v3.3-147 Customizing captions (AR)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/caption/caption3.sty
Package: caption3 2018/05/27 v1.8a caption3 kernel (AR)
Package caption3 Info: TeX engine: e-TeX on input line 64.
\captionmargin=\dimen177
\captionmargin@=\dimen178
\captionwidth=\dimen179
\caption@tempdima=\dimen180
\caption@indent=\dimen181
\caption@parindent=\dimen182
\caption@hangindent=\dimen183
\c@ContinuedFloat=\count135
Package caption Info: float package is loaded.
Package caption Info: hyperref package is loaded.
Package caption Info: rotating package is loaded.
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/ctable/ctable.sty
Package: ctable 2015/10/17 v1.31 ctable package for flexible typesetting
le and figure floats using key/value directives
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/etoolbox/etoolbox.sty
Package: etoolbox 2018/02/11 v2.5e e-TeX tools for LaTeX (JAW)
\etb@tempcnta=\count136
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/xkeyval/xkeyval.sty
Package: xkeyval 2014/12/03 v2.7a package option processing (HA)
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/xkeyval/xkeyval.tex
(c:/TeXLive/2018/te
xmf-dist/tex/generic/xkeyval/xkvutils.tex
\XKV@toks=\toks43
\XKV@tempa@toks=\toks44
\XKV@depth=\count137
File: xkeyval.tex 2014/12/03 v2.7a key=value parser (HA)
)) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/tools/array.sty
Package: array 2018/04/30 v2.4h Tabular extension package (FMi)
\col@sep=\dimen184
\ar@mcellbox=\box42
\extrarowheight=\dimen185
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
\NC@list=\toks45
\extratabsurround=\skip52
\backup@length=\skip53
\ar@cellbox=\box43
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/tools/tabularx.sty
Package: tabularx 2016/02/03 v2.11b `tabularx' package (DPC)
\TX@col@width=\dimen186
\TX@old@table=\dimen187
\TX@old@col=\dimen188
\TX@target=\dimen189
\TX@delta=\dimen190
\TX@cols=\count138
TX@ftn=\toks46
)
Package ctable Warning: Transparency disabled: incompatible with tikz
package
on input line 36.
\@CTframesep=\dimen191
\@dfltCTframesep=\dimen192
\@CTframerule=\dimen193
\@dfltCTframerule=\dimen194
\@CTwidth=\dimen195
\@dfltCTwidth=\dimen196
\@CTcaptionskip=\dimen197
\@dfltCTcaptionskip=\dimen198
\@CTmaxwidth=\dimen199
\@dfltCTmaxwidth=\dimen256
\@CTmincapwidth=\dimen257
\@dfltCTmincapwidth=\dimen258
\@CTfooterwidth=\dimen259
\@dfltCTfooterwidth=\dimen260
\@CTw=\dimen261
\@CTfloatwidth=\dimen262
\@CToldsep=\dimen263
\@CToldrule=\dimen264
\CT@t=\box44
\@CTcurftwidth=\dimen265
\c@theorem=\count139
(./WFS Edits_manuscript_PubChoice_2019_accepted_corrected.aux)
\openout1 = \"WFS
Edits manuscript PubChoice 2019 accepted corrected.aux"'.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for OML/cmm/m/it on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for T1/cmr/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for OT1/cmr/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for OMS/cmsy/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for OMX/cmex/m/n on input line 50.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for U/cmr/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for PD1/pdf/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Checking defaults for PU/pdf/m/n on input line 50.
LaTeX Font Info:
                    ... okay on input line 50.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/context/base/mkii/supp-pdf.mkii
[Loading MPS to PDF converter (version 2006.09.02).]
\scratchcounter=\count140
\scratchdimen=\dimen266
\scratchbox=\box45
\nofMPsegments=\count141
\nofMParguments=\count142
\everyMPshowfont=\toks47
\MPscratchCnt=\count143
\MPscratchDim=\dimen267
\MPnumerator=\count144
\makeMPintoPDFobject=\count145
\everyMPtoPDFconversion=\toks48
) (c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/oberdiek/epstopdf-base.sty
Package: epstopdf-base 2016/05/15 v2.6 Base part for package epstopdf
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/oberdiek/grfext.sty
Package: grfext 2016/05/16 v1.2 Manage graphics extensions (HO)
Package epstopdf-base Info: Redefining graphics rule for `.eps' on input
line 4
Package grfext Info: Graphics extension search list:
(grfext)
[.pdf,.png,.jpg,.mps,.jpeg,.jbig2,.jb2,.PDF,.PNG,.JPG,.JPE
G, .JBIG2, .JB2, .eps]
                     \AppendGraphicsExtensions on input line 456.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/latexconfig/epstopdf-sys.cfg
File: epstopdf-sys.cfg 2010/07/13 v1.3 Configuration of (r)epstopdf for
TeX Liv
е
))
\AtBeginShipoutBox=\box46
Package hyperref Info: Link coloring OFF on input line 50.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/hyperref/nameref.sty
Package: nameref 2016/05/21 v2.44 Cross-referencing by name of section
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/generic/oberdiek/gettitlestring.sty
Package: gettitlestring 2016/05/16 v1.5 Cleanup title references (HO)
\c@section@level=\count146
LaTeX Info: Redefining \ref on input line 50.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \pageref on input line 50.
LaTeX Info: Redefining \nameref on input line 50.
ABD: EveryShipout initializing macros
Package caption Info: Begin \AtBeginDocument code.
Package caption Info: End \AtBeginDocument code.
! Undefined control sequence.
```

```
1.51 \doublespacing
The control sequence at the end of the top line
of your error message was never \def'ed. If you have
misspelled it (e.g., `\hobx'), type `I' and the correct
spelling (e.g., `I\hbox'). Otherwise just continue,
and I'll forget about whatever was undefined.
                    Try loading font information for U+msa on input line
LaTeX Font Info:
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsa.fd
File: umsa.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols A
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Try loading font information for U+msb on input line
56.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsb.fd
File: umsb.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols B
)
LaTeX Warning: No \author given.
Γ1
Non-PDF special ignored!
<special> papersize=597.50787pt,845.04684pt
{c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-var/fonts/map/pdftex/updmap/pdftex.map}] [2] [3]
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 242--264
 []
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 242--264
 []
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 242--264
 []
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 242--264
 []
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 242--264
 []
[4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11]
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 642--648
```

[]

```
1
 2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
[12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
. . .
1.967 \item A
             ligica, P. D. \& Tarko V. (2014). Crony capitalism: Rent
seeking,
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 967
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
. . .
1.970 \item A
             nderson, L. A., & Freeborn, B. A. (2010). Varying the
intensity
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 970
[][]
[]
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Try loading font information for OMS+cmr on input
line 974.
(c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/omscmr.fd
File: omscmr.fd 2014/09/29 v2.5h Standard LaTeX font definitions
LaTeX Font Info:
                    Font shape `OMS/cmr/m/n' in size <12> not available
                    Font shape `OMS/cmsy/m/n' tried instead on input line
(Font)
974.
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
```

```
3
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

1.978 \item B aumol, W. J. (1990). Entrepreneurship: Productive, unproductive, Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 978 [][] [] ! Package inputenc Error: Keyboard character used is undefined in inputencoding `latin9'. (inputenc) See the inputenc package documentation for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. 1.979 ...it{Journal of Political Economy 98,} 893-You need to provide a definition with \DeclareInputText or \DeclareInputMath before using this key. ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. 1.981 \item C arugati, F., Ober, J., \& Weingast, B. (2019). Is development Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 981 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.985 \item C ongleton, R. D. (2018). On the emergence of a classic work: Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.

```
1
 2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 985
[][]
[]
! Package inputenc Error: Keyboard character used is undefined
(inputenc)
                          in inputencoding `latin9'.
See the inputenc package documentation for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.986 ... history of the impact of Gordon Tullock'
                                                  s Welfare costs of
You need to provide a definition with \DeclareInputText
or \DeclareInputMath before using this key.
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.989 \item C
            ornes R. \& Hartley R. (2003). Risk aversion, heterogeneity
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 989
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.992 \item C
            ornes R. \&Hartley R. (2012). Risk aversion in symmetric and
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 992
[][]
[]
```

```
1
 2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
```

```
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.995 \item D
             echenaux, E., Kovenock, D. \& Sheremeta, R.M. (2015). A
survey
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 995
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
. . .
1.999 \item E
             eckhoudt L., \& Gollier C. (2005). The impact of prudence
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 999
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1002 \item E
              eckhoudt L. \& Schlesinger H. (2006). Putting risk in its
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1002
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
```

```
3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1005 \item G
              ollier C. (2001). \textit{The economics of risk and time},
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1005
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1008 \item H
              aber, S. (2002). \textit{Crony capitalism and economic
growth
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1008
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1012 \item H
              iggs R. (1997). Regime uncertainty. Why the Great
Depression
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1012
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
Type H <return> for immediate help.
. . .
1.1016 \item K
              imball M.S. (1990) Precautionary saving in the small and in
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1016
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1019 \item K
             onrad K. A. \& Schlesinger H. (1997). Risk aversion in
rent-se...
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1019
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1026 \item L
              eland H. (1968). Saving and uncertainty: the precautionary
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1026
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
. . .
1.1029 \item L
              evy, H. (2006). \textit{Stochastic Dominance. Investment
Decision
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1029
[][]
[]
[19]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1032 \item L
              iu L., Meyer J., Rettenmaier A.J. \& Saving T.S. (2018)
Risk
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1032
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
. . .
1.1036 \item M
              ago, S. D., Sheremeta, R. M., & Yates, A. (2013). Best-of-
three
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1036
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1040 \item M
             enegatti M. (2007) A new interpretation for the
precautionary
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1040
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1043 \item M
              enegatti M. (2009). Optimal prevention and prudence in a
two-p...
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X < return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1043
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1046 \item M
             enegatti M. \& Rebessi F. (2011). On the substitution
between
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1046
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1050 \item M enegatti M. (2014) New results on the relationship among risk Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1050 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1054 \item P eter, R., 2017. Optimal self-protection in two periods: on Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1054 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1058 \item M itchell W.C. (2019) Rent seeking at 52: an introduction to Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1058 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.

```
3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1061 \item M itchell W.C. \& Munger M. C. (1981) Economic Models of Interest Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1061 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1065 \item M urphy, K. M., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1993). Why is Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1065 [][] [] ! Package inputenc Error: Keyboard character used is undefined (inputenc) in inputencoding `latin9'. See the inputenc package documentation for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. 1.1067 Papers and Proceedings 83, } 409--You need to provide a definition with \DeclareInputText or \DeclareInputMath before using this key. ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. 1.1072 \item R obinson, J. \&Acemoglu, D. (2019). Rents and economic developm...

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1072
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1079 \item S
              chroyen F. \ Treich N. (2016) The power of money: Wealth
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1079
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1082 \item S
             heremeta, R. M. (2010). Experimental comparison of multi-
stage
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1082
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1086 \item S
             heremeta, R.M. \& \& Zhang, J. (2010). Can groups solve the
Try typing <return> to proceed.
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1086
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
1.1090 \item S
              tracke, R., Höchtl, W., Kerschbamer, R. \& Sunde, U.
(2014).
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1090
[][]
[]
[20]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1095 \item S
              teinorth P. (2011). Impact of health savings accounts on
preca...
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1095
[][]
[]
! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment.
See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
 . . .
1.1099 \item T
```

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

arko, V., \& Farrant, A. (2019). The efficiency of regulatory Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1099 [][][] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1102 \item T reich N. (2010) Risk-aversion and prudence in rent-seeking Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1102 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. 1.1105 \item T ullock G. (1980). Efficient rent-seeking. In J. M. Buchanan, Try typing <return> to proceed. If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit. Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1105 [][] [] ! LaTeX Error: Lonely \item--perhaps a missing list environment. See the LaTeX manual or LaTeX Companion for explanation. Type H <return> for immediate help. . . . 1.1110 \item Y

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
```

```
amazaki T. (2009). The uniqueness of pure-strategy Nash
equili...
Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) detected at line 1110
[][]
[]
[21]
Package atveryend Info: Empty hook `BeforeClearDocument' on input line
1153.
[22]
Package atveryend Info: Empty hook `AfterLastShipout' on input line 1153.
(./WFS Edits manuscript PubChoice 2019 accepted corrected.aux)
Package atveryend Info: Executing hook `AtVeryEndDocument' on input line
1153.
Package atveryend Info: Empty hook `AtEndAfterFileList' on input line
1153.
Package atveryend Info: Empty hook `AtVeryVeryEnd' on input line 1153.
Here is how much of TeX's memory you used:
 21617 strings out of 492646
 376086 string characters out of 6133325
 435686 words of memory out of 5000000
 25083 multiletter control sequences out of 15000+600000
 16328 words of font info for 60 fonts, out of 8000000 for 9000
 1141 hyphenation exceptions out of 8191
 55i, 13n, 77p, 972b, 477s stack positions out of
5000i,500n,10000p,200000b,80000s
{c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dist/fonts/enc/dvips/cm-super/cm-super-
t1.enc}<c:/TeXL
ive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmbx10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/
texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmbx12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dis
t/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmbx8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/ty
pe1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmex10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/publi
c/amsfonts/cm/cmmi12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfont
s/cm/cmmi6.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmmi8
.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr10.pfb><c:/T</pre>
eXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/201
8/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr6.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-dis
t/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr7.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-
dist/fonts/typ
```

e1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/a msfonts/cm/cmssbx10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmf-</pre> dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts /cm/cmsy10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy6 .pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy8.pfb><c:/T</pre> eXLive/2018/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmti10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/20 18/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmti12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2018/texmfdist/fonts/type1/public/cm-super/sfrm1200.pfb> Output written on "WFS Edits manuscript PubChoice 2019 accepted corrected.pdf" (22 pages, 293656 bytes). PDF statistics: 335 PDF objects out of 1000 (max. 8388607) 289 compressed objects within 3 object streams 95 named destinations out of 1000 (max. 500000) 13 words of extra memory for PDF output out of 10000 (max. 10000000)

Click here to access/download

Supplementary Material

WFS

Edits_manuscript_PubChoice_2019_accepted_corrected
.lyx