



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

The Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foredeep: Evolution of the largest evaporitic marginal basin in the Mediterranean

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

The Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foredeep: Evolution of the largest evaporitic marginal basin in the Mediterranean / Manzi, V.; Argnani, A.; Corcagnani, A.; Lugli, S.; Roveri, M.. - In: MARINE AND PETROLEUM GEOLOGY. - ISSN 0264-8172. - 115:(2020), p. 104288. [10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104288]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2872558 since: 2024-12-13T09:55:13Z

Publisher:

Elsevier Ltd

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2020.104288

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

31 December 2024

1 **The Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foredeep: evolution of the largest**
2 **evaporitic marginal basin in the Mediterranean**

3

4 Manzi V., Argnani A., Corcagnani A., Lugli S., Roveri M.

5

6 **ABSTRACT**

7 The recent release of a large number of subsurface geological data by the Italian
8 Minister of Economic Development, including boreholes and seismic profiles, provided the
9 occasion for a new assessment of the deposits associated with the Messinian salinity
10 crisis (MSC) in the Adriatic foreland basin system and a new integration with the
11 outcropping successions of the Apennines. In particular, the study of the Messinian
12 evaporites allowed to reconstruct a new detailed palaeogeographic and palaeobathymetric
13 framework for all the stages of the crisis.

14 We identified the largest evaporitic marginal basin ever described for the Mediterranean
15 hosting the precipitation of the primary shallow-water gypsum deposits (PLG, Primary
16 Lower Gypsum) during the first stage of the crisis. During the second and third stages of
17 the crisis, the PLG basin underwent uplift and erosion and the evaporite accumulation
18 moved to the deeper part of the basin and was characterized by the deposition of the
19 Resedimented Lower Gypsum unit including clastic evaporites, recycling the PLG ones,
20 primary halite and terrigenous deposits.

21 The distribution of the different evaporitic facies, was the basis for an improved
22 reconstruction of the upper Miocene tectonic evolution of the Apennines thrust belt. Our
23 results show a clear separation between shallower depocenters, located in the wedge-top
24 and in the Adriatic foreland basins and characterized by MSC stage 1 PLG deposition, and
25 deeper-water ones, located in the Adriatic foredeep and close to the Calabrian Arc, where
26 MSC stage 2 terrigenous and gypsum-bearing clastic deposits and primary halite
27 accumulated.

28

29 **1. INTRODUCTION**

30 The distribution of the Messinian salinity crisis (MSC) related deposits in the Apennines
31 and in the Adriatic foredeep basin has been matter of several studies during the last
32 decades, mostly based on outcrop data (Roveri et al., 2001, 2004, 2006, 2014b).

33 Recently, the Ministry of Economic Development of Italy (*MISE, Ministero dello Sviluppo*

34 *Economico*), through the project entitled “Visibility of petroleum exploration data in Italy”
35 (*ViDEPI, Visibilità dei dati afferenti all'attività di esplorazione petrolifera in Italia*) has
36 released a large amount of subsurface data filed since 1957 and covering the whole Italian
37 territory. The ViDEPI database includes a large number of boreholes and industrial seismic
38 profiles for hydrocarbon investigation. A great part of these boreholes crossed the
39 Messinian deposits, especially in the offshore areas. Their analyses made it possible to
40 recognize the subsurface equivalents of the deposits cropping out in the Apennines and to
41 provide a detailed reconstruction of the distribution of the MSC-related deposits all along
42 the Apennines foredeep.
43

44 **2. THE MESSINIAN SALINITY CRISIS (MSC): A BRIEF OVERVIEW**

45 The Messinian salinity crisis (MSC; 5.97-5.33 Ma) is one of the more dramatic
46 palaeoceanographic and biological event in the Earth's history, during which huge
47 volumes of evaporites accumulated on the Mediterranean seafloor because of the reduced
48 connections with the Atlantic Ocean, due to the interplay between tectonic uplift in the
49 Gibraltar area and glacio-eustatic changes (Krijgsman et al., 1999). The largest part of the
50 evaporites deposited during the MSC is now buried below the deep Mediterranean
51 seafloor but the large number of outcrops has allowed the reconstruction of a very-high
52 resolution stratigraphic framework through the integration of bio-, magneto- and
53 cyclostratigraphic data (Clauzon et al., 1996; Krijgsman et al., 1999; Hilgen et al., 2007;
54 CIESM, 2008).

55 **2.1 MSC stages**

56 A large consensus has been reached during the last decade by the scientific community
57 in subdividing the MSC into three evolutionary stages, each of them well time-constrained
58 and characterized by peculiar evaporite deposits and palaeohydrological conditions
59 (CIESM, 2008; Roveri et al., 2014a,b). Controversies still persist on what actually occurred
60 during these three stages, especially for what concerns the variations of the
61 Mediterranean sea level.

62 **Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma)** - According to Roveri et al. (2008) and Lugli et al. (2010),
63 shallow-water (< 200m) bottom-grown primary evaporites (PLG, Primary Lower Gypsum;
64 accumulated only in marginal silled basins, whereas organic- and dolomite-rich
65 foraminifer-barren shales sedimented in deeper water (Manzi et al., 2007; FBI, Foraminifer
66 Barren Interval, *sensu* Manzi et al., 2018). Up to 16 shale-gypsum cycles were deposited
67 under a strong astronomical control to form up to 200 m-thick evaporite successions (Vai,

68 1997; Hilgen et al., 2007; Lugli et al., 2010). According to other authors, based on the
69 interpretation of seismic data, PLG deposition has also occurred in deeper waters (Ochoa
70 et al., 2015) or has been replaced by halite (Meilijson et al., 2018, 2019).

71 **Stage 2 (5.60-5.55 Ma)** - It represents the MSC acme. The marginal basins that hosted
72 the PLG deposition during stage 1 underwent uplift and deep erosion. In this stage
73 evaporite deposition shifted to the deeper settings and was characterized by both clastic
74 (derived from the dismantlement of the PLG unit) and primary evaporites (cumulate
75 deposits of gypsum, halite and K-Mg salts) deposits, grouped into the Resedimented
76 Lower Gypsum unit (RLG; Roveri et al., 2008a). The connections with the Atlantic were
77 further reduced but still sufficient to allow accumulation of marine water-derived salt. This
78 stage was marked by a widespread tectonic activity and by a sea level drop for which
79 timing (before, during or after halite deposition; see discussion in Roveri et al., 2014b) and
80 magnitude (from 100-200 m; according to Roveri et al., 2016; Manzi et al., 2018; up to 800
81 m according to Druckman et al., 1995; Amadori et al., 2018; up to more than 1500 m
82 according to Lofi et al., 2005; Bache et al., 2009) are still lively debated.

83 **Stage 3 (5.55-5.33 Ma)** - This is the last and probably the less known stage of the MSC.
84 The deposition of primary evaporites was limited to the southern and eastern portion of the
85 Mediterranean Sea (Sicily, Cyprus, Crete) and completely absent in the Apennines
86 foredeep. The peculiar Lago-Mare fossil associations, including hypohaline mollusk,
87 ostracod and dinocyst (Rouchy et al., 2001; Bertini, 2006; Orszag-Sperber et al., 2006;
88 Cosentino et al., 2007; 2012; Gliozzi et al., 2007; Grossi et al., 2008; Pellen et al., 2017,
89 Roveri and Manzi, 2006; Roveri et al., 2008c; Ruggieri, 1967), suggests the development
90 of hypohaline conditions possibly related to the input of Paratethyan water in the
91 Mediterranean basin. However, on the basis of the occurrence of marine fossils (fishes;
92 Carnevale et al., 2006; dinocysts, Popescu et al., 2009; Pellen et al., 2017; long-chain
93 alkenones, Vasiliev et al., 2017), possible oceanic incursions during the last stage of the
94 crisis have been envisaged (Bache et al., 2009; 2012). Marine waters may have provided
95 the ions needed for the precipitation of the Upper Gypsum evaporites during insolation
96 minima (Manzi et al., 2009). Depleted Sr isotope values and the increased terrigenous
97 deposits during this stage point to a Mediterranean Sea characterized by hypohaline
98 waters, more humid climatic conditions and enhanced fresh-water input (Roveri et al.,
99 2014a,b). The recognition of the peculiar Sr signature in both shallow and deep settings
100 (Roveri et al., 2014a; Gvirtzman et al., 2017; Manzi et al., 2018) suggests the persistence
101 of water connections between the Mediterranean subbasins also during the stage 3 that
102 were likely filled by a unique water body. However, other authors hold that, at least at the

103 beginning of stage 3, the Mediterranean basin was almost desiccated, based on the
104 occurrence of inferred fluvial deposits above the stage 2 halite in the Levantine Basin
105 (Madof et al., 2019).

106

107 **2.2 MSC surfaces**

108 This MSC stratigraphic framework is based on the recognition of some key-surfaces
109 (Roveri et al., 2019; and their Fig. 3):

110 **onset surface (OS)** – It marks the MSC onset placed in the 4th precessional cycle above
111 the Gilbert chron at 5.97 Ma (Manzi et al., 2013). It is associated with the sudden
112 disappearance of the foraminifers. It can be found indistinctively at the base of the PLG
113 unit or at the base of the FBI (Manzi et al., 2007; 2018);

114 **evaporites onset surface (EOS)** - It is a diachronous surface flooring the PLG, only
115 locally coinciding with the OS; The Messinian deposits laying above the EOS belongs to
116 stage 1 and are younger than 5.97 Ma;

117 **Messinian erosional surface (MES ≡ base of the p-ev₁ unit)** - It is a widespread
118 unconformity surface (Cita and Corselli, 1990) locally associated with angular discordance
119 and local subaerial exposure (Vai, 1988). It can be traced from the top of the PLG unit in
120 the marginal basins up to the base of the RLG unit in the deep ones. It has been
121 recognized offshore along the Mediterranean basin margin (Ryan and Cita, 1978; Lofi et
122 al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2014b and references therein). In the deeper portion of the basins
123 the MES pass to its correlative conformity surface (MES-cc; Roveri et al., 2008b; 2019).
124 The MSC deposits laying above the MES belongs to stage 2 or 3 and are always younger
125 than 5.60 Ma; this surface marks the dismantlement of the PLG deposits and their
126 resedimentation in the foredeep lows (Roveri et al., 1998; 2006; 2008c).

127 **ash layer (al)** – A rhyolitic volcanoclastic key-bed dated at 5.53 Ma (Roveri et al., 1998;
128 Trua et al., 2010; Cosentino et al., 2013) found in the whole Adriatic foredeep and locally
129 in Calabria and Sicily, roughly marking the base of stage 3, is often found at the top of the
130 RLG unit;

131 **base of p-ev₂** – dated at 5.42 Ma, this surface can be regarded as a maximum regressive
132 surface in the MSC succession (Roveri et al., 2008b) marking a change from regressive to
133 transgressive trend in the post-evaporitic succession. In the marginal settings this surface
134 commonly is found at the base of fluvio-deltaic deposits, whereas, in deeper settings, it
135 marks the base of coarser-grained turbiditic deposits. Above this surface a higher diversity
136 hypohaline biota is commonly present (“Lago-Mare” *sensu stricto*; Roveri et al., 2008c).

137 **Miocene/Pliocene or Messinian/Zanclean boundary (M/P)** – this surface marks the
138 Messinian-Zanclean boundary placed at 5.33 Ma 5 precessional cycles below the base of
139 the Thvera magnetic event (Van Couvering et al., 2000) and marked by the return to fully
140 marine conditions in the Mediterranean; in the Apennines, it is commonly associated with a
141 black shale organic-rich horizon (Roveri et al., 2006).

142

143 **3. GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

144 The study area includes different portions of the Apennines that have been historically
145 considered as worlds apart. We try here to limit the Apennines subdivision into two main
146 paleogeographic domains, autochthonous and allochthonous that were deposited
147 respectively in the outer and in the inner portion of the Apennines fold and thrust system.
148 We focus on the late Miocene-early Pliocene terms of the stratigraphic succession (Fig. 1).

149 **3.1 Autochthonous domains (AD)**

150 All the sedimentary successions deposited in basins resting on the undeformed portions of
151 the foredeep and foreland above Adria and Apula that experienced only minor tectonic
152 translations after the MSC are grouped in this domain.

153 **3.1.1 Northern Apennines**

154 The northern area includes the foredeep basin formed above the Umbro-Marchean
155 (UM) units characterized by a thick Triassic-Jurassic shallow water carbonate succession
156 (Burano Anhydrites, Calcare Massiccio, Calcari a Posidonia, Rosso Ammonitico units)
157 followed by Cretaceous-Paleogene hemipelagic carbonate and marls (Maiolica, Fucoidi
158 marls, and Scaglia Fms). While the inner Umbro-Marchean unit (IUM; Fig. 1a) was
159 involved in the Apennines orogenesis, the outer Umbro-Marchean unit (OUM; Fig. 1a) was
160 characterized, since the Langhian, by the deposition of a thick Alpine-derived siliciclastic
161 fill extending for hundreds of km along the Adriatic foredeep from the Emilia-Romagna to
162 the Umbria region (Ricci Lucchi, 1986; Argnani and Ricci Lucchi, 2001).

163 During the late Tortonian an important tectonic phase affecting the whole Apennines
164 caused the eastward migration of the foredeep (Ricci Lucchi, 1986), the formation of the
165 Vena del Gesso wedge-top basin (VdG; Roveri et al., 2003) and the segmentation of the
166 main foredeep into minor basins (ER, Eastern Romagna; NM, Northern Marche; L, Laga;
167 Fig. 1).

168 In the inner sectors of the foredeep, affected by tectonic segmentation, turbidite
169 deposition stopped during the late Tortonian while their deposition continued during the
170 whole MSC and in the Pliocene in the undeformed foredeep (e.g. the Laga basin; Ricci

171 Lucchi, 1975; 1986). The turbidites were deposited in the more subsiding portions of the
172 Adriatic foredeep. Conversely, in wedge-top basins or in the foreland ramp, that were not
173 reached by the turbiditic flows moving along the foredeep axis, the pre-MSC succession
174 commonly consists of hemipelagic deposits (Euxinic shale and Schlier Formations),
175 showing a well-developed cyclic pattern given by the alternation of sapropels, marls and
176 diatomite, the deposition of which is strictly controlled by variation of Earth orbital
177 parameters (Vai, 1997; Krijgsman et al., 1999). These deposits are characterized by a
178 large fossiliferous content (foraminifers, nannofossils, and locally mollusks); their
179 sedimentation rate is quite reduced as the last 1.2-1.5 Ma preceding the MSC onset have
180 been recorded by less than 50-60 m (Manzi et al., 2007; 2018).

181 During the MSC the sub-basins of the foredeep were characterized by different
182 stratigraphy. During stage 1, the primary evaporites (PLG) were deposited only in shallow
183 basins, like the thrust-top VdG basin (Roveri et al., 2003) and in the foreland (Roveri et al.,
184 1986; 1992; 2005; Rossi et al., 2015); in the deeper basin of the Romagna and Marche
185 this interval is characterized by the deposition of an organic-rich and dolomite-rich
186 foraminifer-barren shale unit (FBI; Manzi et al., 2007; 2018). During stage 2, the VdG
187 basin was uplifted, the PLG unit was eroded and resedimented in the adjoining basins to
188 form the RLG unit (Manzi et al., 2005). The stage 3, in this sector, is characterized by the
189 absence of evaporites and by the deposition of Apennine-derived terrigenous deposits
190 (San Donato, Colombacci, Laga units; Bassetti et al., 1994; Ricci Lucchi, 1975; 1986; Ricci
191 Lucchi et al., 2002; Roveri et al., 2001; Milli et al., 2007) containing peculiar hypohaline
192 biota and showing strong thickness variability, from few meters in the VdG basin up to
193 more than 1 km in the deeper buried portions of the foredeep (Fusignano Fm; Cremonini
194 and Ricci Lucchi, 1982). The return to the fully marine conditions at the base of the
195 Zanclean was sharp and marked by a black, organic-rich horizon (Roveri et al., 2004;
196 2006).

197 The OUM is limited to the south by the Gran Sasso thrust front (GS) involving the
198 carbonate units of the Lazio-Abruzzi platforms (LAP), the front of the Molise-Lagonegro
199 nappe (MLN), and bounded to the east by the Apulian Platform, including the Gargano
200 high (G; in red in Fig. 1a) that originated mostly in the Late Miocene-Pliocene (Argnani et
201 al., 2009).

202 In the most elevated portions of the Lazio-Abruzzi platform, the carbonate deposition
203 continued until the MSC. In the Maiella area the *Lithothamnion* limestone facies,
204 representing the younger term of the Bolognana Fm., was deposited until the lower
205 Messinian (Brandano et al., 2012; Cornacchia et al., 2017); in its upper part, this unit

206 passes gradually to marl deposits containing *T. multiloba*, whose distribution zone (6.34-
207 5.97 Ma; Siirro et al., 2001; Manzi et al., 2007) is quite close to the onset of the MSC.
208 Around the Gargano high, on top of the Apula platform, the pre-MSC late Miocene
209 succession is incomplete and poorly age-constrained; it includes shallow water limestone
210 deposits (breccias and calcarenites), the deposition of which is supposed to have been
211 continued until the early Messinian. No evaporites crop out in this area. The pre-crisis unit
212 is capped unconformably by the Gravina calcarenites, a Pliocene unit which age is not
213 strongly constrained.

214 Moving southward, the Messinian deposits of the OUM continue into the Bradanic Trough
215 (BT). They are buried under the allocthonous Molise-Lagonegro Nappe (MLN), that was
216 emplaced during the Plio-Pleistocene (Patacca and Scandone, 2007; 2011). The only
217 information about MSC deposits in the BT derives from boreholes and seismic data and
218 their place into the stratigraphic framework is still lacking.

219

220 **3.2 Far-travelled Allocthonous domains (TD)**

221 This domain includes all the semiallocthonous geological terranes that during the late
222 Miocene were located in the more inner (western) position of the foredeep and that during
223 the Plio-Pleistocene translated in their present position above the AD (Fig. 1).

224 **3.2.1 Northern Apennines**

225 In the Northern Apennines the Emilia and the Val Marecchia Epiligurian units were
226 deposited over a late Jurassic-lower Eocene Ligurian complex translating (north)eastward
227 over the Tuscany and Umbro-Marchean-Romagna domains. These Epiligurian satellite
228 basins are characterized by Messinian successions similar to those of the VdG basin
229 (Manzi, 2001; Gennari et al., 2013), with thick PLG unit eroded on top and sealed by the
230 uppermost portion of the Lago-Mare unit and by the Pliocene marine clay unit. The PLG
231 lays conformably on a shelf shale succession (Termina, Ca' i Gessi formations; Ruggieri,
232 1970; Roveri et al., 1999; Gennari et al., 2013) the base of which is locally marked by late
233 Tortonian sandstones (Termina Fm) and conglomerates (Acquaviva Fm), in the Emilia and
234 in the Val Marecchia, respectively. During the Messinian the Epiligurian basins were
235 located in a more internal position with respect to the VdG basin; they reached their
236 current position during the middle Pliocene.

237 **3.2.2 Southern Apennines**

238 In the southern Apennines two main translated domains can be distinguished: the
239 Molise and Lagonegro nappe and the Calabrian Arc.

240 The MLN (Fig. 1) represents the accretionary wedge of the Calabrian Arc (e.g., Argnani,
241 2005; Casero, 2004; Vitale and Ciarcia, 2013) and consists of a Triassic-lower Miocene
242 tectonic complex including slabs of deep basinal (shale and cherty limestone) and
243 flyschoid deposits (carbonate or siliciclastic turbidites). These units are capped by a
244 relatively less deformed late Miocene-early Pliocene succession (Matano et al., 2005); it is
245 formed by i) a pre-MSC unit including the Faeto flysch and Toppo-Capuana marls; ii) an
246 evaporite unit (Monte Castello Fm) capped by terrigenous unit (Anzano molasse, Torrente
247 Fiumarella unit) and by the Pliocene shallow marine to deltaic deposits (Ariano unit). The
248 evaporites crop out discontinuously but they are well exposed in three localities, Cervaro
249 River, Monte Ferrara and Scampitella quarries (Matano et al., 2007) where, on the basis of
250 gypsum facies (massive, banded and branching selenite) and Sr signature (within stage 1
251 range) an incomplete PLG succession, up to 50 m-thick, can be recognized. The base of
252 the PLG is poorly exposed but is assumed to be conformable. Conversely, its top is
253 unconformable and overlain by terrigenous deposits containing scarce hypohaline
254 ostracod and mollusk that can be assigned to the stage 3. A reduced succession is found
255 in the outer portion of the Molise allochthonous both in outcrop and subsurface, including
256 blocks of PLG unconformably capped by the Lago-Mare deposits (Cosentino et al., 2018).

257 The Calabrian Arc (Van Dijk, 2000; Fig. 1) consists of pre-Triassic metamorphic and
258 intrusive units in places with Alpine metamorphism, originally located close to Corsica-
259 Sardinia, were translated south-eastward because of the opening of the Tyrrhenian Sea
260 since the late Tortonian (e.g., Argnani 2005; Cipollari et al., 1999; Kastens et al., 1988).
261 The Ionian side of the Calabrian Arc is characterized by a late Miocene-Pleistocene
262 succession resting unconformably on the crystalline basement and its Mesozoic-Cenozoic
263 sedimentary cover, or on the Mesozoic-Paleogenic terrigenous units accreted in front of
264 the Calabrian Arc (Van Dijk, 2000; Roveri et al., 2008; Zecchin et al., 2003). The MSC
265 units rest on a late Tortonian-early Messinian marine unit consisting of thin-bedded
266 turbidites, marl and diatomite (Ponda Fm) resting in turn on a fluvio-deltaic conglomerates
267 succession (San Nicola unit) derived from the dismantlement of the crystalline and
268 metamorphic basement. In the Crotona and Rossano basins, the MSC deposits consisting
269 of a lower clastic carbonate and gypsum deposits (Roveri et al., 2008; Manzi et al., 2011)
270 belonging to the RLG unit resting unconformably above the pre-crisis units and floored by
271 the MES. Locally an organic-rich evaporitic-free unit barren of foraminifers, representing
272 the deep time-equivalent of the stage 1 evaporites, is preserved. Above the resedimented
273 gypsum unit a hybrid (gypsum, carbonate and siliciclastic) unit including halite lenses is
274 present (detritico-salina unit; Roda, 1964), in turn capped by a fluvio-deltaic unit with Lago-

275 Mare faunal associations including conglomerate lenticular bodies (Carvane unit, Roda,
276 1964). The end of the MSC is marked by the deposition of lower Pliocene open marine
277 marls (Cavalieri marls) followed by the siliciclastic deposits of the Belvedere Fm (Roda,
278 1964; Van Dijk, 2000).

279

280 **4. METHODS**

281 In this work we have considered 1341 boreholes belonging to the offshore zones of the
282 Adriatic and Ionian Sea (offshore zones A, B, D, F) and the onshore Autochthonous
283 Domain. An extended version of the methods is provided in the supplementary document.
284 We focused of the late Tortonian-early Pliocene stratigraphic interval in order to
285 reconstruct the distribution of the deposits associated with the Messinian salinity crisis
286 along the Adriatic foredeep. The studied boreholes have been grouped on the basis of
287 encompassed stratigraphic interval (tab. S1).

288

289 **5. THE OUTCROPPING MSC UNITS**

290 Here we will briefly describe the main physical and sedimentological characters of the
291 different Messinian evaporitic units as they appear in outcrop; these features can be useful
292 in the interpretation of evaporites on borehole log.

293 ***5.1 Primary bottom-grown gypsum (PLG unit; stage 1)***

294 Due to its peculiar characters the PLG unit is easily recognizable in the field. The
295 complete succession, forming large-scale tabular bodies with a thickness of 200 m or more
296 (Fig. 2a,b), includes up to 16 gypsum beds separated by thin (typically 1-3 m) intervals of
297 dark euxinic shales (Fig. 3a). Its internal organization, that is maintained over large
298 distances, is characterized by (Lugli et al., 2010; Fig. 3a): i) two lowermost thin (<10m)
299 gypsum beds (PLG1-2) with giant crystals massive selenite showing a lateral transition to
300 limestone (Manzi et al., 2013); ii) three intermediate very thick (up to 35 m) and very lateral
301 persistent gypsum beds (PLG3-5) with massive and banded selenite facies; iii) up to 11
302 thick (10-15 m) gypsum bed (PLG6-16) showing the presence of branching selenite in the
303 upper part of the beds. Despite the variation in absolute thickness, the relative thickness of
304 the gypsum beds remains rather constant in the different basins and the presence of the
305 intermediate cluster formed by the thickest beds (PLG3-5; Fig. 13 in Lugli et al., 2010; Fig.
306 2b, 3a) can be easily identified, thus, representing a key horizon useful for stratigraphic
307 correlations.

308 The gypsum facies, are characterized by a different resistance to weathering that
309 provides a characteristic erosional profile. PLG1-5 gypsum beds being made up by coarse
310 and interlocked gypsum crystals (massive and banded facies) are characterized by a
311 massive aspect with sharp upper and lower boundaries. The gypsum beds of the upper
312 cycles (PLG6-16) may show relatively smoother tops due to the presence of the more
313 erodible branching selenite facies containing a greater amount of limestone and/or shale
314 (Fig. 3b).

315 The PLG deposits rest conformably on hemipelagic or shelf shale and are erosionally
316 cut on top by the MES.

317 ***5.2 Gypsum and hybrid clastic deposits (RLG unit; stage 2)***

318 The RLG unit is floored by the MES; it rests unconformably on pre-MSC deposits but
319 locally, in the basinal areas where the MES pass down basin to its correlative conformity
320 surface, a barren organic-rich shale interval (FBI) is present below the RLG (Manzi et al.,
321 2007). The RLG evaporites form tens of m-thick lenticular or tabular bodies (Fig. 2c)
322 characterized by a great variability of clastic facies that can be grouped as follows (Manzi
323 et al., 2005; 2011).

324 ***5.2.1 Mass wasting gypsum-bearing deposits (RLG1)***

325 This group includes mass-wasting deposits, submarine glides, slides and slumps,
326 cohesive flows (facies R0, R1 of Manzi et al., 2005). These deposits include heterometric
327 PLG-derived gypsum block and chaotic shale. They are characterized by individual
328 lenticular beds, with irregular bases and tops, forming wedge-shape bodies close to the
329 main tectonic slopes, e.g. the large slope complex found close to the structural high
330 boarding the VdG basin (Roveri et al., 2003) similar PLG-bearing chaotic bodies are
331 described in seismic also at the front of the Ligurian nappe close to Reggio Emilia (Rossi
332 et al., 2002).

333 ***5.2.2 gypsum-bearing turbidites (RLG2)***

334 This group includes the gypsum-bearing gravity flow deposits (granular flows and high- to
335 low-density turbidity currents; facies R2 to R7 of Manzi et al., 2005) commonly consisting
336 of m-thick composite graded beds showing a lower coarser-grained (rudite or arenite)
337 gypsum-bearing division capped by a finer-grained one mostly composed by gypsiltite or
338 shale (Fig. 3c). Commonly these beds show a good lateral persistency and limited
339 thickness (Fig. 2c). Carbonate and terrigenous sandstone clasts recycled from older
340 deposits may be found in the coarser-grained interval. The base of these beds is
341 commonly sharp and the top is smooth due to the normal gradation and the transition to
342 the shale interval.

343 **5.3 Primary halite and gypsum deposits (RLG unit; MSC stage 2)**

344 These deposits can only be observed where diapirs crop out or in mines in Calabria
345 (Crotone basin), Sicily (Caltanissetta basin) and Tuscany (Volterra basin) otherwise they
346 are absent in the rest of the Apennines. Halite forms lenticular bodies with local thickness
347 up to 600 m due to intense halotectonics. Internally they consist of dm-thick beds
348 separated by thin anhydrite or shale horizons; thin K-Mg rich salt beds are locally found in
349 the middle part of the halite bodies (Lugli et al., 1999; Manzi et al., 2012).

350 **5.4 post evaporitic deposits (Lago-Mare unit; MSC stage 3)**

351 The primary gypsum deposits of the Upper Gypsum unit (UG; Manzi et al., 2009) occur
352 only in the Caltanissetta basin (Sicily), capping the RLG unit. In the Calabrian arc and in
353 the rest of the Apennines the RLG is capped by thick terrigenous fine-grained deposits
354 including a rhyolitic volcanoclastic key-bed described in paragraph 2 and showing a
355 coarser-grained upper portion (p-ev₂ unit) including conglomerates (Cusercoli Fm,
356 Romagna, Roveri et al., 1998, 2006; Carvane unit, Crotone basin, Calabria, Roda, 1964),
357 sandstones and thin limestone layers (Colombacci Fm). The Lago-Mare biota are mostly
358 distributed in the p-ev₂ unit and in its time equivalent, upper half, portion of the Upper
359 Gypsum unit. The end of the MSC is marked everywhere by the sudden transition to fully
360 marine deposits, commonly preceded by a dark shale horizon (Roveri et al., 2006).

361 **6. THE MSC UNITS IN THE SUBSURFACE: DISTINGUISHING CRITERIA FOR LOG** 362 **INTERPRETATION**

363 The different lithologic units belonging to the late Tortonian-early Pliocene interval
364 crossed by the boreholes are listed in tab. S2 with their typical characteristics observed
365 from the geophysical logs, gamma ray (GR), resistivity (RES) and sonic (Δt). The
366 evaporites can be easily distinguished from the siliciclastic and hemipelagic deposits not
367 only directly (cuttings analysis) but also indirectly on the basis of geophysical logs
368 especially for the higher resistivity, lower Δt and lower gamma-ray (with the exception of
369 the K-Mg salts). Among the Messinian evaporites a further distinction between primary
370 gypsum, clastic gypsum and halite deposits can be obtained on the basis of the different
371 values and vertical pattern observed in the gamma ray, resistivity and sonic logs.

372 A main subdivision of the MSC-related deposits includes three main group of rocks.

373 **6.1 Evaporite-free intervals**

374 These intervals consist mostly of clay or marl deposits containing minor sandstone or
375 carbonate horizons devoid of evaporites. The intervals are commonly characterized by
376 very low ($<10 \Omega m$) resistivity, relatively high gamma ray (50-100 API units) and Δt (60-200

377 $\mu\text{s}/\text{ft}$). The presence of sandstone or carbonate can be highlighted by small increase of
378 resistivity and decrease of gamma ray and Δt . The pattern of geophysical logs has
379 commonly a monotonous trend, local spikes are recorded where thin sand or carbonate
380 layers are crossed.

381 **6.2 Gypsum-rich intervals**

382 Gypsum-rich intervals are characterized by high resistivity (200-600 Ωm), low gamma
383 ray (0-10 API units) and low Δt (45-50 $\mu\text{s}/\text{ft}$). Among them the primary deposits (PLG) can
384 be easily distinguished from the clastic ones (RLG) based on the log patterns:

385 *6.2.1 Primary Lower Gypsum intervals (PLG)*

386 The PLG unit is characterized by a peculiar blocky pattern obtained by thin spikes of
387 low resistivity/high gamma ray that punctuate a high resistivity/low gamma ray base line,
388 that reflect the lithological composition of the succession (Lugli et al., 2010; Sampalmieri et
389 al., 2008; 2010). These features allow the recognition and count of the cycles from the
390 geophysical logs that can be used for stratigraphic correlations. In particular the typical
391 stacking pattern can be recognized from logs (e.g. Patrizia_001, Fiona_001, Morgia_001
392 boreholes; Fig. S1): two thin (< 10 m) lowermost cycles (PLG1-2), three very thick and
393 massive cycles (PLG3-5) and up to 11 medium (10-15 m) cycles (PLG-6-16). In the
394 geophysical logs, PLG-1-5, consisting of massive and banded selenite facies only, show
395 commonly both sharp bases and tops, whereas PLG-6-16 beds, due to the presence of
396 the branching selenite, may show a sharp base but a smoother top.

397 *6.2.1 Resedimented Lower Gypsum intervals (RLG)*

398 The RLG unit (e.g. Thurio_001 and Dalila_001 boreholes; Fig. S1) is characterized by a
399 (finely) spiky pattern obtained from a thin alternation of spikes with high resistivity/low
400 gamma ray (gypsum) and spikes with low resistivity/high gamma ray (clays). As shown in
401 the previous paragraph the clastic gypsum beds are thinner with respect to the PLG beds.

402 **6.3 Salt-rich intervals**

403 A very high resistivity ($\sim 10000 \text{ Ohm.m}$) identifies the salt-rich interval (e.g. Thurio_001
404 borehole; Fig. S1). The alternation of thin halite, gypsum and clay may result in a spikey
405 pattern whereas massive halite may produce a blocky one. Halite is commonly
406 characterized by low gamma ray values (0-10 API units) whereas K-salts can be
407 highlighted by higher values (100-200 API units). Δt is commonly low (60-75 $\mu\text{s}/\text{ft}$).

408

409 7. RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ADRIATIC EVAPORITIC BASIN

410 The boreholes of the ViDEPI dataset that have been used in this work are those
411 crossing the MSC interval, that can be represented by sediments or by hiatus. Two main
412 groups can be distinguished (Fig. 4a):

413 *The Adriatic foreland units* - These deposits resting on the Autochthonous Domain and
414 covered by the Plio-Pleistocene succession are found in the more external domains that
415 were only partially involved in the Apennine deformation, the foredeep and foreland ramp
416 basins (below the Adriatic Sea).

417 In the Southern Apennines these units include deposits that rest on the Autochthonous
418 Domain (Fig. 1b) , and in particular on the Apulian Platform domain, but are tectonically
419 overlain by the units of the Translated domains. The Adriatic foredeep and foreland units
420 have been found in the Southern Apennines and were reached below the MLN
421 allochthonous units. The post-MSC succession above the MSC is absent or reduced
422 because of the allochthonous thrusting; it becomes progressively more complete toward
423 the external zones on the foredeep, allowing the reconstruction of TD migration during the
424 Pliocene (Patacca and Scandone, 2007; Bigi et al., 2013).

425 *Translated MSC units* – These deposits resting on the Far-travelled Allochthonous and
426 covered by the Plio-Pleistocene succession are found in the buried basins of the Calabrian
427 Arc and in the north-eastern portion of the MLN.

428 A reconstruction of the early Tortonian-Messinian stratigraphy obtained by the analysis of
429 the boreholes data is here proposed separated into three time intervals (Fig. 4 b,c,d):

430 *Pre-MSC (Tortonian-Messinian; 8.50-5.97 Ma; Fig. 4b)*

431 The large part of the pre-MSC deposits of the Autochthonous Domain is characterized by
432 the deposition of fine-grained (marls and sapropels) hemipelagic deposits whereas the
433 deposition of the Tortonian-Messinian siliciclastic turbidites is limited to the western portion
434 of the northern Apennines foredeep and in the outer Marnoso-arenacea and Laga basins.
435 Shelf carbonate deposits are found in a small area extending in a WNW-ESE direction
436 from the Gran-Sasso-Maiella area to the northern Gargano (between Pescara and
437 Foggia). Interbedded hemipelagic and shelf terrigenous deposits (clays with sandstone
438 lobes) were deposited in the eastern basins of the Calabrian Arc (Roda, 1964; Roveri et
439 al., 1992).

440 *Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma; Fig. 4c)*

441 During the first stage of the MSC the deposition of PLG unit is limited to: i) the wedge-
442 top basins of the Autochthonous Domain, ii) the wedge top basins translating above the
443 Ligurian and Molise-Lagonegro nappes and ii) to the Adriatic foreland basins (Fig. 1).

444 The best example in the wedge-top basins of the AD is found in the Vena del Gesso
445 basin (Roveri et al., 2003) where the reference section for the evaporites of the stage 1 is
446 present (Monte Tondo section; Lugli et al., 2010). In the satellite basins developed above
447 the allochthonous units, three main areas can be distinguished: Marecchia river valley,
448 Irpinia and Molise. In the Marecchia river valley (Gennari et al., 2013) and in Irpinia
449 (Matano et al., 2005) the PLG unit rests conformably above a pre-MSC shelfal shale
450 succession. Conversely in the Molise area Cosentino et al. (2018), having observed that
451 the PLG unit rests indistinctly above the Varicolored Clays (Cretaceous-Paleogene) or the
452 Faeto flysch (Aquitania-lower Messinian) deposits, suggested the presence of an
453 unconformity at its base. In the area south-west of Termoli, between the Saccione and the
454 Trigno rivers, 13 boreholes crossed an evaporite unit that can be assigned to PLG on the
455 basis of the analogies in term of thickness and trend of the geophysical logs with that
456 drilled a few km to the north resting above the Autochthonous Domain. It is worth noting
457 that 5 out of the 13 boreholes reached the PLG on the top of the Apulian succession below
458 the allochthonous units. As correctly reported by Cosentino et al. (2018) the PLG above
459 the Molise-Lagonegro Nappe is commonly found resting above a clayey succession of not
460 well-defined age. However, considering that the PLG unit crops out in small isolated blocks
461 at the front of the MLN (e.g. Stingeti and Gessaro; Cosentino et al., 2018) and that it is
462 present in the foreland below the MLN, a different interpretation could be suggested. The
463 PLG could have been accreted at the front of the MLN when the allochthonous units
464 translated over the foreland, where the PLG unit rests conformably above the AD; in this
465 view the base of the PLG cannot be considered an unconformity.

466 The MSC succession in the Adriatic foreland is characterized by a main depocenter
467 located in the Adriatic offshore between the Gargano and the Conero Riviera (Ori et al.,
468 1986; Roveri et al., 2005; Corcagnani, 2017). Here, several boreholes crossing the PLG
469 unit allowed the reconstruction of 6 correlation panels (Fig. 5) and 4 isopach maps (Fig. 6).

470 The PLG commonly overlays hemipelagic deposits, but in the Gran-Sasso-Maiella area
471 it rests above shelf carbonates developed since the early Miocene; thus, suggesting the
472 presence of shallow-waters environment well before the MSC onset. In the other areas no
473 PLG are found. Based on outcrop (Northern Apennines, Manzi et al., 2007; Conero
474 Riviera, Iaccarino et al., 2008; Calabria, Roveri et al., 2008d) and subsurface data in the
475 Northern Adriatic foredeep (Rossi et al., 2015) an organic-rich, dolomitic-rich, foraminifers-
476 barren shale unit can be found in the area where the PLG unit is absent (Fig. 4b).

477 The peculiar pattern of the PLG successions observed in outcrops and described in the
478 previous paragraphs, allows the recognition in the offshore of the individual cycles in this

479 offshore area from boreholes. The correlations between the boreholes showing the best
480 geophysical logs (see Fig. 5) have been traced along three NW-SE-oriented panels
481 (sections 1, 2 and 3) and three panels perpendicular to the previous ones (sections 4, 5
482 and 6) in order to show the internal variations of the unit. The cluster formed by the
483 thickest cycles, PLG3-5, can be easily recognized; it is continuous all along the sections
484 providing a helpful tool for stratigraphic correlations. The lowermost cycles PLG1-2 have
485 been detected in several sections; thus, confirming the conformable character of the base
486 of the PLG. Conversely, the unit appear truncated on top by the MES and sealed by the
487 Lago-Mare or directly by the Pliocene deposits; the latter become younger eastward, as
488 described in the Conero area (Ori et al., 1986; Roveri et al., 1986; 2005). Because of this
489 upper truncation the entire PLG succession is rarely preserved. The most complete
490 successions are found in the southern area (Morgia-001 dir, Bomba-001 and
491 Fontemaggiore-002 dir boreholes) where up to 16 cycles can be recognized.

492 The analysis of the variation of the thickness of individual beds can be performed for the
493 lower cycles only. In fig. 6 it is possible to appreciate the variation of the thickness of
494 PLG1+2, PLG3 and PLG4, each one up to 40 m-thick. The thickness decreases
495 northward, close to the Abruzzo coastline where the Apulian platform deepens
496 (Santantonio et al., 2013; Trincardi et al., 2011c) below the Pescara basin (Ori et al.,
497 1986), filled in mostly during the Plio-Pleistocene. Unfortunately, no boreholes are
498 available in this area and thus it is possible to follow the PLG further to the west only on
499 the seismic lines. In the Conero Riviera (Roveri et al., 1986; 2005) the unit ends eastward
500 against a structural high that has been subsequently incorporated in the Conero thrusts. In
501 general, it is possible to recognize a decrease in thickness of the beds, in section 2, in the
502 western-central part of the basin. Conversely, the larger thicknesses are mostly found in
503 the southeastern part. This suggest that the bed thickness is decreasing with the
504 paleodepth as suggested by Lugli et al. (2007; 2010).

505 Close to the Adriatic midline, the PLG cycles remain relatively thick. Unfortunately, no
506 boreholes are available beyond the midline, and consequently it is not possible to see how
507 the thickness of the unit and of its individual beds vary further eastward.

508 The lateral continuity of the PLG is deduced from the analyses of seismic profiles and
509 mapping (Roveri et al., 2005; Trincardi et al., 2001; 2011a-e; Corcagnani, 2017) that show
510 the absence of major tectonic structures and an almost horizontal bedding. Thus, it makes
511 sense to use the thickness obtained from the boreholes for the reconstruction of the
512 isopach maps (Fig. 6b, c, d). The PLG1+2 beds are relatively thin and have been grouped
513 together. PLG3 and PLG4 have been considered in separate maps. No map has been

514 reconstructed for the overlying beds because they are not continuous all along the study
515 area due to erosion on top.

516 The preservation of the complete succession in the southwestern area comprises between
517 the Gran Sasso and the Gargano can be explained in terms of evolution of the foredeep.
518 During the pre-MSC this area was shallow, and shelf carbonate deposits accumulated,
519 while at the same time hemipelagic deposits were deposited more to the north. During
520 stage 2 and later this area experienced a rapid subsidence that can be related to the
521 flexure of the foreland ramp due to the load of the eastward migrating Apennine chain;
522 thus the present-day depth of the PLG unit has been reached long after their deposition.

523 Within the PLG succession, the upper cycles are characterized by a slightly attenuated
524 log response with respect to the PLG3-5 cycles. This can be related to the presence of
525 branching selenite facies that contains shale and/or limestone, making the upper portion of
526 the upper cycles, less resistant to the erosion with respect to the lower cycles. These
527 differences may have implications in the production of the resedimented evaporites after
528 the erosion of the PLG unit; the upper cycles are more suitable to provide sand-sized
529 detritus whereas the lower cycles provide more easily large blocks (Manzi et al., 2005).
530 We infer that the erosion of the upper cycles may have provided a detritus with a grain-
531 size suitable to be transported and redeposited by turbiditic flows in the deeper portion of
532 the foredeep.

533 Stage 2+3 (5.60-5.33 Ma; Fig. 4d)

534 These two stages are considered together because the stratigraphic resolution of the
535 logs does not allow to define with precision the boundary between the two stages.

536 During stage 2 the previously deposited PLG unit were eroded and resedimented in the
537 deeper portions of the foredeep (Marche and Laga basins), in the Bradanic Through and in
538 the wedge-top basins of the Calabrian Arc.

539 In general, the resedimented gypsum that is present at the base of the MSC succession
540 resting unconformably above Tortonian-early Messinian shale deposits can be assigned to
541 stage 2, the presence of halite lenses intercalated with clastic gypsum has been
542 recognized only in boreholes drilled above the allochthonous units of the Calabrian Arc
543 (fig. 4d). The only exception is found in a small area in the Basilicata region, described
544 below.

545 Evaporite-free deposits containing typical hypohaline biological association are
546 comprised between the clastic evaporites, below, and the Pliocene, above, can be
547 assigned to stage 3. The Lago-Mare biota could be present also in the stage 2 deposits
548 but become more abundant in the stage 3 (Roveri et al., 2008c); the direct recognition in

549 boreholes indicate a relatively high abundance of biota, thus, suggesting an assignment to
550 stage 3 rather than to stage 2.

551

552 **8. THE MESSINIAN APENNINES**

553 ***8.1 Distribution of the MSC deposits***

554 The distribution of the different evaporitic facies in the Adriatic foredeep led to depict
555 more clearly the geological evolution of the Apennines after the MSC. The integration of
556 outcrop and borehole data has been the base for the reconstruction of two borehole-based
557 regional-scale geological sections (Fig. 7) extending from the Tyrrhenian to the Adriatic
558 sides of the Apennines.

559 A geological section (Fig. 7a) extending S-N from the Salerno gulf up to the Central
560 Adriatic Sea shows the relationships between the Allochthonous units of the Apennine
561 orogenic wedge and the Autochthonous Domain. According to the boreholes stratigraphy,
562 along this section the TD is a tectonic accretionary complex consisting of undifferentiated
563 Miocene deposits including varicolored shale (Sicilids), quartzarenite (Numidian Flysch),
564 cherty limestone, late Tortonian-early Miocene marls and minor thin layers of clastic
565 gypsum and carbonate. The precipitation of the primary bottom-grown gypsum during the
566 stage 1 occurred in the more elevated structural settings: in the piggy-back basins above
567 the northeastward moving Molise-Lagonegro Allochthonous units and in the Adriatic
568 foreland (Matano et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2005). The boreholes that reached the
569 Autochthonous Domain below the MLN show that the deposition of the PLG is limited to
570 an area located to the north (fig. 1) characterized by pre-MSC shallow water carbonate
571 deposits. This structural elevated area on the Apula Platform, here called “palaeogargano”
572 and mostly corresponding with the Gargano-Pelagosa paleo sill of Pellen et al., 2017, was
573 located close to the present-day Gargano high (G fig. 6a) and confined the Adriatic PLG
574 basin to the south. To the north of the sill a large Adriatic evaporitic basin hosted the
575 deposition of the PLG (Fig. 4c), from the Termoli area (Guglionesi 001 borehole) up to
576 Adriatic midline (Bora 001 borehole) and even more to the east. This basin, together with
577 the Emilia evaporitic basin, with an impressive area $\sim 30000 \text{ km}^2$, represents the largest
578 PLG depositional setting ever described in the Mediterranean. The true extension of the
579 PLG basins cannot be reconstructed; however, a rough calculation based on the PLG
580 present-day distribution (Tab. S1) suggests that the areal extent of the deposits may have
581 been greater than the sum of all the other PLG basins of the Mediterranean.

582 Moving south of the “palaeogargano sill” the MSC deposits disappear for a 50 km-long
583 tract where the Mesozoic carbonates are deeply eroded and capped by Pliocene deposits.
584 In order to find other Messinian deposits, it is necessary to move more to the south, where
585 PLG evaporites are absent and only clastic evaporites of the RLG unit have been reached
586 by boreholes crossing the whole MLN (from Montestillo 001 to Taurasi 001).

587 The W-E section (Fig. 7b), perpendicular to the previous one, shows more clearly the
588 large subsidence experienced by Apula under the load of the Allochthonous units, where
589 the RLG units are capped by up to 200 m of Lago-Mare deposits (Bellaveduta 001). It is
590 worth noting the direct fault system that lowered the western side of Apula in the Bradanic
591 Trough. The section reports the Irpinia basin where the PLG accumulated, on top of the
592 Allochthonous units. Conversely, below the TA, only clastic evaporites are present (from
593 Bellaveduta 001 to Taurasi 001). The zoom of the allochthonous front, in fig, 7c, shows the
594 deformations of Apula and the stratigraphic hiatus below the Pliocene deposits.

595 A slightly different situation can be described for the Basilicata area (Fig. 8). Here the
596 evaporites, consisting of clastic gypsum and/or halite (Recoleta 001, Cavone Bernalda
597 001, S. Basilio 001) are found in the allochthonous units overthrusting the late Pliocene
598 marine deposits. No evaporites are found directly above the Mesozoic carbonates of Apula
599 that are unconformably covered by Pliocene deposits, which are progressively younger
600 (from early to upper Pliocene) moving from Letizia 001 to F. Basento 001). The Messinian
601 evaporites can thus be considered here as foredeep units accreted at the front of the MLN
602 as they have been deposited more to the west and at a greater depth than their present-
603 day location.

604 We have also reconstructed two regional-scale seismic sections in the northern and
605 central Apennines (Fig.9) in order to better show the distribution of the evaporites in the
606 Adriatic foreland. In the northern Apennines (Fig. 9a) we have reconstructed a seismic
607 section, extending in a SSW-NNE direction from the Vena del Gesso Basin to the Adriatic
608 foreland in the Veneto area, by integration of two published seismic sections (section 5 of
609 Fantoni et al., 2010; section SL-1 of Roveri et al., 2003). The PLG deposits are limited to
610 the more elevated positions, in the wedge-top VdG basin, where they crop out, and in the
611 foreland only in a limited portion beyond the more external thrust involving the Mesozoic
612 succession with its hangingwall anticline is now located below the city of Ferrara.
613 Conversely, the more subsiding area saw the deposition of a thick terrigenous turbidites
614 unit (Fusignano Fm; Cremonini and Ricci Lucchi, 1982), that includes resedimented
615 gypsum deposits at its base, laying unconformably above the late Tortonian-early
616 Messinian deposits or, in the deeper portion of the foredeep, conformably above an

617 organic- and dolomitic-rich shale interval representing stage 1 (PLG time-equivalent
618 deposits; Manzi et al., 2007; Rossi et al., 2015).

619 In the central Apennines we have reconstructed a second seismic section (Fig. 9c) that
620 integrating two published sections (fig. 1b of Bigi et al., 2011; fig. 6 of Wrigley et al., 2015)
621 and 5 seismic lines available from the ViDEPI database (Fig. 9b). In this section it is
622 possible to appreciate the great extension of the Adriatic evaporitic basin. The integration
623 of seismic and borehole data allows to recognize the conformable base and the
624 unconformable top of the evaporitic unit eroded by the MES. In the eastern side, the PLG
625 unit is limited by a deep thrust belonging to the external Dinaric front and involving the
626 more external portions of the Mesozoic carbonate platform and the Oligo-Miocene
627 succession; more eastward the MSC units are no longer present. Moving to the western
628 termination of the PLG basin a change in the seismic geometries is observed across a
629 thrust fault few km west of the Dante_001 borehole. Beyond this structure the reflector
630 marking the base of the PLG is lacking and the MES cuts down to the pre-MSC units and
631 is onlapped by post-evaporitic deposits which become older to the west up to include the
632 late Messinian terms of the Laga Formation (stage 2 and 3). Gypsum-clastic deposits
633 (indicated with G in Fig. 9b) are found in boreholes at the base of this MES-floored post-
634 evaporitic unit. The MSC units become thicker moving further to the west, in the
635 depocenter of the Laga basin where a 2500-3000 m-thick turbiditic unit was deposited
636 during the whole Messinian (Bigi et al., 2009; Artoni, 2003); around 700 m of this unit was
637 deposited during the post-evaporitic interval (stage 2+3).

638

639 ***8.2 Implication for tectonic reconstructions***

640 The distribution of the MSC evaporites provide some important constraints that can be
641 used for the restoration of the Apennines at Messinian time.

642 A first constraint comes from the presence of the MSC deposits below the MLN, which
643 implies a restoration of the allochthonous front up to 100 km to the south (fig. 7a) and to
644 the west (fig. 7b), thus a minimum total retreat of the front of the MLN up to 65 km to the
645 SW.

646 A second important constraint comes from the PLG distribution. At present time the
647 elevation of the PLG above the TD reach more than 750 m above sea level in the Irpinia
648 basins, and around 100 m in the Biferno Valley (Cosentino et al., 2018). In the Adriatic
649 offshore the PLG are at different depths varying from almost 2 km below sea level in the
650 south part of the basin and around 800-1000 m in the northern one. Since the deposition
651 of the PLG occurred in photic environment in shallow water basins (<200 m according to

652 Lugli et al., 2010), it is possible to reconstruct the palaeobathymetry of the different
653 sectors. This allows to reconstruct the vertical movements that affected the different
654 sectors of the Apennines. For instance, the Irpinia basin have been uplifted of more than
655 900 m since the PLG time, likely because of the overthrusting of the Molise-Lagonegro
656 nappe above the Apula Platform; the latter, due to the load of the TD subsided rapidly
657 more than 1500 m.

658 According to paleotectonic reconstructions (Argnani, 2005; 2013) and considering the
659 constraints obtained from the distribution of the MSC deposits we can shoot a picture of
660 the Apennines across the salinity crisis (see the paleogeographic map in Fig. 10). Three
661 main steps in the evolution of the Apennines can be described.

662 Pre-MSC (8-5,97 Ma)

663 This interval is very important to understand the evolution of the Apennines because it
664 includes an important phase of tectonic reorganization of the Mediterranean area that is
665 marked by the widespread deposition of coarser grained siliciclastic deposits (Fontanelice
666 member of the Marnoso-arenacea, Fm Northern Apennines, Ricci Lucchi, 1975; Roveri et
667 al., 2003; Laga Fm., Central Apennines, Ricci Lucchi, 1975.; S. Nicola dall'Alto
668 conglomerates, Calabria, Roda, 1974; Terravecchia Fm, Sicily, Ruggieri and Torre, 1984)
669 followed by a phase of tectonic quiescence that preceded the onset of the crisis and that is
670 characterized by the predominant deposition of hemipelagic (Schlier, Tripoli, euxinic
671 shales Fms) and, locally, shelf carbonate deposits.

672 Stage 1 (5.97-5.60 Ma)

673 This interval is characterized by the deposition of PLG deposits in shallow-water (<200
674 m; Lugli et al., 2010), silled basins formed in the fold-and-thrust belt (wedge-top basins)
675 and possibly in the foreland. Compared with the other Mediterranean areas where the unit
676 crops out, the Adriatic basin is much larger (see comparison in tab. S1). Additional smaller
677 occurrences of PLG deposits above the foreland, are found in basins located both onshore
678 (EV basin) and offshore (between Ravenna and the Po river delta)

679 All these basins containing PLG can be considered to have an average paleo water-
680 depth of 100 m. At the same time, in the deeper poorly oxygenated portion of the basins
681 an organic-rich barren shale unit is found in the northern Apennines (Manzi et al., 2007),
682 Calabria (Roveri et al., 2008d), Sicily (Manzi et al., 2011) and in the Tyrrhenian (Roveri et
683 al., 2014a), Piedmont (Dela Pierre et al., 2011), and Levant basins (Manzi et al., 2018)

684 Stage 2+3 (5.60-5.33 Ma)

685 After stage 1, a new important tectonic phase possibly enhanced by a sea level drop,
686 for which magnitude, timing and duration are still debated (see discussion in Roveri et al.,

687 2014b), was responsible for the deep incision of the PLG deposits and their
688 resedimentation in the topographic lows via gypsum-bearing slides, olistostromes and
689 turbidity currents.

690 This time interval could also be characterized by strong evaporation, possible related to
691 a further restriction of the connections with the Ocean, leading to the formation in shallow-
692 water settings of halite-saturated brines which moved as density currents toward the deep
693 portions of the Mediterranean (Roveri et al, 2014c). All these evaporites, floored by the
694 MES, are included in the RLG unit (Roveri et al., 2008a). It is worth noting that halite is
695 never found in situ above the Apulian Platform, but only above the Calabrian Arc, in those
696 area that were deeper during the pre-MSC and stage 1 (Figs. 4, 10). The halite was
697 deposited in the westernmost portion of the Ionian basin and was then accreted at the
698 front of the Calabrian Arc during the Plio-Quaternary SE migration of the arc.

699 In the neighborhood of emerging areas, the RLG unit is overlain by thick terrigenous
700 deposits (Fusignano, San Donato, Colombacci, Laga, Carvane Fm) including the typical
701 brackish Lago-Mare biological association in its upper part. Notably, in Sicily, this interval
702 is characterized by the deposition of the Upper Gypsum deposits.

703 During the uppermost interval of the MSC, the Apennine foredeep has been sometimes
704 considered to be segmented in small perched basins (Bache et al. 2012, Pellen et al.,
705 2017 and reference therein), completely isolated from the Mediterranean by the Gargano-
706 Pelagosa sill. Differently, our reconstruction (Fig. 10) suggests that the Adriatic and Ionian
707 water masses were connected. Our analysis of the ViDEPI dataset allows to define a large
708 area (pink area in Fig. 04 d) where the evaporites are buried below the Molise-Lagonegro
709 Nappe (Fig. 7). On the basis of log patterns, the unit can be interpreted as clastic
710 evaporites (RLG), like those extending from the Romagna to the Laga basin. Conversely,
711 the evaporites found above the MLN belong to the PLG unit and were deposited during
712 stage 1. Moreover, considering that the Gran Sasso units (part of the Lazio-Abruzzi
713 Platform) overthrusts the Messinian deposits of the Laga Fm (Bigi et al., 2011; Calamita et
714 al., 2011;) which includes the clastic evaporites of the RLG unit (Manzi et al., 2005), it can
715 be inferred that the MLN and the Lazio-Abruzzi platform should be restore westward of
716 their present position. Differently, in the Calabria area, the boreholes of the ViDEPI dataset
717 do not cross the allochthonous terrains of the Calabrian Arc that overthrust the MSC unit
718 deposited in the Ionian Basin. Unfortunately, the boreholes data do not allow to
719 reconstruct the high-resolution stratigraphic framework for the uppermost Messinian (stage
720 3) that was possible to obtain from the outcropping successions. Thus, the distribution of
721 the Lago-Mare sediments below the MLN can not be defined.

722 **9. CONCLUSIONS**

723 After the public release of the subsurface data obtained for hydrocarbons investigations
724 in Italy, a great number of boreholes and seismic data have been made available. We
725 analyzed and integrated these data in order to reconstruct in more detail the distribution of
726 the MSC evaporites and evaporite-free deposits and to better describe the evolution of the
727 Apennines. The main conclusions of our work are:

- 728 - during stage 1 the deposition of the evaporites was limited to the marginal basins
729 located in the Apennines wedge-top and foreland;
- 730 - the Adriatic foreland basin represents the largest evaporitic marginal basin of the
731 Mediterranean ever described;
- 732 - in the Adriatic foreland the PLG unit rests conformably above hemipelagites or
733 shallow-water carbonates;
- 734 - the geophysical logs allow to recognize and count the evaporite cycles from
735 boreholes and to provide a 3D reconstruction of the PLG succession;
- 736 - the thicker, more complete and better preserved PLG successions are located in the
737 western portion of the Adriatic basins; they preservation was favored by the
738 subsidence related to the foreland flexure due to the progressive load of the
739 Apennine orogen during the Plio-Pleistocene;
- 740 - the PLG unit is truncated on top by the MES, which is in turn sealed by the latest
741 Messinian Lago Mare deposits or by the Pliocene;
- 742 - the MES can be followed from the top of the PLG unit toward the base of the Late
743 Messinian-early Pliocene succession; clastic gypsum deposits are locally found
744 above it;
- 745 - in the deeper portion of the Apennine foredeep (central and northern Apennines)
746 gypsum is a minor component of the siliciclastic turbidite fill;
- 747 - within the orogen halite deposition is limited to small satellite basins above the
748 Calabrian Arc (Basilicata area, Crotona basin) where it is associated to clastic
749 gypsum.

750

751 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.**

752 Journal Editor (M. Zecchin) and two anonymous reviewers are greatly acknowledged for
753 their useful suggestions that let us to greatly improve the earlier version of the manuscript.

754 **REFERENCES**

- 755 Amadori, C., Garcia-Castellanos, D., Toscani, G., Sternai, P., Fantoni, R., Ghielmi, M., Di
756 Giulio, A., 2018. Restored topography of the Po Plain-Northern Adriatic Region during
757 the Messinian baselevel drop - implications for the physiography and
758 compartmentalization of the paleo-Mediterranean basin. *Basin Research*. doi:
759 10.1111/bre.12302
- 760 Argnani, A., 2000. The Southern Apennines-Tyrrhenian System within the kinematic of the
761 Central Mediterranean. *Mem. Soc. Geol. It.*, 55, 112-122.
- 762 Argnani, A., 2005. Possible record of a Triassic ocean in the southern Apennines.
763 *Bollettino della Società Geologica Italiana*, 124, 109-121.
- 764 Argnani, A., 2009. Evolution of the southern Tyrrhenian slab tear and active tectonics
765 along the western edge of the Tyrrhenian subducted slab. *Geological Society of*
766 *London, Special Publications* 311, 193-212.
- 767 Argnani, 2013. The role of Mesozoic palaeogeography in the evolution of the Southern
768 Apennines. *Rend. Online Soc. Geol. It.*, 25, 11-20.
- 769 Argnani, A., Ricci Lucchi F., 2001. Tertiary silicoclastic turbidite systems of the Northern
770 Apennines, 327-349. In Vai G.B and Martini I.P. Eds. "Anatomy of an Orogen: The
771 Apennines and Adjacent Mediterranean Basins" Springer.
- 772 Artoni, A., 2003. Messinian events within the tectono-stratigraphic evolution of the
773 Southern Laga Basin (Central Apennines, Italy). *Boll. Soc. Geol. It.*, 122, 447-465.
- 774 Bache, F., Olivet, J.-L., Gorini, C., Rabineau, M., Baztan, J., Aslanian, D., Suc, J.-P., 2009.
775 Messinian erosional and salinity crisis: view from the Provence basin (Gulf of Lions,
776 western Mediterranean). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 286, 139–157.
- 777 Bache, F., Popescu, S.M., Rabineau, M., Gorini, C., Suc, J.P., Clauzon, G., Olivet, J.L.,
778 Rubino, J.L., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M.C., Estrada, F., Londeix, L., Armijo, R., Meyer, B.,
779 Jolivet, L., Jouannic, G., Leroux, E., Aslanian, D., Reis, A.T.D., Mocochain, L.,
780 Dumurdžanov, N., Zagorchev, I., Lesić, V., Tomić, D., Namik Çağatay, N., Brun, J.P.,
781 Sokoutis, D., Csato, I., Uçarkus, G., Çakir, Z., 2012. A two-step process for the
782 reflooding of the Mediterranean Basin after the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Basin*.
783 *Research* 24, 125-153.
- 784 Barone, M., Critelli, S., Le Pera, E., Di Nocera, S., Matano, F., Torre, M., 2006:
785 Stratigraphy and Detrital Modes of Upper Messinian Post-evaporitic Sandstones of the
786 Southern Apennines, Italy: Evidence of Foreland-Basin Evolution during the Messinian
787 Mediterranean Salinity Crisis. *International Geology Review*, 48, 702–724.
- 788 Bassetti, M.A., Ricci Lucchi, F., Roveri, M., 1994. Physical stratigraphy of the Messinian
789 post-evaporitic deposits in Centralesouthern Marche area (Apennines, Central Italy).
790 *Mem. Soc. Geol. Ital.* 48, 275–288.

- 791 Bertini, A., 2006. The Northern Apennines palynological record as a contribute for the
792 reconstruction of the Messinian palaeoenvironments. *Sedimentary Geology* 188/189:
793 235-258.
- 794 Bigi, S., Moscatelli, M., Milli, S., 2009. The Laga basin: Stratigraphic and Structural
795 Setting. *Geol.F.Trips*, 1, 50-27
- 796 Bigi, S., Casero, P., Ciotoli, G., 2011. Seismic interpretation of the Laga basin; constraints
797 on the structural setting and kinematics of the Central Apennines. *Journal of the*
798 *Geological Society of London*, 168, 179-190.
- 799 Bigi, S., Conti A., Casero, P., Ruggiero L., Recanati, R., Lipparini, L., 2013. Geological
800 model of the central Periadriatic basin (Apennines, Italy). *Marine and Petroleum*
801 *Geology*, 42, 107-121.
- 802 Boccaletti, M., Ciaranfi, N., Cosentino, D., Deiana, G., Gelati, R., Lentini, F., Massari, F.,
803 Moratti, G., Pescatore, T., Ricci Lucchi, F., Tortorici, L., 1990. Palinspastic restoration
804 and paleogeographic reconstruction of the peri-Tyrrhenian area during the Neogene.
805 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 77, 41- 50.
- 806 Brandano, M., Lipparini, L., Campagnoni, V., Tomassetti, L., 2012. Downslope-migrating
807 large dunes in the Chattian carbonate ramp of the Majella Mountains (Central
808 Apennines, Italy). *Sedimentary Geology* 255-256, 29-41.
- 809 Carnevale, G., Landini, W., Sarti, G., 2006. Mare versus Lago-mare: marine fishes and the
810 Mediterranean environment at the end of the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Journal of the*
811 *Geological Society of London* 163, 75–80.
- 812 Casero, P., 2004. Structural setting of petroleum exploration plays in Italy. In: Crescenti,
813 U., d’Offizi, S., Merlino, S., Sacchi, L. (Eds.), *Geology of Italy. Special Publication of the*
814 *Italian Geological Society for the IGC 32nd*. Florence, 2004, pp. 189-199.
- 815 Cornacchia, I., Andersson, P., Agostini, S., Brandano, M., Di Bella, L., 2017 Strontium
816 stratigraphy of the upper Miocene Lithothamnion Limestone in the Majella Mountain,
817 central Italy, and its palaeoenvironmental implications. *Lethaia*, 50, 561-575.
- 818 CIESM, 2008. The Messinian salinity crisis from mega-deposits to microbiology. A
819 consensus report. In: Briand, F., Monaco (Eds.), 33ème CIESM Workshop
820 *Monographs*, 33, 91-96.
- 821 Cipollari, P., Cosentino, D., and Gliozzi, E., 1999. Extension- and compression-related
822 basins in central Italy during the Messinian Lago-Mare event: *Tectonophysics*, 315,
823 163–185.
- 824 Cita, M.B., Corselli C., 1990. Messinian paleogeography and erosional surfaces in Italy: an
825 overview. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology*, 77-1, 67-82. Clauzon, G., Suc, J.P.,
826 Gautier, F., Berger, A., Loutre, M.F., 1996. Alternate interpretation of the Messinian
827 salinity crisis, controversy resolved? *Geology*, 24, 363–366.

- 828 Corcagnani, A., 2017. La Crisi di salinità del Messiniano nell'avampese Adriatico:
829 ricostruzione delle relazioni tra successioni onshore e offshore attraverso lo studio di
830 log di pozzo e sismica industriale (Database ViDEPI).
- 831 Cosentino, D., Gliozzi, E., Pipponzi, G., 2007. The late Messinian Lago-Mare episode in
832 the Mediterranean Basin: preliminary report on the occurrence of Paratethyan ostracod
833 fauna from central Crete (Greece). *Géobios*, 40: 339-349.
- 834 Cosentino, D., Bertini, A., Cipollari, P., Florindo F., Gliozzi, E., Grossi, F., Lo Mastro, S.,
835 Sprovieri, M., 2012. Orbitally forced paleoenvironmental and paleoclimate changes in
836 the late postevaporitic Messinian of the central Mediterranean Basin. *GSA Bulletin*, 124-
837 3/4, 499–516.
- 838 Cosentino, D., Buchwaldt, R., Sampalmieri, G., Iadanza, A., Cipollari, P., Schildgen, T.F.,
839 Hinnov, L.A., Ramezani, J., Bowring, S.A., 2013. Refining the Mediterranean
840 “Messinian gap” with high-precision U-Pb zircon geochronology, central and northern
841 Italy. *Geology*, 41, 323-326.
- 842 Cosentino, D., Bracone, V., D'Amico, C., Cipollari, P., Esu, D., Faranda, C., Frezza, V.,
843 Gliozzi, E., Grossi, F., Guerrieri, P., Iadanza, A., Kotsakis, T., Soulié-Märsche, I., 2018.
844 The record of the Messinian salinity crisis in mobile belts: Insights from the Molise
845 allochthonous units (southern Apennines, Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
846 *Palaeoecology*, 503, 112-130.
- 847 Cremonini, G., and Ricci Lucchi, F., 1982. Guida alla geologia del margine appenninico
848 padano. Guide Geologiche regionali della Società Geologica Italiana. Bologna.
- 849 Dela Pierre, F., Bernardi, E., Cavagna, S., Clari, P., Gennari, R., Irace, A., Lozar, F., Lugli,
850 S., Manzi, V., Natalicchio, M., Roveri, M., Violanti, D., 2011. The record of the
851 Messinian salinity crisis in the Tertiary Piedmont Basin (NW Italy): the Alba section
852 revisited. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 310, 238–255.
- 853 Druckman, Y., Buchbinder, B., Martinotti, G.M., Tov, R.S., Aharon, P., 1995. The buried
854 Afiq Canyon (eastern Mediterranean, Israel): a case study of a Tertiary submarine
855 canyon exposed in Late Messinian times: *Marine Geology*, 123, 167-185.
- 856 Fantoni, R., Franciosi R., 2010. Tectono-sedimentary setting of the Po Plain and Adriatic
857 Foreland. *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei*, 21/1, S197–S209
- 858 Fantoni, R., Decarlis A., Fantoni E., 2003. L'estensione mesozoica al margine occidentale
859 delle Alpi Meridionali (Piemonte Settentrionale, Italia). *Atti Ticinensi di Scienze della*
860 *Terra*, 44, 97–110.
- 861 Fauquette, S., Bertini, A., Manzi, V., Roveri, M., Argnani, A., Menichetti, E., 2015.
862 Reconstruction of the Northern and Central Apennines (Italy) palaeoaltitudes during the
863 late Neogene from pollen data. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 218, 117-126.

- 864 Gvirtzman Z., Manzi V., Calvo R., Gavireli I., Gennari R., Lugli S., Reghizzi M., Roveri M.,
865 2017. Intra-Messinian truncation surface in the Levant Basin explained by subaqueous
866 dissolution. *Geology*, 45 (10), 915-918.
- 867 Kastens, K., Mascle, J., et al., 1988. ODP Leg 107 in the Tyrrhenian sea: Insights into
868 passive margin and back-arc basin evolution. *Geological Society America Bulletin*, 100,
869 1140-1156.
- 870 Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F.J., Raffi, I., Sierro, F.J., Wilson, D.S., 1999. Chronology, causes
871 and progression of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. *Nature* 400, 652-655.
- 872 Hilgen, F.J., Kuiper, K., Krijgsman, W., Snel, E., van der Laan, E., 2007. Astronomical
873 tuning as the basis for high resolution chronostratigraphy: the intricate history of the
874 Messinian salinity crisis. *Stratigraphy* 4, 231-238.
- 875 Gennari, R., Manzi, V., Angeletti, L., Bertini, A., Biffi, U., Ceregato, A., Faranda, C.,
876 Gliozzi, E., Lugli, S., Menichetti, E., Rosso, A., Roveri, M., Taviani, M., 2013. A shallow
877 water record of the onset of the Messinian salinity crisis in the Adriatic foredeep
878 (Legnagnone section, Northern Apennines). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
879 *Palaeoecology*, 386, 145–164.
- 880 Ghielmi, M., Minervini, M., Nini, C., Rogledi, S., Rossi, M., 2013. Late Miocene-Middle
881 Pleistocene sequences in the Po Plain-Northern Adriatic Sea (Italy): the stratigraphic
882 record of modification phases affecting a complex foreland basin. *Marine Petroleum*
883 *Geology*, 42, 50-81.
- 884 Gliozzi, E., Ceci, M.E., Grossi, F., Ligios, S., 2007. Paratethyan Ostracod immigrants in
885 Italy during the Late Miocene. *Geobios*, 40: 325–337.
- 886 Grossi, F., Cosentino, D., Gliozzi, E., 2008. Late Messinian Lago-Mare ostracods and
887 paleoenvironments of the central and eastern Mediterranean Basin. *Boll. Soc. Paleont.*
888 *Ital.* 47, 131–146.
- 889 Iaccarino, S.M., Bertini, A., Di Stefano, A., Ferraro, L., Gennari, R., Grossi, F., Lirer, F.,
890 Manzi, V., Menichetti, E., Ricci Lucchi, M., Taviani, M., Sturiale, G., Angeletti, L., 2008.
891 The Trave section (Monte dei Corvi, Ancona, Central Italy): an integrated
892 paleontological study of the Messinian deposits. *Stratigraphy* 5, 281–306.
- 893 Lofi, J., Gorini, C., Berné, S., Clauzon, G., Tadeu Dos Reis, A., Ryan, W.B.F., Steckler, M.,
894 2005. Erosional processes and paleo-environmental changes in the Western Gulf of
895 Lions (SW France) during the Messinian Salinity Crisis. *Marine Geology* 217, 1-30.
- 896 Lofi, J., 2018. Seismic Atlas of the Messinian salinity crisis markers in the Mediterranean
897 sea. Volume 2 - *Memoires de la Societè Geologique de France*, 181 doi: 10.10682/
898 2018MESSINV2
- 899 Lugli, S., Schreiber, B.C., Triberti, B., 1999. Giant polygons in the Realmontemine
900 (Agrigento, Sicily): evidence for the desiccation of a Messinian halite basin. *Journal of*
901 *Sedimentary Research* 69, 764-771.

- 902 Lugli, S., Bassetti, M.A., Manzi, V., Barbieri, M., Longinelli, A., Roveri, M., 2007. The
903 Messinian "Vena del Gesso" evaporites revisited: characterization of isotopic
904 composition and organic matter. *Geological Society Special Publication* 285, 179–190.
- 905 Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Roveri, M., Schreiber, B.C., 2010. The Primary Lower Gypsum in the
906 Mediterranean: a new facies interpretation for the first stage of the Messinian salinity
907 crisis. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 297, 83–99.
- 908 Madof, A.S, Bertoni, C., Lofi, J., 2019. Discovery of vast fluvial deposits provides evidence
909 for drawdown during the late Miocene Messinian salinity crisis. *Geology*, 47 (2): 171-
910 174.
- 911 Manzi, V., 2001. *Stratigrafia fisica, analisi sedimentologica microscopica e*
912 *caratterizzazione magnetostratigrafica dei depositi connessi all'evento evaporitico del*
913 *Messiniano (Formazione Gessoso-solfifera I.s.)*. PhD Thesis, University of Bologna
- 914 Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Ricci Lucchi, F., Roveri, M., 2005. Deep-water clastic evaporites
915 deposition in the Messinian Adriatic foredeep (northern Apennines, Italy): did the
916 Mediterranean ever dry out? *Sedimentology*, 52, 875-902.
- 917 Manzi, V., Roveri, M., Gennari, R., Bertini, A., Biffi, U., Giunta, S., Iaccarino, S.M., Lanci,
918 L., Lugli, S., Negri, A., Riva, A., Rossi, M.E., Taviani, M. (2007) The deep-water
919 counterpart of the Messinian Lower Evaporites in the Apennine foredeep: the
920 Fanantello section (Northern Apennines, Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
921 *Palaeoecology*, 251, 470-499.
- 922 Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Roveri, M., Schreiber, B.C., 2009. A new facies model for the Upper
923 Gypsum (Sicily, Italy): chronological and palaeoenvironmental constraints for the
924 Messinian salinity crisis in the Mediterranean. *Sedimentology*, 56, 1937–1960.
- 925 Manzi, V., Lugli, S., Roveri, M., Schreiber, B.C., Gennari, R., 2011. The Messinian
926 "Calcare di Base" (Sicily, Italy) revisited. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 123,
927 347–370.
- 928 Manzi, V., Gennari, R., Lugli, S., Roveri, M., Scafetta, N., Schreiber, B.C., 2012. High-
929 frequency cyclicity in the Mediterranean Messinian evaporites: evidence for solar-lunar
930 climate forcing. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 82, 991–1005.
- 931 Manzi, V., Gennari, R., Hilgen, F., Krijgsman, W., Lugli, S., Roveri, M., Sierro, F.J., 2013.
932 Age refinement of the Messinian salinity crisis onset in the Mediterranean. *Terra Nova*
933 25, 315-322.
- 934 Manzi, V., Gennari, R., Lugli, S., Persico, D., Reghizzi, M., Roveri, M., Schreiber, B.C.,
935 Calvo, R., Gavrieli, I., Gvirtzman, Z., 2018. The onset of the Messinian salinity crisis in
936 the deep Eastern Mediterranean basin. *Terra Nov.* 38, 42-49.
- 937 Masetti, D., Fantoni, R., Romano, R., Sartorio, D., Trevisani, E., 2012.
938 Tectonostratigraphic evolution of the Jurassic extensional basins of the eastern

- 939 southern Alps and Adriatic foreland based on an integrated study of surface and
940 subsurface data. *AAPG Bulletin*, 96/11, 2065–2089.
- 941 Matano, F., Barbieri, M., Di Nocera, S., Torre, M., 2005. Stratigraphy and strontium
942 geochemistry of Messinian evaporite-bearing successions of the southern Apennines
943 foredeep, Italy: implications for the Mediterranean “salinity crisis” and regional
944 palaeogeography. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 217, 87-114.
- 945 Matano, F., 2007. Evaporite deposits of the Messinian southern Apennines foreland basin
946 (Irpinia-Daunia Mts., southern Italy). In: Schreiber, B.C., Lugli, S., Babel, M. (Eds.),
947 *Evaporites through Space and Time*, Geological Society, London, Special Publications,
948 285, 165-192.
- 949 Meilijson, A., Steinberg, J., Hilgen, F., Bialik, O.M., Waldmann, N.D., Makovsky, Y., 2018.
950 Deep-basin evidence resolves a 50-year-old debate and demonstrates synchronous
951 onset of Messinian evaporite deposition in a non-desiccated Mediterranean. *Geology*
952 46, 4–7.
- 953 Meilijson, A., Hilgen, F., Sepulveda, J., Steinberg, J., Fairbank, V., Flecker, R., Waldmann,
954 N.D., Spaulding, S.A., Bialik, O.M, Boudinot, F.G., Illner, P., Makovsky, Y., 2019.
955 Chronology with a pinch of salt: Integrated stratigraphy of Messinian evaporites in the
956 deep Eastern Mediterranean reveals long-lasting halite deposition during Atlantic
957 connectivity. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 194, 374-398.
- 958 Milli, S., Moscatelli M., Stanzione, O., Falcini F., 2007. Sedimentology and physical
959 stratigraphy of the Messinian turbidite deposits of the Laga Basin (central Apennines,
960 Italy). *Boll.Soc.Geol.It. (Ital.J.Geosci.)*, 126, 255-281.
- 961 Ochoa, D., Sierro, F.J., Lofi, J., Maillard, A., Flores, J.A., Suarez, M., 2015. Synchronous
962 onset of the Messinian evaporite precipitation: First Mediterranean offshore evidence.
963 *Earth Planetary Science Letters*, 427, 112-124.
- 964 Ori, G.G., Roveri, M., Vannoni, F., 1986, Plio-Pleistocene sedimentation in the Apenninic-
965 Adriatic foredeep (central Adriatic Sea, Italy). In: *Foreland Basin* (Ed. By P.A., Allen & P.
966 Homewood), *Spec. Pub. Int. Ass. Sed.*, 8, 183-198.
- 967 Orszag-Sperber, F., 2006. Changing perspectives in the concept of “Lago-Mare” in
968 Mediterranean Late Miocene evolution. *Sedimentary Geology*, 188-189: 259-277.
- 969 Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 2007. Geology of the Southern Apennines. In: Mazzotti A.,
970 Patacca E., Scandone P. (Eds.), *Results of the CROP Project, Sub-project CROP-04*
971 *Southern Apennines (Italy)*, 75–119. *Spec. Issue 7, Boll. Soc. Geol .It. (Ital.J.Geosci.)*.
- 972 Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 2011. Calabria and Peloritani: Where did they stay before the
973 Corsica-Sardinia rotation? Boundary conditions, internal geological constraints and first-
974 order open problems. *Rendiconti online Soc. Geol. It.*, 15, 97-101.
- 975 Pellen, R., Popescu, S.M., Suc, J.P., Melinte-Dobrinescu M.C., Rubino J.L., Rabineau, M.,
976 Marabini S., Loget, N., Casero, P., Cavazza, W., Head, M..J., Aslanian, D., 2017. The

- 977 Apennine foredeep (Italy) during the latest Messinian: Lago Mare reflects competing
978 brackish and marine conditions based on calcareous nannofossils and dinoflagellate
979 cyst. *Geobios.* 50, 237-257.
- 980 Popescu, S.-M., Melinte-Dobrinescu, M.C., Dalesme, F., Sütö-Szentai, M., Jouannic, G.,
981 Bakrac, K., Escarguel, G., Clauzon, G., Head, M.J., Suc, J.-P., 2009. Galeacysta
982 Etrusca complex: dinoflagellate cyst marker of paratethyan influxes to the
983 Mediterranean Sea before and after the Peak of the Messinian Salinity Crisis.
984 *Palinology* 33, 105–134.
- 985 Ricci Lucchi, F., 1975. Miocene palaeogeography and basin analysis in the Periadriatic
986 Apennines. In: *Geology of Italy* (Ed. by C. Squyres), 2, 129-236. PESL, Castelfranco-
987 Tripoli.
- 988 Ricci Lucchi, F., 1986, The Oligocene to Holocene foreland basins of the northern
989 Apennines. In Allen, P.A., and Homewood, P. (Eds.) *Foreland basins: International*
990 *Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication* 8, 105-139.
- 991 Ricci Lucchi, F., Bassetti, M.A., Manzi, V., Roveri, M. (2002) Il Messiniano trent'anni dopo:
992 eventi connessi alla crisi di salinità nell'avanfossa appenninica. *Studi Geologici Camerti*,
993 1, 127-142.
- 994 Rizzini, F., 2005. Il sistema d'avanfossa dell'Appennino settentrionale durante la crisi di
995 salinità del Messiniano: vincoli tettonostratigrafici per una ricostruzione paleogeografica.
996 PhD Thesis, University of Parma.
- 997 Roda, C., 1964. Distribuzione e facies dei sedimenti neogenici nel Bacino Crotonese.
998 *Geologica Romana*, 3, 319-366.
- 999 Rossi, M., Rogledi, S., Barbacini, G., Casadei, D., Iaccarino, S., Papani, G., 2002.
1000 Tectono-stratigraphic architecture of Messinian piggyback basins of northern
1001 Apennines: the Emilia folds in the Reggio-Modena area and comparison with the
1002 Lombardia and Romagna sectors. *Boll. Soc. Geol. It.* 1, 437-447.
- 1003 Rossi M., Minervini M., Ghielmi M., Rogledi S., 2015. Messinian and Pliocene erosional
1004 surfaces in the Po Plain-Adriatic Basin: Insights from allostratigraphy and sequence
1005 stratigraphy in assessing play concepts related to accommodation and gateway
1006 turnarounds in tectonically active margins.
- 1007 Rouchy, J.M., Orszag-Sperber, F., Blanc-Valleron, M.-M., Pierre, C., Rivière, M.,
1008 Combourieu-Nebout, N., Panayides, I., 2001. Paleoenvironmental changes at the
1009 Messinian–Pliocene boundary in the eastern Mediterranean (southern Cyprus basins):
1010 significance of the Messinian Lago-Mare. *Sedimentary Geology*, 145: 93-117.
- 1011 Roveri M., Ori G.G., Zitellini N., 1986, Sedimentazione Plio-Quaternaria nell'Adriatico
1012 centrale. In: *Atti Riunione Gruppo Sedimentologia, CNR, Ancona, 5-7 giugno 1986*,
1013 141-146.

- 1014 Roveri M., Bernasconi A., Rossi M.E., Visentin C., 1992, Sedimentary evolution of the
1015 Luna Field area, Calabria, southern Italy. AGIP SpA-PETER, 20097, S. Donato
1016 Milanese, Milano, Italy.
- 1017 Roveri, M., Manzi, V., Bassetti, M.A., Merini, M., Ricci Lucchi, F., 1998. Stratigraphy of the
1018 Messinian post-evaporitic stage in eastern Romagna (northern Apennines, Italy).
1019 *Giornale di Geologia*, 60, 119-142.
- 1020 Roveri M., Argnani A., Lucente C. C., Manzi V., Ricci Lucchi F. 1999. Guida all'escursione
1021 nelle valli del Marecchia e del Savio. 6 ottobre 1999 Riunione autunnale del Gruppo
1022 Informale di Sedimentologia, Rimini, 3-6 Ottobre 1999.
- 1023 Roveri, M., Bassetti, M.A., Ricci Lucchi, F., 2001. The Mediterranean Messinian salinity
1024 crisis: an Apennine foredeep perspective. *Sediment. Geol.* 140, 201–214.
- 1025 Roveri, M., Manzi, V., Ricci Lucchi, F., & Rogledi, S. (2003). Sedimentary and tectonic
1026 evolution of the Vena del Gesso basin (Northern Apennines, Italy): Implications for the
1027 onset of the Messinian salinity crisis. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 115, 387–
1028 405.
- 1029 Roveri M., Boscolo Gallo A. Rossi M.E., Gennari R., Iaccarino S.M., Lugli S., Manzi V.,
1030 Negri A., Rizzini F., Taviani M. (2005) The Adriatic foreland record of Messinian events
1031 (central Adriatic Sea, Italy). *Geoacta*, 4, 139-158.
- 1032 Roveri M., Landuzzi A., Bassetti M.A. Lugli S., Manzi V., Ricci Lucchi F., Vai G.B., 2004.
1033 The record of Messinian events in the northern Apennines foredeep basins. B19 Field
1034 trip guidebook. 32nd International Geological Congress, Firenze, 20-28 Agosto 2004.
- 1035 Roveri, M., Manzi, V., 2006. The Messinian salinity crisis: Looking for a new paradigm?
1036 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 238, 386-398.
- 1037 Roveri M., Gennari R., Grossi F., Lugli S., Manzi V., Iaccarino S.M., Taviani M., 2006., The
1038 record of Messinian events in the Northern Apennines foredeep basins. *Acta Naturalia*
1039 *de l'Ateneo Parmense*, 42/3, 47-123.
- 1040 Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, M., Schreiber, B.C., 2008a. The Messinian Sicilian
1041 stratigraphy revisited: new insights for the Messinian salinity crisis. *Terra Nova* 20, 483–
1042 488.
- 1043 Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Schreiber, B.C., 2008b. The Messinian salinity crisis: a
1044 sequence-stratigraphic approach. *Geoacta Special Publication* 1, 117–138.
- 1045 Roveri, M., Bertini, A., Cosentino, D., Di Stefano, A., Gennari, R., Gliozzi, E., Grossi, F.,
1046 Iaccarino, S.M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Taviani, M., 2008c. A high-resolution stratigraphic
1047 framework for the latest Messinian events in the Mediterranean area. *Stratigraphy* 5,
1048 323–342.
- 1049 Roveri M., Lugli S., Manzi V., Schreiber B.C., 2008d. The shallow- to deep-water record of
1050 the Messinian salinity crisis: New insights from Sicily, Calabria, and Apennine basins, in
1051 Briand, F., *The Messinian Salinity Crisis Mega-Deposits to Microbiology—A Consensus*

- 1052 Report: Commission Internationale pour l'Exploration Scientifique de la mer
1053 Méditerranée (CIESM) Workshop Monographs, 33, 73–82.
- 1054 Roveri, M., Lugli, S., Manzi, V., Gennari, R. & Schreiber, B.C. 2014a. High-resolution
1055 strontium isotope stratigraphy of the Messinian deep Mediterranean basins: implications
1056 for marginal to central basins correlation. *Marine Geology*, 349, 113-125.
- 1057 Roveri M., Flecker R., Krijgsman W., Lofi J., Lugli S., Manzi V., Sierro F.J., Bertini A.,
1058 Camerlenghi A., De Lange G., Govers R., Hilgen F.J., Hübscher C., Meijer P.Th., Stoica
1059 M., 2014b. The Messinian Salinity Crisis: past and future of a great challenge for marine
1060 sciences. *Marine Geology*, 352, 25-28.
- 1061 Roveri, M., Manzi, V., Bergamasco, A., Falcieri, F., Gennari, R., Lugli, S. 2014c. Dense
1062 shelf water cascading and Messinian canyons: a new scenario for the Mediterranean
1063 salinity crisis. *American Journal of Science*, 314, 751-784.
- 1064 Roveri M., Gennari. R, Lugli S., Manzi V., Minelli N., Reghizzi M., Riva A., Rossi M.E.,
1065 Schreiber B.C., 2016. The Messinian salinity crisis: open problems and possible
1066 implications for Mediterranean petroleum systems. *Petroleum Geoscience*, 22, 283-290.
- 1067 Roveri M, Gennari R., Ligi M., Lugli S., Manzi V., Reghizzi M., 2019. The synthetic seismic
1068 expression of the Messinian salinity crisis from onshore records: implications for
1069 shallow- to deep-water correlations. *Basin Research*, DOI: 10.1111/bre.12361
- 1070 Ruggieri, G., 1967. The Miocene and later evolution of the Mediterranean Sea. In: Adams,
1071 C.G., Ager, D.V. (Eds.), *Aspects of Tethyan Biogeography*, vol. 7. Systematics
1072 Association Publication, London, U.K., pp. 283–290. Ryan, W.B.F., Cita, M.B., 1978. The
1073 nature and distribution of Messinian erosion surfaces, indicators of a several-kilometer-
1074 deep Mediterranean in the Miocene. *Marine Geology*, 27/3-4, 193-230.
- 1075 Ruggieri G. 1970 Note Illustrative della Carta Geologica d'Italia alla Scala 1:100.000,
1076 Foglio 108, Mercato Saraceno. Servizio Geologico d'Italia 1-56.
- 1077 Ruggieri G., Torre G., 1984. Il Miocene Superiore di Cozzo Terravecchia, Sicilia Centrale.
1078 *Giornale di Geologia*, 46, 33-43.
- 1079 Sampalmieri, G., Cipollari P., Cosentino, D., Iadanza, A., Lugli, S., Soligo, M., 2008: Le
1080 facies evaporitiche della crisi di salinità messiniana: Radioattività naturale della
1081 Formazione Gessoso-Solfifera della Maiella (Abruzzo, Italia centrale). *Boll. Soc. Geol.*
1082 *Ital.*, 127, 25-36.
- 1083 Sampalmieri, G., Iadanza, A., Cipollari, P., Cosentino D., Lo Mastro, S., 2010.
1084 Paleoenvironments of the Mediterranean Basin at the Messinian hypersaline/hyposaline
1085 transition: Evidence from natural radio activity and micro facies of post-evaporitic
1086 successions of the Adriatic sub-basin. *Terra Nova*, 22, 239-250
- 1087 Santantonio, M., Scrocca, D., Lipparini, L., 2013. The Ombrina-Rospo Plateau (Apulian
1088 Platform): Evolution of a Carbonate Platform and its Margins during the Jurassic and
1089 Cretaceous. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 42, 4-29.

- 1090 Sierro, F.J., Flores, J.A., Zamarréño, I., Vazquez, A., Utrilla, R., Frances, G., Hilgen, F.J.,
1091 Krijgsman, W., 1999. Messinian pre-evaporite sapropels and precession-induced
1092 oscillations in western Mediterranean climate. *Marine Geology* 153, 137-146.
- 1093 Trincardi, F., and Argnani, A., 2001. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala 1:250000:
1094 Foglio JOG NL33-10 "Ravenna", ISPRA – Servizio Geologico d'Italia., Selca, Firenze.
- 1095 Trincardi, F., Argnani, A., Coreggiari, A., 2011a. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala
1096 1:250:000. Foglio NL33-7 Venezia, ISPRA - Servizio Geologico d'Italia, Selca, Firenze.
- 1097 Trincardi, F., Argnani, A., Coreggiari, A., 2011b. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala
1098 1:250:000. Foglio NK33-1/2 Ancona, ISPRA - Servizio Geologico d'Italia, Selca,
1099 Firenze.
- 1100 Trincardi, F., Argnani, A., Coreggiari, A., 2011c. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala
1101 1:250:000. Foglio NK33-5 Pescara, , ISPRA - Servizio Geologico d'Italia, Selca,
1102 Firenze.
- 1103 Trincardi, F., Argnani, A., Coreggiari, A., 2011d. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala
1104 1:250:000. Foglio NK33-6 Vieste, ISPRA - Servizio Geologico d'Italia, Selca, Firenze.
- 1105 Trincardi, F., Argnani, A., Coreggiari, A., 2011e. Carta Geologica dei Mari Italiani alla scala
1106 1:250:000. Foglio NK33-8/9 Bari, ISPRA - Servizio Geologico d'Italia, Selca, Firenze.
- 1107 Trua, T., Manzi, V., Roveri, M., Artoni, A., 2010. The Messinian volcanoclastic layers of the
1108 Northern Apennines: evidence for the initial phases of the Southern Tyrrhenian
1109 spreading? *Ital.J.Geosci.*, 129-2, 269-279,
- 1110 Vai, G.B., 1988. A field trip guide to the Romagna Apennine geology: the Lamone valley.
1111 In: De Giuli, C., Vai, G.B. (Eds.), *Fossil Vertebrates in the Lamone Valley, Romagna*
1112 *Apennines, Int. Work. Continental Faunas at the Miocene/Pliocene Boundary*, pp. 7–37.
- 1113 Vai, G.B., 1997. Cyclostratigraphic estimate of the Messinian Stage duration. In:
1114 Montanari, A., Odin, G.S., Coccioni, R. (Eds.), *Miocene Stratigraphy: An Integrated*
1115 *Approach. Developments in Paleontology and Stratigraphy*, 15, pp. 463–476.
- 1116 Van Couvering, J.A., Castradori, D., Cita, M.B., Hilgen, F.J. and Rio, D., 2000. The base of
1117 the Zanclean Stage and of the Pliocene Series. *Episodes*, 23–3, 179–187.
- 1118 Van Dijk, J.P., Bello M., Brancaleoni G.P., Cantarella G., Costa V., Frixia A., Golfetto F.,
1119 Merlini S., Riva M., Torricelli S., Toscano C., Zerilli A., 2000. A regional structural model
1120 for the northern sector of the Calabrian Arc (southern Italy). *Tectonophysics*, 324, 267-
1121 320.
- 1122 Vasiliev, I., Lugli, S., Reichart, G.J., Manzi V., Roveri, M., 2017. How dry was the
1123 Mediterranean during the Messinian salinity crisis? *Palaeogeography,*
1124 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 471, 120–133.
- 1125 Vitale, S., Ciarcia, S., 2013: Tectono-stratigraphic and kinematic evolution of the southern
1126 Apennines/Calabria-Peloritani Terrane system (Italy). *Tectonophysics*, 538, 164-182.

- 1127 Wrigley R., Hodgson N., Eesestime P., 2015. Petroleum geology and hydrocarbon potential
1128 of the Adriatic basin, offshore Croatia. *Journal of Petroleum Geology*, 38/3, 301-316.
- 1129 Zecchin M., Massari F., Mellere G., Prosser G., 2003. Architectural styles of prograding
1130 wedges in a tectonically active setting, Crotona Basin, Southern Italy. *J. Geol. Soc.*
1131 *Lond.*, 160, 863-880.

1132

1133 **Figure captions**

1134

1135 **Fig.1.** A) Geological map of the Central and Southern Apennines and, in the inset (B), a
1136 schematic structural map of Italy. The map includes: i) the location of the boreholes used
1137 for this study; ii) **the main MSC basins**, both outcropping (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al.,
1138 2003; Manzi et al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2006) and buried under the Po plain (after Manzi,
1139 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Rizzini, 2005; Ghielmi et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015); iii) the
1140 extension in the Adriatic offshore of the MSC deposits (modified from CNR map) below the
1141 Pliocene units; iv) the main tectonic structures (modified from CNR map); v) the main
1142 diapirs of Triassic evaporites and the distribution of the Dalmatian Mesozoic Platform
1143 deposit (modified after Wrigley et al., 2015); vi) the extension in the Adriatic offshore of the
1144 MSC deposits (modified from CNR map) below the Pliocene units; vii) the location of the
1145 boreholes used for this study.

1146 The main **MSC basins**, both outcropping (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et al., 2003; Manzi et
1147 al., 2005; Roveri et al., 2006) and buried under the Po plain (after Manzi, 2001; Roveri et
1148 al., 2003; Rizzini, 2005; Ghielmi et al., 2013; Rossi et al., 2015). Two types can be
1149 distinguished. **PLG basins** - basins that hosted the deposition of shallow water primary
1150 evaporites (PLG unit) during stage 1: Epiligurian (E), Emilia-Veneto (EV), Vena del Gesso
1151 (VdG), Val Marecchia (VM), Molise (M), Maiella (MA), Irpinia (I), Adriatic (A). **RLG basins** -
1152 those where only clastic evaporites (RLG unit) were deposited during stage 2: Messinian
1153 main foredeep (MF), Eastern Romagna (ER), Northern Marche (NM), Laga (L), Bradanic
1154 Trough (B), Sibari-Rossano (S), Crotona basins (K), South Adriatic Basin (SAB).

1155 The main **tectonic features** are: Apennines buried thrust front (ABTF), Livorno Sillaro line
1156 (LS), Forlì Line (FL), Val Marecchia line (VM), Sibillini Mountains thrust line (SM), Olevano-
1157 Antrodoco line (OA), Chienti Line (C), Gran Sasso thrust front (GS), Maiella Rocca
1158 Monfina line (MRM), Gargano high (G), Murge high (M), Sangineto line (SG).

1159 The main **tectonic units/domains**: Ligurian units (L), Tuscan unit (T), Macigno-Cervarola
1160 unit (MC), Inner Umbro-Marchean units (IUM), Outer Umbro-Marchean units (OUM),
1161 Lazio-Abruzzi platforms (LAP), Molise-Lagonegro nappes (MLN), Bradanic Trough (BT),

1162 Calabrian Arc (CA), Apulian Mesozoic platform (AP), Dalmatian Mesozoic Platform (DP),
1163 Dinaric units (DU).

1164

1165 **Fig. 2.** Outcrop examples of the MSC evaporites. A) the PLG unit forms an up 200 m-
1166 thick tabular body with strong lateral persistence. (Vena del Gesso, between the Sgarba
1167 stream and the Senio river). The location of the Monte Tondo quarry and Spes quarry
1168 sections, where the reference PLG sections have been measured by Lugli et al. (2010),
1169 are reported. Photo, courtesy of Piero Lucci; B) closer view of the Monte del Casino area
1170 shown in A, notice the thickest beds of the lower PLG cycles (PLG3 partially covered by
1171 the vegetation, PLG4, PLG5, PLG6). Photo, courtesy of P. Lucci; C) example of the RLG
1172 unit (left side of the Lese river valley, Crotone basin) consisting of alternation of hybrid
1173 carbonate-gypsum clastic turbidites and shale resting on top of the Ponda Fm (badlands).
1174 B and C are reported at the same scale to allow the immediate comparison between the
1175 PLG showing thicker beds separated by very thin shale beds (B) and the RLG where
1176 thinner beds are intercalated by shale intervals of similar thickness (C).

1177

1178 **Fig. 3.** Outcrop examples of the MSC evaporites. A) a normal fault in the PLG unit close
1179 to the Spes quarry (fig. 2) allow a direct comparison between the lower and the upper PLG
1180 gypsum beds. Notice the homogeneous aspect of the cycles PLG3-5 made up by the
1181 massive and banded selenite facies only. B) Closer view of cycle PLG8 and PLG9 (base;
1182 Monte Tondo quarry). Notice that the lower part of the bed consisting of massive selenite
1183 is more resistant to the weathering of the upper part of the cycle consisting of branching
1184 selenite. C) turbiditic gypsarenite beds (ga) alternated to dark organic-rich shale (s). B and
1185 C are reported at the same scale to allow the immediate comparison between the PLG
1186 showing thicker beds separated by very thin shale beds (B) and the RLG where thinner
1187 beds are intercalated by shale intervals (C).

1188

1189 **Fig. 4.** A) Location of the ViDEPI boreholes in the study area; in black the available
1190 boreholes; in yellow those that cross the MSC deposits; in red the boreholes that are were
1191 drilled above the Translated Allochthonous Domain (see the trace of the front of the
1192 allochthonous). B) distribution of the different facies deposited during the Tortonian-
1193 Messinian interval, preceding the MSC onset. The siliciclastic deposition is limited to two
1194 area, one in the northern Apennines foredeep and one above the Calabrian Arc.
1195 Elsewhere the hemipelagic deposition is prevalent, Notice the presence of shallow water
1196 carbonate in a small area between the Gargano and the Gran Sasso. C) distribution of the

1197 different facies deposited during stage 1. The PLG deposition occurred mainly in the
1198 foreland area in the Adriatic offshore. Minor basins were located in the inner foredeep
1199 (VdG basin), and in the foreland (now buried below the Po plain). D) distribution of the
1200 different facies deposited during the post-evaporitic interval (stage 2 and 3). Notice that
1201 the halite was deposited only above the allochthonous units of the Calabrian Arc.

1202

1203 **Fig. 5.** Cross section of the PLG unit in the Adriatic offshore. The separation line
1204 between the different cycles (PLG1-2, PLG3-5 and PLG6-16) has been used for
1205 correlation.

1206

1207 **Fig. 6.** Isopach maps of the PLG unit showing the general distribution in the study area
1208 (A) and the detail in the Adriatic offshore focusing on the thickness of the PLG1+2 (B),
1209 PLG3 (C) and PLG4 (D) cycles. Notice as the thickness of the single beds increase in the
1210 eastern (close to the Adriatic midline) and in the south-western (close to the Molise and
1211 Gargano coastline) part of the basin. Modified after Corcagnani, 2017.

1212

1213 **Fig. 7.** Regional scale cross sections showing the distribution of the MSC deposits in
1214 the Adriatic Foredeep and in above the Allochthonous. A) N-S oriented section showing
1215 that the areas were PLG (to the north) and RLG (to the south) evaporites were deposited
1216 is separated by an area with pre-MSC carbonate deposits. Other PLG deposits are found
1217 above at the front of the Allochthonous nappe. B) W-E section showing the RLG unit found
1218 below the Allochthonous; its distribution is limited to the east by a tectonic slope affecting
1219 the Apula Mesozoic units. These onlap can be better observed in the seismic (C)

1220

1221 **Fig. 8.** Distribution of the Messinian evaporites in the Basilicata area representing the
1222 northernmost extension of the salt deposits. The RLG units including also gypsum is found
1223 at the front of the Allochthonous unit only whereas no MSC deposits are found above
1224 Apula.

1225

1226 **Fig. 9.** A) Map of the distribution of the MSC evaporite facies with location of the
1227 regional seismic sections (B and C) across the Apennines foredeep. Section B is traced in
1228 the Northern Apennines from the Vena del Gesso basin (VdG) to the Veneto foreland
1229 (modified after Roveri et al., 2003 and Fantoni et al., 2010; Masetti et al., 2012). Section is
1230 traced in the central Apennines from the Laga basin to the Adriatic offshore (Modified from
1231 Bigi et al., 2009 and Wrigley et al., 2015). Notice the distribution of the PLG evaporites

1232 during stage 1 limited to the more elevated structural basins in the Apennine chain and in
1233 the foreland.

1234 RA, Riolo Anticline; BST, Budrio-Selva Thrust; TT, Tresigallo Thrust; FT, Ferrara
1235 Thrust; AA, Acquasanta Anticline; MTF, Montagna dei Fiori Thrust; BT, Bellante Thrust;
1236 CS, Coastal Structure. The stage 2 + 3 interval crossed by boreholes may be recorded
1237 exclusively by siliciclastic (s) deposits or may include also resedimented gypsum deposits
1238 (g), commonly found at the base.

1239

1240 **Fig. 10.** Paleogeographic map of the Central Mediterranean during the MSC showing
1241 the distribution of the paleogeographic domains and the main sedimentary facies. The
1242 distribution of the PLG (Stage 1) in the foreland region is also indicated. The dark gray
1243 pattern in the Adriatic represents a sill separating the southern and central-northern basin.
1244 The front of the Apennine accretionary wedge is marked in red (line with triangles),
1245 whereas the Apennine front is in black. The tentative route of clastic gypsum transport and
1246 the flow of brines are also indicated. Main basins: VdG, Vena del Gesso; A, Adriatic; K,
1247 Croton; T, Tyrrhenian; AP, Algero-Provençal; C, Caltanissetta; H, Hyblean; I, Irpinian; B,
1248 Basilicata Ionian. Modified from Argnani 2000; 2005; Manzi et al., 2005; Fauquette et al.,
1249 2015.