



# UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

## ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Assessment of Salmonella survival in dry-cured Italian salami

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

*Original*

Assessment of Salmonella survival in dry-cured Italian salami / Bonardi, Silvia; Bruini, Ilaria; Bolzoni, L.; Cozzolino, P.; Pierantoni, M.; Brindani, Franco; Bellotti, P.; Renzi, Marco; Pongolini, S.. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0168-1605. - 262:(2017), pp. 99-106. [10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.09.016]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11381/2830905 since: 2021-10-11T11:54:13Z

*Publisher:*

Elsevier B.V.

*Published*

DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2017.09.016

*Terms of use:*

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

*Publisher copyright*

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

02 May 2026

1 **Assessment of *Salmonella* survival in dry-cured Italian salami**

2 Bonardi S.<sup>a</sup>, Bruini I.<sup>a</sup>, Bolzoni L.<sup>b</sup>, Cozzolino P.<sup>c</sup>, Pierantoni M.<sup>c</sup>, Brindani F.<sup>a</sup>, Bellotti P.<sup>b</sup>, Renzi M.<sup>d</sup>,  
3 Pongolini S.<sup>b</sup>

4

5 <sup>a</sup> Department of Veterinary Science, Unit of Food Inspection, University of Parma, Via del Taglio  
6 10, 43126 Parma, Italy.

7 <sup>b</sup> Risk Analysis Unit, Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia-Romagna,  
8 Sezione di Parma, Strada dei Mercati 13/A, 43126 Parma, Italy.

9 <sup>c</sup> National Veterinary Service, Local Unit of Parma, Via Vasari 13/A, 43126 Parma, Italy.

10 <sup>d</sup> Department of Veterinary Science, Unit of Livestock Science, University of Parma, Via del Taglio  
11 10, 43126 Parma, Italy.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 \*Corresponding author:

22 Silvia Bonardi

23 Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma

24 Via del Taglio, 10, 43126, Parma, ITALY

25 Phone: 0039 0521 032744

26 E-mail: [silvia.bonardi@unipr.it](mailto:silvia.bonardi@unipr.it)

27

28

29

30

31

32 Key words: *Salmonella*, pork, dry-curing, real-time PCR, MPN enumeration

33

34 **1. Introduction**

35 Italian salami are dry fermented sausages which have been produced for centuries with a variety of  
36 ingredients and manufacturing processes. Dry fermented sausages from Mediterranean countries are  
37 usually air dried, due to the favourable climate, and rarely smoked. Pork meat is the main ingredient  
38 and fungal starter cultures may be used on the external surface imparting a complexity of flavours  
39 to the product (Talon et al., 2004).

40 The use of sodium chloride (NaCl) is essential in dry fermented sausages to solubilize proteins and  
41 emulsify fat. Furthermore, NaCl can control the growth of undesirable bacteria responsible for  
42 spoilage of meat and pathogenic bacteria (King et al., 2016). The usual amount of added salt is  
43 generally between 2-4% by weight (Ockerman and Basu, 2007) but its concentration increases in  
44 final products due to the drying process (Zanardi et al., 2010). In addition to NaCl, other salts are  
45 generally added to the pork and fat mixture, namely nitrates (maximum 150 mg/kg) and nitrites  
46 (maximum 150 mg/kg) to inhibit *Clostridium botulinum* (Hospital et al., 2016), enterobacteriaceae  
47 and enterococci (Coloretti et al., 2008) and to favour the red colour of cured meat (Villaverde et al.,  
48 2014).

49 Salami manufactured in the Emilia-Romagna region of Northern Italy, where this study was  
50 conducted, are generally made with pork only, and they have coarsely ground meat and 3-4 mm size  
51 cubes of fat. Fresh meat is obtained from shoulder and belly and fat is normally pork backfat. After  
52 grounding, salt, whole peppercorns and garlic are added in traditional products. Some formulations  
53 include sugars and starter bacterial cultures. Salami are then stuffed into a pork casing and  
54 commonly aged for 20 to 40 days according to their size (Mataragas et al., 2015).

55 *Salmonella* ranks second among pathogens reported in the European Union (EU) as causative  
56 agents of human [foodborne-zoonotic](#) diseases (EFSA and ECDC, 2016). In 2014, pork meat and  
57 products thereof were responsible for 9.3% of 225 foodborne outbreaks caused by *Salmonella*, thus  
58 representing the type of meat most frequently associated with salmonellosis in humans (EFSA and

59 ECDC, 2015). In Italy, consumption of salami was recently associated with both clustered and  
60 sporadic cases of salmonellosis due to *S. Goaldcost* (Scavia et al., 2013), *S. Manhattan* (Scaltriti et  
61 al., 2015), *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Typhimurium* monophasic variant ( Andreoli et al., 2017; Luzzi  
62 et al., 2007). Other EU countries confirmed *Salmonella* outbreaks linked to salami, like Sweden in  
63 2005, Norway in 2006 and Denmark in 2010 (Emberland et al., 2006; Hjertqvist et al., 2006; Kuhn  
64 et al., 2011).

65 *Salmonella* survival in cured meat products depends on dry-curing and physicochemical conditions  
66 created by several parameters, which interact all together, like salt, nitrite, pH, water activity and  
67 temperature. For example, water activity decrease is a key factor for *Salmonella* inactivation, but its  
68 effect depends also on contemporary pH decrease, as well as salt and nitrite concentration (Messier  
69 et al., 1989). Different studies were performed on Italian traditional salami, which addressed the  
70 fate of *Salmonella* under experimental conditions through artificial contamination (Mataragas et al.,  
71 2015; Nightingale et al., 2006). In these studies, composition and physicochemical conditions of  
72 salami were specified. On the contrary, our study aimed to assess the behavior of *Salmonella* in  
73 traditional salami, characterized by low standardization of production parameters, in field  
74 conditions. In this context, to cover the variability of the production process, a large number of  
75 batches distributed among different producers were included in the study with the purpose of  
76 assessing the effects of the basic physicochemical parameters (pH,  $a_w$ , NaCl) regardless of the  
77 heterogeneity of the actual products on the market.

78 In the EU, microbiological food-safety criteria are set by the Regulation EC 2073/2005 (European  
79 Commission, 2005), which identifies culture-based ISO methods as the analytical reference  
80 methods. ISO 6579 is the standard for *Salmonella* detection in foods. This method relies on several  
81 cultural steps and requires more than 5 days for conclusive results in case of positive samples. This  
82 is why, to meet the needs of the food industry, the same Regulation allows the use of alternative  
83 methods, generally more convenient and faster, under specified conditions. PCR-based methods are

84 among available alternatives and several studies have been performed to assess real-time PCR  
85 protocols for the rapid and sensitive detection of *Salmonella* in foods in less than 24 h (Delibato et  
86 al., 2014; Rodriguez-Lazaro et al., 2014).

87 Based on the above considerations, our study focuses on characteristic salami from Emilia  
88 Romagna and the aims were: *i*) to determine the prevalence of *Salmonella* in the mixtures of minced  
89 raw pork and fat used for salami production, *ii*) to assess the effect of curing on the fate of  
90 *Salmonella* in the end product by testing the batches of salami manufactured with the *Salmonella*-  
91 positive raw mixtures, *iii*) to investigate the influence of physicochemical parameters on *Salmonella*  
92 contamination in dry-cured salami, *iv*) to measure the load of *Salmonella* in dry-cured salami, *v*) to  
93 assess the effect of sample size on the probability of *Salmonella* detection, and *vi*) to investigate the  
94 proportion of real-time PCR positive samples confirmed by ISO 6579.

95

## 96 **2. Material and methods**

### 97 2.1 Sample collection

98 From April to December 2015, 150 samples of ground raw mixtures (GRM) made of ground pork  
99 and fat, collected from 150 different batches of starting material for salami processing, were tested  
100 for *Salmonella*. Only pig meat and pig backfat were used for the salami manufacturing. The  
101 samples were collected in four production plants, here identified as A (47 samples), B (23 samples),  
102 C (11 samples), D (69 samples) located in Emilia-Romagna region, Northern Italy. The plants were  
103 included in the study based on their willingness to take part to the study (five were asked to  
104 participate) and the different number of samples collected from each plant was proportional to its  
105 production capacity. Meat and fat suppliers of the four plants were many and, often, meat and fat  
106 from more than one supplier were mixed in the same batch of GRM. In our study the GRM samples  
107 of the four companies were collected before addition of other ingredients and additives (salt,

108 nitrites, nitrates, ascorbates, black pepper) to avoid potential interference with *Salmonella* detection.  
109 Nitrates and nitrates were added in compliance with the limits set by Regulation EU No 1129/2011  
110 on food additives.

111 Whenever a GRM was positive for *Salmonella*, the derived batch of salami was tested for the  
112 pathogen at the end of its curing period which ranged from 20 to 48 days according to the different  
113 producers' protocols. Five salami (5 sampling units) per batch were tested. Since 21 GRM samples  
114 were positive for *Salmonella*, 21 batches of salami (for a total of 105 salami) were analyzed at the  
115 end of their curing. The total number of tested salami was 140, because 6 batches of salami out of  
116 21 resulted contaminated by *Salmonella*, and were re-tested after a prolonged curing period of 21-  
117 38 days (for an additional 5 salami per batch). Furthermore, since one batch was still positive, 5  
118 more salami were analyzed after an additional curing of 8 more days (total curing duration: 62 days)  
119 (Table 1). The values of pH and  $a_w$  and the content of NaCl were determined in the 140 tested  
120 salami.

121

## 122 2.2 *Salmonella* detection in ground raw mixture (GRM) and salami

123 Detection of *Salmonella* in both GRM and salami was performed by real-time PCR followed by  
124 microbiological confirmation. A pre-enrichment broth was prepared suspending 25 g of sample in  
125 225 ml of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and homogenizing for 2  
126 minutes in a Stomacher blender. After  $18 \pm 2$  h at  $37 \pm 1$  °C DNA was extracted from 1 ml of the  
127 pre-enrichment culture using SureFood PREP *Salmonella* Kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany)  
128 and PCR master-mix was prepared with SureFast *Salmonella* ONE Kit (R-Biopharm) for a final  
129 volume of 25  $\mu$ l containing 5  $\mu$ l of template DNA. PCR reactions were run on a Mx3005P QPCR  
130 System (Agilent Technologies, Italy) with the following thermal program: a cycle of DNA  
131 polymerase activation of 5 min at 95 °C followed by 45 amplification cycles of 15 s at 95 °C and

132 30 s at 60 °C (annealing-extension step). The samples with a cycle threshold (CT) value lower than  
133 40 were considered positive. The other samples were considered negative for *Salmonella*.  
134 PCR positive samples underwent microbiological testing by ISO 6579:2002 starting from aliquots  
135 of 25 g and 50 g, the latter being resampled from the meat matrix, the former coinciding with the  
136 pre-enrichment step used for PCR. All meat samples were stored at 3°C (± 1°C) for up to 24 h  
137 before resampling. Presumptive isolates of *Salmonella* were assayed with O-omnivalent *Salmonella*  
138 serum by slide agglutination (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan). Biochemical identification to the genus  
139 level was carried out with API® 20E system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

140

141

### 142 2.3 Typing of *Salmonella* isolates

143 Serotyping of isolates was performed following the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme by slide  
144 agglutination with O and H antigen specific sera (DID, Milan, Italy; Biogenetics, Padua, Italy).  
145 Discrimination of *S. enterica* 4,[5],12:i:- from *S. Typhimurium* was done phenotypically by  
146 repeating phase inversion at least three times without evidence of expression of phase-two flagellar  
147 antigens and genotypically by PCR (Barco *et al.*, 2011). PFGE was performed according to standard  
148 methods (PulseNet, 2010) with *XbaI* (Roche Italia, Milan, Italy) restriction of DNA.

149

### 150 2.4 *Salmonella* enumeration

151 The miniaturized Most Probable Number technique according to ISO 6579-2:2012 was used for  
152 *Salmonella* enumeration in all PCR positive GRM and salami samples. Fifty grams of the sample  
153 were diluted 10<sup>-1</sup> in BPW and 2.5 ml of the initial dilution were inoculated in triplicate in the first  
154 column of a 12 multi-well microtiter plate. Two ml of sterile BPW were distributed in the wells of  
155 the remaining columns (three wells per column). Further dilutions of the samples were performed  
156 by sequentially transferring 0.5 ml from the wells of the first column to the wells of successive

157 columns. The plates were incubated at  $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 16-20 h. Thereafter, a 20  $\mu\text{l}$ -aliquot from each  
158 well was inoculated in a 12 multi-well microtiter plate containing 2 ml of Modified Semi-Solid  
159 Rappaport-Vassiliadis (MSRV) per well. After incubation at  $41.5 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for  $24 \pm 3\text{h}$ , the wells  
160 showing a grey-white zone extending out of the inoculum drop were further tested by streaking a 10  
161  $\mu\text{l}$ -loopful of the bacterial growth onto XLD (Oxoid) agar plates. Microtiter plates not showing  
162 bacterial growth were incubated for further  $24 \text{ h} \pm 3 \text{ h}$  and were considered negative if bacterial  
163 growth was still absent. XLD agar plates were incubated at  $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h and suspect colonies  
164 were subjected to ISO 6579 confirmation tests. Confirmed *Salmonella* colonies were used to  
165 estimate the MPN *Salmonella*/g.

166

#### 167 2.5 Measure of pH, $a_w$ and NaCl content

168 The pH value was measured on 5 g of salami homogenized in distilled water (10/1 water/sample,  
169 w/w) with a Crison micro pH 2001 instrument equipped with a Xerolyt 52-02 electrode (Crison  
170 Instruments, Barcelona, Spain). The  $a_w$  value was measured at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  by an AquaLab series 4TE  $a_w$   
171 meter (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman 99163, WA).

172 Sodium chloride (NaCl) content was measured following the ISO 1841-1:1996 method for the  
173 determination of the chloride content of meat and meat products with NaCl contents equal to or  
174 greater than 1.0%.

175

#### 176 2.6 Statistical analyses

177 Statistical differences in the probability of cultural confirmation of PCR positive samples using  
178 different sampling aliquots (namely, 25 g and 50 g) were evaluated through Fisher's exact tests.

179 We estimated the probability of detecting *Salmonella* by culture in PCR positive samples, starting  
180 from aliquots of 25 g and 50 g, as a function of the PCR CT value. Specifically, two sets of  
181 generalised linear models (GLM) with binomial error distribution and logit link function were built,  
182 one for GRM and one for salami. The GLMs were built by using *Salmonella* detection by  
183 microbiological assay as response variable, and the PCR CT value as explanatory variable. Each set  
184 of GLMs included a model where the microbiological assays were carried out from sampling  
185 aliquots of 25 g and a model with sampling aliquots of 50 g.

186 We assessed whether the detection of *Salmonella* in salami prepared from *Salmonella*-positive  
187 GRMs was related to the physicochemical parameters of the salami – namely pH,  $a_w$ , and NaCl  
188 content – and the enumeration of *Salmonella* (MPN) in GRM. Specifically, a generalised linear  
189 mixed model (GLMM) with binomial error distribution and logit link function was built by using  
190 the detection of *Salmonella* by microbiological assay in salami, (starting from aliquots of 50 g) as  
191 response variable (defined *Salmonella*), the physicochemical parameters (pH,  $a_w$ , NaCl) and most  
192 probable number of *S. enterica* in GRM (MPN) as fixed effects, and the production plant (called  
193 *plant*) as random effect. The full GLMM can be written as follows:

194  $Salmonella \sim pH + a_w + NaCl + MPN + (1 | plant)$

195 The response variable was modelled for dependence on predictor variables (fixed effects) using a  
196 forward stepwise selection procedure with log-likelihood ratio test to define the model providing  
197 the best prediction (Venables and Ripley, 2002). In addition, we tested through linear models  
198 whether physicochemical parameters of the salami were related to the curing time. Statistical  
199 analysis was carried out in the R 3.2.0 environment (R Development Core Team 2015), with  
200 “MASS”, “lme4”, and “lmtest” packages.

201

202 **3. Results**

203 3.1 Detection and enumeration of *Salmonella* in ground raw mixture (GRM) samples

204 The real-time PCR CT values were lower than 40.0 in 56 out of 150 (37%) GRM samples, ranging  
205 from 24.8 to 39.9. *Salmonella* was isolated from 21/56 (38%) of the PCR-positive samples.  
206 Therefore, the testing procedure used provided a prevalence of *Salmonella* in GRMs of 14%  
207 (21/150) (95% CI: 9– 21) (Table 2).

208 As regards the effect of using 25 g or 50 g of GRM on cultural detection of *Salmonella* in PCR-  
209 positive samples, the ISO-25 g method detected *Salmonella* in 13/21 (62 %) of all culture-  
210 confirmed samples, while the ISO-50 g procedure was positive in 21/21 (100 %) of the confirmed  
211 samples (Table 2). The difference between the two procedures (ISO-50 g vs. ISO-25 g) was  
212 statistically significant (Fisher exact's test, p-value < 0.01). In addition, the statistical analysis  
213 showed that the proportion of culture-confirmed samples in PCR-positive GRMs significantly  
214 decreased with increasing PCR CT values both for sampling aliquots of 25 g (p-value < 0.01) and  
215 50 g (p-value < 0.0001) (Figure 1a). Moreover, the proportion of culture-confirmed samples in  
216 PCR-positive GRMs was larger with sampling aliquots of 50 g than with aliquots of 25 g also for  
217 low PCR CT-values (Figure 1a).

218 The MPN enumeration in the PCR-positive samples ranged from 31 MPN/g to < 1.3 MPN/g (Table  
219 3), being <1.3 MPN/g in 17/21 (81 %) samples, corresponding to the limit of quantification of the  
220 technique.

221 Seven *Salmonella* serovars were identified, with *S. Derby* (11/21; 52 %) as the most common,  
222 followed by *S. Typhimurium* monophasic variant (antigenic formula 4,[5],12:i:-) (4/21; 19 %) and  
223 *S. Stanley* (2/21; 10 %). *S. London*, *S. Brandenburg*, *S. Goettingen* and *S. Rissen* were identified in  
224 one sample each (1/21; 5 %). Different *Xba*I PFGE profiles were found among the most common

225 serovars, *i.e.* six among *S. Derby* isolates (D1-D6) and four among the monophasic variant of *S.*  
226 *enterica* 4,[5],12:i:- (MT1-MT4). One PFGE type was identified for *S. Stanley* (S1) (Table 3).

227

### 228 3.2 Detection and enumeration of *Salmonella* in cured salami

229 The 21 batches of dry-cured salami manufactured with the *Salmonella*-contaminated GRMs were  
230 tested at the end of their regular curing (20- 48 days) (Table 1). Five sampling units per batch,  
231 corresponding to a total of 105 salami, were tested. The real-time PCR CT values were lower than  
232 40.0 for 41/105 (39.0%) salami, ranging from 23.7 to 39.3. The ISO-25 g and ISO-50 g methods  
233 detected *Salmonella* in 10 and 12 samples respectively, giving an overall proportion of 12 out of 41  
234 (29 %) culture-confirmed samples. Notably, two more culture-positive salami were found by the  
235 MPN method; they were characterized by high CT-values (36.1 and 39.3).

236 The culture-confirmed salami were 14/105 (13 %) (95% CI 8 -21), belonging to six different  
237 batches, and the proportion of *Salmonella*-positive GRMs which ended up as contaminated batches  
238 of salami was 6/21 (29%). The 6 positive batches were re-tested (5 salami per batch, for a total of  
239 30 salami) after a prolonged curing time varying from 21 to 38 days, according to the different  
240 manufacturers. The total curing period of these products ranged from 49 to 86 days. Re-testing  
241 ended up in 11/30 (37 %) PCR-positive salami, but only one was confirmed by the ISO method  
242 with a prevalence of culture-positive salami after prolonged curing of 1/30 (3 %) (details in Table  
243 1). Confirmation rate of PCR-positive samples by cultural detection was 9% (1/11).

244 Since 1/30 salami (3 %) was still positive, 5 salami of the batch were re-tested after 8 more days  
245 (overall curing time of the batch: 62 days). Three sampling units/5 (60 %) were positive by real-  
246 time PCR (CT-values from 37.4 to 39.5), but *Salmonella* could not be detected by culture. The  
247 salami tested were 140 in total and were manufactured by three producers out of four (A, B and D)

248 (Table 1).

249 Overall, 55 out of 140 salami (39 %) were positive by real-time PCR. The statistical analysis  
250 showed that the proportion of culture-confirmed samples in PCR-positive salami significantly  
251 decreased with the increase of CT values obtained in PCR both for aliquots of 25 g (p-value = <  
252 0.001) and 50 g (p-value = < 0.001) (Figure 1b). Figure 1b showed that, unlike GRM samples, the  
253 proportion of culture-confirmed samples in PCR-positive salami was similar with sampling aliquots  
254 of 50 g and 25 g also for low PCR CT-values.

255 The ISO-25 g method detected *Salmonella* in 11/15 (73%) of all culture-positive salami of the study  
256 while ISO-50 g reached 87% (13/15). The difference between the two procedures was not  
257 statistically significant (Fisher exact's test, p-value > 0.05). Two samples out of 15 (13 %) were  
258 negative with both ISO-50 g and ISO-25 g, but positive by MPN enumeration.

259 The enumeration of *Salmonella* ranged from 8.7 MPN/g to 1.3 MPN/g in seven samples, and was <  
260 1.3 MPN/g in the remaining eight. *Salmonella* isolates detected in the 15 positive salami were 16, as  
261 one sample was contaminated by two different serovars. Sero- and PFGE typing results are shown  
262 in Table 3.

263 Five *Salmonella* serovars were identified and Derby was the most prevalent (9/16; 56 %), followed  
264 by London (2/16; 13 %), Panama (2/12; 13 %), Branderup (2/16; 13 %) and Goldcoast (1/16; 6 %)  
265 Four PFGE profiles were identified among *S. Derby* isolates (D1, D4, D7, D8) and one of them  
266 (D4) was found in the corresponding GRM sample. Two PFGE profiles were found for *S.*  
267 Branderup (B1, B2). One PFGE type was identified for *S. London*, identical to the genotype  
268 detected in the corresponding GRM sample. PFGE analysis of *S. Panama* and *S. Goldcoast* could  
269 not identify a genomic profile, because of self-degrading DNA (Table 3).

270

271 3.3 Physicochemical parameters: pH,  $a_w$  and NaCl content

272 The pH values of salami prepared from culture-positive GRMs ranged from 5.30 to 6.48 (average  
273 5.94). The pH values of the *Salmonella*-positive salami ranged from 5.56 to 6.29 (average 5.91).  
274 There were not observed pH differences between the samples with the highest *Salmonella* levels  
275 (8.7 MPN/g, 2.7 MPN/g and 1.4 MPN/g) and the lowest (1.3 MPN/g and < 1.3 MPN/g) (Table 3).  
276 The water activity ( $a_w$ ) values of salami ranged from 0.822 to 0.951 (average 0.903). The  $a_w$  values  
277 of the *Salmonella*-positive salami ranged from 0.896 to 0.951 (average 0.919). The NaCl content of  
278 cured salami ranged from 2.25% to 4.80% (average 3.67%). The NaCl content of the *Salmonella*-  
279 positive salami ranged from 2.86% to 4.28% (average 3.50%). The physicochemical parameters of  
280 *Salmonella*-positive salami are shown in Table 3.

281 Statistical analysis through GLMM of factors affecting the occurrence of *Salmonella* in salami  
282 revealed that water activity ( $a_w$ ) and *Salmonella* count (MPN) in GRM used for the salami  
283 production were included in the best model from forward stepwise selection (Table 4), while values  
284 of pH and NaCl content did not significantly affect the probability to find *Salmonella* in salami  
285 samples. Analyses through linear models showed that the pH values in salami were not significantly  
286 affected by the curing time (slope = 0.0003, p-value > 0.05), while the values of  $a_w$  (slope = 0.001,  
287 p-value < 0.001) and NaCl (slope = 0.01, p-value < 0.001) were negatively and positively affected  
288 by the curing time, respectively.

289

290 **4. Discussion**

291 In Italy the proportion of human cases of salmonellosis attributed to pork is high compared with the  
292 other European Union Member States, being 73.2% (95% Credibility Interval 71.0 – 75.4) in 2009-  
293 2011, vs. 2.3% attributed to broilers, 2.1% to laying hens and 5.3% to turkeys. Only in Belgium the  
294 proportion was similar to Italy (74%), while in the other reporting countries it ranged from 4.9%

295 (Finland and Sweden) to 53% (Cyprus) (Pires *et al.*, 2011). Another study attributed to pork 59.9%  
296 to 53.9% of the human cases reported in Italy, whereas poultry meat and table eggs were considered  
297 less important *Salmonella* sources, responsible for 24.4% to 31.0% and for 15.1% to 15.7% of the  
298 cases, respectively (de Knecht *et al.*, 2015). Survival of *Salmonella* in dry-cured pork salami can  
299 contribute to the exposure of the Italian consumer and the results of our study are consistent with  
300 this hypothesis.

301

#### 302 4.1 Presence of *Salmonella* in GRM samples and dry-cured salami

303 *Salmonella* was isolated from 14 % of the GRM batches and a significant relationship was shown  
304 between initial *Salmonella* load of GRMs and presence of the pathogen in salami at the end of  
305 curing. These findings underline that hygienic conditions of raw materials are of great importance  
306 for microbiological risk mitigation and that curing does not always ensure safe productions.

307 A limited proportion (ranging from 27% for salami to 37% for GRMs) of PCR-positive samples  
308 were culture-confirmed. Among the possible explanations could be the high sensitivity of real-time  
309 PCR that has been demonstrated by several studies. In this respect, Rodriguez-Lazaro *et al.* (2014)  
310 observed that a real-time PCR protocol was able to detect down to 2-4 *Salmonella* CFU in 25 g of  
311 different samples, including raw pork. That protocol was validated by Delibato *et al.* (2014),  
312 showing that it was an excellent alternative to the ISO 6579:2002 standard with a limit of detection  
313 down to 10 CFU per 25 g.

314 Other possible explanations could be the ability of PCR to amplify DNA from dead bacteria  
315 (Barbau-Piednoir *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2013; Wolffs *et al.*, 2005), as could be the case in cured  
316 salami, and the overgrowth of commensal flora in culture media, inhibiting or masking *Salmonella*  
317 colonies in presence of low *Salmonella* loads, like those observed in this study.

318 As regards the size of sampling aliquots for microbiological testing, the increased probability of

319 confirming PCR-positive GRMs starting from 50 g instead of 25 g shows how critical the sample  
320 size can be in low-contamination samples (Figure 1a). On the other hand, the probability of  
321 confirming PCR-positive samples in cured salami was similar starting from 25 g and 50 g (Figure  
322 1b). The different effect of sample size on the probability of confirmation observed between GRMs  
323 and salami could be hypothetically due to a more homogeneous distribution of the viable pathogen  
324 in salami compared to GRMs as a possible consequence of bacterial diffusion in the first hours of  
325 salami curing when bacteria can swarm in the food matrix. ~~transition from GRM to salami.~~  
326 ~~Although~~ Regulation (EC) 2073/2005 defines the food safety criterion for *Salmonella* in ~~minced~~  
327 salami meat and (food category 1.8 - meat products intended to be eaten raw) as “absence in 25 g  
328 in five sampling units”,<sup>27</sup>. ~~Our our~~ results highlight that a larger sample sampling size unit could be  
329 ~~reconsidered- for higher sensitivity at unit level. to improve food safety. For example, this could~~  
330 increase the confirmation rate of a screening PCR when the CT values are high. *Salmonella*  
331 regulations setting larger sample sizes exist outside Europe, as is the case of USA standards  
332 (USDA/FSIS, 2014), which require a sample portion of  $325 \pm 6$  g for *Salmonella* detection in ~~raw~~  
333 meat and ready to eat foods. In particular, a larger sample size should be considered when the CT  
334 values of a screening PCR are high.

335

#### 336 4.2 *Salmonella* serovars in GRMs and salami

337 Most samples of GRMs were contaminated by *S. Derby* and *S. Typhimurium* monophasic variant  
338 (antigenic formula 4,[5],12:i: -) and *S. Derby* was also prevalent in dry-cured salami. This result is  
339 consistent with the host-adapted nature of *S. Derby* known to be associated with pigs (Uzzau et al.,  
340 2000) and most common in Italian slaughter pigs (Bonardi et al., 2003; 2016; Piras et al., 2011). At  
341 the same time, this serotype is not devoid of pathogenic potential for humans, as foodborne  
342 infections by *S. Derby* have been recently reported in Spain (Armedo-Pena et al., 2016), Germany  
343 (Frank et al., 2014), France (Kerouanton et al., 2013) and Italy (Enternet Reference Laboratory of

344 Emilia-Romagna - surveillance data). *S. Typhimurium* monophasic variant also has been  
345 increasingly reported in both pig populations and humans affected by salmonellosis in several  
346 European countries (Argüello et al., 2014; Bonardi et al., 2016; EFSA and ECDC, 2016; Gossner et  
347 al., 2012) ~~and it~~ ~~and it~~ was responsible for 46% of the human salmonellosis cases notified in Emilia-  
348 Romagna region in 2015 (Enternet Reference Laboratory of Emilia-Romagna - surveillance data).

349 Serotyping and PFGE of isolates showed a variety of strains inside positive batches of cured salami  
350 sometimes confirming the presence of the types detected in the corresponding GRMs and  
351 sometimes not. This could be mostly the effect of typing limited numbers of colonies, normally  
352 ranging from two to three per sample. A larger variety of serovars was detected in cured salami than  
353 in GRMs. The larger sample portion tested for each salami batch (375 g; i.e. 25 g + 50 g times 5  
354 sampling units) than for GRMs (75 g; i.e. 25 g + 50 g) could explain this difference. At the same  
355 time, the presence of mixed populations of *Salmonella* in GRMs and their final products is  
356 suggestive of a diverse and complex origin of the contamination, which could have been originated  
357 from raw meat as well as from other ingredients, equipment and workers. For instance, pepper  
358 added to GRMs has been demonstrated as a source of contamination in different outbreaks  
359 (Gieraltowski *et al.*, 2013; Jernberg *et al.*, 2015). In addition, the role of the environment as  
360 contamination source for pig meat was reported both in pig slaughterhouses (Andreoli et al., 2017;  
361 Gomes-Neves *et al.*, 2012;) and in salami producing plants (Andreoli et al., 2017).

362

#### 363 4.3 Influence of physicochemical parameters

364 Reduction of GRM contamination by *Salmonella* should be seen as a multicomponent action along  
365 the pork production chain, including lowering of infection prevalence in swine population and  
366 adoption of proper hygiene procedures during slaughter and meat processing. [In our study, ~~The the~~](#)  
367 [aw lowering was the only factor ~~able statistically correlated to the reduction of to reduce~~ \*Salmonella\*](#)



393 ~~decontaminazione rano quelli naturali!!~~ The influence of  $a_w$  on the probability to obtain *Salmonella*  
394 negative salami at the end of curing confirms that this parameter is critical for the safety of dry-  
395 cured products (Lucke, 2000). Water activity threshold values for Italian dry-cured salami have  
396 been reported, indicating that *Salmonella* should be inhibited below 0.94 (Barbuti et al., 1993).  
397 Nevertheless, our results show that a large proportion (80%) of *Salmonella* positive salami had  
398 values lower than 0.94 (0.896-0.926). Consistent with our results, a study on Italian Cacciatore and  
399 Felino salami reported that the drop of  $a_w$  to 0.928-0.936 values during curing did not affect  
400 *Salmonella* survival in the final products (Mataragas et al., 2015). Another study on dry fermented  
401 sausages proposed  $a_w$  target values  $\leq 0.90$  to inhibit *Salmonella* (Lucke, 2000), which seem difficult  
402 to reach in traditional Italian pork salami because of technological constraints.

403 The influence of curing duration on *Salmonella* survival is apparent in our study as is its reverse  
404 correlation to  $a_w$ , the only physicochemical parameter showing influence on *Salmonella* survival. In  
405 particular, extending curing by additional 21-38 days after the standard period of 20-48 days, the  
406 prevalence of positive salami dropped from 13.3% to 3.3%. All initially positive batches turned out  
407 negative after an overall duration of curing varying between 49 and 86 days. Therefore, reduced  
408 curing time appears to be one of the main risk factors in salami production, compromising the  
409 inhibition of *Salmonella*. For this reason, curing duration should be clearly considered by  
410 manufacturers of traditional dry-cured salami as a critical safety factor.

411 In our study, NaCl and pH did not appear to influence the probability to obtain contaminated salami  
412 at the end of curing in the context of our study. This could be due to values of those parameters far  
413 from inhibiting levels for *Salmonella*. In this respect, Barbuti et al. (1993) reported an inhibitory  
414 level for *Salmonella* of 6% NaCl, far above the highest value observed in a positive salami in our  
415 study (i.e. 4.28%).

416 Different experimental studies on Italian pork salami were carried out, where the production

417 conditions, ingredients and additives concentration were kept under control, highlighting the role of  
418 starter culture, temperature, relative humidity, pH and  $a_w$  on *Salmonella* survival (Barbuti and  
419 Parolari, 2002; Mataragas et al., 2015; Pisacane et al., 2015;). On the contrary, our study  
420 investigated *Salmonella* contamination of salami in field conditions, consequently many processing  
421 details (such as temperature and relative humidity) and the concentration of preservatives (nitrites,  
422 nitrates, ascorbate) were not communicated by the manufactures. Unlike experimental studies, field  
423 studies cannot control ~~the majority of most of~~ processing conditions, but they have the advantage of  
424 referring to the products actually placed on the market, therefore representing the real consumer  
425 exposure to *Salmonella*.

426

## 427 5. Conclusions

428 Although different pork products or infection routes could be involved in the transmission of  
429 *Salmonella* from pigs to humans, our results highlight that production of traditional salami does not  
430 always ensures safe cured products. This appears to be linked to excessively short curing, as  
431 currently applied by the industry. This factor, associated with the considerable proportion of  
432 contaminated meat mixtures used as starting raw material in salami processing translates into a non-  
433 negligible risk to the consumers. Not surprisingly, we found that the probability of putting  
434 contaminated products on the market was correlated to the contamination level of the raw material,  
435 asking for more efforts to reduce the starting contamination. Therefore, the selection hygiene level  
436 of raw meat is critical for safe production of ready-to-eat fermented products, together with the  
437 improvement and maintenance of appropriate Good Hygienic Practices and Good Manufacturing  
438 Practices to reduce environmental contamination.

439 Among the physicochemical parameters considered, in the study conditions, only  $a_w$  showed an  
440 effect in reducing the probability of contamination at the end of curing, suggesting that production

441 of soft salami characterized by high  $a_w$  values appears to negatively impact their microbiological  
442 safety. Nevertheless, the addition of preservatives, such as nitrites and nitrates (maximum level 150  
443 ppm each according to EU Regulation 1129/2011), may contribute to control *Salmonella* growth in  
444 dried fermented sausages even when pH and  $a_w$  values are permissive (Hospital et al., 2014).  
445 Finally, the observed increased probability of detecting *Salmonella* by ISO 6579:2002 starting from  
446 larger samples of GRMs (50g of sample vs. 25g) should be carefully considered in its potential  
447 impact on food safety outcomes of both industry and competent authorities through their own-  
448 checks and official controls, respectively.

449

#### 450 **Acknowledgements**

451 The authors gratefully acknowledge Dott. Gisella Pizzin and Mrs. Ida Poli of the University of  
452 Parma for their technical support and R-Biopharm for real-time PCR equipment.

453 **Figure 1**

454 Probability of culture-confirmation of real time PCR positive samples as a function of the CT-value  
455 of PCR for GRM (panel A) and cured salami (panel B). Blue and red lines represent the probability  
456 of culture-confirmation for sampling aliquots of 25 g and 50 g, respectively. Solid lines represent  
457 the best model fits; dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals. Blue and red dots represent  
458 the occurrence (top) or not (bottom) of a culture-confirmation for sampling aliquots of 25 g and 50  
459 g, respectively.

460

461 **References**

- 462 1. Andreoli, G., Merla, C., Valle, C.D., Corpus, F., Morganti, M., D'inciau, M., Colmegna, S.,  
463 Marone, P., Fabbi, M., Barco, L., Carra, E., 2017. Foodborne salmonellosis in Italy:  
464 Characterization of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and monophasic variant  
465 4,[5],12:i- isolated from salami and human patients. J. Food Prot. 14, 632-639.
- ~~2.1 Anonymous, 2005. Regulation No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological  
466 criteria for foodstuffs. O.J.E.U. L338, 1-26.~~
- ~~3.1 Anonymous, 2011. Regulation EU No 1129/2011 of 11 November 2011 amending Annex II  
468 to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council by  
469 establishing a Union list of food additives. O.J.E.U. L 295, 1-177.~~
- 4.2 Argüello, H., Sørensen, G., Carvajal, A., Baggesen, D. L., Rubio, P., Pedersen, K., 2014.  
471 Characterization of the emerging *Salmonella* 4,[5],12:i- in Danish animal production  
472 Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 11, 366-372.
- 5.3 Arnedo-Pena, A., Sabater-Vidal, S., Herrera-León, S., Bellido-Blasco, J.B., Silvestre-  
474 Silvestre, E., Meseguer-Ferrer, N., Yague-Muñoz, A., Gil-Fortuño, M., Romeu-García, A.,  
475 Moreno-Muñoz, R., 2016. An outbreak of monophasic and biphasic *Salmonella*  
476 Typhimurium, and *Salmonella* Derby associated with the consumption of dried pork sausage  
477 in Castellon (Spain). Enferm. Infecc. Microbiol. Clín. pii: S0213-005X(15)00452-8.
- 6.4 Barbau-Piednoir, E., Mahillon, J., Pillyser, J., Coucke, W., Roosens, N.H., Botteldoorn, N.,  
480 2014. Evaluation of viability-qPCR detection system on viable and dead *Salmonella* serovar  
481 Enteritidis. J. Microbiol. Methods 103, 131-137.
- 7.5 Barbuti, S., Maggi, A., Chisi, M., Dellapina, G., Campanini, M., 1993. *Salmonella* in  
482 prodotti carnei: incidenza e caratterizzazione degli isolati. [*Salmonella* in meat products:  
483 incidence and characterization of isolates – language Italian] Proceedings of the First Italian  
484 Congress of Science and Technology of Food, Parma, Italy, 18<sup>th</sup> – 20<sup>th</sup> October 1993,  
485

486 Chiriotti publisher.

487 ~~8.~~ 8. Barbuti S., Parolari G., 2002. Validation of manufacturing process to control pathogenic  
488 bacteria in typical dry fermented products. Meat Sci. 62, 323-329.

ha formattato: Evidenziato

489 ~~9.~~ 7. Barco, L., Lettini, A.A., Ramon, E., Longo, A., Saccardin, C., Dalla Pozza, M.C., Ricci, A.,  
490 2011. A rapid and sensitive method to identify and differentiate *Salmonella enterica*  
491 serotype Typhimurium and *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,[5],12:i:- by combining  
492 traditional serotyping and multiplex polymerase chain reaction. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 8,  
493 741-743.

494 ~~10.~~ 8. Bonardi, S., Brindani, F., Pizzin, G., Lucidi, L., D'Incau, M., Liebana, E., Morabito,  
495 S., 2003. Detection of *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica* and verocytotoxin-producing  
496 *Escherichia coli* O157 in pigs at slaughter in Italy. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 85, 101-110.

497 ~~11.~~ 9. Bonardi, S., Alpigiani, I., Bruini, I., Barilli, E., Brindani, F., Morganti, M., Cavallini,  
498 P. Bolzoni, L., Pongolini, S., 2016. Detection of *Salmonella enterica* in pigs at slaughter and  
499 comparison with human isolates in Italy. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 218, 44-50.

500 ~~12.~~ 10. Coloretti, F., Chiavari, C., Armaforte, E., Carri, S., Castagnetti, G.B., 2008.  
501 Combined use of starter cultures and preservatives to control production of biogenic amines  
502 and improve sensorial profile in low-acid salami. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 11238-11244.

503 ~~13.~~ 11. de Knecht LV, Pires S.M., Hald, T., 2015. Using surveillance and monitoring data of  
504 different origins in a *Salmonella* source attribution model: a European Union example with  
505 challenges and proposed solutions. Epidemiol. Infect. 143, 1148-1165.

506 ~~14.~~ 12. Delibato, E., Rodriguez-Lazaro, D., Gianfranceschi, M., De Cesare, A., Comin, D.,  
507 Gattuso, A., Hernandez, M., Sonnessa, M., Pasquali, F., Sreter-Lancz, Z., Saiz-Abajo, M.J.,  
508 Pérez-De-Juan, J., Butrón, J., Prukner-Radovic, E., Horvatek Tomic, D., Johannessen,  
509 G.S., Jakočiūnė, D., Olsen, J.E., Chemaly, M., Le Gall, F., González-García, P., Lettini,  
510 A.A., Lukac, M., Quesne, S., Zampieron, C., De Santis, P., Lovari, S., Bertasi, B., Pavoni,

511 E., Proroga, Y.T., Capuano, F., Manfreda, G., De Medici, D., 2014. European validation of  
512 Real-Time PCR method for detection of *Salmonella* spp. in pork meat. Int. J. Food  
513 Microbiol. 184,134-138.

514 ~~15~~13. EFSA (European Food Safety Agency) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease  
515 Prevention and Control), 2015. The European Union summary report on trends of zoonoses,  
516 zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2014. EFSA Journal 13 (12), 4329.

517 ~~16~~14. EFSA (European Food Safety Agency) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease  
518 Prevention and Control), 2016. The European Union summary report on trends of zoonoses,  
519 zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. EFSA Journal 14 (12), 4634.

520 ~~17~~15. Emberland, K.E., Nygård, K., Heier, B.T., Aavitsland, P., Lassen, J., Stavnes, T.L.,  
521 Gondrosen, B., 2006. Outbreak of *Salmonella* Kedougou in Norway associated with salami,  
522 April-June 2006. Euro Surveill., 11(7):E060706.3.

523 ~~16. Anonymous~~European Commission, 2005. Commission Regulation (EC) Regulation No  
524 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. Off. J. Eur. U.  
525 nion L338, 1-26.

ha formattato: Evidenziato

526 ~~17. European Commission~~Anonymous, 2011. Commission Regulation (EU) No 1129/2011 of 11  
527 November 2011 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European  
528 Parliament and of the Council by establishing a Union list of food additives. Off. J. Eur.  
529 Union O.J.E.U. L 295, 1-177.

ha formattato: Evidenziato

530 18. Frank, C., Werber, D., Askar, M., Blümel, B., Rabsch, W., Simon, C., Sagebiel, D.,  
531 Siffezyk, C., Wichmann-Schauer, H., 2014. Catering risky food to those at-risk: *Salmonella*  
532 Derby outbreak among the elderly in Berlin, December 2013/January 2014. Proceedings of  
533 the European Scientific Conference on Applied Infectious Disease, Stockholm 5-7  
534 November 2014. Available at: [http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/](http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/ESCAIDE/programme/abstract-book/Documents/ESCAIDE-2014-abstracts.PDF)  
535 ESCAIDE/programme/abstract-book/Documents/ESCAIDE-2014-abstracts.PDF

536 19. Gieraltowski, L., Julian, E., Pringle, J., Macdonald, K., Quilliam, D., Marsden-Haug, N.,

- 537 Saathoff-Huber, L., Von Stein, D., Kissler, B., Parish, M., Elder, D., Howard-King, V.,  
538 Besser, J., Sodha, S., Loharikar, A., Dalton, S., Williams, I., Barton Behravesh, C., 2013.  
539 Nationwide outbreak of *Salmonella* Montevideo infections associated with contaminated  
540 imported black and red pepper: warehouse membership cards provide critical clues to  
541 identify the source. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 141,1244-1252.
- 542 20. Gomes-Neves, E., Antunes, P., Tavares, A., Themudo, P., Cardoso, M.F., Gärtner, F., Costa,  
543 J.M., Peixe, L., 2012. *Salmonella* cross-contamination in swine abattoirs in Portugal:  
544 Carcasses, meat and meat handlers. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 157, 82-87.
- 545 21. Gossner, C.M., van Cauteren, D., Le Hello, S., Weill, F.X., Terrien, E., Tessier, S., Janin,  
546 C., Brisabois, A., Dusch, V., Vaillant, V., Jourdan-da Silva, N., 2012. Nationwide outbreak  
547 of *Salmonella enterica* serotype 4,[5],12:i:- infection associated with consumption of dried  
548 pork sausage, France, November to December 2011. *Euro Surveill.* 2012 Feb 2;17(5). pii:  
549 20071.
- 550 22. Hjertqvist, M., Luzzi, I., Löfdahl, S., Olsson, A., Rådal, J., Andersson, Y., 2006. Unusual  
551 phage pattern of *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolated from Swedish patients and Italian  
552 salami. *Euro Surveill.* 11(2):E060209.3
- 553 23. Hospital, X.F., Hierro, E., Fernández, M., 2014. Effect of reducing nitrate and nitrite added  
554 to dry fermented sausages on the survival of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *Food Res. Int.* 62,  
555 410-415.
- 556 24. Hospital, X.F., Hierro, E., Stringer, S., Fernández, M., 2016. A study on the toxigenesis by  
557 *Clostridium botulinum* in nitrate and nitrite-reduced dry fermented sausages. *Int. J. Food*  
558 *Microbiol.* 218, 66-70.
- 559 25. Kerouanton, A., Rose, V., Weill, F.X., Granier, S.A., Denis, M., 2013. Genetic diversity and  
560 antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Derby isolated from pigs,  
561 pork, and humans in France. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 10, 977-984.

562 26. King, A.M., Glass, K.A., Milkowski, A.L., Seman, D.L., Sindelar, J.J., 2016. Modelling the  
563 impact of ingoing sodium nitrite, sodium ascorbate, and residual nitrite concentrations on  
564 growth parameters of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cooked, cured pork sausage. J. Food Prot.  
565 79, 184-193.

566 27. Kuhn, K., Torpdahl, M., Frank, C., Sigsgaard, K., Ethelberg, S., 2011. An outbreak of  
567 *Salmonella* Typhimurium traced back to salami, Denmark, April to June 2010. Euro  
568 Surveill. 12;16(19).

569 28. ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 1996. Meat and meat products.  
570 Determination of chloride content – Part 1: Volhard method. ISO 1841-1:1996. Geneve,  
571 Switzerland.

572 29. ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2002. Microbiology of food and  
573 animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. ISO  
574 6579:2002. Geneve, Switzerland.

575 30. ISO (International Organization for Standardization), 2012. Microbiology of food and  
576 animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection, enumeration and serotyping of  
577 *Salmonella*. Part 2: enumeration by a miniaturized most probable number technique. ISO/TS  
578 6579-2:2012. Geneve, Switzerland.

579 31. Jernberg, C., Hjertqvist, M., Sundborger, C., Castro, E., Lofdahl, M., Pääjärvi, A.,  
580 Sundqvist, L., Löf, E., 2015. Outbreak of *Salmonella* Enteritidis phage type 13a infection in  
581 Sweden linked to imported dried-vegetable spice mixes, December 2014 to July 2015. Euro  
582 Surveill. 20(30). pii: 21194.

583 32. Li, M., Zhao, G., Liu, J., Gao, X., Zhang, Q., 2013. Effect of different heat treatments on the  
584 degradation of *Salmonella* nucleic acid. J. Food Saf. 33, 536-544.

585 33. Lucke, F.-K. (2000). Quality and safety issues in fermented meat products. Lecture  
586 presented at the Joint Meeting of the Society of Applied Microbiology (UK) and the  
587 Estonian Society for Microbiology on “Microbiological Safety of Food” (Tartu, Estonia)

Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato  
Codice campo modificato

588 34. Luzzi, I., Galetta, P., Massari, M., Rizzo, C., Dionisi, A.M., Filetici, E., Cawthorne, A.,  
589 Tozzi, A., Argentieri, M., Bilei, S., Busani, L., Gnesivo, C., Pendenza, A., Piccoli, A.,  
590 Napoli, P., Loffredo, L., Trinito, M.O., Santarelli, E., Ciofi degli Atti, M.L., 2007. An Easter  
591 outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT 104A associated with traditional pork salami in  
592 Italy. Euro Surveill.;12(4):E11-2

593 34-35. Mataragas, M., Bellio, A., Rovetto, S., Astegiano, S., Greci, C., Hertel, C., Decastelli  
594 L., Cocolin, L., 2015a. Quantification of persistence of the food-borne pathogens *Listeria*  
595 *monocytogenes* and *Salmonella enterica* during manufacture of Italian fermented sausages.  
596 Food Control 47, 552-559.

597 35-36. Mataragas, M., Bellio, A., Rovetto, F., Astegiano, S., Decastelli, L., Cocolin, L.,  
598 2015b. Risk-based control of food-borne pathogens *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella*  
599 *enterica* in the Italian fermented sausages Cacciatore and Felino. Meat Sci. 103, 39-45.

600 36-37. Messier, S., Smith, H.J., Tittiger, F., 1989. Survival of *Salmonella typhimurium* and  
601 *Staphylococcus aureus* in Genoa salami of varying salt concentration. Can. J. Vet. Res. 53,  
602 84-86.

603 37-38. Nightingale, K.K., Thippareddi, H., Phebus, R.K., Marsden, J.L., Nutsch, A.L., 2006.  
604 Validation of a traditional Italian-style salami manufacturing process for control of  
605 *Salmonella* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. J. Food Prot. 69, 794-800.

606 38-39. Ockerman, H. W., Basu, L., 2007. Production and consumption of fermented meat  
607 products. In: Toldrá, F. (Ed.), Handbook of fermented meat and poultry. Blackwell  
608 Publishing, Iowa, pp. 9-15.

609 39-40. Piras, F., Brown, D.J., Meloni, D., Mureddu, A., Mazzette, R., 2011. Investigation of  
610 *Salmonella enterica* in Sardinian slaughter pigs: prevalence, serotype and genotype  
611 characterization. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 151, 201-209.

612 40-41. Pires, S.M., de Knecht L., Hald, T., 2011. Estimation of the relative contribution of  
613 different food and animal sources to human *Salmonella* infections in the European Union.

- ha formattato: Italiano (Italia), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Italiano (Italia), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Italiano (Italia), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Inglese (Stati Uniti), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Inglese (Stati Uniti), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Inglese (Stati Uniti), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Inglese (Stati Uniti), Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Tipo di carattere: Corsivo, Evidenziato
- ha formattato: Evidenziato
- Codice campo modificato
- Codice campo modificato
- Codice campo modificato
- Codice campo modificato
- Codice campo modificato
- Codice campo modificato

614 Question No EFSA-Q-2010-00685. Published as an external scientific report on 28 July  
615 2011: <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/supporting/pub/184e.htm>

616 ~~41-42.~~ Pisacane, V., Callegari, M.L., Puglisi E., Dallolio G., Rebecchi A., 2015. Microbial  
617 analyses of traditional Italian salami reveal microorganisms transfer from the natural casing  
618 to the meat matrix. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 207, 57-65.

619 ~~42-43.~~ PulseNet, 2010. One-day (24-28 h) standardized laboratory protocol for molecular  
620 subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* serotypes, *Shigella sonnei*, and *Shigella*  
621 *flexneri* by Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE). *PulseNet Int.*, 1-16.

622 ~~43-44.~~ R Development Core Team, 2015. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical  
623 Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.

624 ~~44-45.~~ Rodriguez-Lazaro, D., Gonzalez-García, P., Delibato, E., De Medici, D., García-  
625 Gimeno, R.M., Valero, A., Hernandez, M., 2014. Next day *Salmonella* spp. detection  
626 method based on real-time PCR for meat, dairy and vegetable food products. *Int. J. Food*  
627 *Microbiol.* 184,113-120.

628 ~~45-46.~~ Scaltriti, E., Sasserà, D., Comandatore, F., Morganti, M., Mandalari, C., Gaiarsa, S.,  
629 Bandi, C., Zehender, G., Bolzoni, L., Casadei, G., Pongolini, S., 2015. Differential single  
630 nucleotide polymorphism-based analysis of an outbreak caused by *Salmonella enterica*  
631 serovar Manhattan reveals epidemiological details missed by standard pulsed-field gel  
632 electrophoresis. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:1227–1238.

633 ~~46-47.~~ Scavia, G., Ciaravino, G., Luzzi, I., Lenglet, A., Ricci, A., Barco, L., Pavan, A.,  
634 Zaffanella, F., Dionisi, A.M., 2013. A multistate epidemic outbreak of *Salmonella*  
635 Goldcoast infection in humans, June 2009 to March 2010: the investigation in Italy. *Euro*  
636 *Surveill.* 18 (11), 20424.

637 ~~47-48.~~ Talon, R., Leroy-Satrin, S., Fadda, S., 2004. Dry fermented sausages. In: Hui, Y. H.,  
638 Goddik, L. M., Josephsen, J., Stanfield, P. S., Hansen, A. S., Nip, W. K., Toldrá, F. (Eds.),

639 Handbook of food and beverage fermentation technology. Marcel Dekker, New York , pp.  
640 397-416.

641 ~~48-49.~~ USDA/ FSIS (United States Department of Agriculture/ Food Safety and Inspection  
642 Service), 2014. Laboratory Guidebook MLG 4.08 “Isolation and Identification of  
643 *Salmonella* from Meat, Poultry, Pasteurized Egg, and Catfish Products and Carcass and  
644 Environmental Sponges” Revised 06/29/14.

645 ~~49-50.~~ Uzzau, S., Brown, D.J., Wallis, T., Rubino, S., Leori, G., Bernard, S., Casadesús, J., Platt,  
646 D.J., Olsen, J.E., 2000. Host adapted serotypes of *Salmonella enterica*. Epidemiol. Infect.  
647 125, 229-255.

648 ~~50-51.~~ Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics. S. Springer, New York,  
649 USA.

650 ~~51-52.~~ Villaverde, A., Morcuende, D., Estévez, M., 2014. Effect of curing agents on the oxidative  
651 and nitrosative damage to meat proteins during processing of fermented sausages. J. Food  
652 Sci. 79, C1331-1342.

653 ~~52-53.~~ Wolffs, P., Norling, B., Radstrom, P., 2005. Risk assessment of false-positive quantitative  
654 real-time PCR results in food, due to detection of DNA originating from dead cells. J.  
655 Microbiol. Methods 60, 315-323.

656 ~~53-54.~~ Zanardi, E., Ghidini, S., Conter, M., Ianieri, A., 2010. Mineral composition of Italian salami  
657 and effect of NaCl partial replacement on compositional, physico-chemical and sensory  
658 parameters. Meat Sci. 86, 742-747.