



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

A generalization of Heffter arrays

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Original

A generalization of Heffter arrays / Costa, Simone; Morini, Fiorenza; Pasotti, Anita; Pellegrini, Marco Antonio. - In: JOURNAL OF COMBINATORIAL DESIGNS. - ISSN 1063-8539. - 28:3(2020), pp. 171-206. [10.1002/jcd.21684]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2866356 since: 2021-12-30T17:31:35Z

Publisher:

John Wiley and Sons Inc.

Published

DOI:10.1002/jcd.21684

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

A GENERALIZATION OF HEFFTER ARRAYS

SIMONE COSTA

*DII/DICATAM - Sez. Matematica, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Branze 38,
I-25123 Brescia, Italy*

FIORENZA MORINI

*Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Università di Parma, Parco
Area delle Scienze 53/A, I-43124 Parma, Italy*

ANITA PASOTTI

*DICATAM - Sez. Matematica, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Branze 43, I-25123
Brescia, Italy*

MARCO ANTONIO PELLEGRINI

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Via Musei 41,
I-25121 Brescia, Italy*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define a new class of partially filled arrays, called relative Heffter arrays, that are a generalization of the Heffter arrays introduced by Archdeacon in 2015. Let $v = 2nk + t$ be a positive integer, where t divides $2nk$, and let J be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_v of order t . A $H_t(m, n; s, k)$ Heffter array over \mathbb{Z}_v relative to J is an $m \times n$ partially filled array with elements in \mathbb{Z}_v such that: (a) each row contains s filled cells and each column contains k filled cells; (b) for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v \setminus J$, either x or $-x$ appears in the array; (c) the elements in every row and column sum to 0. Here we study the existence of square integer (i.e. with entries chosen in $\pm \left\{1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{2nk+t}{2} \right\rfloor\right\}$ and where the sums are zero in \mathbb{Z}) relative Heffter arrays for $t = k$, denoted by $H_k(n; k)$. In particular, we prove that for $3 \leq k \leq n$, with $k \neq 5$, there exists an integer $H_k(n; k)$ if and only if one of the following holds: (a) k is odd and $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$; (b) $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and n is even; (c) $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Also, we show how these arrays give rise to cyclic cycle decompositions of the complete multipartite graph.

E-mail addresses: simone.costa@unibs.it, fiorenza.morini@unipr.it, anita.pasotti@unibs.it,
marcoantonio.pellegrini@unicatt.it.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05B20; 05B30.

Key words and phrases. Heffter array, orthogonal cyclic cycle decomposition, multipartite complete graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

An $m \times n$ partially filled (p.f., for short) array on a set Ω is an $m \times n$ matrix whose elements belong to Ω and where we also allow some cells to be empty. An interesting class of p.f. arrays, called Heffter arrays, has been introduced by Dan Archdeacon in [3].

Definition 1.1. A *Heffter array* $H(m, n; s, k)$ is an $m \times n$ p.f. array with elements in \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+1} such that

- (a) each row contains s filled cells and each column contains k filled cells;
- (b) for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+1} \setminus \{0\}$, either x or $-x$ appears in the array;
- (c) the elements in every row and column sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+1}).

Trivial necessary conditions for the existence of an $H(m, n; s, k)$ are $ms = nk$, $3 \leq s \leq n$ and $3 \leq k \leq m$. Hence if the Heffter array is square $m = n$, then $s = k$; such an array will be denoted by $H(n; k)$. A Heffter array is called *integer* if Condition (c) in Definition 1.1 is strengthened so that the elements in every row and in every column, viewed as integers in $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm nk\}$, sum to zero in \mathbb{Z} .

Heffter arrays are considered interesting and worthy of study in their own right together with their vast variety of applications. In fact, there are some recent papers in which they are investigated since they allow to obtain new biembeddings (see [3, 15, 17, 19]), while other ones completely solve the existence problem of square Heffter arrays (see [4, 5, 12, 14, 20]). In particular, in [5, 20] the authors verify the existence of a square integer Heffter array for all admissible orders, proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists an integer $H(n; k)$ if and only if $3 \leq k \leq n$ and $nk \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$.*

In this paper we introduce a new class of p.f. arrays, which is a natural generalization of Heffter arrays.

Definition 1.3. Let $v = 2nk + t$ be a positive integer, where t divides $2nk$, and let J be the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_v of order t . A $H_t(m, n; s, k)$ *Heffter array over \mathbb{Z}_v relative to J* is an $m \times n$ p.f. array with elements in \mathbb{Z}_v such that:

- (a₁) each row contains s filled cells and each column contains k filled cells;
- (b₁) for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_v \setminus J$, either x or $-x$ appears in the array;
- (c₁) the elements in every row and column sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}_v).

If $H_t(m, n; s, k)$ is a square array, it will be denoted by $H_t(n; k)$. Clearly, if $t = 1$, namely if J is the trivial subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_{2nk+1} , we find again the classical concept of Heffter array. A relative Heffter array is called *integer* if Condition (c₁) in Definition 1.3 is strengthened so that the elements in every row and in every column, viewed as integers in $\pm \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{2nk+t}{2} \rfloor\}$, sum to zero in \mathbb{Z} . The *support* of an integer Heffter array A , denoted by $\text{supp}(A)$, is defined to be the set of the absolute values of the elements contained in A . It is immediate to see that an integer $H_2(n; k)$ is nothing but an integer $H(n; k)$, since in both cases the support is $\{1, 2, \dots, nk\}$.

Example 1.4. The following are integer relative Heffter arrays $H_{16}(4; 4)$ and $H_{32}(4; 4)$, respectively.

1	-7	-16	22
23	2	-8	-17
-13	19	4	-10
-11	-14	20	5

1	-9	-21	29
31	3	-11	-23
-17	25	5	-13
-15	-19	27	7

Here we investigate the existence problem of this new class of arrays in the square integer case. In Section 2 we will describe the relationship between relative Heffter arrays and *relative difference families*, see [1], which are very useful tools to obtain regular graph decompositions. In fact, many known results about regular decompositions of the complete graph and of the complete multipartite graph have been obtained thanks to difference families and to relative difference families, respectively. From this relationship it follows that starting from a relative Heffter array it is possible to construct a pair of orthogonal cyclic cycle decompositions of the complete multipartite graph, as we will explain in details in the same section. In Section 3 we will determine some necessary conditions for the existence of an integer relative Heffter array $H_t(n; k)$. In Section 4 we will present a result which reduces the existence problem of an integer $H_k(n; k)$ to the case $3 \leq k \leq 6$, then in Section 5 we will present direct constructions for these basic cases. The results of these two sections allow us to present an almost complete result which can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $3 \leq k \leq n$ with $k \neq 5$. There exists an integer $H_k(n; k)$ if and only if one of the following holds:*

- k is odd and $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$;
- $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and n is even;
- $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Furthermore, there exists an integer $H_5(n; 5)$ if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and it does not exist if $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$.

Note that for $k = 5$ we solved the existence problem of integer relative Heffter arrays $H_5(n; 5)$ only for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, leaving the case $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ open. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.5 and the result about orthogonal decompositions obtained thanks to the arrays constructed in previous sections. Hence, in this paper we focus on the construction of relative Heffter arrays $H_k(n; k)$. Further constructions for integer $H_t(n; k)$ will be given in [23]. We have to point out that relative Heffter arrays, as well as the classical ones, are useful to obtain biembeddings of orthogonal cyclic cycle decompositions. This relationship is investigated in [18].

2. RELATION WITH RELATIVE DIFFERENCE FAMILIES AND DECOMPOSITIONS OF THE COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE GRAPH

Firstly, we recall some basic definitions about graphs and graph decompositions. Given a graph Γ , by $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ we mean the vertex set and the edge set of Γ , respectively, and by $\lambda\Gamma$ the multigraph obtained from Γ by repeating each edge λ times. We will denote by K_v the complete graph of order v and by $K_{q \times r}$ the complete multipartite graph with q parts, each of size r . Obviously $K_{q \times 1}$ is nothing but the complete graph K_q . The cycle of length k , also called k -cycle, will be denoted by C_k .

The following are well known definitions and results which can be found in [7]. Let Γ be a subgraph of a graph K . A Γ -decomposition of K is a set \mathcal{D} of subgraphs of K isomorphic to Γ whose edges partition $E(K)$. If the vertices of Γ belong to an additive group G , given $g \in G$, the graph whose vertex set is $V(\Gamma) + g$ and whose edge set is $\{\{x + g, y + g\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)\}$ will be denoted by $\Gamma + g$. An *automorphism group* of a Γ -decomposition \mathcal{D} of K is a group of bijections on $V(K)$ leaving \mathcal{D} invariant. A Γ -decomposition \mathcal{D} of K is said to be *regular under a group G* or *G -regular* if it admits G as an automorphism group acting sharply transitively

on $V(K)$. Here we consider cyclic cycle decompositions, namely Γ -decompositions which are regular under a cyclic group where Γ is a cycle.

Proposition 2.1. *Given an additive group G , a Γ -decomposition \mathcal{D} of a graph K is G -regular if and only if, up to isomorphisms, the following conditions hold:*

- $V(K) = G$;
- $B \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow B + g \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $g \in G$.

One of the most efficient tools applied for finding regular decompositions is the *difference method*. Here, in particular, we are interested in relative difference families over graphs, introduced in [9] (see also [10]).

Definition 2.2. Let Γ be a graph with vertices in an additive group G . The multiset

$$\Delta\Gamma = \{\pm(x - y) \mid \{x, y\} \in E(\Gamma)\}$$

is called the *list of differences* from Γ .

More generally, given a set \mathcal{W} of graphs with vertices in G , by $\Delta\mathcal{W}$ one means the union (counting multiplicities) of all multisets $\Delta\Gamma$, where $\Gamma \in \mathcal{W}$.

Definition 2.3. Let J be a subgroup of an additive group G and let Γ be a graph. A collection \mathcal{F} of graphs isomorphic to Γ and with vertices in G is said to be a (G, J, Γ, λ) -*difference family* (briefly, DF) if each element of $G \setminus J$ appears exactly λ times in $\Delta\mathcal{F}$, while no element of J appears there.

One speaks also of a *difference family over G relative to J* . If $J = \{0\}$ one simply says that \mathcal{F} is a (G, Γ, λ) -DF. If Γ is a complete graph we find again the concept introduced by Buratti in [8]. If $J = \{0\}$ and Γ is a complete graph, then we obtain the classical concept of difference family, see [1]. If t is a divisor of v , by writing (v, t, Γ, λ) -DF one means a $(\mathbb{Z}_v, \frac{v}{t}\mathbb{Z}_v, \Gamma, \lambda)$ -DF, where $\frac{v}{t}\mathbb{Z}_v$ denotes the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_v of order t . We point out that the most interesting (and the most difficult) case is with $\lambda = 1$. The relationship between relative difference families and regular decompositions of the complete multipartite graph is explained in the following result.

Theorem 2.4. [9, Proposition 2.6] *If $\mathcal{F} = \{B_1, \dots, B_\ell\}$ is a (G, J, Γ, λ) -DF, then the collection of graphs $\mathcal{B} = \{B_i + g \mid i = 1, \dots, \ell; g \in G\}$ is a G -regular Γ -decomposition of $\lambda K_{q \times r}$, where $q = |G : J|$ and $r = |J|$. Thus, in particular, a (G, Γ, λ) -DF gives rise to a G -regular Γ -decomposition of $\lambda K_{|G|}$.*

Results about regular cycle decompositions of the complete multipartite graph via relative difference families can be found in [9, 11, 22, 25].

Now, in order to present the connection between relative Heffter arrays and relative difference families, we have to introduce the concept of *simple ordering*.

Henceforward, given two integers $a \leq b$, we denote by $[a, b]$ the interval containing the integers $\{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$. If $a > b$, then $[a, b]$ is empty. Given an $m \times n$ p.f. array A , the rows and the columns of A will be denoted by $\overline{R}_1, \dots, \overline{R}_m$ and by $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_n$, respectively. We will denote by $\mathcal{E}(A)$ the list of the elements of the filled cells of A . Analogously, by $\mathcal{E}(\overline{R}_i)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_j)$ we mean the elements of the i -th row and of the j -th column of A , respectively. Given a finite subset T of an abelian group G and an ordering $\omega = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ of the elements in T , let $s_i = \sum_{j=1}^i t_j$, for any $i \in [1, k]$, be the i -th partial sum of T . The ordering

ω is said to be *simple* if $s_b \neq s_c$ for all $1 \leq b < c \leq k$. If $s_k = 0$, this is equivalent to require that no proper subsequence of consecutive elements of ω sums to 0. Note that if ω is a simple ordering then also $\omega^{-1} = (t_k, t_{k-1}, \dots, t_1)$ is simple. We point out that there are several interesting problems and conjectures about distinct partial sums: see, for instance, [2, 6, 16, 21, 24]. Given an $m \times n$ p.f. array A , by $\omega_{\overline{R}_i}$ and $\omega_{\overline{C}_j}$ we will denote an ordering of $\mathcal{E}(\overline{R}_i)$ and of $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_j)$, respectively. If for any $i \in [1, m]$ and for any $j \in [1, n]$, the orderings $\omega_{\overline{R}_i}$ and $\omega_{\overline{C}_j}$ are simple, we define by $\omega_r = \omega_{\overline{R}_1} \circ \dots \circ \omega_{\overline{R}_m}$ the simple ordering for the rows and by $\omega_c = \omega_{\overline{C}_1} \circ \dots \circ \omega_{\overline{C}_n}$ the simple ordering for the columns. A p.f. array A on a group G is said to be *simple* if there exists a simple ordering for each row and each column of A . Clearly if $k \leq 5$, then every relative Heffter array is simple. Note that if we have a simple $H_t(n; k)$ we can construct 2^n simple orderings ω_r for the rows and 2^n simple orderings ω_c for the columns, since the inverse of a simple ordering of a row (or a column) is still a simple ordering.

Proposition 2.5. *If A is a simple $H_t(m, n; s, k)$, then there exist a $(2ms + t, t, C_s, 1)$ -DF and a $(2nk + t, t, C_k, 1)$ -DF.*

Proof. By hypothesis A is simple, hence there exists a simple ordering ω_i for the i -th row of A with $i \in [1, m]$. So, from each row of A we can construct an s -cycle whose vertices in \mathbb{Z}_{2ms+t} are the partial sums of ω_i . Let \mathcal{F}_s be the set of m s -cycles constructed this way using the rows of A . Clearly, $\Delta\mathcal{F}_s = \pm\mathcal{E}(A)$. On the other hand, since A is a $H_t(m, n; s, k)$ we have $\pm\mathcal{E}(A) = \mathbb{Z}_{2ms+t} \setminus \frac{2ms+t}{t}\mathbb{Z}_{2ms+t}$. Hence \mathcal{F}_s is a $(2ms + t, t, C_s, 1)$ -DF.

An analogous reasoning can be done on the columns of A obtaining a $(2nk + t, t, C_k, 1)$ -DF, say \mathcal{F}_k similarly. \square

Remark 2.6. Let \mathcal{F}_s and \mathcal{F}_k be the relative difference families constructed in the previous proposition. Note that for any $C_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$ and any $C_k \in \mathcal{F}_k$, we have $|\Delta C_s \cap \Delta C_k| \in \{0, 2\}$.

Example 2.7. Starting from the array $A = H_{16}(4; 4)$ given in Example 1.4 we construct two $(48, 16, C_4, 1)$ -DFs. Since $k = 4 < 6$ every ordering is simple. Set, for instance:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= (1, -7, -16, 22), & \nu_1 &= (-11, -13, 23, 1), \\ \omega_2 &= (23, 2, -8, -17), & \nu_2 &= (-7, 2, 19, -14), \\ \omega_3 &= (-10, 4, 19, -13), & \nu_3 &= (20, 4, -8, -16), \\ \omega_4 &= (-11, -14, 20, 5), & \nu_4 &= (5, -10, -17, 22). \end{aligned}$$

The ω_i 's and the ν_i 's are simple orderings for the rows and the columns of A , respectively. Starting from these orderings we obtain the following 4-cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\omega_1} &= (1, -6, -22, 0), & C^{\nu_1} &= (-11, -24, -1, 0), \\ C^{\omega_2} &= (23, 25, 17, 0), & C^{\nu_2} &= (-7, -5, 14, 0), \\ C^{\omega_3} &= (-10, -6, 13, 0), & C^{\nu_3} &= (20, 24, 16, 0), \\ C^{\omega_4} &= (-11, -25, -5, 0), & C^{\nu_4} &= (5, -5, -22, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathcal{F}_4^\omega = \{C^{\omega_i} \mid i \in [1, 4]\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_4^\nu = \{C^{\nu_i} \mid i \in [1, 4]\}$; by the construction of the cycles it immediately follows that $\Delta\mathcal{F}_4^\omega = \Delta\mathcal{F}_4^\nu = \mathbb{Z}_{48} \setminus 3\mathbb{Z}_{48}$. Hence \mathcal{F}_4^ω and \mathcal{F}_4^ν are two $(48, 16, C_4, 1)$ -DFs.

We recall the following definition, see for instance [13].

Definition 2.8. Two Γ -decompositions \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' of a simple graph K are said to be *orthogonal* if for any B of \mathcal{D} and any B' of \mathcal{D}' , B intersects B' in at most one edge.

Proposition 2.9. Let $H_t(m, n; s, k)$ be simple with respect to the orderings ω_r and ω_c . Then:

- (1) there exists a cyclic s -cycle decomposition \mathcal{D}_{ω_r} of $K_{\frac{2ms+t}{t} \times t}$;
- (2) there exists a cyclic k -cycle decomposition \mathcal{D}_{ω_c} of $K_{\frac{2nk+t}{t} \times t}$;
- (3) the cycle decompositions \mathcal{D}_{ω_r} and \mathcal{D}_{ω_c} are orthogonal.

Proof. (1) and (2) follow from Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.5. Then (3) follows from Remark 2.6. \square

3. NECESSARY CONDITIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF SQUARE INTEGER $H_t(n; k)$

Here we determine some necessary conditions for the existence of square integer $H_t(n; k)$. We recall that, by definition, t divides $2nk$.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose that there exists an integer $H_t(n; k)$.

- (1) If t divides nk , then

$$nk \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \quad \text{or} \quad nk \equiv -t \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}.$$

- (2) If $t = 2nk$, then k must be even.

- (3) If $t \neq 2nk$ does not divide nk , then

$$t + 2nk \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Proof. Given an integer $H_t(n; k)$, in order for each row to sum to zero, each row must contain an even number of odd numbers. In particular, the entire array contains an even number of odd numbers. The support of $H_t(n; k)$ is the set $S = [1, nk + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor] \setminus T$, where T consists of the multiples of $\frac{2nk+t}{t}$, i.e.,

$$T = \left\{ \frac{2nk+t}{t}, 2\frac{2nk+t}{t}, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right\rfloor \frac{2nk+t}{t} \right\}.$$

Note that the interval $[1, nk + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor]$ contains exactly $\left\lfloor \frac{nk + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$ odd numbers. Now, if $\frac{2nk+t}{t}$ is odd (i.e., if t divides nk), then T contains $\left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$ odd numbers. It follows that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{nk + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

is necessarily even, giving case (1).

If $\frac{2nk+t}{t}$ is even (i.e., if t does not divide nk), then T contains no odd numbers. Hence $\left\lfloor \frac{nk + \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$ must be even. In particular, if $t = 2nk$, then T contains all the even numbers of $[1, 2nk]$, and so S consists only of odd numbers. It follows that k must be even, giving case (2). We are left to consider case (3). If $t \neq 2nk$ does not divide nk , then t must be even and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2nk + t + 2}{4} \right\rfloor$$

is necessarily even. Hence $2nk + t \equiv 0, 6 \pmod{8}$. Now we will show that $2nk + t \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ leads to a contradiction. Since we are in the hypothesis that t is even, we can set $t = 2m$ with $nk = mh$. From $2nk + t \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ we obtain $2mh + 2m \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ which implies $m(h + 1) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In particular this implies that h is even which contradicts the hypothesis that $t = 2m$ does not divide $nk = mh$. Hence (3) follows. \square

We have to point out that the necessary conditions of the previous proposition are not sufficient. In fact, for $k = 3$ we have also found two non-existence results. In order to present them we need some definitions.

Given an $m \times n$ p.f. array A , by $A[i, j]$ we mean the element of A in position (i, j) . Also, we define the skeleton of A , denoted by $skel(A)$, to be the set of the filled positions of A . In case A and B are $m \times n$ p.f. arrays such that $skel(A) \cap skel(B) = \emptyset$, we define the union of A and B to be the $m \times n$ p.f. array filled with both the entries of A and B .

Let A be an $m \times n$ p.f. array with no empty rows and no empty columns. Let \mathcal{R} be an $r \times n$ subarray of A and \mathcal{C} be an $m \times c$ subarray of A . We say that the $r \times c$ subarray $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{C}$ of A is *closed* if $skel(\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{C}) = skel(\mathcal{R}) \cup skel(\mathcal{C})$. We say that a closed subarray is *minimal* if it is minimal with respect to the inclusion.

Example 3.2. Consider the following p.f. array A , where a filled cell is represented by a \bullet :

\bullet	\bullet				
		\bullet		\bullet	
\bullet	\bullet		\bullet		
		\bullet		\bullet	\bullet
\bullet	\bullet		\bullet		
		\bullet			\bullet

Let \mathcal{R} be the subarray consisting of the rows 1, 3, 5 of A and let \mathcal{C} be the subarray consisting of the columns 1, 2, 4. Then $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{C}$ is a minimal closed subarray of A .

Lemma 3.3. *There is no integer $H_{3n}(n; 3)$ for $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that A is an integer $H_{3n}(n; 3)$, hence by Proposition 3.1 we have $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then, $supp(A) = [1, \frac{9n}{2}] \setminus \{3, 6, \dots, \frac{9n}{2}\}$. Fix any row of A and consider its three elements. Since they sum to zero in \mathbb{Z} and each of them cannot be congruent to zero modulo 3, these elements must belong to the same residue class modulo 3. The same clearly holds also for any column of A . So, considering alternatively rows and columns, one obtains that, for any minimal closed subarray B of A , all the elements of $\mathcal{E}(B)$ belong to the same residue class modulo 3. It is easy to see that if we change all the signs of the elements of a closed subarray of A , we still obtain an integer $H_{3n}(n; 3)$. Hence, there would exist an integer $H_{3n}(n; 3)$, say A' , such that all its elements belong to the same residue class modulo 3 and, without loss of generality, we can suppose that this residue class modulo 3 is 1, namely that

$$\mathcal{E}(A') = \left\{ 1, -2, 4, -5, 7, -8, \dots, \frac{9n-4}{2}, -\frac{9n-2}{2} \right\}.$$

Now, it is evident that the elements of $\mathcal{E}(A')$ cannot sum to zero in \mathbb{Z} , giving a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.4. *There is no integer $H_8(4; 3)$.*

Proof. Assume, by way of a contradiction, that A is an integer $H_8(4; 3)$, hence $supp(A) = [1, 16] \setminus \{4, 8, 12, 16\}$. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that each row of A contains an element equivalent to 0 modulo 3. Clearly we can assume without loss of generality that $3 \in \text{supp}(\overline{R}_1)$, $6 \in \text{supp}(\overline{R}_2)$, $9 \in \text{supp}(\overline{R}_3)$, $15 \in \text{supp}(\overline{R}_4)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\overline{R}_1) &\in \{\{3, 1, 2\}, \{3, 2, 5\}, \{3, 7, 10\}, \{3, 10, 13\}, \{3, 11, 14\}\}; \\ \text{supp}(\overline{R}_2) &\in \{\{6, 1, 5\}, \{6, 1, 7\}, \{6, 5, 11\}, \{6, 7, 13\}\}; \\ \text{supp}(\overline{R}_3) &\in \{\{9, 2, 7\}, \{9, 1, 10\}, \{9, 2, 11\}, \{9, 5, 14\}\}; \\ \text{supp}(\overline{R}_4) &\in \{\{15, 1, 14\}, \{15, 2, 13\}, \{15, 5, 10\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple direct check shows us that these conditions are compatible with $\text{supp}(A) = [1, 16] \setminus \{4, 8, 12, 16\}$ only if:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\overline{R}_1) &= \{3, 10, 13\}; & \text{supp}(\overline{R}_2) &= \{6, 5, 11\}; \\ \text{supp}(\overline{R}_3) &= \{9, 2, 7\}; & \text{supp}(\overline{R}_4) &= \{15, 1, 14\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also assume, up to permutations of the columns and changing signs, that $\mathcal{E}(\overline{R}_4) = (15, -14, -1)$ and that the cell $(4, 4)$ is empty. Since $-14 \in \overline{C}_2$, we have that $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_2) \in \{\{3, 11, -14\}, \{5, 9, -14\}\}$. We consider these two cases separately:

$$(a) \quad A = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & 3 & & \\ \hline & 11 & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline 15 & -14 & -1 & \\ \hline \end{array}; \quad (b) \quad A = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & 5 & & \\ \hline & 9 & & \\ \hline 15 & -14 & -1 & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

- (a) Since the cell $(4, 4)$ is empty it follows that the cell $(1, 4)$ is not empty and that $A[1, 4] \in \{10, -13\}$. It is easy to see that if $A[1, 4] = 10$ then it is not possible to complete the column \overline{C}_4 . Hence $A[1, 4] = -13$ which implies $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_4) = \{-13, 6, 7\}$, but now there is no possible way to complete the row \overline{R}_2 .
- (b) Using (3.1), it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_1) = \{-13, -2, 15\}$ and $\mathcal{E}(\overline{C}_3) = \{3, -2, -1\}$, which clearly is a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose now that there is a row \overline{R}_i of A such that each of its elements is equivalent to ± 1 modulo 3. Clearly, we can assume without loss of generality that $\overline{R}_i = \overline{R}_1$, hence $\{3, 6, 9, 15\} \subset \text{supp}(\overline{R}_2) \cup \text{supp}(\overline{R}_3) \cup \text{supp}(\overline{R}_4)$. Because of the pigeonhole principle there exists a row \overline{R}_j , with $j \neq 1$, whose support contains at least two elements among $\{3, 6, 9, 15\}$. We can assume that $j = 2$ and that the filled positions of \overline{R}_2 are $(2, 1), (2, 2)$ and $(2, 3)$. Since the sum of the elements of \overline{R}_2 is zero, we have that $|\text{supp}(\overline{R}_2) \cap \{3, 6, 9, 15\}| = 3$; let us denote by x the element of $\{3, 6, 9, 15\}$ that is not contained in $\text{supp}(\overline{R}_2)$. It follows that $x \in \text{supp}(\overline{C}_4)$, otherwise we would have a column with exactly two elements equivalent to 0 modulo 3, but this implies that the sum of this column is not zero. Therefore each column of A contains an element equivalent to 0 modulo 3. Now reasoning as in the first case (on the columns instead of the rows) we obtain a contradiction. \square

In this paper we investigate the existence of an integer $H_k(n; k)$. Note that in this special case the necessary conditions given in Proposition 3.1 can be written in a simpler way. In fact, we are in case (1) with $t = k$ and hence we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *If there exists an integer $H_k(n; k)$, then necessarily one of the following holds:*

- (1) k is odd and $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$;

- (2) $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and n is even;
- (3) $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

4. EXTENSION THEOREM

Firstly we introduce notations and definitions useful to present the main result of this section which allows us to obtain an integer $H_{k+h}(n; k+h)$ starting from an integer $H_k(n; k)$, for suitable even h . Above all, this result plays a crucial role in the paper.

Definition 4.1. A square p.f. array A with entries in \mathbb{Z} is said to be *shiftable* if every row and every column contains an equal number of positive and negative entries.

Let A be a shiftable array and x a non-negative integer. Let $A \pm x$ be the array obtained adding x to each positive entry of A and $-x$ to each negative entry of A .

Remark 4.2. If A is shiftable then the row and column sums of $A \pm x$ are exactly the row and column sums of A .

If A is an $n \times n$ p.f. array, for $i \in [1, n]$ we define the i -th diagonal

$$D_i = \{(i, 1), (i + 1, 2), \dots, (i - 1, n)\}.$$

Here all the arithmetic on the row and the column indices is performed modulo n , where the set of reduced residues is $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We say that the diagonals $D_i, D_{i+1}, \dots, D_{i+k}$ are $k + 1$ consecutive diagonals.

Definition 4.3. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. We will say that a square p.f. array A of size $n \geq k$ is *cyclically k -diagonal* if the non empty cells of A are exactly those of k consecutive diagonals.

Definition 4.4. We call *cyclically (s, k) -diagonal* every p.f. array S obtained as follows. Take a cyclically k -diagonal $n \times n$ p.f. array A and replace each cell of A with an $s \times s$ array which is totally empty if the corresponding cell of A is empty. Denote by B the $sn \times sn$ array so obtained and let $\overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_{sn}$ be its columns. Let S be any array whose ordered columns are $\overline{C}_i, \overline{C}_{i+1}, \dots, \overline{C}_{sn}, \overline{C}_1, \dots, \overline{C}_{i-1}$, with $i \in [1, sn]$.

Example 4.5. The following is a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal array of size 8.

4		36	-28			-33	21
	8	-27	39			20	-40
-22	13		3	-35	41		
	12			7	42	-32	
			26	-37	1		-14
			-38	19		5	25
							-11
15	-10				23	-30	2
	-9	18			-31	16	
							6

Remark 4.6. Each cyclically k -diagonal p.f. array of even size n with k odd can be viewed as a cyclically $(2, \frac{k+1}{2})$ -diagonal p.f. array.

Example 4.7. The following p.f. array is a cyclically 3-diagonal integer $H_3(12; 3)$ whose filled diagonals are D_{12}, D_1, D_2 . This array can be also viewed as a cyclically $(2, 2)$ -diagonal.

-5	18						-13
-32	1	31					
	-19	2	17				
		-33	3	30			
			-20	4	16		
				-34	12	22	
				-28	7	21	
					-29	-6	35
						-15	-8
							23
						-27	-9
							36
							-14
							-10
37							-26
							24
							-11

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose there exists an integer $H_k(n; k)$, say A , and an $n \times n$ shifttable p.f. array B such that:*

- (1) *each row and each column of B contains h filled cells;*
- (2) *$\text{supp}(B) = [1, \frac{h}{2}(2n+1)] \setminus T$ where:*

$$T = \begin{cases} \{(2n+1), 2(2n+1), \dots, \frac{h}{2}(2n+1)\} & \text{if } k \text{ is even;} \\ \{(n+1), (n+1) + (2n+1), \dots, (n+1) + \frac{h-2}{2}(2n+1)\} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd;} \end{cases}$$

- (3) *the elements in every row and column of B sum to 0;*
- (4) *$\text{skel}(A) \cap \text{skel}(B) = \emptyset$.*

Then there exists an integer $H_{k+h}(n; k+h)$.

Proof. Note that since B is shifttable then h is even. We divide the proof into two cases according to the parity of k .

Case 1: k is even. Since A is an integer $H_k(n; k)$, we have that:

$$\text{supp}(A) = \left[1, \frac{k}{2}(2n+1)\right] \setminus \left\{(2n+1), 2(2n+1), \dots, \frac{k}{2}(2n+1)\right\}.$$

Since B is shifttable, by Remark 4.2 and by (3), the rows and columns of $\bar{B} = B \pm \frac{k}{2}(2n+1)$ still sum to zero. Moreover, because of hypothesis (2), we also have that:

$$\text{supp}(\bar{B}) = \left[\frac{k}{2}(2n+1) + 1, \frac{k+h}{2}(2n+1)\right] \setminus \left\{\frac{k+2}{2}(2n+1), \dots, \frac{k+h}{2}(2n+1)\right\}.$$

It follows from hypotheses (1) and (4) that the union of A and \bar{B} is an integer $H_{k+h}(n; k+h)$.

Case 2: k is odd. We proceed in a similar way. Here we have that:

$$\text{supp}(A) = \left[1, \frac{k(2n+1)-1}{2}\right] \setminus \left\{(2n+1), \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}(2n+1)\right\}.$$

Since B is shifttable, by Remark 4.2 and by (3), the rows and columns of $\bar{B} = B \pm \frac{k(2n+1)-1}{2}$ still sum to zero. Moreover, because of hypothesis (2), we have that the support of \bar{B} is:

$$\left[\frac{k(2n+1)+1}{2}, \frac{(k+h)(2n+1)-1}{2}\right] \setminus \left\{\frac{k+1}{2}(2n+1), \dots, \frac{k+h-1}{2}(2n+1)\right\}.$$

It follows from hypotheses (1) and (4) that the union of A and \bar{B} is an integer $H_{k+h}(n; k+h)$. \square

A	B	C	D			
	A	B	C	D		
		A	B	C	D	
			A	B	C	D
D				A	B	C
X	X				A	B
B	X	X				A

FIGURE 1. Scheme of construction with $n = 7$.

Many of the constructions we will present are based on filling in the cells of a set of diagonals. In order to describe these constructions we use the same procedure introduced in [20]. In an $n \times n$ array A the procedure $diag(r, c, s, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ell)$ installs the entries

$$A[r + i\Delta_1, c + i\Delta_1] = s + i\Delta_2 \quad \text{for } i \in [0, \ell - 1].$$

The parameters used in the $diag$ procedure have the following meaning:

- r denotes the starting row,
- c denotes the starting column,
- s denotes the entry $A[r, c]$,
- Δ_1 denotes the increasing value of the row and column at each step,
- Δ_2 denotes how much the entry is changed at each step,
- ℓ is the length of the chain.

We will write $[a, b]_{(W)}$ to mean $supp(W) = [a, b]$.

Here we provide some direct constructions of shiftable p.f. arrays that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.8.

Proposition 4.9. *There exists a shiftable, integer, cyclically 4-diagonal $H_4(n; 4)$ for $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. We construct an $n \times n$ array A using the following procedures labeled A to D:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A : } &diag(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, n); & \text{B : } &diag(1, 2, -(n+1), 1, -1, n); \\ \text{C : } &diag(1, 3, -(2n+4), 1, -1, n-2); & \text{D : } &diag(1, 4, 3n+4, 1, 1, n-2). \end{aligned}$$

We also fill the following cells in an *ad hoc* manner:

$$\begin{aligned} A[n-1, 1] &= -(2n+2), & A[n-1, 2] &= 3n+2, \\ A[n, 2] &= -(2n+3), & A[n, 3] &= 3n+3. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that the array constructed above is an integer $H_4(n; 4)$. To aid in the proof we give a schematic picture (see Figure 1) of where each of the diagonal procedures fills cells. We have placed an X in the *ad hoc* cells. It is easy to see that A is shiftable and cyclically 4-diagonal. We now check that the elements in every row sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}).

Row 1 to $n-2$: Notice that for any row $r = 1 + i$, where $i \in [0, n-3]$, from the A, B, C and D diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(1+i) - (n+1+i) - (2n+4+i) + (3n+4+i) = 0.$$

Row $n-1$: This row contains two *ad hoc* values, the $(n-1)$ -th element of the A diagonal and the $(n-1)$ -th element of the B diagonal. The sum is

$$-(2n+2) + (3n+2) + (n-1) - (2n-1) = 0.$$

Row n : This row contains two *ad hoc* values, the last of the **A** diagonal and the last of the **B** diagonal. The sum is

$$-2n - (2n + 3) + (3n + 3) + n = 0.$$

So we have shown that all row sums are zero. Next we check that the columns all add to zero.

Column 1: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the **A** diagonal as well as the last elements of the **D** and **B** diagonals. The sum is

$$1 + (4n + 1) - (2n + 2) - 2n = 0.$$

Column 2: There are two *ad hoc* values plus the first of the **B** diagonal as well as the second of the **A** diagonal. The sum is

$$-(n + 1) + 2 + (3n + 2) - (2n + 3) = 0.$$

Column 3: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the **C** diagonal, the second of the **B** diagonal and the third of the **A** diagonal. The sum is

$$-(2n + 4) - (n + 2) + 3 + (3n + 3) = 0.$$

Column 4 to n : For every column c , write $c = 4 + i$, where $i \in [0, n - 4]$. From the **D**, **C**, **B** and **A** diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(3n + 4 + i) - (2n + 5 + i) - (n + 3 + i) + (4 + i) = 0.$$

So we have shown that each column sums to 0. Now we consider the support of A :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(A) &= [1, n]_{(\mathbf{A})} \cup [n + 1, 2n]_{(\mathbf{B})} \cup \{2n + 2, 2n + 3\} \cup [2n + 4, 3n + 1]_{(\mathbf{C})} \cup \\ &\quad \{3n + 2, 3n + 3\} \cup [3n + 4, 4n + 1]_{(\mathbf{D})} \\ &= [1, 4n + 1] \setminus \{2n + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is a shifttable, integer, cyclically 4-diagonal $H_4(n; 4)$ for $n \geq 4$. \square

Example 4.10. Following the proof of Proposition 4.9 we obtain the integer $H_4(7; 4)$ below.

1	-8	-18	25			
	2	-9	-19	26		
		3	-10	-20	27	
			4	-11	-21	28
29				5	-12	-22
-16	23				6	-13
-14	-17	24				7

Proposition 4.11. For every $n \geq 4$, there exists an $n \times n$ shifttable, cyclically 4-diagonal, p.f. array B such that:

- (1) $\text{supp}(B) = [1, 4n + 2] \setminus \{n + 1, 3n + 2\}$;
- (2) the elements in every row and column of B sum to 0.

Proof. We construct an $n \times n$ array B using the following procedures labeled **A** to **D**:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &: \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, n); & \mathbf{B} &: \text{diag}(1, 2, -(n + 2), 1, -1, n); \\ \mathbf{C} &: \text{diag}(1, 3, -(2n + 4), 1, -1, n - 2); & \mathbf{D} &: \text{diag}(1, 4, 3n + 5, 1, 1, n - 2). \end{aligned}$$

We also fill the following cells in an *ad hoc* manner:

$$\begin{aligned} B[n-1, 1] &= -(2n+2), & B[n-1, 2] &= 3n+3, \\ B[n, 2] &= -(2n+3), & B[n, 3] &= 3n+4. \end{aligned}$$

To aid in the proof we give a schematic picture of where each of the diagonal procedures fills cells (see Figure 1). We have placed an **X** in the *ad hoc* cells. Note that B is shiftable and cyclically 4-diagonal, so we only need to prove that the array constructed above satisfies the properties (1) and (2) of the statement. We now check that the elements in every row sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}).

Row 1 to $n-2$: Notice that for any row $r = 1 + i$, where $i \in [0, n-3]$, from the **A**, **B**, **C** and **D** diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(1+i) - (n+2+i) - (2n+4+i) + (3n+5+i) = 0.$$

Row $n-1$: This row contains two *ad hoc* values, the $(n-1)$ -th element of the **A** diagonal and the $(n-1)$ -th element of the **B** diagonal. The sum is

$$-(2n+2) + (3n+3) + (n-1) - 2n = 0.$$

Row n : This row contains two *ad hoc* values and the last elements of the **A** and **B** diagonals. The sum is

$$-(2n+1) - (2n+3) + (3n+4) + n = 0.$$

So we have shown that all row sums are zero. Next we check that the columns all add to zero.

Column 1: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the **A** diagonal as well as the last elements of the **D** and **B** diagonals. The sum is

$$1 + (4n+2) - (2n+2) - (2n+1) = 0.$$

Column 2: There are two *ad hoc* values plus the first of the **B** diagonal as well as the second of the **A** diagonal. The sum is

$$-(n+2) + 2 + (3n+3) - (2n+3) = 0.$$

Column 3: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the **C** diagonal, the second of the **B** diagonal and the third of the **A** diagonal. The sum is

$$-(2n+4) - (n+3) + 3 + (3n+4) = 0.$$

Column 4 to n : Notice that for every column $c = 4 + i$, where $i \in [0, n-4]$, from the **D**, **C**, **B** and **A** diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(3n+5+i) - (2n+5+i) - (n+4+i) + (4+i) = 0.$$

So we have shown that each column sums to 0. Now we consider the support of B :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(B) &= [1, n]_{(\mathbf{A})} \cup [n+2, 2n+1]_{(\mathbf{B})} \cup \{2n+2, 2n+3\} \cup \\ &\quad [2n+4, 3n+1]_{(\mathbf{C})} \cup \{3n+3, 3n+4\} \cup [3n+5, 4n+2]_{(\mathbf{D})} \\ &= [1, 4n+2] \setminus \{n+1, 3n+2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the result. \square

Example 4.12. Here we have the 7×7 array obtained following the proof of Proposition 4.11.

1	-9	-18	26			
	2	-10	-19	27		
		3	-11	-20	28	
			4	-12	-21	29
30				5	-13	-22
-16	24				6	-14
-15	-17	25				7

Proposition 4.13. *There exists a shiftable, integer, cyclically $(2, 2)$ -diagonal $H_4(n; 4)$ for any even $n \geq 4$.*

Proof. We set $n = 2m$. Let us consider the arrays $E_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 4i & -2 - 4i \\ -3 - 4i & 4 + 4i \end{bmatrix}$ and $F_i =$

$\begin{bmatrix} -2 - 4i & 3 + 4i \\ 4 + 4i & -5 - 4i \end{bmatrix}$. Now, let B be the $2m \times 2m$ array so defined:

E_0	F_m					
	E_1	F_{m+1}				
		E_2	F_{m+2}			
			\ddots	\ddots		
				\ddots	\ddots	
					E_{m-2}	F_{2m-2}
F_{2m-1}						E_{m-1}

Clearly B is shiftable and cyclically $(2, 2)$ -diagonal; its support is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(B) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{supp}(E_i) \cup \bigcup_{i=m}^{2m-1} \text{supp}(F_i) \\ &= [1, 4m] \cup [4m + 2, 8m + 1] = [1, 4n + 1] \setminus \{2n + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to check that each row and each column of B sums to zero and thus B is a $H_4(n; 4)$ that satisfies the required properties. \square

Example 4.14. Following the proof of Proposition 4.13 we obtain the integer $H_4(8; 4)$ below:

1	-2	-18	19				
-3	4	20	-21				
		5	-6	-22	23		
		-7	8	24	-25		
				9	-10	-26	27
				-11	12	28	-29
-30	31					13	-14
32	-33					-15	16

Given a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal p.f. array, we call strip S_i the union of two consecutive rows \bar{R}_{2i+1} and \bar{R}_{2i+2} .

Proposition 4.15. *Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ with $n \geq 8$. Then there exists an $n \times n$ shiftable, cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal, p.f. array B such that:*

- (1) $\text{supp}(B) = [1, 6n + 3] \setminus \{n + 1, 3n + 2, 5n + 3\}$;
- (2) *the elements in every row and column of B sum to 0.*

Proof. Consider the following three 2×6 arrays:

$$U = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline -1 & 5 & 2 & -7 & -9 & 10 \\ \hline 3 & -4 & -6 & 8 & 11 & -12 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$V_5 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline -1 & 10 & 7 & -12 & 4 & -8 \\ \hline 3 & -9 & -11 & 13 & -2 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array},$$

$$V_9 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline -1 & 5 & 2 & -7 & 13 & -12 \\ \hline 3 & -4 & -6 & 8 & -11 & 10 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Note that $\text{supp}(U) = [1, 12]$, $\text{supp}(V_5) = [1, 4] \cup [6, 13]$ and $\text{supp}(V_9) = [1, 8] \cup [10, 13]$. Also, U , V_5 and V_9 are shiftable matrices whose rows sum to 0 and whose columns have the following sums: $(2, 1, -4, 1, 2, -2)$. As consequence, every cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal p.f. array constructed strip by strip using 2×6 arrays of the form $W \pm x$, where $W \in \{U, V_5, V_9\}$ and x is a non-negative integer, has rows and columns that sum to 0. We have to distinguish three cases.

If $n = 12m$, let B be a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal $n \times n$ p.f. array whose strips S_i are as follows:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} U \pm 12i & \text{if } i \in [0, m-1], \\ U \pm (1 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [m, 3m-1], \\ U \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [3m, 5m-1], \\ U \pm (3 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [5m, 6m-1]. \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(B) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + 12i, 12 + 12i] \cup \bigcup_{i=m}^{3m-1} [2 + 12i, 13 + 12i] \cup \\ &\quad \bigcup_{i=3m}^{5m-1} [3 + 12i, 14 + 12i] \cup \bigcup_{i=5m}^{6m-1} [4 + 12i, 15 + 12i] \\ &= [1, 12m] \cup [12m + 2, 36m + 1] \cup [36m + 3, 60m + 2] \cup \\ &\quad [60m + 4, 72m + 3]. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 12m + 4$, let B be a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal $n \times n$ p.f. array whose strips S_i are:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} U \pm 12i & \text{if } i \in [0, m-1], \\ V_5 \pm 12i & \text{if } i = m, \\ U \pm (1 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [m+1, 3m], \\ U \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [3m+1, 5m], \\ V_9 \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i = 5m+1, \\ U \pm (3 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [5m+2, 6m+1]. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(B) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + 12i, 12 + 12i] \cup [12m + 1, 12m + 4] \cup \\ &\quad [12m + 6, 12m + 13] \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{3m} [2 + 12i, 13 + 12i] \cup \\ &\quad \bigcup_{i=3m+1}^{5m} [3 + 12i, 14 + 12i] \cup [60m + 15, 60m + 22] \cup \\ &\quad [60m + 24, 60m + 27] \cup \bigcup_{i=5m+2}^{6m+1} [4 + 12i, 15 + 12i] \\ &= [1, 12m + 4] \cup [12m + 6, 36m + 13] \cup [36m + 15, 60m + 22] \cup \\ &\quad [60m + 24, 72m + 27]. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 12m + 8$ with $m \geq 0$, let B be a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal $n \times n$ p.f. array whose strips S_i are:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} U \pm 12i & \text{if } i \in [0, m-1], \\ V_9 \pm 12i & \text{if } i = m, \\ U \pm (1 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [m+1, 3m+1], \\ U \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [3m+2, 5m+2], \\ V_5 \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i = 5m+3, \\ U \pm (3 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [5m+4, 6m+3]. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(B) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + 12i, 12 + 12i] \cup [12m + 1, 12m + 8] \cup \\ &\quad [12m + 10, 12m + 13] \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{3m+1} [2 + 12i, 13 + 12i] \cup \\ &\quad \bigcup_{i=3m+2}^{5m+2} [3 + 12i, 14 + 12i] \cup [60m + 39, 60m + 42] \cup \\ &\quad [60m + 44, 60m + 51] \cup \bigcup_{i=5m+4}^{6m+3} [4 + 12i, 15 + 12i] \\ &= [1, 12m + 8] \cup [12m + 10, 36m + 25] \cup [36m + 27, 60m + 42] \cup \\ &\quad [60m + 44, 72m + 51]. \end{aligned}$$

In all three cases, we have $\text{supp}(B) = [1, 6n + 3] \setminus \{n + 1, 3n + 2, 5n + 3\}$ as required. \square

Example 4.16. Following the proof of Proposition 4.15 we obtain the following 12×12 p.f. array B :

-1	5	2	-7	-9	10						
3	-4	-6	8	11	-12						
		-14	18	15	-20	-22	23				
		16	-17	-19	21	24	-25				
				-26	30	27	-32	-34	35		
				28	-29	-31	33	36	-37		
						-39	43	40	-45	-47	48
						41	-42	-44	46	49	-50
-59	60							-51	55	52	-57
61	-62							53	-54	-56	58
65	-70	-72	73							-64	68
-69	71	74	-75							66	-67

Theorem 4.17. *If there exists:*

- (1) *an integer cyclically k -diagonal $H_k(n; k)$ with $n \geq k + 4$, then there exists an integer cyclically $(k + 4)$ -diagonal $H_{k+4}(n; k + 4)$;*
- (2) *an integer cyclically $(2, d)$ -diagonal $H_{2d}(n; 2d)$ with $n \geq 2d + 4$, then there exists an integer cyclically $(2, d + 2)$ -diagonal $H_{2d+4}(n; 2d + 4)$;*
- (3) *an integer cyclically $(2, d)$ -diagonal $H_{2d-1}(n; 2d - 1)$ with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 2d + 6$, then there exists an integer cyclically $(2, d + 3)$ -diagonal $H_{2d+5}(n; 2d + 5)$.*

Proof. (1) Let A be an integer cyclically k -diagonal $H_k(n; k)$ with $n \geq k + 4$. Let B be the cyclically 4-diagonal array of size n constructed in Proposition 4.9 if k is even and in Proposition 4.11 if k is odd. Since $n \geq k + 4$, starting from B it is possible to construct a cyclically 4-diagonal array \tilde{B} such that $\text{skel}(A) \cap \text{skel}(\tilde{B}) = \emptyset$ and $A \cup \tilde{B}$ is cyclically $(k + 4)$ -diagonal. Hence the result follows by Theorem 4.8.

(2) Let A be an integer cyclically $(2, d)$ -diagonal $H_{2d}(n; 2d)$ with $n \geq 2d + 4$. Let B be the cyclically $(2, 2)$ -diagonal array constructed in Proposition 4.13. Reasoning as in case (1),

since $n \geq 2d + 4$ we can take \tilde{B} such that $skel(A) \cap skel(\tilde{B}) = \emptyset$ and $A \cup \tilde{B}$ is cyclically $(2, d + 2)$ -diagonal. Hence the result follows by Theorem 4.8.

(3) Let A be an integer cyclically $(2, d)$ -diagonal $H_{2d-1}(n; 2d - 1)$ with $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 2d + 6$. Let B be the cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal array constructed in Proposition 4.15. Reasoning as in case (1), since $n \geq 2d + 6$ we can take \tilde{B} such that $skel(A) \cap skel(\tilde{B}) = \emptyset$ and $A \cup \tilde{B}$ is cyclically $(2, d + 3)$ -diagonal. Hence the result follows by Theorem 4.8. \square

Example 4.18. We consider case (3) of previous theorem for $n = 12$ and $d = 2$. Taking the integer cyclically $(2, 2)$ -diagonal $H_3(12; 3)$ of Example 4.7 and the cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal array B of Example 4.16, we obtain an integer cyclically $(2, 5)$ -diagonal $H_9(12; 9)$. The elements in bold are those of $\tilde{B} \pm 37$.

-5	18		-38	42	39	-44	-46	47			-13
-32	1	31	40	-41	-43	45	48	-49			
	-19	2	17		-51	55	52	-57	-59	60	
		-33	3	30	53	-54	-56	58	61	-62	
72			-20	4	16		-63	67	64	-69	-71
-74				-34	12	22	65	-66	-68	70	73
-82	-84	85			-28	7	21		-76	80	77
83	86	-87				-29	-6	35	78	-79	-81
92	89	-94	-96	97			-15	-8	23		-88
-91	-93	95	98	-99				-27	-9	36	90
	-101	105	102	-107	-109	110			-14	-10	24
37	103	-104	-106	108	111	-112				-26	-11

5. DIRECT CONSTRUCTIONS OF $H_k(n; k)$

In this section we give direct constructions of integer $H_k(n; k)$ with $k = 3, 5, 6$, since the case $k = 4$ has been already considered in Proposition 4.9.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists an integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. We construct an $n \times n$ array A using the following procedures labeled A to J:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{A} &: \text{diag} \left(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, \frac{n-3}{2} \right); & \text{B} &: \text{diag} \left(\frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, -\frac{n+1}{2}, 1, -1, \frac{n-1}{2} \right); \\
 \text{C} &: \text{diag} \left(2, 1, -\frac{5n+3}{2}, 2, -1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); & \text{D} &: \text{diag} \left(3, 2, -\frac{3n+3}{2}, 2, -1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); \\
 \text{E} &: \text{diag} \left(1, 2, \frac{3n+1}{2}, 2, -1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); & \text{F} &: \text{diag} \left(2, 3, \frac{5n+1}{2}, 2, -1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); \\
 \text{G} &: \text{diag} \left(\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{7n+3}{4}, 2, 1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); & \text{H} &: \text{diag} \left(\frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{11n+7}{4}, 2, 1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); \\
 \text{I} &: \text{diag} \left(\frac{n+3}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}, -\frac{9n+5}{4}, 2, 1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); & \text{J} &: \text{diag} \left(\frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{n+3}{2}, -\frac{5n+1}{4}, 2, 1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We also fill the following cells in an *ad hoc* manner:

$$A[1, 1] = -\frac{n-1}{2}, \quad A\left[\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}\right] = n.$$

We now prove that the array constructed above is an integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$. To aid in the proof we give a schematic picture of where each of the diagonal procedures fills cells (see Figure 2). We have placed an X in the *ad hoc* cells. Note that each row and each column contains exactly 3 elements. We now check that the elements in every row sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}).

Row 1: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the E diagonal as well as the last of the J diagonal. The sum is

$$-\frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{3n+1}{2} - (n+1) = 0.$$

X	E									J
C	A	F								
	D	A	E							
		C	A	F						
			D	A	E					
				C	X	G				
					I	B	H			
						J	B	G		
							I	B	H	
								J	B	G
H									I	B

FIGURE 2. Scheme of construction with $n = 11$.

Row 2 to $\frac{n-1}{2}$: There are two cases depending on whether the row r is even or odd. If r is even, then write $r = 2i + 2$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the C, A and F diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{5n+3}{2} - i\right) + (1 + 2i) + \left(\frac{5n+1}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

If r is odd, then write $r = 2i + 3$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. From the D, A and E diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{3n+3}{2} - i\right) + (2 + 2i) + \left(\frac{3n-1}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

Row $\frac{n+1}{2}$: We add the last value of the C diagonal, an *ad hoc* value and the first of the G diagonal:

$$-\frac{11n+3}{4} + n + \frac{7n+3}{4} = 0.$$

Row $\frac{n+3}{2}$ to n : Note that $\frac{n+3}{2}$ is odd. There are two cases depending on whether the row r is odd or even. If r is odd, then write $r = \frac{n+3}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-3}{4}]$. Notice that from the I, B and H diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{9n+5}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+1}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(\frac{11n+7}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If r is even, then write $r = \frac{n+5}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the J, B and G diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{5n+1}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+1}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(\frac{7n+3}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

So we have shown that all row sums are zero. Next we check that the columns all add to zero.

Column 1: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the C diagonal as well as the last of the H diagonal. The sum is

$$-\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{5n+3}{2} + (3n+1) = 0.$$

Column 2 to $\frac{n-1}{2}$: There are two cases depending on whether the column c is even or odd. If c is even, then write $c = 2i + 2$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the E, A and D diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{3n+1}{2} - i\right) + (1+2i) + \left(-\frac{3n+3}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

If c is odd, then write $c = 2i + 3$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. From the F, A and C diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{5n+1}{2} - i\right) + (2+2i) + \left(-\frac{5n+5}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n+1}{2}$: We add the last value of the E diagonal, an *ad hoc* value and the first of the I diagonal:

$$\frac{5n+5}{4} + n - \frac{9n+5}{4} = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n+3}{2}$ to n : Note that $\frac{n+3}{2}$ is odd. There are two cases depending on whether the column c is odd or even. If c is odd, then write $c = \frac{n+3}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-3}{4}]$. Notice that from the G, B and J diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{7n+3}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+1}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(-\frac{5n+1}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If c is even, then write $c = \frac{n+5}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the H, B and I diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{11n+7}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+3}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(-\frac{9n+1}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

So we have shown that each column sums to 0. Now we consider the support of A :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(A) &= [1, \frac{n-3}{2}]_{(A)} \cup \{\frac{n-1}{2}\} \cup [\frac{n+1}{2}, n-1]_{(B)} \cup \{n\} \cup [n+1, \frac{5n+1}{4}]_{(J)} \cup \\ &\quad [\frac{5n+5}{4}, \frac{3n+1}{2}]_{(E)} \cup [\frac{3n+3}{2}, \frac{7n-1}{4}]_{(D)} \cup [\frac{7n+3}{4}, 2n]_{(G)} \cup \\ &\quad [2n+2, \frac{9n+5}{4}]_{(I)} \cup [\frac{9n+9}{4}, \frac{5n+1}{2}]_{(F)} \cup [\frac{5n+3}{2}, \frac{11n+3}{4}]_{(C)} \cup \\ &\quad [\frac{11n+7}{4}, 3n+1]_{(H)} \\ &= [1, 3n+1] \setminus \{2n+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is an integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. □

Example 5.2. Following the proof of Proposition 5.1 we obtain the integer $H_3(11; 3)$ below.

-5	17									-12
-29	1	28								
	-18	2	16							
		-30	3	27						
			-19	4	15					
				-31	11	20				
					-26	-6	32			
						-14	-7	21		
							-25	-8	33	
								-13	-9	22
34									-24	-10

Proposition 5.3. *There exists an integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Row $\frac{n}{2}$: We add the last value of the C diagonal with two *ad hoc* values:

$$-\frac{11n+4}{4} + n + \frac{7n+4}{4} = 0.$$

Row $\frac{n+2}{2}$: This row contains 3 *ad hoc* values. The sum is

$$-\frac{9n+4}{4} + \frac{n+2}{2} + \frac{7n}{4} = 0.$$

Row $\frac{n+4}{2}$: There are two *ad hoc* values plus the first of the I diagonal. The sum is

$$-\frac{9n+8}{4} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{11n+8}{4} = 0.$$

Row $\frac{n+6}{2}$ to n : Note that $\frac{n+6}{2}$ is odd. There are two cases depending on whether the row r is odd or even. If r is odd, then write $r = \frac{n+6}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. Notice that from the G, B and J diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{5n}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+4}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(\frac{7n+8}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If r is even, then write $r = \frac{n+8}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. Notice that from the H, B and I diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{9n}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+6}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(\frac{11n+12}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

So we have shown that all row sums are zero. Next we check that the columns all add to zero.

Column 1: There is an *ad hoc* value plus the first of the C diagonal as well as the last of the I diagonal. The sum is

$$-\frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{5n+4}{2} + (3n+1) = 0.$$

Column 2 to $\frac{n-2}{2}$: There are two cases depending on whether the column c is even or odd. If c is even, then write $c = 2i + 2$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. Notice that from the E, A and D diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{3n}{2} - i\right) + (1 + 2i) + \left(-\frac{3n+2}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

If c is odd, then write $c = 2i + 3$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. From the F, A and C diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{5n+2}{2} - i\right) + (2 + 2i) + \left(-\frac{5n+6}{2} - i\right) = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n}{2}$: We add the last value of the E diagonal with two *ad hoc* values:

$$\frac{5n+4}{4} + n - \frac{9n+4}{4} = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n+2}{2}$: This column contains 3 *ad hoc* values. The sum is

$$\frac{7n+4}{4} + \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{9n+8}{4} = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n+4}{2}$: There are two *ad hoc* values plus the first of the **G** diagonal. The sum is

$$\frac{7n}{4} - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{5n}{4} = 0.$$

Column $\frac{n+6}{2}$ to n : There are two cases depending on whether the column c is odd or even. If c is odd, then write $c = \frac{n+6}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. Notice that from the **I**, **B** and **H** diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{11n+8}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+4}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(-\frac{9n}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If c is even, then write $c = \frac{n+8}{2} + 2i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n}{4} - 2]$. Notice that from the **J**, **B** and **G** diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{7n+8}{4} + i\right) + \left(-\frac{n+6}{2} - 2i\right) + \left(-\frac{5n-4}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

So we have shown that each column sums to 0. Now we consider the support of A :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(A) &= [1, \frac{n-4}{2}]_{(\text{A})} \cup \left\{ \frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n+2}{2} \right\} \cup [\frac{n+4}{2}, n-1]_{(\text{B})} \cup \{n\} \cup \\ &\quad [n+1, \frac{5n}{4}]_{(\text{G})} \cup [\frac{5n+4}{4}, \frac{3n}{2}]_{(\text{E})} \cup [\frac{3n+2}{2}, \frac{7n-4}{4}]_{(\text{D})} \cup \left\{ \frac{7n}{4}, \frac{7n+4}{4} \right\} \cup \\ &\quad [\frac{7n+8}{4}, 2n]_{(\text{J})} \cup [2n+2, \frac{9n}{4}]_{(\text{H})} \cup \left\{ \frac{9n+4}{4}, \frac{9n+8}{4} \right\} \cup [\frac{9n+12}{4}, \frac{5n+2}{2}]_{(\text{F})} \\ &\quad \cup [\frac{5n+4}{2}, \frac{11n+4}{4}]_{(\text{C})} \cup [\frac{11n+8}{4}, 3n+1]_{(\text{I})} \\ &= [1, 3n+1] \setminus \{2n+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, A is an integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. \square

The integer $H_3(12; 3)$ obtained following the proof of Proposition 5.3 is given in Example 4.7.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ with $n \geq 7$. Then there exists an integer cyclically 5-diagonal $H_5(n; 5)$.*

Proof. We construct an $n \times n$ array A using the following procedures labeled **A** to **N**.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{A: } & \text{diag} \left(3, 3, \frac{n-3}{2}, 2, -1, \frac{n-5}{2} \right); & \text{B: } & \text{diag} \left(4, 4, -(n-2), 2, 1, \frac{n-3}{2} \right); \\ \text{C: } & \text{diag} \left(3, 2, 2n+2, 2, 2, \frac{n-1}{2} \right); & \text{D: } & \text{diag} \left(4, 3, 2n-1, 2, -2, \frac{n-2}{2} \right); \\ \text{E: } & \text{diag} \left(2, 3, -2n, 2, 2, \frac{n-1}{2} \right); & \text{F: } & \text{diag} \left(3, 4, -(2n+3), 2, -2, \frac{n-3}{2} \right); \\ \text{G: } & \text{diag} \left(3, 1, -\frac{15n+7}{4}, 4, 1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); & \text{H: } & \text{diag} \left(4, 2, -(3n+4), 4, -1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); \\ \text{I: } & \text{diag} \left(5, 3, -\frac{19n-1}{4}, 4, 1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); & \text{J: } & \text{diag} \left(6, 4, -(4n+3), 4, -1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); \\ \text{K: } & \text{diag} \left(1, 3, \frac{17n+9}{4}, 4, 1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); & \text{L: } & \text{diag} \left(2, 4, 5n, 4, -1, \frac{n+1}{4} \right); \\ \text{M: } & \text{diag} \left(3, 5, \frac{13n+17}{4}, 4, 1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right); & \text{N: } & \text{diag} \left(4, 6, 4n+1, 4, -1, \frac{n-3}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also fill the following cells in an *ad hoc* manner:

$$\begin{aligned} A[1, 1] &= n, & A[1, 2] &= -3n, & A[1, n] &= n+1, \\ A[2, 1] &= n+2, & A[2, 2] &= n-1, & A[2, n] &= -(5n+1), \\ A[n-2, n-2] &= -\frac{n-1}{2}, & A[n-2, n] &= 5n+2, & A[n, 1] &= -(3n+1), \\ A[n, 2] &= 3n+3, & A[n, n-2] &= -(3n+2), & A[n, n] &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that the array constructed above is an integer $H_5(n; 5)$. To aid in the proof we give a schematic picture of where each of the diagonal procedures fills cells (see Figure

X	X	K																			H	X	
X	X	E	L																				X
G	C	A	F	M																			
	H	D	B	E	N																		
		I	C	A	F	K																	
			J	D	B	E	L																
				G	C	A	F	M															
					H	D	B	E	N														
						I	C	A	F	K													
							J	D	B	E	L												
								G	C	A	F	M											
									H	D	B	E	N										
										I	C	X	F	X									
L												J	D	B	E								
X	X												X	C	X								

FIGURE 4. Scheme of construction with $n = 15$.

4). We have placed an X in the *ad hoc* cells. Note that each row and each column contains exactly 5 elements. We now check that the elements in every row sum to 0 (in \mathbb{Z}).

Row 1: We add three *ad hoc* values and the first of the K diagonal with the last of the H diagonal:

$$n - 3n + \frac{17n + 9}{4} - \frac{13n + 13}{4} + (n + 1) = 0.$$

Row 2: There are three *ad hoc* values plus the first of the E diagonal as well as the first of the L diagonal. The sum is

$$(n + 2) + (n - 1) - 2n + 5n - (5n + 1) = 0.$$

Row 3 to $n - 3$: Consider the row r , there are four cases according to the congruence class of r modulo 4. If $r \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ write $r = 3 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the G, C, A, F and M diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{15n + 7}{4} + i\right) + (2n + 2 + 4i) + \left(\frac{n - 3}{2} - 2i\right) - (2n + 3 + 4i) + \left(\frac{13n + 17}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ write $r = 4 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the H, D, B, E and N diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$-(3n + 4 + i) + (2n - 1 - 4i) - (n - 2 - 2i) - (2n - 2 - 4i) + (4n + 1 - i) = 0.$$

If $r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ write $r = 5 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-11}{4}]$. Notice that from the I, C, A, F and K diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(-\frac{19n - 1}{4} + i\right) + (2n + 4 + 4i) + \left(\frac{n - 5}{2} - 2i\right) - (2n + 5 + 4i) + \left(\frac{17n + 13}{4} + i\right) = 0.$$

If $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ write $r = 6 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-11}{4}]$. Notice that from the J, D, B, E and L diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$-(4n + 3 + i) + (2n - 3 - 4i) - (n - 3 - 2i) - (2n - 4 - 4i) + (5n - 1 - i) = 0.$$

Row $n - 2$: This row contains two *ad hoc* values, the last of the I diagonal, the $\frac{n-3}{2}$ -th element of the C diagonal and the last of F diagonal. The sum is

$$-\frac{9n+3}{2} + (3n-3) - \frac{n-1}{2} - (3n-2) + (5n+2) = 0.$$

Row $n - 1$: We add the last elements of the L, J, D, B and E diagonals:

$$\frac{19n+3}{4} - \frac{17n+5}{4} + (n+4) - \frac{n+1}{2} - (n+3) = 0.$$

Row n : This row contains four *ad hoc* values and the last of C diagonal. The sum is

$$-(3n+1) + (3n+3) - (3n+2) + (3n-1) + 1 = 0.$$

So we have shown that all row sums are zero. Next we check that the columns all add to zero.

Column 1: We add three *ad hoc* values and the first of the G diagonal with the last of the L diagonal:

$$n + (n+2) - \frac{15n+7}{4} + \frac{19n+3}{4} - (3n+1) = 0.$$

Column 2: There are three *ad hoc* values plus the first of the C diagonal as well as the first of the H diagonal. The sum is

$$-3n + (n-1) + (2n+2) - (3n+4) + (3n+3) = 0.$$

Column 3 to $n - 3$: Consider the column c , there are four cases according to the congruence class of c modulo 4. If $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ write $c = 3 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the K, E, A, D and I diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{17n+9}{4} + i\right) - (2n-4i) + \left(\frac{n-3}{2} - 2i\right) + (2n-1-4i) - \left(\frac{19n-1}{4} - i\right) = 0.$$

If $c \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ write $c = 4 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-7}{4}]$. Notice that from the L, F, B, C and J diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(5n-i) - (2n+3+4i) - (n-2-2i) + (2n+4+4i) - (4n+3+i) = 0.$$

If $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ write $c = 5 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-11}{4}]$. Notice that from the M, E, A, D and G diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$\left(\frac{13n+17}{4} + i\right) - (2n-2-4i) + \left(\frac{n-5}{2} - 2i\right) + (2n-3-4i) - \left(\frac{15n+3}{4} - i\right) = 0.$$

If $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ write $c = 6 + 4i$ where $i \in [0, \frac{n-11}{4}]$. Notice that from the N, F, B, C and H diagonal cells we get the following sum:

$$(4n+1-i) - (2n+5+4i) - (n-3-2i) + (2n+6+4i) - (3n+5+i) = 0.$$

Column $n - 2$: This column contains two *ad hoc* values, the last of the M diagonal, the $\frac{n-3}{2}$ -th element of the E diagonal and the last of D diagonal. The sum is

$$\frac{7n+5}{2} - (n+5) - \frac{n-1}{2} + (n+4) - (3n+2) = 0.$$

Column $n - 1$: We add the last elements of the H, N, F, B and C diagonals:

$$-\frac{13n+13}{4} + \frac{15n+11}{4} - (3n-2) - \frac{n+1}{2} + (3n-1) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{supp}(A) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + 12i, 12 + 12i] \cup [12m + 1, 12m + 4] \cup \\
&\quad [12m + 6, 12m + 13] \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{2m-1} [2 + 12i, 13 + 12i] \cup \\
&\quad [24m + 2, 24m + 9] \cup [24m + 11, 24m + 14] \cup \\
&\quad \bigcup_{i=2m+1}^{3m} [3 + 12i, 14 + 12i] \\
&= [1, 12m + 4] \cup [12m + 6, 24m + 9] \cup [24m + 11, 36m + 14].
\end{aligned}$$

If $n = 6m + 4$, let A be a cyclically $(2, 3)$ -diagonal $n \times n$ p.f. array whose strips S_i are:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} U \pm 12i & \text{if } i \in [0, m - 1], \\ V_9 \pm 12i & \text{if } i = m, \\ U \pm (1 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [m + 1, 2m], \\ V_5 \pm (1 + 12i) & \text{if } i = 2m + 1, \\ U \pm (2 + 12i) & \text{if } i \in [2m + 2, 3m + 1]. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{supp}(A) &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} [1 + 12i, 12 + 12i] \cup [12m + 1, 12m + 8] \cup \\
&\quad [12m + 10, 12m + 13] \cup \bigcup_{i=m+1}^{2m} [2 + 12i, 13 + 12i] \cup \\
&\quad [24m + 14, 24m + 17] \cup [24m + 19, 24m + 26] \cup \\
&\quad \bigcup_{i=2m+2}^{3m+1} [3 + 12i, 14 + 12i] \\
&= [1, 12m + 8] \cup [12m + 10, 24m + 17] \cup [24m + 19, 36m + 26].
\end{aligned}$$

In all three cases, we have

$$\text{supp}(A) = [1, 6n + 2] \setminus \{2n + 1, 4n + 2\}$$

and so the associated p.f. array A we constructed is an integer $H_6(n; 6)$. \square

Example 5.7. Following the proof of Proposition 5.6 we obtain the integer $H_6(10; 6)$ below.

-1	5	2	-7	-9	10				
3	-4	-6	8	11	-12				
		-13	17	14	-19	25	-24		
		15	-16	-18	20	-23	22		
				-26	30	27	-32	-34	35
				28	-29	-31	33	36	-37
41	-45					-38	47	44	-49
-39	43					40	-46	-48	50
52	-57	-59	60					-51	55
-56	58	61	-62					53	-54

6. CONCLUSIONS

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We split the proof into 4 cases according to the congruence class of k modulo 4.

Case 1. Let $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. An integer cyclically 3-diagonal $H_3(n; 3)$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ has been constructed in Proposition 5.1 and in Proposition 5.3, respectively. The result follows applying inductively Theorem 4.17(1).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partially supported by Italian Ministry of Education, Universities and Research under Grant PRIN 2015 D72F16000790001 and by INdAM-GNSAGA.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J.R. Abel and M. Buratti, *Difference families*, in: *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*. Edited by C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz. Second edition. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [2] B. Alspach and G. Liversidge, *On strongly sequenceable abelian groups*, Art Discrete Appl. Math., to appear.
- [3] D.S. Archdeacon, *Heffter arrays and biembedding graphs on surfaces*, Electron. J. Combin. **22** (2015) #P1.74.
- [4] D.S. Archdeacon, T. Boothby and J.H. Dinitz, *Tight Heffter arrays exist for all possible values*, J. Combin. Des. **25** (2017), 5–35.
- [5] D.S. Archdeacon, J.H. Dinitz, D.M. Donovan and E.S. Yazıcı, *Square integer Heffter arrays with empty cells*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **77** (2015), 409–426.
- [6] D.S. Archdeacon, J.H. Dinitz, A. Mattern and D.R. Stinson, *On partial sums in cyclic groups*, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **98** (2016), 327–342.
- [7] M. Buratti, *Cycle decompositions with a sharply vertex transitive automorphism group*, Le Matematiche VOL. LIX (2004), 91–105.
- [8] M. Buratti, *Recursive constructions for difference matrices and relative difference families*, J. Combin. Des. **6** (1998), 165–182.
- [9] M. Buratti and A. Pasotti, *Graph decompositions with the use of difference matrices*, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. **47** (2006), 23–32.
- [10] M. Buratti and A. Pasotti, *Combinatorial designs and the theorem of Weil on multiplicative character sums*, Finite Fields Appl. **15** (2009), 332–344.
- [11] A. Burgess, F. Merola and T. Traetta, *Cyclic cycle systems of the complete multipartite graph*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.06180>, preprint.
- [12] K. Burrage, N.J. Cavenagh, D. Donovan and E.Ş. Yazıcı, *Globally simple Heffter arrays $H(n; k)$ when $k \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$* , available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07366>, preprint.
- [13] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, *Orthogonal decomposition and packing of complete graphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **88** (1999), 93–111.
- [14] N.J. Cavenagh, J. Dinitz, D. Donovan and E.S. Yazıcı, *The existence of square non-integer Heffter arrays*, Ars Math. Contemp., to appear.
- [15] N.J. Cavenagh, D. Donovan and E.Ş. Yazıcı, *Biembeddings of cycle systems using integer Heffter arrays*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10525>, preprint.
- [16] S. Costa, F. Morini, A. Pasotti and M.A. Pellegrini, *A problem on partial sums in abelian groups*, Discrete Math. **341** (2018), 705–712.
- [17] S. Costa, F. Morini, A. Pasotti and M.A. Pellegrini, *Globally simple Heffter arrays and orthogonal cyclic cycle decompositions*, Austral. J. Combin. **72** (2018), 549–493.
- [18] S. Costa, A. Pasotti and M.A. Pellegrini, *Relative Heffter arrays and biembeddings*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03064>, preprint.
- [19] J.H. Dinitz and A.R.W. Mattern, *Biembedding Steiner triple systems and n -cycle systems on orientable surfaces*, Austral. J. Combin. **67** (2017), 327–344.
- [20] J.H. Dinitz and I.M. Wanless, *The existence of square integer Heffter arrays*, Ars Math. Contemp. **13** (2017), 81–93.
- [21] J. Hicks, M.A. Ollis and J.R. Schmitt, *Distinct partial sums in cyclic groups: polynomial method and constructive approaches*, J. Combin. Des. **27** (2019), 369–385.
- [22] F. Merola, A. Pasotti and M.A. Pellegrini, *Cyclic and symmetric hamiltonian cycle systems of the complete multipartite graph: an even number of parts*, Ars Math. Contemp. **12** (2017), 219–233.
- [23] F. Morini and M.A. Pellegrini, *On the existence of integer relative Heffter arrays*, in preparation.
- [24] M.A. Ollis, *Sequences in dihedral groups with distinct partial products*, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.07646>, preprint.

- [25] A. Pasotti and M.A. Pellegrini, *Cyclic uniform 2-factorizations of the complete multipartite graph*, *Graphs and Combin.* **34** (2018), 901–930.