UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors in mares: A preliminary investigation on epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor-immune microenvironment

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors in mares: A preliminary investigation on epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor-immune microenvironment / Armando, F.; Porcellato, I.; de Paolis, L.; Mecocci, S.; Passeri, B.; Ciurkiewicz, M.; Mechelli, L.; Grazia De Ciucis, C.; Pezzolato, M.; Fruscione, F.; Brachelente, C.; Montemurro, V.; Cappelli, K.; Puff, C.; Baumgartner, W.; Ghelardi, A.; Razzuoli, E.. - In: VETERINARY PATHOLOGY. - ISSN 1544-2217. - (2023), p. 3009858231207025. [10.1177/03009858231207025]

Availability:
This version is available at: 11381/2967857 since: 2024-12-17T10:39:42Z

Publisher:
SAGE PUBLICATIONS INC

Published
DOI:10.1177/03009858231207025

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

02 May 2026

Vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors in mares: a preliminary investigation on epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor immune microenvironment

Federico Armando[1, †], Ilaria Porcellato[2, †, *], Livia de Paolis[3], Samanta Mecocci[2, 4, *], Benedetta Passeri[5], Małgorzata Ciurkiewicz[1], Luca Mechelli[2], Chiara Grazia De Ciucis[3,6], Marzia Pezzolato[3], Floriana Fruscione[3], Chiara Brachelente[2], Vittoria Montemurro[3], Katia Cappelli[2, 4], Christina Puff[1], Wolfgang Baumgärtner[1], Alessandro Ghelardi[7] and Elisabetta Razzuoli[3]

[1]Department of Pathology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany

[2]Department of Veterinary Science, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

[3]Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale del Piemonte, Liguria e Valle D'Aosta, National Reference Center of Veterinary and Comparative Oncology (CEROVEC), Genova, Italy

[4]Centro di Ricerca sul Cavallo Sportivo, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

[5]Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

[6] Department of public health, experimental medicine and forensic medicine, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

[7]Azienda Usl Toscana Nord-Ovest, UOC Ostetricia e Ginecologia, Ospedale Apuane, Massa, Italy

* Corresponding authors: Samanta Mecocci, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy, samantamecocci@gmail.com; Ilaria Porcellato, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy, Email: ilariaporcellatodvm@gmail.com.

† These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered joint first authors.

ABSTRACT

Vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors are uncommon in mares and data on the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the tumor-immune microenvironment (TIME) are still lacking. This is a study investigating the equus caballus papillomavirus type 2 (EcPV2) infection state as well as EMT process and the tumor microenvironment in vulvo-vaginal pre-neoplastic/ benign (8/22) or malignant (14/22) epithelial lesions in mares. To do this, histopathological, immunohistochemical, transcriptomic, *in situ* hybridization, and correlation analyses were carried out. Immunohistochemistry quantification showed that cytoplasmic E-cadherin and β-catenin expression as well as nuclear β-catenin expression were features of malignant lesions, while benign/pre-neoplastic lesions were mainly characterized by membranous E-cadherin and β-catenin expression. Despite this, there were no differences between benign and malignant equine vulvo-vaginal lesions in the expression of downstream genes involved in the canonical and non-canonical wnt/β-catenin pathways. In addition, malignant lesions were characterized by a lower number of cells with cytoplasmic cytokeratin expression as well as a slightly higher cytoplasmic vimentin immunolabeling. The TIME of malignant lesions was characterized by more numerous CD204$^+$ M2-polarized macrophages. Altogether, our results support the hypothesis that some actors in TIME, such as CD204$^+$ M2-polarized macrophages may favor the EMT process in equine vulvo-vaginal malignant lesions providing new insights for future investigations in the field of equine EcPV2-induced genital neoplastic lesions.

47 **Keywords:** epithelial to mesenchymal transition, equus caballus papillomavirus type 2,
48 genital carcinomas, horse, immunohistochemistry, real-time PCR, tumor-immune
49 microenvironment

50

51 Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) represents the most common malignant cutaneous tumor
52 in horses, accounting for 7-37% of all skin lesions. It can develop at any site on the skin and
53 mucosa, although non-pigmented skin and muco-cutaneous junctions, such as eyelids and
54 external genitalia of both male and female horses, are the preferential sites.[40,46,55]
55 Growing evidence has suggested that equus caballus papillomavirus type 2 (EcPV2) is likely
56 the etiological cause of equine SCCs, including penile and preputial SCC,[40,46] as well as
57 equine vulvo-vaginal carcinoma.[35,38,46,55] In the last decade, numerous studies have
58 investigated the prevalence and the possible role of EcPV2 in inducing penile and preputial
59 epithelial preneoplastic lesions and both benign and malignant tumors.[46] However, the role
60 of EcPV2 in the pathogenesis of genital lesions in mares remain elusive.[55,35,38,16,43] Similar
61 to the equine male counterpart, vulvo-vaginal carcinoma, represent a malignant tumor that
62 can occur with a de novo onset or arise from precursor lesions, like plaques and papillomas.
63 [52,53]
64 Recently, different equine SCCs have been reported to undergo the epithelial to
65 mesenchymal transition (EMT) process. The transition from an epithelial to mesenchymal
66 phenotype plays a pivotal role in processes like physiological embryogenesis and
67 fibrosis.[33,50] The reactivation of this process has been proposed as a pivotal driver of tumor
68 progression, invasiveness, and cancer metastasis.[10,27] More specifically, EMT consists of a
69 multistep process, characterized by the activation of the main transcription factors (TWIST,
70 ZEB, SNAIL1, and SLUG), which subsequently leads to the loss of epithelial marker
71 expression (E-cadherin, β-catenin, and cytokeratin), promoting the acquisition of a

mesenchymal phenotype (N-cadherin and vimentin expression), finally enabling invasiveness during cancer progression.[11]

In the last decades, a research field that has provided new insights on tumor progression and potential therapeutic targets, is the tumor-immune microenvironment (TIME). It is becoming more evident that tumors develop in a heterogenous and dynamic ecosystem, wherein the interactions between different players (i.e. stromal cells, endothelial cells, immune cells) orchestrate tumor reprogramming and drive cancer progression, representing an interesting network from which new potential therapeutic targets can be identified.[19,51]

Immune cells represent a particularly interesting component of this microenvironment playing a potentially critical role when employed for both prognostic or therapeutic purposes, as demonstrated by the recent successful application of immunotherapeutic protocols.[5]

Although data about the immune microenvironment characterization has been reported in equine SCC,[34] there is still a lack of these information for equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial preneoplastic and neoplastic lesions.

EMT and TIME are two different and parallel processes. Indeed, in the last few years, a direct and synergistic interaction among the two has been highlighted in different types of cancer.[13–15,17,47] In particular, EMT seems to enhance immune evasion by increasing the number of intratumoral myeloid-derived suppressor cells and the expression of PD-L1 in several types of cancer. As a result, the signaling pathways stimulated by molecules involved in tumor immune suppression could lead to the induction of EMT.[47]

It is fairly well known that naturally occurring tumors in domestic animals can provide precious opportunities to study cancer *in vivo*. To date, several studies investigated the EMT process in different equine tumors,[2,4,45,30] but there is still a lack of data regarding equine vulvar SCC. As a matter of fact, most information on the biology and features of preneoplastic and neoplastic epithelial lesions in mares are borrowed from the available studies on penile and preputial epithelial lesions in male horses. Therefore, this study aims

98 at providing insights on the biology of vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors in mares, focusing in
99 particular on the characterization of EMT and TIME, and on their possible interaction in
100 supporting tumor progression
101
102 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
103 **Case selection**
104 Cases were retrospectively selected from the archives of the veterinary pathology section
105 of the Department of Veterinary Medicine of the University of Perugia and the Institute of
106 Pathology of the University of Veterinary Medicine of Hannover based on the following
107 inclusion criteria: 1. histological diagnosis of epithelial hyperplasia/dysplasia, papilloma,
108 carcinoma *in situ*, and SCC; 2. confirmed vulvar or vaginal localization of the lesions; 3.
109 availability of greater than 0.5 cm^2 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue
110 per section. When available, surgical margins without neoplastic tissue were selected
111 together with neoplastic tissue for histological and immunohistochemical comparisons.

112 All samples were used for histopathological and immunohistochemical evaluations. For viral
113 DNA testing, *in situ* hybridization, and gene expression assessment, only 19 samples (8
114 benign/pre-neoplastic lesions and 11 SCC) were evaluated due to samples availability
115 restrictions. Three SCC cases were excluded due to scarce FFPE material availability and
116 poor genomic quality. In addition, 2-3 cm in diameter samples were collected at the
117 slaughterhouse from 6 macroscopically healthy vulvar and vaginal mucosa. These were
118 used as healthy control group (CTRL) for immunohistochemical analysis of EMT, *in situ*
119 hybridization, and gene expression analysis.
120
121 **Histological evaluations**

122 Slides from the 22 cases were blindly evaluated by two board-certified veterinary
123 pathologists (IP and MC). Diagnoses were reassessed and different histological
124 characteristics were described. A differentiation score was also assigned to malignant
125 tumors (0=undifferentiated, when it was not possible to see signs of squamous
126 differentiation; 1=poorly differentiated, when rare signs of squamous differentiation were
127 present; 2=moderately differentiated, when signs of squamous differentiation are present
128 and occasional keratin pearls are seen; 3=well differentiated, when squamous differentiation
129 is maintained throughout the tumor). Mitotic count (MC) was evaluated in 2,37 mm^2;[32] and
130 the presence/absence of vascular invasion, ulceration, and necrosis were recorded. The
131 presence and extent of koilocytosis, hypergranulosis, hyperkeratosis, (0=absent; 1=mild,
132 when focally present; 2=moderate, when multifocal areas where observed, but less than
133 50%; 3=prominent, when >50% of the epithelium was affected) stroma (0=absent; 1=fine
134 fibrovascular stroma; 2=thin to thick bundles of fibrovascular stroma; 3=thick to desmoplastic
135 stroma), and inflammation (0=absent; 1=mild, when focal area or small multifocal infiltrates
136 <10 inflammatory cells where observed; 2=moderate, when multifocal infiltrates of <50 cells
137 where observed; 3=severe, when multifocal aggregates >50 inflammatory cells to diffuse
138 infiltration of inflammatory cells were observed) were also assessed. The different
139 inflammatory cell populations were evaluated semiquantitatively both within the neoplastic
140 tissue and, when possible, in the extratumoral tissue (0=absent; 1=mild; 2= moderate;
141 3=severe, as assessed for inflammation). The distribution of inflammatory cells and their
142 localization (predominantly intratumoral, predominantly extratumoral, or both) was
143 evaluated, together with the severity in each compartment (0=absent; 1=mild; 2=moderate;
144 3=severe, as assessed for inflammation). The tumors were also classified into immune-
145 infiltrated, immune-altered, and immune-deserted.[24] In case of non-concordance among the
146 two pathologists, data were re-discussed and a final agreement was reached.

147

148 **DNA Extraction and EcPV2 detection**

149 The presence of the *L1, E2, E6,* and *E7* genes of EcPV2 was tested in 19 samples and
150 healthy mucosa. DNA was extracted from two, 5 μm thick sections as previously described[6]
151 and quantified by QUBIT 3 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 100 ng of DNA
152 were used for viral gene detection and for assessing DNA amplifiability by *beta-2-*
153 *microglobulin* (*B2M*) gene amplification. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was
154 performed as previously described,[44] using 200 nM of the probe, 100 nM of each primer and
155 Taq DNA Polymerase MasterMix (Biorad Laboratories, Berkeley, CA, USA) with the
156 following thermal profile: 95 °C for 10 minutes, then 39 cycles of 95 °C for 15 seconds, and
157 60 °C for 60 seconds. Oligonucleotide sequences of primers and probes are reported
158 Supplemental Table S1, The CFX96 Real-Time System (Biorad Laboratories, Berkeley, CA,
159 USA) was used, setting a Cq of 38 as cut-off for virus positivity.

160

161 **RNA Extraction and viral and host gene expression**

162 Total RNA was extracted from 19 samples and healthy mucosa using five FFPE sections (5
163 µm thickness) using RecoverAll Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit for FFPE (Invitrogen,
164 ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions.
165 SuperScript IV VILO Master Mix (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA)
166 was used to reverse-transcribe 250 ng of total RNA from each sample that was diluted 1:10
167 for real-time PCR. Gene expression of *L1*, *E2, E6*, and *E7* viral genes was evaluated using
168 probes and primers reported in Supplemental Table S1 following the protocol used for viral
169 DNA (previous section). The same protocol was applied to directly test the RNA to exclude
170 possible contaminations by EcPV2 genomic DNA. For host relative gene expression the
171 following genes were tested: *C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 8* (*CXCL8*), interleukins (*IL2,*
172 *IL17A, IL23A, IL12A, IL12B, IL10*), *transforming growth factor-beta* (*TGFB*), *interferon*
173 *gamma* (*IFNG*), *AP-1 transcription factor subunit* (*FOSL1*), *lymphoid enhancer binding factor*

174 *1* (*LEF1*), *catenin beta 1* (*CTNNB1*), *hypoxia inducible factor 1 subunit alpha* (*HIF1A*), *von*
175 *Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor* (*VHL*), and egl-9 family hypoxia inducible factors (*EGLN1,*
176 *EGLN2, EGLN3*). Primer sequences are reported in Supplemental Table S2. The Power
177 SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA,
178 USA) was used, applying the following thermal profile in the CFX96 Real-Time System
179 (Biorad Laboratories, Berkeley, CA, USA): 95 °C for 3 minutes, then 50 cycles of 95 °C for
180 15 seconds and 60 °C for 30 seconds and finally the melting curve going up in the range
181 58–95 °C with an increment of 0.01 °C/second. *B2M* was utilized as reference.[45] The primer
182 pairs first used in this study are represented in the table by accession numbers and were
183 designed including an intron or spanning an exon-exon junction through Primer3web tool v.
184 4.1.0 (https://primer3.ut.ee). Technical replicates were included in the reaction, collecting
185 fluorescence data at the end of the extension step of each cycle and to construct the melting
186 curve. Relative expression was calculated through the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method. For samples with no
187 detectable amplification, a Cq of 42 was chosen as the detection threshold.
188
189 ***In Situ* Hybridization**
190 The in-situ hybridization (ISH) used in this study was the RNAscope ISH Technology
191 (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Hayward, CA). The presence of *EcPV2 E6/E7* oncogenes were
192 investigated directly on neoplastic FFPE samples. The assay was optimized and performed
193 according to manufacturer's instructions. The tissue samples were cut at 4 µm thickness,
194 placed on SuperFrost Plus (Fisher Scientific) glass slides and deparaffinized in a series of
195 xylene and 100% ethanol steps. On each section, the protease was added and pre-treated
196 through heating in the dry oven HybEZ for 1h at 60°C. The RNA-specific sequence was
197 identified through the binding with the target probe. The following process was the
198 hybridization of the probe with signal-amplifying molecules in six successive steps. For each
199 step, the amplifying solution, up to the complete coverage of the slide, was added and

200 subsequently incubated in the dry oven HybEZ for either 30 minutes for *AMP-1*, *AMP-3*, and
201 *AMP-5*, and 15 minutes for *AMP-2*, *AMP-4*, and *AMP-6*. Between each step, the slide was
202 washed with a 1x wash buffer for 2 minutes at room temperature. The detection of the
203 amplified signal was performed using the chromogenic substrate (Fast RED, abcam,
204 Cambridge, UK). Slides were then counterstained with Gill 's hematoxylin for 2 minutes at
205 room temperature. In this study, we used RNAscope 2.5 HD Kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics,
206 Hayward, CA, USA), according to their prescribed procedure. As positive control, a sample
207 of SCC positive for *EcPV2* DNA and RNA, confirmed by histology and molecular biology,
208 was used. The slides were evaluated with a Zeiss Axio Scope A1 microscope (Zeiss, Jena,
209 Germany) at increasing magnifications (10x, 20x, and 40x) and were considered positive if
210 red punctuate dots in epithelial neoplastic cells were detected.
211

212 **Immunohistochemistry**

213 Immunohistochemistry was carried out as previously described.[2,34] Briefly, immunolabeling
214 was performed with standard protocols on serial sections, using antibodies anti-pan-
215 cytokeratin AE1/AE3, E-cadherin, β-catenin, N-cadherin, vimentin, ZEB-1, TWIST-1, and
216 HIF-1α for EMT and anti-CD3, CD20, FoxP3, MUM1, IBA1, CD204, and MPO for
217 characterizing immune infiltrate. Details about protocols and positive controls are
218 summarized in Supplemental Table S3. Avidin–biotin complex (ABC) peroxidase kit (Vector
219 Labs) was used after secondary antibody application. The immunolabeling was revealed
220 with 3,3´-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) system (Vector Labs) for pan-
221 cytokeratin AE1/AE3, E-cadherin, β-catenin, N-cadherin, vimentin, ZEB-1, TWIST-1, and
222 HIF-1α and with 3-amino-9-ethilcarbazole (AEC Single Solution, Abcam) for CD3, CD20,
223 FoxP3, MUM1, IBA1, CD204, and myeloperoxidase (MPO). Counterstaining was performed
224 with Meyer's hematoxylin. Negative controls were run by omitting the primary antibody and
225 incubating sections with TBS.

226 Healthy vulvar tissues obtained from mares at the slaughterhouse (control group) were used
227 to asses baseline expression of EMT-related markers in a normal vulvar epithelium. Positive
228 cells were evaluated manually by counting 10 evenly distributed fields within the tumor
229 periphery/invasive front at 400x magnification (field of view size: 0.0305 mm^2) using a Nikon
230 Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon Corporation, Japan) with a Nikon PLAN APO lens and
231 equipped with a Camera DIGITAL SIGHT DS-Fi1 (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).
232 Pictures were acquired with a DS camera control unit DS-L2 (Nikon Corporation, Japan)
233 and stored in a USB device. For control samples, 10 high-power fields (400×) evenly
234 distributed within the mucosal epithelial layer were analyzed.
235
236 **Statistical Analysis**
237 Descriptive statistics were used to describe basic features of the data and values are
238 expressed as medians (Mdn) and interquartile range (IQR). Parametric and non-parametric
239 tests were used to test hypotheses. Mann-Whitney U tests were performed to assess
240 differences among groups. Correlation analysis was performed using the Spearman's test
241 (ρ). Statistical tests were performed with IBM SPSS (version 21). After normality check of
242 gene expression data through the Shapiro–Wilk, the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was
243 used to assess differences between CTRL, benign/pre-neoplastic lesions (BPL), and
244 malignant epithelial tumors (MET) groups. The post-hoc Dunn's multiple comparison test
245 was applied and a p-value (*p*) threshold was set at 0.05 for the statistical significance. Data
246 analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5.04 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA,
247 USA).
248
249 **RESULTS**
250 *Case selection, histological characterization, and correlations among variables*

251 Twenty-two cases of epithelial vulvo-vaginal lesions were retrospectively retrieved. The
252 median age of both BPL and MET bearing mares was 18 years. All the tumors collected
253 were primary lesions at their first presentation, from non-neutered mares. No staging
254 information nor follow-up information were available for the selected cases.
255 From the 22 retrospectively selected cases, 14/22 (64%) were diagnosed as METs (13 SCC
256 and 1 adenosquamous carcinoma), 1/22 (4%) was an *in situ* carcinoma, 4/22 (18%) were
257 papillomas, and 3/22 (14%) were classified as epithelial hyperplasia/dysplasia. *In situ*
258 carcinoma, papillomas, and epithelial hyperplasia/dysplasia were grouped together as
259 BPLs. Representative images of the BPL and MET groups are shown in Figures 1a-d. Eight
260 out of fourteen cases (57%) of malignant tumors were graded as well differentiated, 3/14
261 (21%) as moderately differentiated, and 4/14 (29%) as poorly differentiated. No case was
262 classified as undifferentiated. The median MC of all cases was 29.75 mitoses/2,37 mm^2
263 (IQR=14.12-43.62), and was 17.5 mitoses/2,37 mm^2 (IQR=5.0-30.0) in the BPL group and
264 37.5 mitoses/2,37 mm^2 (IQR=24.62-44.37) in MET. The MC was not significantly different
265 between the two groups, but was higher in cases where ulceration was present ($p<0.05$).
266 Vascular invasion was observed in 3/22 cases (14% of cases), which were all SCCs. Data
267 are summarized in Supplemental Table S4.
268 Further analyzing 22 cases of vulvo-vaginal lesions, the amount of koilocytosis showed a
269 strong inverse correlation with malignancy ($p<0.001$; $\rho=-0.709$), similarly to hypergranulosis,
270 which had a moderately strong inverse correlation ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.524$). The presence and
271 extent of hyperkeratosis had a strong correlation with the degree of differentiation ($p<0.01$;
272 $\rho=0.790$). The severity of intratumoral inflammation was also strongly associated with the
273 amount of stroma ($p<0.001$, $\rho=0.659$) and with the overall inflammation of the specimens
274 ($p<0.001$, $\rho=0.699$). On the other hand, the severity of extratumoral inflammation had a
275 strong positive correlation with the degree of differentiation ($p<0.001$, $\rho=0.895$) and with the

276 overall degree of inflammation ($p<0.001$, $\rho=0.818$). The macroscopically normal vulvar
277 mucosae sampled at the slaughterhouse did not show any histopathological alterations.
278
279
280
281 **Vulvo-vaginal equine MET invariably express EcVP-2 oncogenes, whereas in BLP**
282 **lesions, viral replication was not confirmed in all cases**
283 MET and BPL groups were investigated for the presence of EcPV2 DNA. All samples were
284 positive for *EcPV2-L1* viral DNA. Sixteen out of 19 (84%) cases were positive for *EcPV2-E2*
285 viral DNA. The 3 negative samples included 2 METs and 1 BPL. All cases were positive for
286 *EcPV2-E6* and *E7* viral DNA (Supplementall Table S5).
287 Next, MET and BPL groups were investigated in order to verify EcPV2 oncogenes *L1, E2,*
288 *E6,* and *E7* expression. The *L1* gene was expressed ($L1^{+}$) in 17/19 (89%) samples, with 2
289 BPLs being negative for *L1* expression ($L1^{-}$). *E2* expression was detected in 13/19 (68%)
290 samples; 3 METs and 3 BPLs were negative. On the other hand, 17/19 (89%) samples were
291 positive for *E6* as well as for *E7*, while 2 BPL samples were negative. 16/19 (84%) samples
292 expressed both *E6* and *E7* oncogenes. Interestingly, all METs expressed both *E6* and *E7*
293 mRNAs whereas expression was detected in only 5/8 (62%) BPLs (Supplemental Table S5).
294 Healthy mucosa samples (CTRL group) were negative for EcPV2-*L1* viral DNA detection.
295 Viral gene expression was investigated in 19 tumors using *in situ* hybridization. *E6/E7*
296 oncogenes expression was detected in 10/19 (53%) samples. 8/11 (73%) METs were
297 positive, whereas only 2/8 (25%) BPLs were positive. Dots were observed within the
298 cytoplasm of epithelial cells, both in MET and BPL samples (Figs. 1e-f; Supplemental Table
299 S6). The *in situ* hybridization for *E6/E7* oncogenes was negative in the CTRL group.
300

301 **Intermediate filaments rearrangements, “cadherin switching” and activation of the**
302 **wnt/β-catenin pathways are feature of the EMT process in equine vulvo-vaginal MET**

303 In order to verify if EMT is a feature of equine vulvo-vaginal malignant neoplasia, expression
304 of the main EMT markers was investigated in tumor cells. Representative pictures of
305 cytokeratin and vimentin immunolabelings in equine vulvo-vaginal MET can be found in
306 Figures 2a-b. Quantification of cells immunolabeled for intermediate filaments such as
307 cytokeratins (pancytokeratin AE3/AE1) revealed a significantly ($p \leq 0.001$) lower number of
308 cells expressing cytoplasmic cytokeratin in the invasive front of METs when compared to
309 BPL and CTRL (Fig. 3a). On the other hand, the number of cells showing cytoplasmic
310 vimentin immunolabeling in the invasive front of METs was slightly higher compared to BPL
311 and CTRL (Fig. 3b).

312 Focusing on adhesion molecules expression, E-cadherin quantification revealed a
313 significantly lower overall number of cells expressing E-cadherin in the invasive front of
314 METs when compared to BPL ($p \leq 0.01$) and CTRL ($p \leq 0.001$). METs had a significantly lower
315 number of cells with a membranous E-cadherin immunolabeling than BPL ($p \leq 0.01$) and
316 CTRL ($p \leq 0.0001$), whereas the number of cells with aberrant cytoplasmic E-cadherin
317 expression was higher in METs and BPLs compared to the CTRL (Figs. 3c-e). On the other
318 hand, quantification of N-cadherin-expressing cells revealed that the number of cells
319 immunolabeled for N-cadherin was significantly higher in METs compared to BPL ($p<0.05$)..
320 In particular, the number of cells with membranous or cytoplasmic N-cadherin
321 immunolabeling was higher in METs compared to BPL and the CTRL (Figs. 3f-h).
322 Representative images of E- and N-cadherin immunolabelings in equine vulvo-vaginal MET
323 can be found in Figures 2c-d. Despite evidence of intermediate filaments rearrangement
324 and cadherin switching, quantification of the immunolabeling for transcription factors
325 involved in the EMT process showed a lack of expression of ZEB-1 in all samples from all

326 groups. On the other hand, TWIST-1 was detected in only four samples from the MET group,
327 and was mainly nuclear (Supplemental Figure S1). Additional transcription factors involved
328 in the EMT process, such as β-catenin and HIF-1α, were subsequently investigated.
329 Representative pictures of β-catenin and HIF-1α immunolabelings in equine vulvo-vaginal
330 MET can be found in Figures 4a-b. The number of cells expressing β-catenin in the invasive
331 front of METs was significantly lower than in BPL ($p \leq 0.01$) and CTRL ($p \leq 0.001$). The number
332 of cells with a membranous β-catenin expression was significantly lower in METs compared
333 to BPL ($p \leq 0.01$) and CTRL ($p \leq 0.001$), whereas the number of cells expressing nuclear β-
334 catenin was significantly higher in METs than in BPL ($p \leq 0.01$). Interestingly, the number of
335 cells with a cytoplasmic β-catenin expression was higher in both METs and BPLs compared
336 to the normal mucosa (Figs. 5a-d).
337 HIF-1α expression was evaluated based on previous findings that reported the involvement
338 of HIF-1α in the EMT process in equine tumors.[2] Vulvo-vaginal METs displayed a
339 significantly ($p \leq 0.01$) higher number of cells expressing HIF-1α compared to normal
340 mucosa. Interestingly, BPLs had the highest number of cells with a cytoplasmic HIF-1α
341 expression. On the other hand, the number of cells expressing nuclear HIF-1α was
342 significantly higher in METs than in BPLs ($p < 0.05$) or CTRL ($p \leq 0.001$; Figs. 5e-g). Taken
343 together, these findings were suggestive of an EMT process in vulvo-vaginal METs.
344 Identification of β-catenin nuclear translocation and the HIF-1α nuclear and cytoplasmic
345 expression in the malignant and non-malignant lesions, respectively, prompted us to further
346 investigate the expression of selected downstream pathway-related genes.
347 Investigation of down-stream genes of non-canonical wnt/Ca2+ and canonical wnt/β-catenin
348 pathways showed that *FOSL-1* ($p < 0.05$) and *LEF-1* ($p \leq 0.01$) were significantly more
349 expressed in METs compared to CTRL group, while only *LEF-1* was also significantly more
350 expressed in BPLs compared to CTRL ($p < 0.05$). *CTNNB1* gene expression displayed no
351 differences among the groups. Subsequently, investigation of the gene expression of

352 enzymes involved in the cytoplasmic degradation of HIF-1α, namely *EGNL1, EGNL2,*
353 *EGNL3,* and *VHL* revealed a significantly higher expression of *EGNL3* ($p<0.05$) and *VHL*
354 ($p<0.05$) in the BPL group compared to CTRL lesions. Interestingly, *HIF-1A* gene expression
355 was significantly higher in both MET ($p<0.05$) and BPL ($p\leq0.01$) groups compared to CTRL
356 (Fig. 6).
357 Spearman's correlation test analysis of the epithelial marker expression revealed that there
358 was moderately strong positive correlations between the number of cytokeratin expressing
359 cells and E-cadherin ($p\leq0.001$; $\rho=0.793$) and β-catenin ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=0.673$) immunolabelled
360 cells as well as a there was a moderate positive correlation ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.535$) between
361 vimentin and N-cadherin expression. Furthermore, moderate negative correlations ($p\leq0.01$;
362 $\rho=-0.641$) was also detected between the number of β-catenin and vimentin immunolabelled
363 cells as well as numbers of HIF-1α and E-cadherin immunolabelled cells ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.520$).
364 Finally, the number of vimentin immunolabelled cells showed a moderate negative
365 correlation ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=-0.641$) with β-catenin immunolabelled cells.
366 Sub-cellular protein expression was also investigated for correlations. Membranous β-
367 catenin had a strong positive and moderate negative correlation with membranous ($p\leq0.001$;
368 $\rho=0.840$) and cytoplasmic ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.479$) E-cadherin immunolabelling, respectively. On
369 the other hand, membranous E-cadherin immunodetection showed a moderately strong
370 negative correlation with nuclear β-catenin ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.569$). In addition, cytokeratin
371 immunolabelling showed moderately strong positive correlations with membranous E-
372 cadherin ($p\leq0.001$; $\rho=0.785$) and membranous β-catenin ($p\leq0.001$; $\rho=0.717$), whereas
373 nuclear β-catenin immunodetection showed a moderate negative correlation ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=-$
374 0.647). On the other hand, there was a moderate negative correlation between the number
375 of vimentin immunolabelled cells and membranous E-cadherin ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.515$). In
376 addition, there was a moderate negative correlation ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=0.604$) between
377 membranous E-cadherin and nuclear HIF-1α immunolabelling. There was also a moderate

378 negative correlation between the number of N-cadherin immunolabeled cells ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-$
379 0.506) and cytoplasmic HIF-1α immunolabelling, whereas there was a moderate positive
380 correlation ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=0.601$) with nuclear HIF-1α. Furthermore, nuclear HIF-1α also
381 showed a moderate positive correlation ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.044$) with the number of vimentin
382 immunolabelled cells as well as with nuclear β-catenin immunodetection ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=0.604$).
383 On the transcriptome level, there was a moderately strong direct correlation ($p\leq0.001$;
384 $\rho=0.785$) between *LEF1* and *CTNNB1* gene expression.
385 When analyzing previously investigated features, like mitoses and differentiation of tumor
386 cells, we found that the overall number of vimentin immunolabelled cells showed a
387 moderately strong negative correlation with the tumor differentiation ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.637$).
388 There was a strong negative correlation ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=-0.855$) between *TGFB* gene expression
389 and tumor differentiation. On the other hand, there was a moderately strong positive
390 correlation ($p\leq0.001$; $\rho=0.708$) between *FOSL1* and the number of mitoses. Furthermore,
391 the extent of koilocytosis showed a moderately strong positive correlation with overall
392 numbers of cells expressing either cytokeratin ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=0.668$) or E-cadherin ($p<0.05$;
393 $\rho=0.496$), while it was negatively correlated with overall numbers of vimentin
394 immunolabelled cells ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.547$). In addition, the overall number of HIF-1α-positive
395 cells was associated with tumors where vascular invasion was observed ($p<0.05$).
396 In conclusion, our last analysis showed a moderately strong negative correlation among the
397 overall number of E-cadherin immunolabelled cells and the extent of tumor inflammation
398 ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.495$) and the severity of tumoral inflammation ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.499$; $p\leq0.01$; $\rho=-$
399 0.683), as well as, the overall number of β-catenin immunolabelled cells showed a
400 moderately strong negative correlation with the severity of intra-tumoral inflammation
401 ($p\leq0.01$; $\rho=-0.606$).
402
403

404 **Vulvo-vaginal equine papillomavirus-induced carcinomas show a CD204-rich**
405 **intratumoral immune environment, associated with higher mitotic count and the**
406 **presence of ulceration**

407 Once we demonstrated that equine vulvar METs undergo the EMT process, we wanted to
408 characterize the tumor microenvironment by investigating the tumor-associated immune
409 infiltrate. Immune infiltrates in both intra/peri-tumoral tissue as well as extratumoral tissue
410 was evaluated in all the cases belonging to MET and BPL groups. Extratumoral tissue was
411 available in 18/22 cases. Some markers could not be investigated due to the lack of tissue
412 caused by serial recuts.

413 All METs were characterized by a mild to moderate infiltration of intratumoral inflammatory
414 cells, whereas in the BPL group, the intratumoral inflammation varied from absent to
415 moderate. The classification based on T cell infiltration revealed that 5/14 (36%) METs were
416 immune deserted, 8/14 (57%) showed an immune-altered profile of infiltration, and only one
417 (7%) was infiltrated (Figs. 7a and 8a).

418 Comparing the quantity of intratumoral and extratumoral positive cells, results showed that
419 CD3-, MUM1- (Figs. 7d and 8c) and MPO-positive cells (Figs. 7g and 8h) were higher within
420 the intratumoral compartment ($p<0.001$; $p=0.001$and $p<0.05$, respectively). Instead, CD20
421 was higher in the extratumoral tissues, whereas few B cells were observed within the
422 neoplastic tissue (Figs. 7b and 8b; $p<0.001$; Supplemental Figure S2). No statistically
423 significant differences were observed for FOXP3 (Figs. 7c and 8d) and IBA1 (Figs. 7e and
424 8e)

425 When comparing the expression of the immune markers in the BPL and MET groups, the
426 expression of intratumoral CD204+ cells was significantly higher in the MET group when
427 compared to the BPL group ($p=0.001$; Figs. 7f and 8f-g). No association was observed with
428 the other markers in both intra- and extratumoral locations. Moreover, the number of
429 intratumoral CD204^{+} cells was the only variable that showed a moderate positive correlation

with the number of mitoses ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.507$). Intratumoral CD204+cells were also associated with the presence of ulceration ($p<0.05$).

The number of intratumoral CD3+cells showed a moderately strong positive correlation with the number of intratumoral CD20+cells ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.554$). It showed a negative association with intratumoral MUM1 ($p=0.01$; $\rho=-0.538$). Intratumoral MUM1 was, in turn, associated with intratumoral CD20 expression ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.507$). Also, extratumoral CD3 showed a strong positive correlation with extratumoral CD20 expression ($p<0.01$; $\rho=0.701$). Extratumoral CD204+ cells were instead moderately positively associated with extratumoral CD20 and extratumoral CD3 expression ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.613$ and $p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.521$, respectively). A very strong positive correlation was observed between extratumoral MUM1 and extratumoral FoxP3 ($p<0.001$, $\rho=0.828$), whereas a moderately strong positive correlation was present between extratumoral MUM1 and both extratumoral CD20 ($p<0.05$, $\rho=0.602$), and extratumoral MPO. extratumoral MPO was also positively correlated with extratumoral CD3 ($p<0.05$, $\rho=0.594$). Representative images of different immune markers in METs and BPLs are reported in Supplemental Figure S3.

Gene expression analysis revealed that *IL17A* expression was significantly higher ($p<0.001$) in METs when compared to both BPL and CTRL. Moreover, *CXCL8* ($p<0.01$), *IFNG* ($p<0.05$), *IL12A* ($p<0.05$), and *IL23* ($p<0.05$) expression was significantly higher in METs when compared to CTRL. Furthermore, a significant upregulation of *IFNG* ($p<0.05$), *IL10* ($p<0.01$), *IL12A* ($p<0.05$), *IL23* ($p<0.05$), and *TGFB* ($p<0.05$) as well as a downregulation of *IL2* ($p<0.05$) was identified in BPL samples compared to CTRL (Figure 9).The correlation of immune cell markers with RNA expression was observed only for the expression of MPO, which was positively correlated with the expression of *IL12B* ($p<0.001$; $\rho=0.921$) and *IL17A* ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.560$). The protein expression of CD204 was instead inversely correlated with the expression of *IL10* ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.515$). Also IBA1 expression was positively correlated with the expression of *IL12B* ($p<0.05$; $\rho=0.604$). Gene expression, comparing three groups

456 (MET, BPL and CTRL), revealed a significant higher expression of *CXCL8* ($p<0.01$), *IFNG*
457 ($p<0.05$), *IL12A* ($p<0.05$), and *IL23* ($p<0.05$) when comparing METs to CTRL.
458
459 **Intratumoral CD204^{+}cells are associated with the activation of EMT, particularly with**
460 **the process of cadherin switching and nuclear expression of HIF-1α**
461 The expression of intratumoral CD204 was negatively associated with the expression of
462 different molecules involved in the EMT process, namely membranous E-cadherin ($p<0.01$;
463 $\rho=-0.592$) membranous β-catenin ($p<0.01$; $\rho=-0.620$), and cytokeratin ($p<0.01$; $\rho=-0.587$).
464 On the other hand, the expression of intratumoral CD204 was positively associated with the
465 membranous expression of N-cadherin ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.457$) and with nuclear expression of
466 HIF-1α ($p<0.05$; $\rho=-0.537$).
467

468 ## DISCUSSION

469 Vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors are uncommon in mares and data on these tumors are still
470 lacking. The aim of this study is to characterize vulvo-vaginal epithelial pre-neoplastic and
471 neoplastic lesions, focusing in particular on the EMT and on the TIME. EMT is a highly
472 coordinated sequential biological process in which epithelial cells lose epithelial biomarker
473 expression and assume a metastable phenotype characterized by mesenchymal biomarker
474 expression.[3,11,50] This process has been investigated in different equine tumors,[2,4,45] but
475 never in genital epithelial pre-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions of mares.
476 The current study revealed an overall lower number of cells expressing epithelial markers
477 at the invasive front of METs together with a higher number of cells expressing
478 mesenchymal markers, suggesting an EMT process. Cytoplasmic E-cadherin and β-catenin
479 as well as nuclear β-catenin expression were features of METs, while BPLs were mainly
480 characterized by membranous E-cadherin and β-catenin expression. It is noteworthy to

481 consider that membranous β-catenin localization was positively and negatively correlated
482 with E-cadherin expression on the membrane and in the cytoplasm, respectively, as well as
483 nuclear β-catenin was negatively correlated with membranous E-cadherin expression. Since
484 subcellular translocations of E-cadherin and β-catenin are known to favor the induction of
485 the EMT process,[3,41] we postulate from our results that one of the triggers from a benign to
486 a malignant transformation in equine vulvo-vaginal tumors might be represented by the loss
487 of membranous E-cadherin and β-catenin expression as well as by β-catenin nuclear
488 translocation. Nevertheless, our results showed that the expression of downstream genes
489 involved in the canonical and non-canonical wnt/β-catenin pathways were not significantly
490 different between benign and malignant equine vulvo-vaginal tumors. However, future
491 studies are warranted to investigate the gene expression of these two pathways more in
492 depth.
493 Epithelial cells undergoing the EMT process gradually lose cell–cell adhesion molecules,
494 modulate their polarity, and rearrange their cytoskeleton, which becomes dynamic and
495 flexible following the replacement of cytokeratin by vimentin.[27,39] Similarly in our study,
496 cytoplasmic cytokeratin expression was correlated with membranous E-cadherin and β-
497 catenin as well as it was negatively correlated with nuclear β-catenin expression.
498 Furthermore, cytoplasmic vimentin expression was negatively correlated to E-cadherin
499 membranous localization. These findings suggest that E-cadherin and β-catenin
500 translocations influence, or are influencedby, the intermediate filament rearrangements,
501 favoring the EMT process in malignant equine vulvo-vaginal tumors and, thus conferring
502 migratory and invasive features, typical of malignant tumors.[3,27,39] In our study, METs also
503 showed another hallmark of EMT known as "cadherin switching" [54] compared to BPL or
504 control tissues.
505 Another distinctive feature of METs detected in this study was the higher number of cells
506 with nuclear HIF-1α expression compare to BPL or control tissues. The EMT process is

507 reported to be triggered not only by wnt/β-catenin pathways activation or by other
508 transcription factors like TWIST-1 or ZEB, but also by the activation of the HIF-1α pathway,
509 which confers increased tumor cells invasion in different human cancers.[9,20,48] Based on the
510 fact that membranous E-cadherin was inversely correlated with nuclear HIF-1α, we
511 postulate that loss of membranous E-cadherin and nuclear HIF-1α expression are part of
512 the malignant transformation of equine vulvo-vaginal neoplasia. In addition, the positive
513 correlation of nuclear HIF-1α with N-cadherin and vimentin expression as well as with
514 nuclear β-catenin leads us to hypothesize that nuclear expression of HIF-1α is associated
515 with the mesenchymal-like state of epithelial tumor cells undergoing EMT in equine vulvo-
516 vaginal malignant neoplasia. All together these findings corroborate the hypothesis that the
517 EMT process is happening in malignant equine vulvo-vaginal tumors, which isfurther
518 supported by the fact that similar findings have been described in other equine neoplasia
519 undergoing the EMT process.[2,4,30]
520 In humans, the occurrence of EMT and its role in tumor progression have been studied in a
521 number of cancers, including several gynecological cancers.[8,37,44,56] Human vulvar SCCs
522 are characterized by an aggressive nature and by an infiltrative invasion pattern, which is
523 favored by the EMT process in the neoplastic cells.[18] Human vulvar squamous cell
524 carcinomas undergoing EMT are characterized by a loss of E-cadherin expression, and
525 vimentin and nuclear β-catenin expression.[37] Interestingly, in human vulvar SCCs, the
526 occurrence of EMT is associated with a negative human papillomavirus status[37] and is likely
527 to be associated with p53 mutations.[25,31,37] The majority of the malignant equine vulvo-
528 vaginal tumors investigated in this study were found to express *E6/E7* oncogenes. This
529 leads us to speculate that despite a similar expression pattern of EMT markers, the equine
530 counterpart might have a different pathogenesis than the human ones. However, the status
531 of p53 mutations in these tumors should be evaluated in future studies.

532 Equine vulvo-vaginal METs were characterized by a higher infiltration of inflammatory cells,
533 when compared to BPLs. In human medicine, a pro-inflammatory microenvironment in
534 vulvar high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion is predictive of vaccine-induced immune
535 infiltration and associated with a better clinical response. On the other hand, cold lesions did
536 not develop such a pro-inflammatory environment post-vaccination.[1] Benign, suspected pre-
537 neoplastic lesions in mares are usually papillomas, with intraepithelial carcinoma being less
538 common.[35,40] For this reason, a comparison with the human counterpart should not be taken
539 as straightforward. Nevertheless, it could be postulated that the mild inflammatory infiltrate
540 observed in equine BPLs could be a predisposing factor for the development of carcinomas
541 and a potential histologic criteria to be evaluated to predict responses to a possible future
542 vaccination.

543 In the MET group, the classification based on tumor T cell infiltration showed results similar
544 to what reported in humans.[23] Unlike humans, where HPV-negative lesions represent the
545 majority of cases[22], all cases of our study group resulted to be EcPV2-positive.
546 Unfortunately, follow-up data were not available in our case, but we could hypothesize that
547 a different T cell infiltration patterns could reflect different prognosis or susceptibility to
548 immunotherapy.[24]

549 The presence of a statistically significant increased number of different pro-inflammatory
550 cellular populations composed of intratumoral infiltration of lymphocytes, neutrophils, and
551 mature plasma cells was observed. Interestingly, the intratumoral infiltration of B cells
552 ($CD20^+$) cells was lower when compared to the extratumoral tissue. These results are similar
553 to what has been reported in equine penile SCCs[34] and may represent the inflammatory
554 response in cases of equine genital EcPV2-induced malignant tumors.

555 In our study, the number of MPO^+ cells was significantly associated with *IL17A* expression.
556 *IL17A* expression by MPO^+ tumor-associated neutrophils has been postulated to promote

557 EMT processes through JAK2/STAT3 signaling in human gastric cancer.[28] This finding
558 might suggest a possible role of MPO+ tumor-associated neutrophils in promoting the EMT
559 process in equine vulvo-vaginal METs.

560 Data obtained by the evaluation of CD204 expression showed some of the most interesting
561 results of this study. First, the expression of this marker was higher in METs when compared
562 to BPLs. CD204 is recognized as a possible marker for pro-tumoral M2-polarized
563 macrophages in different cancers, both in humans and animals.[6,21,36,42,49] This leads us to
564 postulate that MET infiltration by CD204+ M2-polarized macrophages could be associated
565 with immunoescape processes leading to an immunosuppressed tumor. However, the lack
566 of significantly higher *IL10* and *TGFB* gene expression in equine vulvo-vaginal METs of our
567 study does not seem to support this hypothesis. On the other hand, the pro-tumoral role of
568 CD204+ M2-polarized macrophages population seems to be supported by the association
569 with a higher mitotic count and the with presence of ulceration, both variables commonly
570 associated with malignancy and poor prognosis in cancer. Interestingly, intratumoral CD204
571 expression was associated also with the expression of different key molecules for the EMT
572 process. As a matter of fact, intratumoral CD204 expression was inversely correlated with
573 membranous E-cadherin and β-catenin expression as well as cytokeratin, and was instead
574 positively associated with N-cadherin membranous expression and HIF-1α nuclear
575 expression. Taken together, these results seem to indicate an association of intratumoral
576 CD204+ cells with the progression of the EMT process in equine vulvo-vaginal METs. This
577 hypothesis is supported by results in other studies that found a correlation among tumor-
578 associated macrophages and EMT.[7,12,26,29] In order to further clarify this aspect, future
579 studies should gather insights on CD204+ M2-polarized macrophages in horses and explore
580 other possible immunosuppressive pathways mediated by this cellular population.

581 The authors recognize that this study presents some limitations. First, the limited number of
582 cases does not allow to draw any firm conclusion due to small sample size. Unfortunately,
583 this is due to the rare occurrence/report of the disease. Second, there was a lack of follow
584 up and staging data. This did not allow us to associate any of our pathological finding to an
585 actual clinical outcome. In addition, the lack of information about the presence of metastasis,
586 and the lack of eventual samples from metastatic sites, limited the investigations on the EMT
587 process. Third, some data on the transcriptome level did not fully support our findings on
588 IHC quantifications, i.e. gene expression of the wnt/βcatenin pathway-related genes or *IL10*
589 and *TGFB*. This might be due to the fact that the RNA was extracted from FFPE material
590 and this represent a limitation because of an inferior quality of RNA due to the formalin
591 fixation of the samples. However, a future multicentric study should include more institutions
592 and possibly include the presence of clinical data in the inclusion criteria as well as the
593 collection of fresh material for transcritptome analysis.

594 In conclusion, this is a study investigating the EcPV2 infection state as well as EMT and the
595 tumor microenvironment in vulvo-vaginal BPL or MET lesions in mares. Despite a similar
596 EMT-related protein expression pattern, human and equine vulvo-vaginal cancers share
597 some differences like the negative papillomavirus infection status in the human counterpart
598 that undergoes in EMT. However, our study showed that changes like loss of membranous
599 E-cadherin and β-catenin expression, and increased N-cadherin and vimentin expression
600 as well as nuclear β-catenin and HIF-1α expression are hallmarks of malignant
601 transformation in equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial tumors. In addition, our results seem to
602 support the hypothesis that some actors in TIME, such as M2-polarized macrophages
603 expressing CD204, may favor EMT, providing new insights for future investigations in the
604 field of equine EcPV2-induced genital neoplastic lesions.

605

606 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

607 We thank Caroline Schütz, Julia Baskas, Kerstin Rohn, Kerstin Schöne and Jana Svea
608 Harre for excellent technical support.

609

610 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

611 The study was designed by ER, WB, and AG.

612 Pathology evaluation was performed by FA, LdP, IP, BP, LM, MC, CP, VM and MP

613 Immunolabelling was conducted and analyzed by FA, LdP, IP, BP, MC, VM and MP

614 PCR was performed and analyzed by KC, SM, FF, ER and CGdC

615 Data analysis and interpretation were performed by FA, LdP, IP, BP, MC, CB, KC, SM,
616 LM, FF, CGdC and ER

617 Figures were prepared by FA, LdP, and IP

618 The original draft was written by FA, LdP, IP, and ER

619 The manuscript was reviewed, edited, and approved by all authors.

620 Funding was acquired by ER

621 The project was supervised by LM, ER, AG and WB

622

623 **DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS**

624 The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research,
625 authorship, and/or publication of this article.

626

FUNDING

This research was funded by the Italian Ministry of Health, grant number IZS PLV 15/18 RC and Liguria Region, grant number 22L04.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All the paper related material can be accessible per request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

1. Abdulrahman Z, de Miranda N, van Esch EMG, et al. Pre-existing inflammatory immune microenvironment predicts the clinical response of vulvar high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions to therapeutic HPV16 vaccination. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2020;**8**(1):e000563.

2. Armando F, Godizzi F, Razzuoli E, et al. Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) in a Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma of a Horse: Future Perspectives. *Animals (Basel)*. 2020;**10**(12):2318.

3. Armando F, Mazzola F, Ferrari L, Corradi A. An Overview of Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition and Mesenchymal-to-Epithelial Transition in Canine Tumors: How Far Have We Come? 2023;**10**(1):19.

4. Armando F, Mecocci S, Orlandi V, et al. Investigation of the Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) Process in Equine Papillomavirus-2 (EcPV-2)-Positive Penile Squamous Cell Carcinomas. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;**22**(19):10588.

5. Binnewies M, Roberts EW, Kersten K, et al. Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. *Nat Med*. 2018;**24**(5):541–550.

6. Bisheshar SK, van der Kamp MF, de Ruiter EJ, et al. The prognostic role of tumor associated macrophages in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oral Oncol*. 2022;**135**:106227.

7. Bonde A-K, Tischler V, Kumar S, Soltermann A, Schwendener RA. Intratumoral macrophages contribute to epithelial-mesenchymal transition in solid tumors. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;**12**:35.

8. Campo L, Zhang C, Breuer E-K. EMT-Inducing Molecular Factors in Gynecological Cancers. *Biomed Res Int*. 2015;**2015**:420891.

9. Cannito S, Novo E, Compagnone A, et al. Redox mechanisms switch on hypoxia-

659 dependent epithelial–mesenchymal transition in cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis*.
660 2008;**29**(12):2267–2278.

661 10. Cervantes-Arias A, Pang LY, Argyle DJ. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition as a
662 fundamental mechanism underlying the cancer phenotype. 2013;**11**(3):169–184.

663 11. Chang H, Liu Y, Xue M, et al. Synergistic action of master transcription factors
664 controls epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Nucleic Acids Research*.
665 2016;**44**(6):2514–2527.

666 12. Che D, Zhang S, Jing Z, et al. Macrophages induce EMT to promote invasion of lung
667 cancer cells through the IL-6-mediated COX-2/PGE2/β-catenin signalling pathway.
668 *Mol Immunol*. 2017;**90**:197–210.

669 13. Dongre A, Ortiz-Cuaran S, Korkaya H. Editorial: The Role of the EMT Program in
670 Regulating the Immune Response in Carcinoma. 2022;**13**.

671 14. Erin N, Grahovac J, Brozovic A, Efferth T. Tumor microenvironment and epithelial
672 mesenchymal transition as targets to overcome tumor multidrug resistance. *Drug*
673 *Resist Updat*. 2020;**53**:100715.

674 15. Gómez-Valenzuela F, Escobar E, Pérez-Tomás R, Montecinos VP. The Inflammatory
675 Profile of the Tumor Microenvironment, Orchestrated by Cyclooxygenase-2, Promotes
676 Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. 2021;**11**.

677 16. Greenwood S, Chow-Lockerbie B, Epp T, et al. Prevalence and Prognostic Impact of
678 Equus caballus Papillomavirus Type 2 Infection in Equine Squamous Cell
679 Carcinomas in Western Canadian Horses. *Vet Pathol*. 2020;**57**(5):623–631.

680 17. Hass R, von der Ohe J, Ungefroren H. The Intimate Relationship Among EMT, MET
681 and TME: A T(ransdifferentiation) E(nhancing) M(ix) to Be Exploited for Therapeutic
682 Purposes. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;**12**(12):3674.

683 18. Holthoff ER, Spencer H, Kelly T, Post SR, Quick CM. Pathologic features of
684 aggressive vulvar carcinoma are associated with epithelial-mesenchymal transition.
685 *Hum Pathol*. 2016;**56**:22–30.

686 19. Jin M-Z, Jin W-L. The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug
687 repurposing. *Sig Transduct Target Ther*. 2020;**5**(1):1–16.

688 20. Joseph JP, Harishankar MK, Pillai AA, Devi A. Hypoxia induced EMT: A review on the
689 mechanism of tumor progression and metastasis in OSCC. *Oral Oncol*. 2018;**80**:23–
690 32.

691 21. Kelley JL, Ozment TR, Li C, Schweitzer JB, Williams DL. Scavenger Receptor-A
692 (CD204): A Two-Edged Sword in Health and Disease. *CRI*. 2014;**34**(3).

693 22. Kortekaas KE, Bastiaannet E, van Doorn HC, et al. Vulvar cancer subclassification by
694 HPV and p53 status results in three clinically distinct subtypes. *Gynecol Oncol*.
695 2020;**159**(3):649–656.

696 23. Kortekaas KE, Santegoets SJ, Abdulrahman Z, et al. High numbers of activated
697 helper T cells are associated with better clinical outcome in early stage vulvar cancer,

698 irrespective of HPV or p53 status. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2019;**7**(1):236.

699 24. Kortekaas KE, Santegoets SJ, Tas L, et al. Primary vulvar squamous cell carcinomas
700 with high T cell infiltration and active immune signaling are potential candidates for
701 neoadjuvant PD-1/PD-L1 immunotherapy. *J Immunother Cancer*.
702 2021;**9**(10):e003671.

703 25. Kumar S, Shah JP, Bryant CS, Imudia AN, Morris RT, Malone JM. A comparison of
704 younger vs older women with vulvar cancer in the United States. *Am J Obstet*
705 *Gynecol*. 2009;**200**(5):e52-55.

706 26. Kuwada K, Kagawa S, Yoshida R, et al. The epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition
707 induced by tumor-associated macrophages confers chemoresistance in peritoneally
708 disseminated pancreatic cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res*. 2018;**37**(1):307.

709 27. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial–mesenchymal
710 transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2014;**15**(3):178–196.

711 28. Li S, Cong X, Gao H, et al. Tumor-associated neutrophils induce EMT by IL-17a to
712 promote migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. *Journal of Experimental &*
713 *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2019;**38**(1):6.

714 29. Liu C-Y, Xu J-Y, Shi X-Y, et al. M2-polarized tumor-associated macrophages
715 promoted epithelial-mesenchymal transition in pancreatic cancer cells, partially
716 through TLR4/IL-10 signaling pathway. *Lab Invest*. 2013;**93**(7):844–854.

717 30. Mecocci S, Porcellato I, Armando F, et al. Equine Genital Squamous Cell Carcinoma
718 Associated with EcPV2 Infection: RANKL Pathway Correlated to Inflammation and
719 Wnt Signaling Activation. 2021;**10**(3):244.

720 31. de Melo Maia B, Munhoz Cestari F, Lavorato-Rocha AM, et al. Characterization of
721 sociodemographic and clinicopathological features in Brazilian patients with vulvar
722 squamous cell carcinoma. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2013;**75**(1):53–60.

723 32. Meuten DJ, Moore FM, George JW. Mitotic count and the field of view area: time to
724 standardize. 2016;**53**(1):7–9.

725 33. Micalizzi DS, Farabaugh SM, Ford HL. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Cancer:
726 Parallels Between Normal Development and Tumor Progression. *J Mammary Gland*
727 *Biol Neoplasia*. 2010;**15**(2):117–134.

728 34. Porcellato I, Mecocci S, Mechelli L, et al. Equine Penile Squamous Cell Carcinomas
729 as a Model for Human Disease: A Preliminary Investigation on Tumor Immune
730 Microenvironment. 2020;**9**(11):2364.

731 35. Porcellato I, Modesto P, Cappelli K, et al. Equus caballus papillomavirus type 2
732 (EcPV2) in co-occurring vulvar and gastric lesions of a pony. 2020;**132**:167–171.

733 36. Porcellato I, Sforna M, Lo Giudice A, et al. Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Canine
734 Oral and Cutaneous Melanomas and Melanocytomas: Phenotypic and Prognostic
735 Assessment. *Front Vet Sci*. 2022;**9**:878949.

736 37. Rodrigues IS, Lavorato-Rocha AM, de M Maia B, et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal

transition-like events in vulvar cancer and its relation with HPV. *Br J Cancer*. 2013;**109**(1):184–194.

38. Santos ED dos, Dau SL, Machado TP, et al. Metastatic Vulvar Squamous Cell Carcinoma in a Mare. 2022;**50**.

39. Savagner P. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) phenomenon. *Ann Oncol*. 2010;**21 Suppl 7**:vii89-92.

40. Scase T, Brandt S, Kainzbauer C, et al. Equus caballus papillomavirus-2 (EcPV-2): An infectious cause for equine genital cancer? 2010;**42**(8):738–745.

41. Selvaggio G, Canato S, Pawar A, et al. Hybrid Epithelial-Mesenchymal Phenotypes Are Controlled by Microenvironmental Factors. *Cancer Res*. 2020;**80**(11):2407–2420.

42. Seung B-J, Lim H-Y, Shin J-I, et al. CD204-Expressing Tumor-Associated Macrophages Are Associated With Malignant, High-Grade, and Hormone Receptor-Negative Canine Mammary Gland Tumors. *Vet Pathol*. 2018;**55**(3):417–424.

43. Smith MA, Levine DG, Getman LM, Parente EJ, Engiles JB. Vulvar squamous cell carcinoma <I>in situ</I> within viral papillomas in an aged Quarter Horse mare. 2009;**21**(1):11–16.

44. Stewart CJR, McCluggage WG. Epithelial–mesenchymal transition in carcinomas of the female genital tract. 2013;**62**(1):31–43.

45. Suárez-Bonnet A, Willis C, Pittaway R, Smith K, Mair T, Priestnall SL. Molecular carcinogenesis in equine penile cancer: A potential animal model for human penile cancer. 2018;**36**(12):532.e9-532.e18.

46. Sykora S, Brandt S. Papillomavirus infection and squamous cell carcinoma in horses. 2017;**223**:48–54.

47. Taki M, Abiko K, Ukita M, et al. Tumor Immune Microenvironment during Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2021;**27**(17):4669–4679.

48. Tam SY, Wu VWC, Law HKW. Hypoxia-Induced Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Cancers: HIF-1α and Beyond. *Front Oncol*. 2020;**10**:486.

49. Taniyama D, Taniyama K, Kuraoka K, et al. CD204-Positive Tumor-associated Macrophages Relate to Malignant Transformation of Colorectal Adenoma. 2019;**39**(6):2767–2775.

50. Thiery JP, Acloque H, Huang RYJ, Nieto MA. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transitions in Development and Disease. *Cell*. 2009;**139**(5):871–890.

51. Tiwari A, Trivedi R, Lin S-Y. Tumor microenvironment: barrier or opportunity towards effective cancer therapy. *Journal of Biomedical Science*. 2022;**29**(1):83.

52. van den Top JGB, Ensink JM, Gröne A, Klein WR, Barneveld A, van Weeren PR. Penile and preputial tumours in the horse: Literature review and proposal of a standardised approach. 2010;**42**(8):746–757.

774 53. Van den Top JGB, Ensink JM, Barneveld A, van Weeren PR. Penile and preputial
775 squamous cell carcinoma in the horse and proposal of a classification system.
776 2011;**23**(12):636–648.

777 54. Wheelock MJ, Shintani Y, Maeda M, Fukumoto Y, Johnson KR. Cadherin switching. *J*
778 *Cell Sci*. 2008;**121**(Pt 6):727–735.

779 55. Yamashita-Kawanishi N, Ito S, Chambers JK, et al. Vulvar squamous cell carcinoma
780 associated with Equus caballus papillomavirus type 2 infection in a Japanese mare.
781 *Tumour Virus Research*. 2021;**12**:200226.

782 56. Zhou X-M, Zhang H, Han X. Role of epithelial to mesenchymal transition proteins in
783 gynecological cancers: pathological and therapeutic perspectives. *Tumour Biol*.
784 2014;**35**(10):9523–9530.

785

786 **FIGURE LEGENDS:**

787

788 **Figure 1:** Equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial neoplasia. Vulvo-vaginal mucosa, horse. (a-d)
789 Hematoxylin and eosin. (a) Equine vulvo-vaginal mucosa. Overview of a sample belonging
790 to the benign/pre-neoplastic lesions (BPL) group. (b) Equine vulvo-vaginal mucosa. Higher
791 magnification of (a). Moderate basal cell proliferation with mild to moderate, multifocal to
792 coalescing, lymphoplasmacytic inflammation. (c) Equine vulvo-vaginal mucosa. Overview of
793 a sample belonging to the malignant epithelial tumors (MET) group. (d) Equine vulvo-vaginal
794 mucosa. Higher magnification of (c). Diffuse desmoplasia. High numbers of mitotic figures.
795 Squamous differentiation and multifocal “keratin pearls”. (e-f) *In situ* hybridization for *E6/E7*
796 oncogenes. (e) Vulvar papilloma. The labelling is represented by magenta dots which
797 appear to be predominantly located in the cytoplasm of basal keratinocytes. (f) Squamous
798 cell carcinoma. Dots are disseminated in the cytoplasm of neoplastic cells.

799

800 **Figure 2:** Equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial neoplasia. Vulvo-vaginal mucosa, horse.
801 Immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin AE1/AE3 (a), vimentin (b), E-cadherin (c), and N-

802 cadherin (d) in equine vulvo-vaginal malignant epithelial tumors (MET). (a) There are
803 moderate numbers of cytokeratin AE1/AE3 immunolabelled tumor cells. There are a few
804 tumor cells that do not show cytokeratin AE1/AE3 cytoplasmic immunolabelling
805 (arrowheads). (b) There are occasional vimentin immunolabelled tumor cells with a weak
806 cytoplasmic labeling (arrowhead). (c) On the left, there are moderate to high numbers of E-
807 cadherin immunolabelled tumor cells with a membranous staining. From the middle to the
808 right, there are high numbers of cells that do not show membranous E-cadherin
809 immunolabelling. (d) There are moderate numbers of membranous N-cadherin
810 immunolabelled tumor cells (arrowhead).

811

812 **Figure 3:** Frequency of cytokeratin AE1/AE3, vimentin, E-cadherin, and N-cadherin
813 expression and sub-cellular localization in equine vulvo-vaginal benign/pre-neoplastic
814 neoplastic lesions (BPL), malignant epithelial tumors (MET), and healthy mucosa (CTRL).
815 (a) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of cytokeratin AE1/AE3 immunolabelled
816 tumor cells across BPL, MET, and control groups (Mann-Whitney-U; ***: $p \leq 0.001$). (b)
817 Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of vimentin immunolabelled tumor cells
818 across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups. (c) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of
819 E-cadherin immunolabelled tumor cells across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-
820 Whitney-U; **: $p \leq 0.01$; ***: $p \leq 0.001$). (d) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers
821 of tumor cells with membranous E-cadherin immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL
822 groups (Mann-Whitney-U; **: $p \leq 0.01$; ****: $p \leq 0.0001$). (e) Quantitative analysis.
823 Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with cytoplasmic E-cadherin immunolabelling across
824 BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-U). (f) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
825 numbers of N-cadherin immunolabelled tumor cells across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups
826 (Mann-Whitney-U; *: $p<0.05$). (g) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor

827 cells with membranous N-cadherin immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups.
828 (h) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with cytoplasmic N-cadherin
829 immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-U). Data are shown
830 as box and whisker plots. The bounds of the box plot indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles,
831 the bar indicates medians, and the whiskers indicate minima and maxima. Membr=
832 membranous; cyto= cytoplasmic.

833

834 **Figure 4:** Equine vulvo-vaginal malignant epithelial neoplasia. Vulvo-vaginal mucosa,
835 horse. Immunohistochemistry for β-catenin (a) and HIF-1α (b). (a) There are moderate
836 numbers of β-catenin immunolabelled tumor cells. A few tumor cells show weak nuclear
837 immunolabelling (arrowhead), whereas occasional tumor cells have cytoplasmic labeling
838 (asterisk). (b) There are high numbers of HIF-1α immunolabelled tumor cells with frequent
839 nuclear staining.

840

841 **Figure 5:** Frequency of β-catenin and HIF-1α expression and sub-cellular localization in
842 equine vulvo-vaginal benign/pre-neoplastic neoplastic lesions (BPL), malignant epithelial
843 tumors (MET), and healthy mucosa (CTRL). (a) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
844 numbers of β-catenin immunolabelled tumor cells across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups
845 (Mann-Whitney-U; **: $p \leq 0.01$; ***: $p \leq 0.001$). (b) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
846 numbers of tumor cells with membranous β-catenin immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and
847 CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-U; **: $p \leq 0.01$; ***: $p \leq 0.001$). (c) Quantitative analysis.
848 Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with cytoplasmic β-catenin immunolabelling across
849 BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-U). (d) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
850 numbers of tumor cells with nuclear β-catenin immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL
851 groups (Mann-Whitney-U; **: $p \leq 0.01$). (e) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers

852 of HIF-1α immunolabelled tumor cells across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-
853 U; **: $p \leq 0.01$). (f) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with
854 cytoplasmic HIF-1α immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-
855 U). (g) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with nuclear HIF-1α
856 immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and CTRL groups (Mann-Whitney-U; *: $p<0.05$; ***: $p \leq$
857 0.001). Data are shown as box and whisker plots. The bounds of the box plot indicate the
858 25th and 75th percentiles, the bar indicates medians, and the whiskers indicate minima and
859 maxima. Membr= membranous; cyto= cytoplasmic; nucl= nuclear.

860

861 **Figure 6:** Gene expression levels of HIF-1α and wnt/β-catenin pathways-related genes in
862 equine vulvar lesions samples. Differences (malignant epithelial tumors (MET) vs control
863 (CTRL), benign/pre-neoplastic neoplastic lesions (BPL) vs CTRL, MET vs BPL) were
864 evaluated using the Kruskal–Wallis test and applying the post-doc Dunn's multiple
865 comparison. The asterisks indicate the statistical significance: * $p < 0.05$, and ** $p < 0.01$.

866

867 **Figure 7:** Number of intratumoral, extratumoral, and overall positive immune cells in benign
868 preneoplastic lesions (BPL) and malignant epithelial tumors (MET). (a) Quantitative
869 analysis. Comparison of the number of CD3 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-
870 U) (b) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of the number of CD20 immunolabelled immune
871 cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (c) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of the number of FOXP3
872 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (d) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
873 number of MUM1 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (e) Quantitative
874 analysis. Comparison of the number of IBA1 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-
875 U) (f) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of the number of CD204 immunolabelled immune
876 cells (Mann-Whitney-U ***: $p \leq 0.001$) (g) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of the number

877 of myeloperoxidase (MPO) immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U). Data are
878 shown as box and whisker plots. The bounds of the box plot indicate the 25th and 75th
879 percentiles, the bar indicates medians, and the whiskers indicate minima and maxima. Intra=
880 intratumoral; extra= extratumoral; over= overall.

881

882 **Figure 8:** Equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial neoplasia. Vulvo-vaginal mucosa, horse.
883 Immunohistochemistry for CD3(a), CD20(b), MUM-1 (c), Foxp3 (d), IBA1 (e), CD204(f, g),
884 and myeloperoxidase (MPO) (h). (a) Equine vulvo-vaginal squamous cell papilloma. In
885 immune-altered tumors, CD3^{+} lymphocytes were present in peritumoral stroma and usually
886 did not infiltrate among neoplastic cells. (b) Equine poorly differentiated vulvo-vaginal
887 squamous cell carcinoma. CD20^{+} B cells were more often localized in the extratumoral
888 tissues, whereas only few cells were observed in direct contact with tumor cells. (c) Equine
889 well-differentiated vulvo-vaginal squamous cell carcinoma. The number of MUM1^{+} cells was
890 higher in areas in direct contact with the tumor and occasional positive cells could also be
891 seen among neoplastic cells. (d) Equine well-differentiated vulvo-vaginal squamous cell
892 carcinoma. The number of Foxp3^{+} cells did not show differences among intra and
893 extratumoral tissues. Foxp3^{+} cells were often seen infiltrating among tumor cells. (e) Equine
894 poorly differentiated vulvo-vaginal squamous cell carcinoma. IBA1^{+} cells were often
895 scattered among tumor cells. (f) Equine vulvo-vaginal papilloma. In BPL, CD204^{+} cells were
896 often limited to extratumoral stroma and did not infiltrate among neoplastic cells. (g) Equine
897 well differentiated vulvo-vaginal squamous cell carcinoma. Intratumoral CD204^{+} cells were
898 often intermingled with neoplastic cells. Occasionally, CD204^{+} cells showed phagocytosis
899 of neoplastic cells (arrow). (h) Equine vulvo-vaginal papilloma. MPO^{+} cells (mostly
900 neutrophils) were observed predominantly near areas of ulceration.

901

902 **Figure 9**: Gene expression levels of immune infiltrate-related targets in equine vulvar lesions
903 samples. Differences (malignant epithelial tumors (MET) vs Control (CTRL), benign/pre-
904 neoplastic neoplastic lesions (BPL) vs CTRL, MET vs BPL) were evaluated using the
905 Kruskal–Wallis test and applying the post-doc Dunn's multiple comparison. The asterisks
906 indicate the statistical significance: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$.

907

908 **Supplemental Figure S1:** Equine vulvo-vaginal epithelial neoplasia. Immunohistochemistry
909 of TWIST-1 in equine vulvo-vaginal malignant epithelial tumors (MET). (a) There are
910 occasional TWIST-1 immunolabelled tumor cells showing a weak nuclear immunolabelling
911 (arrowhead). (b) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of TWIST-1 immunolabelled
912 tumor cells across benign/pre-neoplastic neoplastic lesions (BPL), MET, and control (CTRL)
913 groups (Mann-Whitney-U). (C) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor cells
914 with cytoplasmic TWIST-1 immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and control groups. (d)
915 Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of tumor cells with nuclear TWIST-1
916 immunolabelling across BPL, MET, and control groups (Mann-Whitney-U). Data are shown
917 as box and whisker plots. The bounds of the box plot indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles,
918 the bar indicates medians, and the whiskers indicate minima and maxima. Cyto=
919 cytoplasmic; nucl= nuclear.

920

921 **Supplemental Figure S2:** Number of intratumoral, extratumoral, and overall positive
922 immune cells in all groups. (a) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of number of CD3
923 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (b) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of
924 number of CD20 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (c) Quantitative analysis.
925 Comparison of number of FOXP3 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (d)
926 Quantitative analysis. Comparison of number of MUM1 immunolabelled immune cells

(Mann-Whitney-U) (e) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of IBA1 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (f) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of CD204 immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U) (g) Quantitative analysis. Comparison of numbers of myeloperoxidase (MPO) immunolabelled immune cells (Mann-Whitney-U). Data are shown as box and whisker plots. The bounds of the box plot indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, the bar indicates medians, and the whiskers indicate minima and maxima.

Supplemental Figure S3: Immunohistochemical expression of CD3, CD20, FOXP3, MUM1, IBA1, CD204, and myeloperoxidase (MPO). (Column a) Immunolabeling of the selected markers on a vulvo-vaginal papilloma. (Column b) Immunolabeling of the selected markers on a vulvo-vaginal squamous cell carcinoma.