Smart agriculture for food quality: facing climate change in the 21st century



Smart Agriculture for food quality: facing climate changes in the 21st century

Journal:	*Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*
Manuscript ID	BFSN-2020-4800.R1
Manuscript Type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Agrimonti, Caterina; University of Parma Lauro, Marta; University of Parma Visioli, Giovanna; University of Parma,
Keywords:	greenhouse gases, environment, food safety, food nutritional value, sustainable agriculture

To Prof. Clydesdale
Editor, Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition

Parma, 27/03/2020

Dear Editor,

We thank you for the positive evaluation of our manuscript and we have modified it according to the reviewer's suggestions. We hope that it can now be acceptable for publication in Critical Review of Food Science and Nutrition.

Best Regards,

Giovanna Visioli and co-authors

Below you can find Point by point our answers to the reviewer's comments (**in bold letters**)

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author

The manuscript entitled "Smart Agriculture for food quality: facing climate changes in the 21st century" submitted to Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition under the ID: BFSN-2020-4800, is under an important subject with an integrated view of how Smart agriculture can assist the issues that are raised with climate change.

The work is overall well written and the references are adequate.

We thank the reviewer for the positive evaluation of the manuscript and for the useful suggestions that we incorporated in the R1 version. Listed below there are point by point changes that where made according to his/her suggestions.

The minor considerations are detailed below:

Throughout the text a serious of acronyms are used and sometimes they are difficult to recall I would suggest that at least the first time they are used in a chapter they should be extensively written, or instead a acronym list should be included.

DONE. We have added an acronym list at the end of the manuscript

Line 35: the sentence needs to be clarified, "The massive burning of fossil fuels started with the industrial revolution has led to an…".

DONE. The sentence was clarified. The new sentence is the following (Lines 35-38): "*Since industrial revolution, massive burning of fossil fuels started and progressively led to an increase of the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases (GHG) such as carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane and nitrous oxide affecting global temperatures"*

Line 44: the sentence needs to be rewritten: "Global warming increases, also, the distribution of insects and pathogens…"

DONE. The sentence was rewritten as follow (Lines 44-45): "*Global warming also increases frequency and severity of plant pests and diseases with consequent loss of yield and quality (Trebicki et al. 2015)"*

Line 52: remove the: "clearing, the"
DONE

Line 59-60: the phrase needs to be rewritten: "Agriculture (CSA), that includes traditional organic farming and the innovative precision farming practices aiming to optimize the use of water and fertilizers by informatics technologies (FAO 2017),"

DONE. The phrase is now changed (Lines 58-64)***: "****Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) is an approach that helps to guide actions needed to transform and reorient agricultural systems to effectively support development and ensure food security in a changing* climate *(FAO 2017*). *It includes traditional organic farming techniques as well as innovative precision farming practices which apply Information Technology aiming to optimize the use of water and fertilizers".*

Lines 77-79, it would be clearer if all the acronyms could have in front the molecule.

AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2 is the conventional denomination of the four most toxic aflatoxins. For more clarity we have added this information in the text (Lines 76-81) "*Aflatoxins, the most common mycotoxins, are* furanocoumarin *derivatives produced by Aspergillus flavus and A. parasiticus: AFB_1, AFB_2, AFG_1, AFG_2 are the most toxic molecules (Zain, 2010). They are potent carcinogens and sometimes cause acute and lethal intoxication. Moreover, AFB_1 is toxic for lactating animals and may be converted by their digestive system in the hydroxylated form, AFM_1 which is excreted in milk (Applebaum et al. 1982*)."

Line 15 : mistyping, should be flavors instead of favors.

DONE

Line 282: The authors refer to the use of nanoparticles, however the toxicity effects that these particles may have are not mentioned. This a issue that should be considrred in the manuscript.

The reviewer is right, at this purpose we inserted the following sentences with the appropriate references (Lines 285-291) "*An important issue of nano-carriers used as fertilisers or pesticides is their possible toxicological profiles which can be new potential hazards to human and environmental health. Nano-agrochemicals may interfere with important plant-microbial relationships which are all critical for soil fertility and agricultural productivity. In addition, human exposure to nanomaterials is expected to increase including both chronical exposure of agricultural workers and increase in nano-residues in soil and crops which leads to their accumulation in the food chain (Iavicoli et al., 2017; Walker et a., 2018)."*
and
(Lines 448-454) "*In this emerging context, the perspective of a "green nanotechnology" should combine the benefits provided by nano-products in solving environmental challenges with the assessment and management of environmental, health, and safety risks potentially posed by nanoscale materials (Iavicoli et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2018). It is urgent to take into account all the phases in which nano-carriers may be found in the environment, from application into the field to potential incorporation into food supply and possible influences exerted by the pedo-climatic*

conditions that may all affect nanomaterial hazardous properties and risks (Iavicoli et al. 2017; Walker et al. 2018)".

Line 294: the acronyms should all be clarified before their use (GPS and GIS)
DONE. We inserted the full name in the text and in the abbreviation list

Lines 308, 316, 368: Do not use acronyms in titles.
DONE We eliminated all acronyms in the titles

Line 384: Instead of Norther should be Northern
Sorry for the mistake, we modified accordingly

Line 438: place . of the phrase, after seeds
DONE

Smart Agriculture for food quality: facing climate changes in the 21st century

Caterina Agrimonti, Marta Lauro, Giovanna Visioli*

Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability- University of Parma v.le Parco Area delle Scienze, 43124. Parma (ITALY).

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Giovanna Visioli

E-mail: giovanna.visioli@unipr.it

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9712-3223

Abstract

Climate change, with increasing temperatures and atmospheric carbon dioxide levels, constitutes a severe threat to the environment and all living organisms. In particular, numerous studies suggest severe consequences for the health of crop plants, affecting both the productivity and quality of raw material destined to the food industry. Of particular concern are the reduction of proteins and essential micronutrients as iron and zinc in crops. Fighting this alarming trends is the challenge of Climate-Smart Agriculture with the double goal of reducing environmental impacts (use of pesticides, nitrogen and phosphorus leaching, soil erosion, water depletion and contamination) and improving raw material and consequently food quality. Organic farming, biofertilizers and to a lesser extent nano-carriers, improve the antioxidant properties of fruits, but the data about proteins and micronutrients are rather contradictory. On the other hand, advanced devices and Precision Agriculture allow the

cultivations to be more profitable, efficient, contributing more and more to reduce pest diseases and to increase the quality of agricultural products and food safety. Thus, nowadays adoption of technologies applied to sustainable farming systems is a challenging and dynamic issue for facing negative trends due to environmental impacts and climate changes.

Keywords: greenhouse gases, environment, food safety, food nutritional value, sustainable agriculture

Agriculture and global climate change

During the last centuries, significant changes in the global climate and temperatures have been registered. Since industrial revolution, massive burning of fossil fuels started and progressively led to an increase of the atmospheric concentration of greenhouse gases (GHG) such as carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane and nitrogen dioxide affecting global temperatures. Due to its strong dependence on climate, agriculture is an easy target of climate change.

The increase of temperature is responsible for abiotic stresses that drastically affect crop quality and resulting in dramatic yield losses. Such stresses can interfere with germination, vegetative growth, dry matter partitioning, reproductive processes and grain filling and quality (Sehgal et al. 2018). In particular, the frequent combination of drought and heat stresses has pronounced impacts during early phases of the reproductive process (sporogenesis, anthesis, pollination, fertilization and early embryo development). Global warming also increases frequency and severity of plant pests and diseases with consequent loss of yield and quality (Trebicki et al. 2015).

In theory, an increase in primary production is expected at elevated CO_2 (eCO_2) levels but experiments show that long term exposure to eCO_2 increases or decreases photosynthetic efficiency depending on the species (Ghildiyal and Sharma-Natu 2000; Sanchez-Guerrero et al. 2005; Ziska et al. 2007). Moreover, CO_2 beneficial effects on plant growth appear to be limited by low nutrients concentration in soils, light and water availability (Reich et al. 2016). All these concomitant factors can affect not only crop yields but also food quality.

On the other hand, current intensive agricultural practices, including land clearing, excessive and inefficient use of fertilizers, irrigation and the use of fossil fuels for agricultural machines, make agriculture a significant contributor to GHG emissions (Heidecke et al. 2018). Therefore, as summarized in Figure 1, modern agriculture has two great challenges: facing climate change effects (adaptation) and developing sustainable practices, counteracting also the negative effects on yields and food quality (mitigation). This goal may be reached with a more efficient and respectful use of natural resources and a reduction of wastes and pollutants. Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) is an approach that helps to guide actions needed to transform and reorient agricultural systems to effectively support development and ensure food security in a changing climate (FAO 2017). It includes traditional organic farming techniques as well as innovative precision farming practices which apply Information Technology (IT) aiming to optimize the use of water and fertilizers. In this review we summarize the effects of climate change and the different CSA practices, highlighting their potential positive effects on food safety and healthy proprieties.

Climate change effects on food quality

Food quality is a concept that includes healthy properties and safety. Healthy properties are determined by the content of beneficial nutritional compounds as micronutrients, especially Fe and Zn, antioxidants or bioactive molecules such as carotenoids, tocopherols and phenolic compounds. Safety is determined by the absence of toxic compounds derived both from herbicides and pesticides and/or toxic metabolites derived from pest attack.

Food Safety

Mycotoxins are a great threat to food safety: they are low molecular weight toxic and cancerogenic compounds produced by fungi *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* which infect mostly cereals, a staple food for many people and thus their impact on global health risks is not negligible. Aflatoxins, the most common mycotoxins, are furanocoumarin derivatives produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus*: AFB_1, AFB_2, AFG_1, AFG_2 are the most toxic molecules (Zain, 2010). They are potent carcinogens and sometimes cause acute and lethal intoxication. Moreover, AFB_1 is toxic for lactating animals and may be converted by their digestive system in the hydroxylated form, AFM_1 which is excreted in milk (Applebaum et al. 1982). European Community has set restrictive limits for the combined presence of the above-mentioned aflatoxins in feed and food (EC Regulation N: 1881/2006). The infection of maize crop by A. *flavus* is highly facilitated by warm climate, humidity and drought. Following some predictive models, an increase of +5 °C can shift the European area of possible aflatoxin production in maize, from the actual below the 45° North latitude to 60° North. This means that the area with high aflatoxin risks will be considerably extended in Eastern Europe, the Balkan Peninsula and the Mediterranean regions (Battilani et al. 2016). These effects seem less dramatic for wheat: even if the models predict an increase of *A. flavus* growth by 60% and 100% in the + 2 °C and + 5 °C scenarios, the probability of aflatoxin contamination in wheat is considered irrelevant.

An integrated model was elaborated to predict the effect of global climatic change on the risk of aflatoxin contamination in cow milk, carrying out a study on AFB1 production in maize grown in Eastern Europe and imported to the Netherlands for feeding livestock (Van der Fels-Klerx et al. 2019). In general, most of the calculations suggest an increase, up to 50%, of maximum mean aflatoxin AFM1 in milk and a stable or slight increase (up to 0.6%) of probability to find AFM1 in milk above the EClimits by 2030. However, the authors highlighted that the results depend on the type of model used.

The aflatoxins constitute a threat also for grape and wine production. A survey of 942 samples showed higher concentrations of grape aflatoxin ochratoxin A in wines from the warmer southern European countries than from northern ones (de Orduña 2010). Moreover, a correlation between grape and wine ochratoxin A levels and the warm climate was described (Blesa et al. 2006).

Food nutritional and organoleptic properties

An extensive meta-analysis (Loladze 2014) considering 130 food plant varieties over 30 years around the world evidenced a general decline due to e$CO_2$of the principal nutrients (N, P, Ca, S, Mg, Fe, Zn, Cu) except for Mn and K. N is the most affected element (about -15%), followed by Zn (-11%) and Fe (-10%). Cereal grains (barley, rice and wheat) showed an overall decrease of above nutrients (around -7%), while potato tubers seem less affected (-3.5%). The overall nutrient reduction in all edible tissues is around -6.5%. In contrast to the lower N and mineral content, eCO_2 increased C content by 6%, with a significant increase of total non-structural carbohydrate, like starch, fructose, glucose, sucrose and maltose.

In wheat, the world's third most important cereal crop, the eCO_2 reduces N, proteins, and amino acids and modifies gluten composition (Broberg et al. 2017). This negatively affects bakery properties reducing dough elasticity and strength, bread volume and increasing mixing time. Also a significant reduction of the concentration of various minerals (Ca, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, S, and Zn) was observed, while no effect was reported on starch accumulation (Broberg et al. 2017).

Also rice, a staple food for a large part of the world population, especially in Eastern Countries, is highly affected by climate change. High temperatures during grain filling increase the breakage of kernels with a dramatic reduction of yields, up to 10% in South-East Asian countries (Lyman et al. 2013). eCO_2 accelerates the grain filling at an early stage, but inhibits it at later one leading to small, light and chalky grains (Tsukaguchi and Iida 2008; Yang and Wang 2019). Moreover, under eCO_2 rice proteins decrease but the percentage of large starch granules increases; this generates voids among the granules that increase chalkiness (Yang et al. 2007). On the other hand, eCO_2 does not seem to affect the amylose content in the starch, the key factor in determining the organoleptic quality of cooked rice, as well as aroma, taste and overall palatability (Yang and Wang 2019). Under eCO_2 vitamin B concentration in grains declines, probably as a consequence of reduced N assimilation, but that of vitamin E increases (Zhu et al. 2018).

The reduction of proteins and micronutrients content and increasing of sugars under eCO_2 have been observed also in potato, tomato and lettuce (Bhat et al. 2017; Dong et al. 2018). In potato tubers, eCO_2 increases the concentration of glucose (22%), fructose (21%) and reducing sugars (23%), responsible for browning and acrylamide formation in fried potatoes (Högy and Fangmeier 2009). eCO_2 decreases also the content of Zn, citrate and glycoalkaloids. The reduction of citrate concentration leads to a higher risk of discoloration but improves the taste. Glycoalkaloids are toxic compounds, therefore their reduction may be positive in terms of safety, but is generally considered negative in term of taste. However, the data about glycoalkaloids and citrate are not concordant among all authors. In tomato and lettuce, the increase of soluble sugars potentially enhances their quality, as well as the antioxidant ascorbic acid but, the concomitant reduction of proteins content worsens their nutritional properties (Dong et al. 2018).

The effect of eCO_2 on phytate concentration in wheat, rice, peas, soybeans and in C4 maize and sorghum was also evaluated (Myers et al. 2014). Phytate is a phosphate storage molecule present in many plants, that is not absorbed by the human digestive tract and inhibits the absorption of Zn and therefore is considered an anti-nutrient (Miller et al. 2007). Phytate decreased significantly at eCO_2 only in wheat, but not sufficiently to counteract the strong decrease of Zn in the same crop.

A more recent study showed that eCO_2 affects proteins and micronutrients content of seeds and fruits in most C3 plant species with the exception of legumes that absorb atmospheric N through symbiotic bacteria (Uddling et al. 2018). However, the magnitude of the effect is influenced by different factors like cultivar, soil type or concomitant environmental conditions (Dong et al. 2018).

As far as carbohydrates content is concerned, high temperature has a larger effect than eCO_2 (Bhat et al. 2017). In soybean seeds, an increase of 18°/13 °C to 33/28 °C (day/night average) significantly raises sucrose concentration. In wheat, an increase of 2-4 °C alters starch content, starch grain size, number and gelatinization, while eCO_2 has no or little effect (Williams et al. 1995). Studies on combined effects of high temperature and CO_2 on red kidney bean seeds showed that their composition was unaffected by eCO_2 but high temperature (34/24 °C) dramatically reduced glucose concentration (-44%) and increased the concentration of sucrose (33%) and raffinose (116%) (Thomas et al. 2009). The increase in raffinose harms seed quality because human intestinal mucosa does not contain the galactosidase enzyme necessary for its digestion and this may cause digestive problems (Sebastian et al. 2000).

High temperature lowers malic acid concentration and the overall acidity of grape at maturity and increases the sugar concentration, probably as a consequence of berry evaporation (de Orduña 2010). Lower acidity negatively affects winemaking because of flavors spoilage by indigenous microorganisms that compete also with fermenting yeasts for nutrients. This may slow down or stuck alcoholic fermentation and lead to the production of undesirable metabolites like acetic acid, acetaldehyde and pyruvate (de Orduña 2010). High temperature flavors the synthesis of metoxypyrazines that are agreeable at low concentrations but are perceived negatively at high concentrations, with a negative impact on grape aroma and taste.

The beneficial effects of higher temperatures are mainly the increase of flavonoids and antioxidants in strawberry fruits (Bhat et al. 2017; Wang and Zheng 2001).

Most of the data present in the literature report the effects of temperature and CO_2 separately, but their effect is the results of complex interactions between them and other environmental factors, therefore, it is important to evaluate at least CO_2 and temperature together. The response to dual CO_2 and temperature stress is crop-specific: stressed soybean plants produce seeds with a higher content of proteins but lower oil content (Dombos and Mullen 1992). In cereals such as barley and wheat combined stresses reduce starch accumulation but increase protein content, while in *Brassica* species seed proteins content increases but seed weight is reduced (Savin and Nicolas 1996; Gan et al. 2004).

Köhler et al. (2019) observed that high temperatures reduce yields of soybean plants but increase the concentration of some minerals (Ca, Fe, Zn) in seeds, counteracting the overall negative effects of eCO_2. Differently of what observed in other crops, eCO_2 does not affect the concentration of seed proteins and oil while, in contrast, elevated temperatures tend to reduce the concentration of these components. The authors concluded that the combined eCO_2 and temperature effect may restore the seed Fe and Zn ambient but their experiments are limited to one cultivar and concentration of minerals and proteins varies with node position.

A predictive model evaluated climate change impact on wheat proteins considering the effects of CO_2, water, nitrogen and temperature (Asseng et al. 2019). The authors concluded that potential benefits of eCO_2 can be outcompeted by rising temperatures and changes in rainfall pattern, with significant differences among regions. In fact, grain and protein yields are expected to be lower and more variable in low rainfall regions, where nitrogen availability can affect the growth stimulus of eCO_2.

Climate Smart Agriculture

The concept of CSA has been introduced by FAO at the 2010 Hague Conference on Agriculture, Food Security and Climate Change and since then has gained international interest and support (FAO 2017). The main objectives of CSA are: *i*) the sustainable increase of agricultural productivity; *ii*) the adaptation to climate change and the increase of resilience in the agricultural sector; *iii*) reducing GHG emissions (when possible) and contributing to the mitigation of climate change effects (Beddington et al. 2012). For these strategies to be successful they have to be adapted to the local situation as there is no universally valid solution. At the same time, however, national and international plans will be necessary and the whole value chain, from the field to the consumer, should be considered.

The massive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides is considered a threat to health, soils and ecosystem biodiversity. Nitrogen fertilization is essential for obtaining high crop yields but a surplus of this nutrient can cause serious problems for the environment and human health. If not uptaken by plants, N can leach through the soil as nitrate (NO_3^-) and pollute surface and groundwater. This excess of nutrients leads to planktonic algae proliferation in rivers, lakes and estuaries, a phenomenon known as eutrophication (Entry and Sojka 2007; Liu et al. 2013; Riley et al. 2001; Smith and Schindler 2009). According to the Environmental Protection Agency, in the US less than 50% of the total N fertilizer applied is actually up-taken by crops so a more site-specific application, tailored to crop needs, has a great potential to mitigate environmental risks (http://www.epa.gov/ncea/efh/report.html). The situation is not any better in Europe, where the estimated indirect costs of nitrogen pollution on human health and ecosystems outweigh the direct benefits of agriculture (Brownlie et al. 2015). CSA promotes the use of organic alternatives of fertilization and pesticide and/or fertilization use targeted on the real needs of cultivation avoiding unnecessary and polluting applications, which can also have a positive impact on climate change and may also be beneficial to food quality.

Organic farming

Organic farming consists of a low-input agro-ecosystem in which crop productivity is based on the natural availability of plant nutrients, the use of green manure and biological pathogen control. These practices are regulated by international and national institutional bodies that certify organic products in all steps of the supply chain (European Commission 2016; USDA 2016). Organic farming practices are surely safer for the environment but some studies revealed that organic farming reduces on average the crop yields (Gomiero 2018). This implies that to obtain the same quantity of product as with conventional agriculture it is necessary to extend the cultivable land and to disrupt forestry and other natural habitats. Besides, organic farming employs animal manure instead of inorganic easy soluble fertilizers but, this does not necessarily imply less N leaching or less eutrophication (Kirchmann and Thorvaldsson 2000). Seufert et al. (2012) used a comprehensive meta-analysis to examine the relative yield performance of organic and conventional farming. They examined 66 studies representing 62 sites and reporting 316 organic-to-conventional yield comparisons on 34 different crop species. The results showed that, overall, organic yields are typically lower than conventional ones: these differences ranged from 5% to 34% depending on system, crop and site characteristics. However, organic farming may be highly competitive under stress conditions: for example, under drought stress organically managed crops produce higher yields than those conventionally managed, up to 70–90% more under severe stress, thanks to the better ability of organically managed soil to store water (Gomiero 2013; Gomiero 2018).

Data on soil biodiversity in organic and conventional farming are rather controversial: some authors (Hartmann et al. 2015) found that organic farming increases the diversity of the microbiome, while others (Liu et al. 2007; Reilly et al. 2003) reported no differences or less diversity than in conventional farming. Lupatini et al. (2017) compared microbiomes around several crops (wheat, barley, potato, carrot and lily) in organic and conventional farming on the same soil. This study revealed that organic practices effectively increase microbial diversity, richness and community heterogeneity. However, the authors conclude that the response of the microbial community to farming practices is diverse and complex and increasing soil biodiversity does not necessarily mean an improvement of soil health and plant productivity (Lupatini et al. 2017). Moreover, the impact of diversity loss in conventional farming and how microbial diversity is related to ecosystem functions is not very well understood yet. Also, the long-term consequences of the microbial community enrichment in organic practices shift remain to be explored.

Biofertilizers and nano-fertilizers

The use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) has been investigated as an alternative to conventional N and P fertilizers to obtain high yields with lower environmental impacts. Rhizobacteria are microorganisms naturally living in soils in association with plant roots, forming an active part of the so-called rhizosphere. Their activities include stimulation and/or production of phytohormones and the regulation of nutrients uptake so, inoculating these organisms in the cultivated field should enhance plant and soil productivity, especially under stress condition (Egamberdieva and Adesemoye 2016). In addition to PGPR, vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) fungi are non-pathogenic microorganisms that are able to establish symbioses with many spontaneous and cultivated species, and have the ability to boost water and nutrient uptake, especially in poor, arid soils, and to protect plants against pathogens (Fiorilli et al. 2018). A two-year field trial demonstrated that the use of a combination of PGPR and N-fixing bacteria improves root growth in wheat and increases plant resilience to environmental stresses. In addition, they help to reduce N losses from agricultural ecosystems thereby mitigating environmental constraints of the application of chemical fertilisers (Dal Cortivo et al. 2017). Application of PGPR and VAM consortia has also been shown to improve plant growth, in particular in conditions of abiotic stress, as a result of synergistic interactions between microorganisms. However, despite good results in the laboratory (Bhattacharyya and Jha 2012), inoculation of PGPR and VAM in the field does not always lead to the expected benefits because of the competition with native species and adverse or unstable conditions (Bréant et al. 2002). A new emerging technology in agriculture is the design and use of nano-carriers for the controlled release of fertilizers and pesticides to increase their efficacy and reduce their toxicity and the environmental impact. The nanoscale delivery vehicles are designed to "anchor" to plant roots or the surrounding soil structures increasing the surface contact between plant roots and fertilizers (Chen and Yada 2011; Chen et al. 2014; He et al. 2019). Nano-carriers have been utilized to encapsulated the 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) which is one of the most commonly used herbicides worldwide because it is cheap and selective but very soluble in water and therefore easily dispersed in the soil (Cao et al. 2018). The fungicide carbendazim entrapped into polymeric nanoparticles showed higher activity against *Aspergillus parasiticus* and *Fusarium oxysporum* than pure and commercial preparation and was less phytotoxic (Kumar et al. 2017). However, the majority of nanofertilizers have been tested only in laboratories, greenhouses, or small plots without facing the field complexity, thus, it is difficult to draw a conclusion at this point (Liu and Lal 2015; Dimpka and Bindraban 2018). Soil characteristics such as pH, inorganic or organic compounds and biological factors (plant root exudates, bacteria and fungi) influence micronutrients behavior and modulate nanomaterial dissolution, aggregation/disaggregation and surface properties. Dimpka and Bindrabam (2018) summarized the results obtained mostly about Zn nanomaterial since it is a relevant element in human nutrition and concluded that the effects on crops are often positive with respect to conventional micronutrients but negative at doses higher than plant requirements. These authors concluded that the risks from nanoparticles under field conditions could be either less or as strong as those from conventional fertilizers at similar dose. An important issue of nano-carriers used as fertilizers or pesticides is their possible toxicological profiles which can be new potential hazards to human and environmental health. Nano-agrochemicals may interfere with important plant-microbial relationships which are all critical for soil fertility and agricultural productivity. In addition, human exposure to nanomaterials is expected to increase including both chronical exposure of agricultural workers and increase in nano-residues in soil and crops which leads to their accumulation in the food chain (Iavicoli et al., 2017; Walker et a., 2018).

Precision Agriculture

Precision agriculture (PA) is a relatively new frontier in agriculture applied for nutrient management (nitrogen and phosphorous), herbicides and pesticides modulated on the basis of the real needs of plants thanks to the application of information technology to the production system, which makes possible to address intra-field variability with potential economic and environmental benefits (Bongiovanni and Lowenberg-Deboer 2004). PA started to develop in USA, Canada, Australia and Western Europe in the 1980s and has gained importance in the last decade (Zhang et al. 2002).

Variable-rate application (VRA) is the most spread and investigated precision technology to increase fertilizer inputs efficiency. It is used in combination with other technologies such as gGobal Positioning Systems (GPS), Geographic Information Systems (GIS), soil sampling and integrated pest management and can be applied to seeding, weed and pests control, lime distribution and fertilizers application (Pallottino et al. 2018). There are two VRA technologies: Map-based VRA and Sensor-based VRA. In the first one, the input concentration is regulated thanks to the use of so-called prescription maps previously prepared and downloaded on a specific software on the applicator connected to a GPS device. In the second case, optical sensors on the applicator measure the targeted property in real-time. There is, however, an effort to integrate remote sensing and real-time data in order to develop an accessible database for site-specific fertilization. Research is progressing through an integrated approach as new studies are combining sensors, prediction models and real-time weather data to maximize yields and inputs efficiency.

Nitrogen VRA has the highest potential, but it is still the most controversial part of PA techniques, due to the complexity of the N cycle, highly impacted by wheatear, soil type, agricultural management and the great field variability (Rogovska et al. 2019).

Climate Smart Agriculture and food quality

Organic farming is the most ancient practice of CSA and the majority of studies are focused on differences between organic and conventional products and therefore this chapter will be mainly focused on this subject. Recent data are available also about the food quality of other CSA practices, and some indications about food quality are available about PA practices and biofertilizers utilization. Traceability is also an important parameter to guarantee food quality and therefore PA issues will be discussed also on this point of view.

Climate Smart Agriculture and food safety

The main concern for the environment and human health is the utilization of pesticides and their presence in foods, that may be responsible or contribute to the development of cancer, Parkinson's disease and endocrine disorders (Gomiero 2013; Johansson et al. 2014)·.

European Food Safety Authority (ESFA) analyzed the residual presence of 191 pesticides in 82,649 samples produced in the EU (EFSA 2016). Organic food showed a higher percentage (86.4%) of samples without any quantifiable pesticide residual than conventional ones (51.6%). The percentages of samples above the Maximum Residue Levels permitted by EU legislation were lower in organic products (1.2%) than in conventional ones (3%). However, being these percentages so low, EFSA concluded that the general level of pesticide residues in both conventional and organic food is well below the threshold risk for health. The differences are particularly evident in fruits and nuts, where 69.4% of conventional products contained residues, against only 9.6% of organic products. Possible contamination occurring in fields or during food processing can explain the presence of pesticide residuals, not allowed in organic agriculture, in organic food. Conventional food is richer in toxic residuals of organophosphates (OPs) (EFSA 2016) classified as carcinogenic, neurotoxicants and endocrine disruptors by World Health Organisation.

An extensive study recently conducted on 33,000 French adult volunteers showed significantly lower urinary levels of residual pesticides diethylthiophosphate, dimethylthiophosphate, dialkylphosphates, and free 3-phenoxybenzoic in organic food consumers than in conventional food consumers (Baudry et al. 2019). Moreover, exposure to certain OPs and pyrethroïd pesticides is reduced by switching from conventional to organic foods, especially fruits and vegetables, while no significant differences were found for other compounds. The authors pointed out that the study may be biased by the honesty of volunteers in answering about their eating habits and/or other possible sources of contamination of OPs than food. However, these results were confirmed by Hyland et al. (2019) that observed significant reductions in urinary levels of thirteen pesticide metabolites and related compounds (OPs, neonicotinoid, and pyrethroid insecticides and the herbicide 2,4-D) after the introduction of organic food in the diet, in children and adults of USA families differing for race and geographic origin.

Literature about heavy metals is quite contradictory: some authors did not found a significant difference in their contents between organic and conventional food (Magkos et al. 2006), others found higher levels of Cd and Pb in organic tomatoes (Rossi et al. 2008). Lower levels of Cd were found in organic cereals, while no differences were found in fruits (Barański et al. 2014). The higher content of Cd in conventional products may be related to the use of phosphate fertilizers that are often contaminated with this metal, or to its native concentration in the soil. High concentrations of Cd are considered a significant cause of vascular disorders, various common cancers, osteoporosis and other

360 health disorders, therefore, the lower Cd levels in organic food are certainly a positive fact (McCarty
361 2014).

362 As reported previously, mycotoxin contamination constitutes a serious concern for food,
363 especially for those derived from cereals. Because synthetic fungicides were banned in organic
364 agriculture, it has been argued that organic crops may be more susceptible to fungal contamination.
365 In fact, higher concentrations of mycotoxins deoxynivalenol and nivalenol were found in organic than
366 in conventional grain samples (Eltun 1997). Moreover, an extensive comparison of more than a
367 thousand organic and conventional cereal-based products from EU countries found a higher content
368 of fumonisins (*Fusarium* derived mycotoxins) in organic products (Rubert et al. 2013). However, no
369 statistical analysis was provided and it was not stated if differences were significant or not. Moreover,
370 organic and conventional food types analyzed were not the same in the various studies and it can have
371 introduced some bias in the analysis. A similar work carried out in the USA on 50 conventional and
372 50 organic foods, did not show a significant difference in mycotoxin content (Gourama 2015). In
373 general, the majority of the studies does not report significant differences in mycotoxin content in
374 organic and conventional food (Gomiero 2018) but the discordant results should be taken into
375 consideration before concluding that organic is absolutely safe.

376

377 ***Climate Smart Agriculture and food technological quality and nutritional proprieties***

378 It was previously documented that eCO_2 has negative impacts on food quality such as reduction of
379 proteins and micronutrients, especially Zn and Fe, and increase of sugars content. It is not easy to say
380 if organic farming and other CSA practices are able to counteract these food deficiencies since studies
381 conducted so far, in particular the comparisons between organic and conventional food, were done
382 on sites differing not only for agricultural practices but also for different type of soil, crop genotypes
383 and time and conditions of harvesting.

384 However, despite these limitations, more positive than negative trends can be resumed by
385 literature data. In particular, as far as technological quality is concerned, PA techniques gives the

possibility to differentiate the quality of raw materials in the field. As an example, cereal quality is becoming more important than yield especially as the price of cereals reduces on world markets. There is well-substantiated evidence that quality, as well as yields, is spatially variable within fields and systems are being developed to exploit such variation to add value to the harvested crop (Stafford 2013). Recent advances in PA offer new potential for meeting grain quality standards. In particular, nitrogen-VRA could play a pivotal role in driving quality-oriented fertilization and on the other hand, precision harvesting could be an alternative method to maximize the tonnage of higher quality. Recently in Northern Italy, field spatial distribution of yield and protein content of durum wheat was assessed through the application of VR fertilization in three management zones with increasing soil fertility (Morari et al. 2018). In addition, prescription maps and optical sensors drove the precision harvesting of grains with different protein contents allowing the production of semolina with higher or lower protein contents in order to produce pasta with different characteristics (Visioli et al. 2018).

Regarding nutritional proprieties, the literature about Zn and Fe content in organic and conventional food is very contradictory: some studies showed a higher content of Fe and Zn in the organic crops (Vrček et al. 2014), others in the conventional ones (Ciołek et al. 2012; Drakou et al. 2015; Kristl et al. 2013). A meta-analysis study reported a major content of Ca, Mg, K and P in organic food but the authors concluded that only the data about P can be considered statistically significant (Smith-Spangler et al. 2012). The same study showed that protein content is lower in organic fruits and vegetables, as well as fiber content, even though the results were not considered significant. On the contrary, a 21 years-long survey of organic and conventional grain, concludes that protein content and amino acid composition are not affected by farming practice (Mäder et al. 2007).

Few data are available on sugar content in organic products. Studies on wheat reported that sucrose concentration was higher in conventional than in organic ears, but this difference was nullified in mature grains. No differences were found for other sugars (Zörb et al. 2009).

Since the 90’s some studies evidenced how organic plant food possesses higher amounts of secondary metabolites, and therefore they may be more health-promoting than conventional foods

(Brandt and Mølgaard 2001; Johansson et al. 2014; Woese et al. 1997). In particular, phenolic compound content seems highly influenced by farming practices. Compared to conventional farming, higher levels of phenols and polyphenols were found in organic cabbage, spinach, Welsh onion, green pepper, organics corn and strawberry (Asami et al. 2003; Ren et al. 2001). Moreover, an extensive meta-analysis study indicated that a switch from conventional to organic crop consumption would result in a 20–40% (and for some compounds more than 60 %) increase in crop-based antioxidant/(poly)phenolic intake (Barański et al. 2014).

Tocopherol is another class of antioxidants. Three studies conducted with comparative experiments evidenced higher contents of tocochromanol in organic barley (Tsochatzis et al. 2012), higher content of α- and γ-tocopherols in organic plums (Lombardi-Boccia et al. 2004) and higher α-tocopherol content in organic pears (Carbonaro et al. 2002), but in general, most investigations showed no difference in the content of tocopherols between organic and conventional crops. The same conclusions were drawn for carotenoid content (Johansson et al. 2014).

Biofertilizers seem to have a positive effect on the content of macro and micronutrients, vitamins and antioxidants (Alori and Balabola 2018). The application of VAM-PGPR commercial biofertilizer to wheat seeds improved the uptake of low-mobility nutrients from roots in wheat plants, with quality benefits of the grains (Dal Cortivo et al. 2018; Dal Cortivo et al. 2020). The beneficial effect of some fungi and bacteria strains endures also during post-harvest phases (Rilling et al. 2018). In particular, VAM has been used to enhance the plant growth and yield of medicinal crops because they are able to stimulate the secondary metabolism of plants to produce compounds with health properties, like antioxidants, phenylpropanoid, or carotenoid pathways (Baslam et al. 2011). Resistance to storage diseases has been evidenced in potato (Diallo et al. 2011) and also correlated to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi-species richness (Slininger et al. 2010).

Inoculation of lettuce with fungi *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Glomus fasciculatum* increased the concentration of total phenolic compounds, anthocyanins and carotenoids, while inoculation with *G. fasciculatum* and *Glomus mosseae* highly increased the flavonoid content

(Baslam et al. 2011). Eftekhari et al. (2012) observed an increased production of the flavonoid quercetin in the leaves of grape inoculated with *Glomus* sp. but the response depended on grape genotype. Inoculation of biofertilizer containing VAM and bacterial species considerably augmented the concentration of total phenolic compounds, flavonoids and phenolic acid and consequently the antioxidant capacity of the spinach (Khalid et al. 2017).

Recent data are also available on positive effects of nano-fertilizers on food quality. They improve the vegetative and reproductive traits of fruit trees, such as strawberry, mango, date, coffee and grape (Zahedi et al. 2020). In addition, they implement the uptake of Fe, Zn and Cu, but no paper reports if this uptake led to higher micronutrients level in consumable fruits and seeds. Only an increase in the concentration of phenols and polyphenols in pomegranate fruits is reported after the application of nano-selenium (Zahedi 2020). In this emerging context, the perspective of a "green nanotechnology" should combine the benefits provided by nano-products in solving environmental challenges with the assessment and management of environmental, health, and safety risks potentially posed by nanoscale materials (Iavicoli et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2018). It is urgent to take into account all the phases in which nano-carriers may be found in the environment, from application into the field to potential incorporation into food supply and possible influences exerted by the pedo-climatic conditions that may all affect nanomaterial hazardous properties and risks (Iavicoli et al. 2017; Walker et al. 2018).

Conclusions

Global climate change has generally negative effects on crop quality. In particular, the decrease of N, proteins and the essential micronutrients such as Fe and Zn have been shown by almost all studies examined in this paper. The reduction of N and essential minerals can have significant impacts on human nutrition. Fe and Zn deficiency is already an urgent issue in many parts of the world especially in regions where people depend on C3 grains such as wheat as their primary source of these micronutrients. Organic farming is the most ancient and widespread sustainable agricultural practice, but studies on organic food are fragmentary and contradictory, and so far there is not strong scientific evidence that they have better healthy properties than conventional food, except less pesticide content. However, organic food seems to have better antioxidant properties than conventional ones and this has been found also in response to different types of biofertilizers. The impact of nano-fertilizers on quality and nutritional characteristics of food is still unexplored, but their potential positive effects on plant growth and productivity make their utilization a promising technology for sustainable agriculture.

PA technologies will contribute more and more to food safety (Gebbers and Adamchuk 2010). PA makes farming more transparent by improving tracking, tracing and documenting. Crop and livestock monitoring will give better predictions on the quality of agricultural products. The food chain will be easier to monitor for producers, retailers and customers. It will also play a significant role in terms of plant health. Diseases undetectable by traditional means will be prevented by automated optical sensing and intelligent planning options. In conclusion, we urgently need a new research and technology paradigm to address the important issue of climate change and its impact on agriculture. New dedicated fertilizers, agronomic practice, e.g. precision agriculture, and ad-hoc policies will invariably shape the future of agriculture.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Funding

The work was funded by AGER Fondazioni in Rete per la Ricerca Agroalimentare grant n° 2017-2194.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Francesco Visioli, University of Padua (Italy) for the critical review of the manuscript and for useful suggestions.

Author contributions

CA, ML and GV designed the research. CA and ML independently did literature research and screening; CA, ML and GV wrote the manuscript and ML helped improve English writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Abbreviations

CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CSA	Climate Smart Agriculture
eCO_2	Elevated Carbon dioxide
EC	European Commission
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
GCG	Greenhouse Gases
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
IT	Information Technology
NO2	Nitrogen dioxide
OPs	Organophosphates
PA	Precision Agriculture
PGPR	Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VAM	Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhiza

References

Alori, E. T., and O. O. Babalola. 2018. Microbial inoculants for improving crop quality and human health in Africa. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 1–12 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02213

Applebaum, R. S., R. E. Brackett, D. W. Wiseman, and E. H. Marth. 1982. Aflatoxin: toxicity to dairy cattle and occurrence in milk and milk products - A Review. *Journal of Food* 45(8): 752–77. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-45.8.752.

Asami, D. K., Y. J. Hong, D. M. Barrett and A. E. Mitchell. 2003. Comparison of the total phenolic and ascorbic acid content of freeze-dried and air-dried marionberry, strawberry, and corn grown using conventional, organic, and sustainable agricultural practices. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 51(5): 1237–41. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf020635c.

Asseng, S., P. Martre, A. Maiorano, R. P. Rötter, G. J. O'Leary, G. J. Fitzgerald, C. Girousse, R. Motzo, F. Giunta, M. A. Babar, *et al*. 2019. Climate change impact and adaptation for wheat protein. *Global Change Biology* 25(1): 155–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14481.

Barański, M., D. Srednicka-Tober, N. Volakakis, C. Seal, R. Sanderson, G. B. Stewart, C. Benbrook, B. Biavati, E. Markellou, C. Giotis, *et al*. 2014. Higher antioxidant and lower cadmium concentrations and lower incidence of pesticide residues in organically grown crops: a systematic literature review and meta-analyses. *British Journal of Nutrition* 112(5):794-11. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514001366.

Baslam, M., I. Garmendia and N. Goicoechea. 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) improved growth and nutritional quality of greenhouse-grown lettuce. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 59(10): 5504–15. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf200501c.

Battilani, P., P. Toscano, H. J. Van Der Fels-Klerx, A. Moretti, M. Camardo Leggieri, C. Brera, A. Rortais, T. Goumperis, and T. Robinson. 2016. Aflatoxin B1 contamination in maize in Europe increases due to climate change. *Scientific Reports* 6: 24328. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep24328.

Baudry J, Debrauwer L, Durand G, Limon G, Delcambre A, Vidal R *et al*. 2019.Urinary pesticide concentrations in French adults with low and high organic food consumption: results from the general population-based NutriNet-Santé. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology*. 29:366-78. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0062-9

Beddington, J. R., M. Asaduzzaman, M. E. Clark, A. Fernández Bremauntz, M. D. Guillou, D. J. Howlett, M. M. Jahn, E. Lin, T. Mamo, C. Negra, *et al.* 2012. Agriculture. What next for agriculture after Durban? *Science* 335(6066):289-90. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217941.

Bhat, M. A., H. Ahsan, and S. Husain. 2017. Climate change and its impact on food quality. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience* 5(3): 709-725. http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.3090.

Bhattacharyya, P. N., and D. K. Jha. 2012. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 28:1327-50. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0979-9.

Blesa, J., J. M. Soriano, J. C. Moltó and J. Mañes. 2006. Factors affecting the presence of ochratoxin a in wines. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 46(6): 473–78. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500215803.

Bongiovanni, R. and J. Lowenberg-Deboer. 2004. Precision agriculture and sustainability. *Precision Agriculture* 5(4): 359–87.

Brandt, K. and J. P. Mølgaard. 2001. Organic agriculture: does it enhance or reduce the nutritional value of plant foods? *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 81(9): 924–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.903.

Bréant, D., K. Jézéquel, and T. Lebeau. 2002. Optimisation of the cell release from immobilised cells of *Bacillus simplex* cultivated in culture media enriched with Cd^{2+}: Influence of Cd^{2+}, inoculum size, culture medium and alginate beads characteristics. *Biotechnology Letters* 24(15): 1237–41.

Broberg, M. C., P. Högy and H. Pleijel. 2017. CO_2 -induced changes in wheat grain composition:

Meta-analysis and response functions. *Agronomy* **7**(32): 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy7020032.

Brownlie, W. J., C. -M Howard, G. Pasda, B. Navé, W. Zerulla, and M. A. Sutton. 2015. Developing a global perspective on improving agricultural nitrogen use. *Environmental Development* 15: 145–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2015.05.002.

Cao, L., Z. Zhou, S. Niu, C. Cao, X. Li, Y. Shan, and Q. Huang. 2018. Positive-charge functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles as nanocarriers for controlled 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid sodium salt release. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 66 (26): 6594–03. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01957.

Carbonaro, M., M. Mattera, S Nicoli, P. Bergamo, and M. Cappelloni. 2002. Modulation of antioxidant compounds in organic vs conventional fruit (peach, *Prunus persica* L., and pear, *Pyrus communis* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 50(1): 5458–62. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0344690.

Chen, H. and R. Yada. 2011. Nanotechnologies in agriculture: New tools for sustainable development. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* 22(11): 585–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2011.09.004.

Chen, H., J.N. Seiber, and M. Hotze. 2014. ACS select on nanotechnology in food and agriculture: A perspective on implications and applications. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 62(6): 1209–12. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf5002588.

Ciołek, A., E. Makarska and M. Wesołowski. 2012. Content of selected nutrients in wheat, barley and oat grain from organic and conventional farming. *Journal of Elementology* 17(2): 181-89. https://doi.org/10.5601/jelem.2012.17.2.02

Commission Regulation (EC) N. 1881/2006. Setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs, Text with EEA relevance (2006), Available: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2006/1881/oj

Dal Cortivo, C., G. Barion, G. Visioli, M. Mattarozzi, G. Mosca and T. Vamerali. 2017. Increased root growth and nitrogen accumulation in common wheat following PGPR inoculation: assessment of plant-microbe interactions by ESEM. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 247: 396-08. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.07.006.

Dal Cortivo, C., G. Barion, M. Ferrari, G. Visioli, L. Dramis, A. Panozzo, and T. Vamerali. 2018. Effects of field inoculation with VAM and bacteria consortia on root growth and nutrients uptake in common wheat. *Sustainability* 10(9): 3286. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093286.

Dal Cortivo, C., Ferrari, M., Visioli, G., Lauro, M., Fornasier, F., Barion, G., Panozzo A, Vamerali T. Effects of seed-applied biofertilizers on rhizosphere biodiversity and growth of common wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the Field. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 26, 11:72. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.00072.

de Orduña, M. R. 2010. Climate change associated effects on grape and wine quality and production. *Food Research International* 43(7): 1844–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2010.05.001.

Diallo, S., A. Crépin, C. Barbey, N. Orange, J. F. Burini, and X. Latour. 2011. Mechanisms and recent advances in biological control mediated through the potato rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 75(3): 351–64. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01023.x.

Dimkpa, C. O. and P. S. Bindraban. 2018. Nanofertilizers: New Products for the Industry? . *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 66(26): 6462–73. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02150.

Dong, J, N. Gruda, S. K. Lam, X. Li, and Z. Duan. 2018. Effects of elevated CO_2 on nutritional quality of vegetables: A review. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00924

Dornbos, D. L., and R. E. Mullen. 1992. Soybean seed protein and oil contents and fatty acid composition adjustments by drought and temperature. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society* **69**: 228–31.

Drakou, M., A. Birmpa, A. E. Koutelidakis, M. Komaitis, E. Z. Panagou and M. Kapsokefalou. 2015. Total antioxidant capacity, total phenolic content and iron and zinc dialyzability in selected Greek varieties of table olives, tomatoes and legumes from conventional and organic farming.

International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition 66(2) :197-02.https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2014.979320.

EC (European Commission), *Organic Certification* [on line], European Commission, DG Agriculture and Rural Development, Unit Agricultural modelling and outlook, Brussels (2016). Available at http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/organic/organicfarming/ what-is-organic-farming/organic-certification_en.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2016. *The 2014 European Union Report on Pesticide Residues in Food* [on line]. European Food Safety Authority, Parma, Italy. Available: https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/4611.

Eftekhari, M., M. Alizadeh, and P. Ebrahimi. 2012. Evaluation of the total phenolics and quercetin content of foliage in mycorrhizal grape (*Vitis vinifera L.*) varieties and effect of postharvest drying on quercetin yield. *Industrial Crops and Products* 38: 160–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.01.022

Egamberdieva, D., and A. O. Adesemoye. 2016. Improvement of Crop Protection and Yield in Hostile Agroecological Conditions with PGPR-Based Biofertilizer Formulations. In *Bioformulations: for Sustainable Agriculture* ed N. Arora, S. Mehnaz, R. Balestrini, 199-11. New Delhi: Springer.

Eltun, R. 1997. The Apelsvoll (Norway) cropping system experiment III. Yield and grain quality of cereals. *Norwegian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 10: 7-21.

Entry, J. A., and R. E. Sojka. 2007. Matrix based fertilizers reduce nitrogen and phosphorus leaching in greenhouse column studies. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 180(1-4): 283–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-006-9270-3.

Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), *Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition*, [on line], U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Center for Environmental Assessment Office of Research and Development: Washington, DC. EPA/600/R-09/052F (2011). Available at http://www.epa.gov/ncea/efh/report.html.

FAO, The Future of Food and Agriculture, Trends and Challenges [on line], Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2017). Available: http://www.fao.org/3/a-i6583e.pdf

Fiorilli, V., C. Vannini, F., Ortolani, D. Garcia-Seco, M.Chiapello, M. Novero, V. Terzi, C. Morcia, P. Bagnaresi, L. Moulin, *et al*. 2018. Omics approaches revealed how arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis enhances yield and resistance to leaf pathogen in wheat. *Scientific Reports* 8:9625. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27622-8.

Gan, Y, S. V. Angadi, H. Cutforth, D. Potts, V. V. Angadi, and C. L. McDonald. 2004. Canola and mustard response to short periods of temperature and water stress at different developmental stages. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 84(3): 697–04. https://doi.org/10.4141/P03-109.

Gebbers, R. and V. I. Adamchuk. 2010. Precision agriculture and food security. *Science* 237(5967): 828-31. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183899

Ghildiyal, M. C., and P. Sharma-Natu. 2000. Photosynthetic acclimation to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 38(10): 961–66.

Gomiero, T. 2013. Alternative land management strategies and their impact on soil conservation. *Agriculture* 3: 464–83. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture3030464.

Gomiero, T. 2018. Food quality assessment in organic vs. conventional agricultural produce: findings and issues. *Applied Soil Ecology* 123:714-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.10.014

Gourama, H. 2015. A preliminary mycological evaluation of organic and conventional foods. *Food Protection Trends* 35(5): 385–91.

Guo, H., White, J.C., Wang, Z., Xing B. 2018. Nano-enabled fertilizers to control the release and use efficiency of nutrients. *Current Opinion and Environmental Science and Health* 6: 77-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.07.009

Hartmann, M., B. Frey, J. Mayer, P. Mäder, and F. Widmer. 2015. Distinct soil microbial diversity under long-term organic and conventional farming. *The ISME Journal* 9(5): 1177–94. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.210.

He, X., H. Deng, and H. Hwang. 2019. The current application of nanotechnology in food and agriculture. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis* 27(1): 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2018.12.002.

Heidecke, C., H. Montgomery, H. Stalb, and L. Wollenberg (eds). 2018. *International Conference on Agricultural GHG Emissions and Food Security – Connecting research to policy and practice – Volume of Abstracts,* Braunschweig: Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut Berlin, Germany.

Högy, P., and A. Fangmeier. 2009. Atmospheric CO_2 enrichment affects potatoes: 2. Tuber quality traits. 2009. *European Journal of Agronomy* 30(2): 85–94 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2008.07.006.

Hyland, C, A. Bradman, R. Gerona, S. Patton, I. Zakharevich, R. B. Gunier, and K. Kendra. 2019. Organic diet intervention significantly reduces urinary pesticide levels in U.S. children and adults. *Environmental Research* 171:568-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.01.024

Iavicoli, I, Leso, V., Beezhold, D.H., Shvedova, A.A. 2017. Nanotechnology in agriculture: Opportunities, toxicological implications, and occupational risks. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* 329: 96–111. https//doi:10.1016/j.taap.2017.05.025.

Johansson, E., A. Hussain, R. Kuktaite, S. C. Andersson and M. E. Olsson. 2014. Contribution of organically grown crops to human health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 11(4): 3870–93. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110403870.

Khalid, M., D. Hassani, M. Bilal, F. Asad, and D. Huang. 2017. Influence of bio-fertilizer containing beneficial fungi and rhizospheric bacteria on health promoting compounds and antioxidant activity of *Spinacia oleracea* L. *Botanical Studies* 58:35. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40529-017-0189-3

Kirchmann, H., and G. Thorvaldsson. 2000. Challenging targets for future agriculture. *European Journal of Agronomy* 12(3-4): 145–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1161-0301(99)00053-2.

Köhler, I. H., S. C. Huber, C. J. Bernacchi, and I. R. Baxter. 2019. Increased temperatures may safeguard the nutritional quality of crops under future elevated CO_2 concentrations. *The Plant*

Journal **97**: 872–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14166

Kristl, J., A. U. Krajnc, B. Kramberger and S. G. Mlakar. 2013. Strawberries from integrated and organic production: mineral contents and antioxidant activity. *Acta Chimica Slovenica* 60(1):19-25.

Kumar, S., D. Kumar and N. Dilbaghi. 2017. Preparation, characterization, and bio-efficacy evaluation of controlled release carbendazim-loaded polymeric nanoparticles. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24(1): 926–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7774-y

Liu, B., C. Tu, S. Hu, M. Gumpertz, and J. B. Ristaino. 2007. Effect of organic, sustainable, and conventional management strategies in grower fields on soil physical, chemical, and biological factors and the incidence of Southern blight. *Applied Soil Ecology* 37(3): 202–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2007.06.007.

Liu, J., Y. Su, Q. Li, Q. Yue and B. Gao. 2013. Preparation of wheat straw based superabsorbent resins and their applications as adsorbents for ammonium and phosphate removal. *Bioresource Technology* **143**: 32–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.100.

Liu, R. and R. Lal. 2015. Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. *Science of the Total Environment* 514: 131–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.104.

Loladze, I. 2014. Hidden shift of the ionome of plants exposed to elevated CO_2 depletes minerals at the base of human nutrition. *Elife* 3: e02245 (2014). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02245.001.

Lombardi-Boccia, G., M. Lucarini, S. Lanzi, A. Aguzzi, and M. Cappelloni. 2004. Nutrients and antioxidant molecules in yellow plums (*Prunus domestica* L.) from conventional and organic productions: a comparative study. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 52(1): 90–94. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0344690.

Lupatini, M., G. W. Korthals, M. Hollander, T. K. S. de Janssens, and E. E. Kuramae. 2017. Soil microbiome is more heterogeneous in organic than in conventional farming system. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02064.

Lyman, N. B., K. S. V. Jagadish, L. L. Nalley, B. L. Dixon and T. Siebenmorgen. 2013. Neglecting rice milling yield and quality underestimates economic losses from high-temperature stress. *PLoS One* 8(8): e72157. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072157.

Mäder, P., D. Hahn, Dubois, D., L. Gunst, T. Alfoldi, H. Bergmann, M. Oehme, R. Amadò, H. Schneider, U. Graf, *et al*. 2007. Wheat quality in organic and conventional farming: results of a 21year field experiment. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 87(10):1826–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2866.

Magkos, F., F. Arvaniti and A. Zampelas. 2006. Organic food: buying more safety or just peace of mind? A critical review of the literature. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 46:23-56. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408690490911846.

McCarty, M. F. and J. Di Nicolantonio. 2014. Are organically grown foods safer and more healthful than conventionally grown foods? *British Journal of Nutrition* 112(10): 1589–91. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514002748.

Miller, L. V. N., F. Krebs, and K.M. Hambidge. 2007. A mathematical model of zinc absorption in humans as a function of dietary zinc and phytate. *The Journal of Nutrition* 137(1): 135–41. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.1.135.

Morari, F., V. Zanella, L. Sartori, G. Visioli, P. Berzaghi, and G. Mosca. 2018. Optimising durum wheat cultivation in North Italy. Understanding the effects of site-specific fertilization on yield and protein content. *Precision Agriculture* 19: 257-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-017-9515-8.

Myers, S. S., A. Zanobetti, I. Kloog, P. Huybers, A. D. B. Leakey, A. J. Bloom, E. Carlisle, L. H. Dietterich, G. Fitzgerald, T. Hasegawa, *et al*. 2014. Increasing CO_2 threatens human nutrition. *Nature* **510**: 139–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13179.

Pallottino, F., M. Biocca, P. Nardi, S. Figorilli, P. Menesatti, and C. Costa. 2018. Science mapping approach to analyze the research evolution on precision agriculture: world, EU and Italian situation. *Precision Agriculture* **19**: 1011–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-018-9569-2

Lambert D and J. Lowenberg-DeBoer. 2000. *Precision Agriculture Profitability Review* Site-Specific Management Center, Purdue University. Available: http://www.agriculture.purdue.edu/ssmc/.

Reich, M., A. N. Meerakker, S. van den Parmar, M. J. Hawkesford and L. J. De Kok. 2016. Temperature determines size and direction of effects of elevated CO_2 and nitrogen form on yield quantity and quality of Chinese cabbage. *Plant Biology* 18(S1): 63–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12396

Reilly, K., E. Cullen, T. Lola-Luz, D. Stone, J. Valverde, M. Gaffney, N. Brunton, J. Grant, and B. S. Griffiths. 2003. Effect of organic, conventional and mixed cultivation practices on soil microbial community structure and nematode abundance in a cultivated onion crop. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 93: 3700–09. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6206.

Ren, H., H. Bao, H. Endo and T. Hayashi. 2001. Antioxidative and antimicrobial activities and flavonoid contents of organically cultivated vegetables. *Nippon Shokuhin Kagaku Kogaku Kaish* 48(4): 246–52. https://doi.org/10.3136/nskkk.48.246.

Riley W. J., I. Ortiz-Monasterio, and P. A. Matson. 2001. Nitrogen leaching and soil nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium levels under irrigated wheat in Northern Mexico. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 61(3): 223–36. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013758116346.

Rillig, M. C., A. Lehmann, J. Lehmann, T Camenzind, and C. Rauh. 2018. Soil biodiversity effects from field to fork. *Trends in Plant Science* 23(1): 17–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2017.10.003

Rogovska, N., D. A. Laird, C. P. Chiou and L. J Bond. 2019. Development of field mobile soil nitrate sensor technology to facilitate precision fertilizer management. *Precision Agriculture* **20**: 40–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-018-9579-0.

Rossi, F., F. Godani, T. Bertuzzi, M. Trevisan, F. Ferrari and S. Gatti. 2008. Health-promoting substances and heavy metal content in tomatoes grown with different farming techniques. *European Journal of Nutrition* 47(5): 266–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-008-0721-z.

Rubert, J., J. M. Soriano, J. Mañes, and C. Soler. 2013. Occurrence of fumonisins in organic and conventional cereal-based products commercialized in France, Germany and Spain. *Food and and Chemical Toxicology* 56: 387–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2013.02.039.

Sánchez-Guerrero, M. C., P. Lorenzo, E. Medrano, N. Castilla, T. Soriano, and A. Baille. 2005. Effect of variable CO_2 enrichment on greenhouse production in mild winter climates. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* 1328(3-4): 244–52 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.07.014

Savin, R. and M. E. Nicolas. 1996. Effects of short periods of drought and high temperature on grain growth and starch accumulation of two malting barley cultivars. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 23(2): 201–10. https://doi.org/10.1071/PP9960201.

Sebastian, S., P. Kerr, R. Pearlstein, and W. Hitz. 2000. Soybean germplasm with novel genes for improved digestibility. In *Soy in animal nutrition*, ed. K. Drackley, 56-74. Savoy,.Illinois, USA: Federation of Animal Science Societies.

Sehgal, A., K. Sita, K. H. M. Siddique, R. Kumar, S. Bhogireddy, R. K. Varshney, B. H. Rao, R. M. Nair, P. V. Prasad, and H. Nayyar. 2018. Drought or/and heat-stress effects on seed filling in food crops: impacts on functional biochemistry, seed yields, and nutritional quality. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9 :1705. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01705

Seufert, V., N. Ramankutty, and J. A. Foley. 2012. Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature* 485: 229–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11069.

Slininger, P. J., D. A. Schisler, M. A. Shea-Andersh, J. M. Sloan, L. K. Woodell, M. J. Frazier, and N. L. Olsen. 2010. Multi-strain co-cultures surpass blends for broad spectrum biological control of maladies of potatoes in storage. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 20(8): 763–86.. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583151003717201.

Smith, V. H. and D. W. Schindler. 2009. Eutrophication science: where do we go from here? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 24(4): 201–07. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.11.009.

Smith-Spangler, C., M. L. Brandeau, G. E. Hunter, J. C. Bavinger, M. Pearson, P. J. Eschbach, V. Sundaram, H. Liu, P. Schirmer, C. Stave, *et al.* 2012. Are organic foods safer or healthier than conventional alternatives? *Annals of Intern Medicine* 157(5): 348–66. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-5-201209040-00007.

Stafford, J. V. 2013. *Precision Agriculture'13*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands.

Thomas, J.M.G., P. V. V. Prasad, K. J. Boote, and L. H. Allen. 2009. Seed composition, seedling emergence and early seedling vigour of red kidney bean seed produced at elevated temperature and carbon dioxide. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science.* 195(2): 148–56. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00348.x

Trebicki, P., N. Nancarrow, E. Cole, N. A. Bosque-Pérez, F. E. Constable, A. J. Freeman, B. Rodoni, A. L. Yen, J. L. Luck, and G. J. Fitzgerald. 2015. Virus disease in wheat predicted to increase with a changing climate. *Global Change Biology* 21(9): 3511–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12941

Tsochatzis, E. D., K. Bladenopoulos, and M. Papageorgiou. 2012. Determination of tocopherol and tocotrienol content of Greek barley varieties under conventional and organic cultivation techniques using validated reverse phase high-performance liquid chromatography method. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 92(8): 1732–39. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.5539.

Tsukaguchi, T. and Y. Iida. 2008. Effects of assimilate supply and high temperature during grain-filling period on the occurrence of various types of chalky kernels in rice plants (Oryza sativa L.). *Plant Production Science* 11(2): 203–10. https://doi.org/10.1626/pps.11.203.

Uddling, J., M. C. Broberg, Z. Feng, and H. Pleijel. 2018. Crop quality under rising atmospheric CO_2. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **45**: 262–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2018.06.001.

USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), *Organic Regulations* [on line] (2016). Available at https://www.ams.usda.gov/rules-regulations/organic.

Van der Fels-Klerx, H.J., L. C. Vermeulen, A. K. Gavai, and C. Liu. 2019. Climate change impacts on aflatoxin B1 in maize and aflatoxin M1 in milk: A case study of maize grown in Eastern Europe and imported to the Netherlands. *PLoS One* 14(6): e0218956.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218956

Visioli, G., T. Vamerali, C. Dal Cortivo, S. Trevisan, B. Simonato, and G. Pasini. 2018. Pasta-making properties of the new durum wheat variety *Biensur* suitable for the northern Mediterranean environment. *Italian Journal of Food Science* 30(4): 673-83.https://doi.org/10.14674/IJFS-1163.

Vrček, I. V., D. V. Čepo, D. Rašić, M. Peraica, I. Žuntar, M. Bojić, G. Mendaš, and M. Medić-Šarić. 2014. A comparison of the nutritional value and food safety of organically and conventionally produced wheat flours. *Food Chemistry* 143(15):522-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.08.022.

Glen W. Walker, G.W., Kookana, R.S., Smith, N.E., Kah, M., Doolette, C.L., Reeves, P.T., Lovell, W., Darren J. Anderson, D.J., Terence, W., Turney, T.W., Divina A., Navarro, D.A. 2018. Ecological risk assessment of nano-enabled pesticides: A perspective on problem formulation. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 66, 6480−6486 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02373

Wang, S. Y., and W. Zheng. 2001. Effect of plant growth temperature on antioxidant capacity in strawberry. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 49(10): 4977–82. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0106244.

Williams, M., P. R. Shewry, D. W. Lawlor, and J. L. Harwood. 1995. The effects of elevated temperature and atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration on the quality of grain lipids in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) grown at two levels of nitrogen application. *Plant Cell and Environment* 18(9): 999–09. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00610.x.

Woese, K., D. Lange, C. Boess and K. W. Bögl. 1997. A comparison of organically and conventionally grown foods-results of a review of the relevant literature. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 74(3): 281–93. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-001.

Yang, L. and Y. Wang. 2019. Impact of climate change on rice grain quality. In *Rice* (Fourth Edition), ed J. Bao 427-41. St. Paul, MN 55121 USA: AACC International Press.

Yang, L., Y. Wang, G. Dong, H. Gu, J. Huang, J. Zhu, H. Yang, G. Liu, and Y. Han. 2007. The impact of free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE) and nitrogen supply on grain quality of rice. *Field Crop Research* 102(2): 128–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2007.03.006.

Zahedi, S. M., M. Karimi, J. A. Teixeira da Silva. 2020. The use of nanotechnology to increase quality and yield of fruit crops. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 100(1):25-31. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10004

Zain, M.E. 2011. Impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society* 15: 129-144. https://doi.org/110.1016/j.jscs.2010.06.006

Zhang, N., M. Wang, and N. Wang. 2002. Precision agriculture: a worldwide overview. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 36(2-3): 113-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1699(02)00096-0

Zhu C., K. Kobayashi, I. Loladze, J. Zhu, Q. Jiang, X. Xu, G. Liu, S. Seneweera, K. L. Ebi, A. Drewnowski, N. K., Fukagawa, and L. H. Ziska. 2018. Carbon dioxide (CO_2) levels this century will alter the protein, micronutrients, and vitamin content of rice grains with potential health consequences for the poorest rice-dependent countries. *Science Advances* 4(5): 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaq1012.

Ziska, L. H., and J. A. Bunce. 2007. Predicting the impact of changing CO_2 on crop yields: some thoughts on food. *New Phytologist* 175(4): 607– 18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02180.x

Zörb, C., K. Niehaus, A. Barsch, T. Betsche, and G. Langenkämper. 2009. Levels of compounds and metabolites in wheat ears and grains in organic and conventional agriculture. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 57(20): 9555-62. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9019739.

Figure Caption

Figure 1: Different sustainable agronomic practices and their possible effects on climate change mitigation and quality and safety of food products. CSA= Climate Smart Agriculture



Figure 1: Different sustainable agronomic practices and their possible effects on climate change mitigation and quality and safety of food products. CSA= Climate Smart Agriculture

296x209mm (300 x 300 DPI)