



UNIVERSITÀ DI PARMA

ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University of Parma Research Repository

Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase (FAAH), Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), and Butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE):
Networked Targets for the Development of Carbamates as Potential Anti-Alzheimer's Disease Agents

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original

Fatty Acid Amide Hydrolase (FAAH), Acetylcholinesterase (AChE), and Butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE):
Networked Targets for the Development of Carbamates as Potential Anti-Alzheimer's Disease Agents /
Montanari, Serena; Scalvini, Laura; Bartolini, Manuela; Belluti, Federica; Gobbi, Silvia; Andrisano,
Vincenza; Ligresti, Alessia; Di Marzo, Vincenzo; Rivara, Silvia; Mor, Marco; Bisi, Alessandra; Rampa,
Angela. - In: JOURNAL OF MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY. - ISSN 0022-2623. - 59:13(2016), pp. 6387-6406.
[10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00609]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2812375 since: 2021-10-11T15:22:15Z

Publisher:

American Chemical Society

Published

DOI:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00609

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

(Article begins on next page)

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

FAAH, AChE and BuChE: networked targets for the development of carbamates as anti Alzheimer's Disease agents

Journal:	<i>Journal of Medicinal Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	jm-2016-00609y
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	20-Apr-2016
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Montanari, Serena; University of Bologna, Scalvini, Laura; Università degli Studi di Parma Dipartimento di Farmacia Bartolini, Manuela; University of Bologna, Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology Belluti, Federica; University of Bologna, Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology Gobbi, Silvia; University of Bologna, Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology Andrisano, Vincenza; Università di Bologna, Department for Life Quality Studies Ligresti, Alessia; National Research Council, Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry Di Marzo, Vincenzo; National Research Council, Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry Rivara, Silvia; Università degli Studi di Parma, Dipartimento Farmaceutico Mor, Marco; Università degli Studi di Parma, Dipartimento di Farmacia Bisi, Alessandra; University of Bologna, Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology Rampa, Angela; University of Bologna,</p>

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5 **FAAH, AChE and BuChE: networked targets for the development of**
6
7 **carbamates as anti Alzheimer's Disease agents**
8
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 Serena Montanari,¹ Laura Scalvini,² Manuela Bartolini,¹ Federica Belluti,¹ Silvia Gobbi,¹ Vincenza
18 Andrisano,³ Alessia Ligresti,⁴ Vincenzo Di Marzo,⁴ Silvia Rivara,² Marco Mor,² Alessandra Bisi,¹
19
20
21 Angela Rampa^{1*}
22
23

24
25
26 ¹*Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, Via*
27 *Belmeloro 6, 40126 Bologna, Italy;* ²*Department of Pharmacy, University of Parma, Parco Area*
28 *delle Scienze 27/A, 43124 Parma, Italy;* ³*Department for Life Quality Studies, Alma Mater*
29 *Studiorum University of Bologna, Corso d'Augusto 237, 47921 Rimini, Italy;* ⁴*Endocannabinoid*
30 *Research Group, Institute of Biomolecular Chemistry, National Research Council, Via Campi*
31 *Flegrei 34, 80078 Pozzuoli, NA, Italy.*
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 *Corresponding author:

48 Angela Rampa

49 Fax: +39 051 2099734

50 E-mail: angela.rampa@unibo.it
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Abstract.** The modulation of the endocannabinoid system is emerging as viable avenue for the
4 treatment of neurodegeneration, being involved in neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory processes.
5
6
7 In particular, indirectly enhancing endocannabinoid signaling to therapeutic levels through FAAH
8 inhibition might be beneficial for neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer's disease,
9 effectively preventing or slowing the progression of the disease. Hence, in the search for a more
10 effective treatment for Alzheimer's disease, in this paper, the multi-target-directed ligand paradigm
11 was applied to the design of carbamates able to simultaneously target the recently proposed
12 endocannabinoid system and the classic cholinesterase system, and achieve effective dual
13 FAAH/cholinesterase inhibitors. Among the two series of synthesized compounds, while some
14 derivatives proved to be extremely potent on a single target, compounds **9** and **19** were identified as
15 effective dual FAAH/ChE inhibitors, with well-balanced nanomolar activities. Thus, **9** and **19** might
16 be considered as new promising candidates for Alzheimer's disease treatment.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

The progressive aging of the population in western countries undoubtedly reflects the continuous improvements in living conditions. Consequently, an increase in age-related diseases, among which Alzheimer's Disease (AD) plays a primary role, is being observed, and a growing trend can be anticipated for next years.¹

AD is characterized by progressive mental deterioration, associated with degeneration of brain regions involved in superior cognitive functions. The neuropathological hallmarks include extracellular deposition of amyloid- β peptides² to form A β plaques, intraneuronal accumulation of aberrant forms of hyperphosphorylated tau protein³ (neurofibrillary tangles), as well as synapse dysfunction and neuronal loss.⁴ In this scenario, information transfer at synapses begins to fail, the number of synapses declines, and neurons eventually die.⁵

The major pathological changes in AD involve cholinergic nerve pathways, from the frontal base to the cerebral cortex and hippocampus, associated with attention, learning ability, task and memory related activities, and other cognitive processes. The loss of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons leads to reduction of the cholinergic tone, and memory and cognitive deficits which are characteristic of this disease.⁶ Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEIs) boost cholinergic neurotransmission in forebrain regions by preventing the breakdown of acetylcholine (ACh), and temporary ameliorate the clinical condition. Mammal brain contains two major forms of cholinesterases (ChEs), acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BuChE). In healthy brain, AChE is the main player in ACh breakdown. Conversely, in AD affected brain, BuChE levels and activity progressively rise, while AChE activity declines. Therefore, both enzymes are likely to be involved in the regulation of ACh central levels in AD patients and represent validated therapeutic targets to counteract the cholinergic deficit.^{7,8}

1
2
3 Currently approved AD treatments only provide temporary and modest improvement in cognitive
4 functions, through cholinergic and anticholinergic mechanisms, but they cannot significantly
5 modify the course and the ultimate outcome of the disease. Thus, there is an urgent need for
6 disease-modifying therapies to fight the upcoming Alzheimer's disease epidemic.
7
8
9

10
11
12 Over the past two decades, neuroinflammation has emerged as an integral process in the
13 pathogenesis of AD. Post-mortem analysis of the brains of AD patients has revealed an increased
14 amount of activated microglia and astrocytes as well as significantly higher levels of
15 proinflammatory cytokines, namely IL-1, IL-6 and TNF- α , and reactive oxygen species (ROS).⁹
16
17 Microglia represent brain's innate immune system, hence the first line of defense against invading
18 pathogens. However, microglial activation may also be evoked by endogenous proteins and can
19 significantly contribute to neuronal damage. Indeed, inflammatory changes are observed at the
20 amyloid deposits, which are rich in activated microglia. Once stimulated, the microglia release a
21 wide variety of pro-inflammatory mediators that contribute to neuronal dysfunction and eventually
22 result in cell death. These mediators create and feed a vicious cycle that may play an important role
23 in the pathological progression of AD.¹⁰ When activated, microglia cells may also recruit astrocytes
24 that actively enhance the inflammatory response to extracellular A β deposits. Moreover, reactive
25 microglia play an important role in governing the expression of A β and tau, with a positive
26 feedback mechanism that drives disease progression.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The modulation of the endogenous cannabinoid system (ECS) is now emerging as a potentially
45 viable option for the treatment of neurodegeneration. ECS is composed of the endocannabinoid
46 signaling molecules, including anandamide (*N*-arachidonylethanolamine, AEA) and 2-
47 arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG), their G-protein coupled cannabinoid receptors CB₁ and CB₂, and
48 enzymes for endocannabinoid biosynthesis and inactivation. CB₁ receptors are highly expressed at
49 the terminals of central and peripheral neurons, where they regulate neurotransmitter release. CB₂
50 receptor expression is associated with the peripheral immune system and microglia during
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 neuroinflammation.¹¹ Endocannabinoids are lipid compounds of the eicosanoid family, derived
4
5 from the processing of membrane phospholipids, and are synthesized on-demand in response to
6
7 (patho)physiological stimuli. Two specific enzymes are mostly responsible for their degradation:
8
9 fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) and monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), which metabolize AEA
10
11 and 2-AG, respectively.¹² Endocannabinoid signaling has been found to be altered in many
12
13 neurodegenerative disorders including AD, in which AEA levels are decreased and are inversely
14
15 correlated with those of A β .¹³ In AD brain, the expressions of CB₂ receptors in microglia and of
16
17 FAAH in astrocytes are increased, as a response to the inflammatory processes typical of the
18
19 disease.¹⁴ Since AEA is a substrate for FAAH, the higher levels of this enzyme in astrocytes
20
21 surrounding neuritic plaques suggest that these cells, via FAAH, might be responsible for the lower
22
23 levels of AEA and the decreased neuroprotection promoted by AEA activation of CB₁ and CB₂
24
25 receptors. FAAH inhibition might therefore be beneficial in preventing or reducing the
26
27 inflammatory process associated with A β deposition, by indirectly enhancing endocannabinoid
28
29 signaling to therapeutic levels, and might be considered an attractive therapeutic avenue, adding a
30
31 new piece to the Alzheimer's puzzle.
32
33
34
35

36
37 For the treatment of disorders involving multiple and complex pathways such as AD, a drug
38
39 endowed with a single-target mechanism of action may not be the most effective agent. In this case,
40
41 polypharmacy, that is the synergistic delivery of a “cocktail” of two or more drugs, may give
42
43 enhanced beneficial effects and potentially lead to disease modification. However, poor patient
44
45 compliance and pharmacokinetic interferences are negative aspects potentially associated with such
46
47 an approach. Alternatively, a single therapeutic agent could be purposely designed to interact with
48
49 different targets and/or systems involved in the onset or progression of the disease. This multi-target
50
51 approach would allow attacking the disease from many sides, thus greatly enhancing the probability
52
53 of disease modification.^{15,16}
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Taking into account these elements, we tried to tackle AD's multifaceted nature using the multi-
4 target-directed ligand (MTDL) paradigm, thus combining our long lasting experience in the design
5 of inhibitors of classic AD targets with the attempt to hit the ECS. In this respect, we recently
6 reported on compound **1** (Figure 1) as first dual ChE/FAAH inhibitor, with activity in the
7 nanomolar range.¹⁷ In this paper, appropriately designed modifications were performed on lead
8 molecule **1** in order to increase potency and obtain well-balanced activities on the selected targets,
9 namely FAAH, AChE and BuChE. In particular, to explore the chemical space of these enzymes
10 and to determine the structural features required for high potencies, various carbamic functions
11 were inserted in selected oxygenated heterocycles, related to natural products and considered as
12 privileged structures, with spacer chains of different length (Figure 1). As a result, a first small
13 library of 16 compounds (**2-17**) was synthesized and tested for activity against AChE, BuChE, and
14 FAAH. Furthermore, a new series of eight compounds (**18-25**, Figure 1) was designed and
15 synthesized by inserting a triazole ring in the spacer chain, to evaluate the impact of a lower
16 flexibility and the importance of the basic center for biological activities.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 1. Structure of lead compound **1** and design strategies for the studied compounds.

Chemistry

The synthetic procedures to obtain not commercially available hydroxycoumarins (Ar-OH) are reported in the supporting information (SI, scheme 1S). The synthesis of compounds **2-17** was accomplished as shown in Scheme 1. The hydroxy group of the naturally inspired heterocycles was alkylated with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane or 1-bromo-5-chloropentane, in the presence of K_2CO_3 , to afford the chloroalkoxy derivatives **26-37**. These intermediates were then reacted with NaI to obtain the iodinated analogues (**38-49**), more reactive species for the following nucleophilic substitution, that was accomplished by refluxing *N,N*-(3-hydroxybenzyl)-methylamine,¹⁸ compounds **38-49** and TEA in refluxing toluene to obtain compounds **50-61**. Finally, compounds **50-61** were treated with the selected isocyanate, in the presence of NaH, to give the desired compounds **2-17**. The synthetic procedures to obtain the isocyanate not yet described in literature were reported in the SI (schemes 2S and 3S).


Scheme 1. ^aSynthesis of compounds **2-17**.



^a**Reagents and conditions:** i) K_2CO_3 , acetone, reflux; ii) NaI, MeCOEt, reflux; iii) *N,N*-(3-hydroxybenzyl)methylamine, TEA, toluene, reflux; iv) RNCO, NaH, toluene, rt.

1
2
3 According to Scheme 2, compounds **18-25** were synthesized starting from 7-hydroxycoumarin,
4
5 which was reacted with propargyl bromide obtaining **62**. Then, the 1,2,3-triazole framework was
6
7 introduced via a click chemistry reaction (Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition), in which the alkyne
8
9 was reacted with the selected azides, in the presence of CuSO₄ and sodium ascorbate to obtain
10
11 **63a,b**. The synthetic procedures for achieving the azides are reported in the SI (scheme 4S).
12
13 Subsequently, the methoxy group of **63a,b** was removed by treatment with BBr₃ (**64a,b**). When tert-
14
15 butyl dimethylsilane was employed as protecting group, the free phenolic oxygen (**65a,b**) was
16
17 obtained during purification via flash chromatography. Finally, compounds **64a,b** and **65a,b** were
18
19 combined with the appropriate isocyanate to afford the final carbamates **18-25**.
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Scheme 2.** ^aSynthesis of compounds **18-25**.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



42 **Reagents and conditions:** i) K_2CO_3 , acetone, reflux; ii) TEA, selected azide, $CuSO_4$, sodium
 43 ascorbate, DMSO, rt; iii) BBr_3 , DCM, N_2 atmosphere, rt; iv) Silica gel (flash chromatography); v)
 44 RNCO, NaH, toluene, rt.

51 Results and discussion

56 Cholinesterase inhibition by compounds 2-17.

1
2
3 The activities of the newly synthesized compounds **2-17** were evaluated against human recombinant
4 AChE (*hAChE*) and BuChE from human serum (*hBuChE*), using the method of Ellman¹⁹ and the
5 inhibitory potencies, expressed as IC₅₀ values, are reported in Table 1.
6
7

8
9 Inhibition values for compound **1** and rivastigmine, the only marketed carbamate drug approved for
10 AD treatment, are also listed in Table 1 for comparison. Due to the presence of a carbamic
11 residue,^{20,21} all compounds showed a time-dependent ChEs inhibition.
12
13










14
15 Regarding AChE inhibitory activity, different results were observed maintaining the same carbamic
16 substituent of **1** and varying the substitution pattern on the coumarin scaffold (**2-8**). The
17 introduction of a methyl group on the 4-position of the coumarin scaffold (compound **2**), and its
18 extension to a cyclohexane ring (compound **3**), did not significantly alter the inhibitory activity with
19 respect to the lead **1**. A lower potency was observed for the corresponding derivative **4**, in which an
20 aromatic ring was introduced on the coumarin lactone ring. The introduction of an aryl moiety in
21 position 3 of the coumarin was also detrimental (**5-8**), especially when a chlorine atom was
22 introduced in the *para* position (compound **8**). Therefore, the simple coumarin core of the lead **1**
23 was maintained and the phenylpentyl carbamic function was varied, introducing heptyl (**9**),
24 hexylphenyl (**12**) and phenylhexyl (**13**) groups. A slight decrease in potency was observed for
25 compound **13**, bearing an additional methylene unit with respect to **1**. Compound **9**, with a linear
26 heptyl chain, proved to be the most potent of the series (IC₅₀ = 37.4 nM), being twice as potent as **1**.
27 The presence of a phenyl ring directly bound to the carbamate nitrogen, as in compound **12**, led to a
28 remarkable reduction in potency (two orders of magnitude). Afterwards, in an attempt to improve
29 potency, the heptyl carbamic function was introduced on the heterocycles that showed a comparable
30 activity, namely 7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6*H*-benzo [c] chromen-6-one in this series and azaxanthone in
31 a previously reported paper.¹⁷ This modification led to an increased inhibitory activity (**10** vs **3**, IC₅₀
32 = 21.6 vs 89.9 nM, and **11** vs the previously reported azaxanthone¹⁷ IC₅₀ = 13.0 vs 89.5 nM).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 Finally, for **9-11**, the elongation of the alkoxy chain from three to five methylene units provided a
57
58
59
60









remarkable increase in potency, being compounds **15-17** the most potent of the series, while for compound **1** chain elongation (**14**) essentially allowed to maintain the original potency.

All the tested compounds **2-17** turned out to inhibit *h*BuChE more effectively than *h*AChE, with IC_{50} values within a close inhibition range (from 0.27 to 3.51 nM), except for derivative **12** (IC_{50} = 32.1 nM). In particular, compounds **10-11** and **15-17** proved to be the most potent BuChE inhibitors in the series with a inhibitory activities in the subnanomolar range.

Table 1. Inhibitory activity against *h*AChE and *h*BuChE, IC_{50} values of the studied compounds and of the commercial anti-AD drug Rivastigmine (Riva).

 2-17					
	Ar	n	R	IC_{50} (nM) \pm SEM ^a	
				<i>h</i> AChE ^b	<i>h</i> BuChE ^c
1		1		74.9 ± 2.0^d	1.57 ± 0.18^d
2		1		80.5 ± 4.7	2.75 ± 0.13
3		1		89.9 ± 1.8	1.44 ± 0.06
4		1		390 ± 24	1.19 ± 0.03

5		1		125 ± 15	2.06 ± 0.08
6		1		312 ± 23	1.30 ± 0.07
7		1		179 ± 12	1.15 ± 0.06
8		1		900 ± 49	3.51 ± 0.16
9		1		37.4 ± 1.9	1.36 ± 0.07
10		1		21.6 ± 0.7	0.27 ± 0.01
11		1		13.0 ± 0.6	0.69 ± 0.02
12		1		3800 ± 540	32.1 ± 2.9
13		1		109 ± 5	2.85 ± 0.14

14		3		88.1 ± 4.0	1.23 ± 0.10
15		3		11.3 ± 4.9	0.79 ± 0.04
16		3		18.3 ± 0.8	0.28 ± 0.01
17		3		6.92 ± 0.58	0.53 ± 0.03
Riva				1535 ± 64 ^e	301 ± 14 ^e

^aHuman recombinant AChE and BuChE from human serum were used. IC₅₀ values represent the concentration of inhibitor required to decrease enzyme activity by 50% and are the mean of two/three independent measurements, each performed in duplicate. SEM = standard error of the mean. ^bIC₅₀ values of all derivatives but **12** were determined using a 120 min-incubation period; for **12** a 60 min-incubation period was used, according to the inhibition kinetics. ^cIC₅₀ values of all derivatives were determined using 15 or 20 min incubation, according to the inhibition kinetics.

^dData from reference.¹⁷ ^eData from reference.²²

It is well known that the kinetics of ChE inhibition by carbamate derivatives is strongly influenced by the substituent at the *N*-carbamoyl group. Indeed, while short-chain alkyl carbamates, such as those bearing a H or a methyl residue, give relatively rapid carbamoylation and decarbamoylation (e.g. physostigmine), long-chain alkyl carbamates generally provide a more stable carbamoylated enzyme which is formed slower but also undergoes a slower hydrolysis towards a reactivated enzyme.^{23,24} The kinetics of enzyme inhibition and reactivation influences the duration of action of

1
2
3 a carbamate drug. Indeed, the human pharmacodynamic half-life ($T_{1/2}$) of (–)-eptylstigmine, bearing
4 a heptyl chain at the carbamic nitrogen, is about 10 h,²⁵ while that of physostigmine ($R = CH_3$) is
5 about 30 min.²⁶
6
7

8
9 Thus, to assess the influence of the different R residues on the kinetics of ChEs carbamoylation,
10 studies were carried out on the representative compounds **9**, bearing a heptyl residue, **12**, with a *p*-
11 hexylphenyl residue and **13**, bearing a phenylhexyl moiety, and data were compared to those
12 previously obtained for **1**, bearing a phenylpentyl group. The four selected derivatives were
13 characterized by an identical aromatic group (2*H*-chromen-2-one), an identical length of the spacer
14 chain ($n = 1$) and only differed by the R residue. To exclude an influence of the spacer chain length
15 (n), the inhibition profile of compound **15** ($n = 3$) was also evaluated. The time-dependent inhibition
16 of *hAChE* by an inhibitor concentration close to its IC_{50} value was monitored. The obtained profiles
17 are overlaid in Figure 2a. As highlighted in previous studies on **1**, the presence of a phenylpentyl
18 residue determined a rather slow inactivation of *hAChE*, and a 120 min-incubation period was
19 required to reach the inhibition plateau.¹⁷ To mention, since R is the major determinant on the
20 carbamoylation kinetics, similar profiles can be assumed for all derivatives, within this work,
21 bearing a phenylpentyl residue. The introduction of a heptyl chain, as in **9**, led to a slightly faster
22 *AChE* inactivation (Figure 2a). Neither the substitution of the phenylpentyl residue with a phenyl-
23 hexyl one (as in **13**) nor the length of the spacer chain (**9**, $n=1$ vs **15**, $n=3$) significantly modified the
24 kinetics of *AChE* inactivation (SI, Figure 1S and 2Sa, respectively). Conversely, and quite
25 interestingly, the introduction of a *p*-hexylphenyl residue on the *N*-carbamoyl group (compound **12**)
26 determined a much faster *AChE* inactivation and less than 60 min were required to reach the
27 maximum inhibition (Figure 2a).
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 a)

b)




Figure 2. Overlaid carbamoylation profiles of compound **9**, **12** and reference compound **1** on a) *hAChE* and b) *hBuChE*.


A similar study was carried out to investigate the kinetics of *hBuChE* inhibition. On the basis of the results previously obtained for **1**,¹⁷ which was able to inactivate *hBuChE* in less than 5 min, the experiments were performed using incubation times ranging from 2 to 20 min. The inhibition profiles obtained for derivatives **9** (R = heptyl), **12** (R = *p*-hexylphenyl) and **1**, as reference, are overlaid in Figure 2b.

In opposition to what was observed for *hAChE* inactivation, *hBuChE* inactivation by **9** was slightly slower, although very rapid (maximum inhibition in 5 min), than that observed with **1**, while inactivation by **12** was significantly slower and the maximum inhibition was reached in 20 min. Finally, similarly to what was observed for *AChE*, the spacer-chain length did not influence the kinetics of *hBuChE* carbamoylation (**9**, $n=1$ vs **15**, $n=3$, overlaid profiles in SI, Figure 2Sb) and the *hBuChE* inhibition by **13**, bearing a *p*-phenylhexyl residue, closely matched that of **1**, bearing a phenylpentyl residue.

Thus, the trend observed for *AChE* inactivation was *p*-hexylphenyl > heptyl > phenylpentyl \cong phenylhexyl (from faster to slower), while it was phenylpentyl \cong phenylhexyl > heptyl > *p*-hexylphenyl for *BuChE*. Consequently, because of the opposite trends, the *p*-hexylphenyl derivative **12** inactivated the two ChEs within much closer time-frames (SI, Figure 3S). k_{obs} (observed pseudo-

1
2
3 first-order inhibition rate constant) values, calculated at concentrations of **12** close to the IC_{50}
4 values, were 0.203 min^{-1} and 0.461 min^{-1} for AChE and BuChE inhibition, respectively.

5
6
7 The carbamylation rate constants (k_3) for *h*AChE and *h*BuChE inhibition by **9**, as representative of
8 derivatives bearing an heptyl substituent, were determined. To this aim, different concentrations of
9 **9** were added to the enzyme solution and incubated at $37 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 15-120 min. Data were treated
10 according to Feaster and Quinn method.²⁷ Time- and concentration-dependent carbamylation
11 profiles are shown in Figure 3. The calculated carbamylation rate constant for AChE inactivation
12 by **9** was $0.238 \pm 0.019 \text{ min}^{-1}$ while it was $11.1 \pm 4.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$ for BuChE inhibition. A comparison with
13 the carbamylation rate constant obtained for **1** confirmed that the presence of the heptyl chain
14 provides a faster inactivation of *h*AChE (0.238 min^{-1} vs 0.109 min^{-1} for **9** and **1**, respectively) and a
15 slower inactivation of *h*BuChE (11.1 min^{-1} vs 21.4 min^{-1} for **9** and **1**, respectively) with respect to
16 the phenylpentyl residue (as in **1**). However, kinetics for the inactivation of the two cholinesterases
17 by **9** still differed by an order of magnitude, i.e. **9** carbamoylated *h*BChE 47 times faster than
18 *h*AChE.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35



52 **Figure 3.** Time- and concentration-dependent carbamylation of a) *h*AChE and b) *h*BuChE by
53 compound **9**. v_i and v_0 indicate the enzyme activity in the presence and in the absence of inhibitor,
54 respectively.
55
56
57
58
59
60

FAAH inhibition












The inhibitory potencies of compounds **2-17**, expressed as IC_{50} values, against AEA hydrolysis by FAAH in rat brain membranes are reported in Table 2. All compounds, except for **12**, were able to decrease AEA hydrolysis and, in contrast to what was observed for inhibition of AChE, FAAH seemed to be more susceptible to modifications of the coumarin core. In particular, the introduction of a methyl group in position 4 (compound **2**) led to a 3-fold decrease in potency with respect to the lead **1**, and a drastic drop in potency emerged when a cyclohexane ring was incorporated in the coumarin scaffold (compound **3**). When the cyclohexane was replaced by a phenyl ring, activity was partially restored (compound **4**), and it was maintained also with the phenylcoumarin core, independently from the presence of a methoxy or chloro *p*-substituent on the phenyl ring (compounds **5-8**).



















A different behavior was highlighted when the carbamic function was modified: going from the phenylpentyl carbamic function of compound **1** to the *N*-heptyl carbamate, an increase in potency was observed. Remarkably, compound **9**, with the coumarin core, showed, after preincubation, activity in the nanomolar range ($IC_{50} = 28.55$ nM) as expected from pseudoirreversible inhibitors, being about twice as potent as the lead compound **1**. The same trend of potency was noted for compound **10** when compared to **3** ($IC_{50} = 306.4$ nM vs 1385.4 nM). When the phenyl ring was directly bound to the carbamic nitrogen, the inhibitory activity was completely lost (compound **12**, with a hexylphenyl carbamate). This detrimental effect had been previously observed for a series of *O*-aryl carbamates,²⁸ and was attributed to the limited hydrolytic stability of *O,N*-diarylcaramates.²⁹ A significant decrease in activity was observed for **13**, bearing only an additional methylene unit, with respect to **1**.







Regarding the spacer, the elongation of the alkoxy chain from 3- to 5-methylene units allowed to maintain the inhibitory potency in the nanomolar range, still being **14** twofold less potent than the corresponding lead **1**.

Finally, the modifications performed on the azaxanthone derivatives (compounds **11** and **17**) did not seem to appreciably affect the activity, unlike what emerged in our previous paper.¹⁷

Table 2. Inhibitory activity of **2-17** on FAAH from rat brain membranes (*r*FAAH).

 2-17					
	Ar	n	R	IC ₅₀ FAAH (nM) ± SD std protocol (n≥2)	IC ₅₀ FAAH (nM) ± SD pre-incub prot. (n≥2)
1		1		280	50
2		1		459.1 ± 74.2	134.9 ± 5.49
3		1		3484.6 ± 190.5	1385.4 ± 575.6
4		1		1829.9 ± 185.95	312.2 ± 60.3
5		1		1847.9 ± 340.6	249.5 ± 91.5

6		1		2333.3 ± 285.9	322.1 ± 36.2
7		1		2829.0 ± 1675.0	210.7 ± 21.6
8		1		1465.2 ± 101.0	265.5 ± 112.5
9		1		161.4 ± 26.8	28.5 ± 9.7
10		1		2487.4 ± 886.5	306.4 ± 66.0
11		1		3134.0 ± 980.9	202.1 ± 1.1
12		1		>50000	>50000
13		1		1792.2 ± 283.9	529.7 ± 166.5
14		3		798.1 ± 222.4	120.2 ± 38.5

15		3		1288.7 ± 352.3	241.9 ± 38.0
16		3		767.4 ± 110.8	109.1 ± 6.1
17		3		2177.1 ± 419.0	178.3 ± 15.1

FAAH Docking Studies

Docking studies were performed for the lead compound **1** and for other derivatives to explore their possible binding modes to rat FAAH.

The binding site of FAAH (Figure 4) extends from the Acyl Chain Binding (ACB) channel, which receives the substrate from the membrane, to the dimerization interface, which includes the hydrophilic region from which ethanolamine is released after substrate cleavage (cytosolic port).³⁰ Just beyond the active site, the cytosolic port divides into a minor branch, which is the putative accommodation pocket of the biphenyl group of the reference inhibitor URB597,³¹ and a larger cavity, lined by the alcoholic side chain of T236. Compound **1**, a tertiary amine, could be docked into FAAH binding site either in its protonated-cationic form or in the neutral one.

Additional biological assays revealed that IC₅₀ values are affected by pH conditions (Table 3).

Specifically, URB597 showed a 6-fold decrease in potency (increase in IC₅₀ value) when the pH was lowered from 9 to 7.4. This is consistent with the lower catalytic efficiency of FAAH at lower pH for both amides³² and esters,³³ which likely reflects a lower carbamylation rate by the inhibitor.

Similarly, concerning the pH-dependence of anandamide hydrolysis by rat brain homogenates, the

1
2
3 IC₅₀ values of oleoyltrifluoromethylketone and arachidonoyl serotonin were increased by 7- and 3
4 folds, respectively.³⁴ Notably, compound **1**, which is characterized by the same *O*-phenylcarbamic
5 function as URB597, showed a significantly higher (22-fold) loss of potency at pH 7.4. Assuming
6 that the effect of reduced enzyme activity is the same for both compounds, this difference could be
7 attributed to different degrees of protonation of the basic chain of **1**. This hypothesis, which implies
8 that the tertiary amine of **1** binds FAAH in its neutral state, was thus followed throughout this work.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Table 3.** Values of IC₅₀ at different pH, with a 10-min preincubation.

Compound	IC ₅₀ (nM)	IC ₅₀ (nM)
	at pH 9.0	at pH 7.4
	n ≥ 2	n ≥ 2
URB597	23.5	140.8
1	183.1	4099.1

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34 Docking studies revealed that compound **1**, docked in the neutral form, occupies the binding site
35 almost completely. The carbamate group is placed within the active site, in close contact with the
36 catalytic serine (S241), and establishes polar interactions with the oxyanion hole residues, making
37 hydrogen bonds with I238, G239 and M191.
38
39
40
41

42
43 While in all docking poses the N-phenylpentyl chain fits the ACB channel, the O-phenyl group
44 assumes different accommodations within the binding pocket, with different orientations of the
45 methylamine linker and of the coumarin scaffold in the cytosolic port. In the first family of docking
46 poses, which includes the top-ranked binding modes, the methylamino group and the coumarin head
47 occupy the upper portion of the cytosolic port major cleft, with the basic nitrogen involved in a
48 hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl group of T236. In the second family, which is less populated and
49 is characterized by lower values of predicted binding affinity, they occupy the minor branch of the
50 cytosolic port, with the coumarin head laying on the lower edge of the major cleft (Figure 4).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4. Left panel (A): representation of the FAAH dimer (chain A, light blue ribbons, chain B, wheat ribbons); compound **1**, docked in the neutral form occupies the binding site adopting two distinct conformations, clustered in family 1 (green carbons) and family 2 (yellow carbons). Right panel (B): close-up view of the binding modes of compound **1**.

The binding mode of the most potent compound **9** in its neutral form to rFAAH (SI, Figure 4S) strictly reproduced the docking solutions illustrated for compound **1**.

Despite the general propensity of the ligands to assume the first family binding mode, derivatives characterized by a five-methylene chain, including compound **14**, tend to adopt the second binding mode, as a consequence of the longer alkyl spacer (Figure 5).













Figure 5. Binding mode of compound **14** docked in its neutral form.

Following the hypothesis that the tertiary amine nitrogen of **1** could accept a H-bond by T236, we replaced the amino group with a triazole ring linked to the phenylpentyl- or heptylcarbamate group through a benzyl spacer (compound **18-21**), or directly inserted on the phenyl ring (**22-25**). The inhibitory potencies of compounds **18-25** are reported in Table 4.

Table 4. Inhibitory activity of **18-25** on FAAH from rat brain membranes.




Chain position	n	R	IC ₅₀ FAAH (nM)	IC ₅₀ FAAH (nM)
			± SD std protocol (n≥3)	± SD pre-incub prot. (n≥3)

1	-	-	-	280	50
18	para	1		112.8 ± 8.6	28.5 ± 7.9
19	meta	1		157.2 ± 10.5	27.9 ± 6.6
20	para	1		353.6 ± 35.3	55.0 ± 11.2
21	meta	1		108.6 ± 67.6	32.6 ± 8.2
22	para	0		236.2 ± 24.3	43.3 ± 3.0
23	meta	0		208.7 ± 0.3	44.7 ± 6.1
24	para	0		167.4 ± 25.3	21.3 ± 6.9
25	meta	0		61.9 ± 26.9	15.7 ± 1.7

From a first glance at the results, all the tested compounds of the second series (**18-25**) turned out to successfully inhibit FAAH, with IC_{50} values within a close range (from 15.7 to 55.0 nM), thus confirming the design hypothesis. It should be noted that, unlike what previously reported (**2-17**), the increase in rigidity of the linker seemed to be the major feature affecting activity. Indeed, compounds **18-25** all showed a comparable potency, regardless of the nature and the insertion position of the carbamate. Remarkably, almost all derivatives in this new series proved to be more

1
2
3 potent than the lead compound **1**, and **25**, with a triazole ring directly connected to the phenyl ring,
4 emerged as the most potent compound.


5
6
7 Docking studies performed for compounds **18-25** revealed the existence of alternative binding
8 modes, comparable to those obtained for derivatives **1-17**, depending on the position of the
9 carbamate group and on the flexibility of the triazole-containing linker. Compounds **18** and **19**,
10 characterized by a flexible linker, assumed binding modes similar to that of the second family for
11 compound **1**, accommodating the triazole group in the minor branch of the cytosolic port (Figure 6).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20



41 **Figure 6.** Details of the binding mode of compounds **18** (left panel, cyan carbons) and **19** (right
42 panel, purple carbons)
43
44

45
46
47 The reduced flexibility of the linker in compounds **22** and **23**, due to the direct insertion of the
48 triazole ring on the phenylcarbamate group, led to solutions similar to the binding modes of
49 compound **1** family 1, with the spacer chain and the coumarin head occupying the upper part of the
50 cytosolic port. Notably, while compound **22** occupies the binding site almost completely without
51 making any polar interactions, compound **23** accommodated the triazole ring in close contact with
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 T236 side chain and differently oriented the coumarin head, which took polar interactions within
4
5 the cytosolic port (Figure 7).
6
7




8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 **Figure 7.** Details of the binding modes of compounds **23** (left panel, orange carbons) and **22** (right
29 panel, light blue carbons)
30
31

32 33 34 **Cholinesterase inhibition by compounds 18-25 and BuChE Docking Studies**

35
36
37
38
39 The new series was also tested on human ChEs using the method of Ellman.¹⁹ Biological data,
40 reported in Table 5, showed a significant loss of potency against *hAChE*, probably due to the
41 rigidity of the inhibitor structures, which may hamper a proper accommodation into the enzyme's
42 narrow gorge. A general, although less marked, reduction of inhibitory potency against *hBuChE*
43 compared to **1** was also found, with IC_{50} values still in the nanomolar range, except for **22** and **24**.
44
45 Indeed, a comparison of *hBuChE* and *hAChE* gorges shows differences in size, especially in the
46 acyl chain-binding pockets. In *hBuChE* the active site gorge is larger³⁵ than in *hAChE*, due to the
47 presence of a valine and a leucine instead of the bulkier phenylalanines of *hAChE*, enabling larger
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

molecules to better fit into the gorge. Compounds **18**, **20** and **23** proved to be the most potent *h*BuChE inhibitors in this second series.

Table 5. Inhibitory activity of compounds **18-25** and reference compound **1** against *h*AChE and *h*BuChE.



	Chain position	n	R	IC ₅₀ (nM) ± SEM ^a	
				<i>h</i> AChE ^b	<i>h</i> BuChE ^c
1	-	-	-	74.9 ± 2.0 ^d	1.57 ± 0.18 ^d
18	para	1		255 ± 12	1.17 ± 0.12
19	meta	1		922 ± 89	42.7 ± 1.5
20	para	1		214 ± 19	15.9 ± 0.5
21	meta	1		1044 ± 82	78.2 ± 4.8
22	para	0		47900 ± 1400	1230 ± 90
23	meta	0		1175 ± 145	15.2 ± 1.2
24	para	0		157500 ± 26800	122000 ± 23000
25	meta	0		702 ± 18	71.2 ± 2.9

^aHuman recombinant AChE and BuChE from human serum were used. SEM= standard error of the mean. ^bIC₅₀ values were determined using a 120 min-incubation time; ^cIC₅₀ values for phenylpentyl

1
2
3 derivatives were determined using a 15-min incubation time, while IC₅₀ values of the heptyl
4
5 derivatives were determined using a 20-min incubation time. ^dData from reference.¹⁷
6
7
8
9

10
11 Docking studies were performed to investigate the possible binding mode of the triazole-based
12
13 derivatives within *h*BuChE active site (PDB ID: 4TPK).³⁶ Compound **1** gave a binding pose
14
15 consistent with enzyme inhibition by carbamoylation of the catalytic serine and in line with the
16
17 accommodation reported for similar compounds in both AChE and BuChE.^{37,38} In particular,
18
19 compound **1** was deeply inserted within the active-site gorge, assuming a folded conformation, with
20
21 the carbamate group directed toward the catalytic triad (S198, H438 and E325), while the coumarin
22
23 head and the acyl chain pointed toward the entrance of the gorge (Figure 8A). The carbamate
24
25 carbonyl was accommodated close to the catalytic serine (S198, Figure 8B), taking polar
26
27 interactions with the oxyanion hole residues (G116 and G117). At the bottom of the binding site,
28
29 the phenyl ring attached to the carbamate group pointed toward the choline-binding pocket,
30
31 occluded by W82. This pose was stabilized by a hydrogen bond between the protonated amino
32
33 group and the side chain of T120. The coumarin head occupied the wide access channel of the
34
35 active-site gorge, pointing toward the peripheral anionic site (PAS). Here the bicyclic nucleus could
36
37 assume different positions in alternative docking solutions: in the pose represented in Figure 8B it
38
39 establishes a polar interaction with the side chain of Q289. The protein surface at the entrance of the
40
41 gorge is quite flat and hydrophobic. This could be the reason of the good potency of compounds
42
43 with tricyclic nuclei, such as **10** (see SI, Figure 5S), **11**, **16** and **17**. The phenylpentyl chain at the
44
45 carbamate nitrogen was accommodated within the putative acyl chain-binding pocket, which is
46
47 lined by L286 and V288 in *h*BuChE. Notably, in *h*AChE these residues are replaced by F295 and
48
49 F297, which reduce the pocket size, hampering the accommodation of bulky acyl groups. The
50
51 different extent of the acyl chain-binding pocket can explain the selectivity for *h*BuChE
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(approximately 50 fold) of compound **1** and its derivatives, while the *N*-methyl analog xanthostigmine is 160-fold more potent at *hAChE* than at *hBuChE*.³⁷





Figure 8. A. Docking of compound **1** (green carbons) within the catalytic gorge of *hBuChE*. B. Detailed picture of the binding mode of compound **1**. Hydrogen bonds are marked with yellow dotted-lines.

Docking studies for triazole derivatives provided similar binding modes, and substitution at the phenyl ring carrying the carbamate group proved to be crucial for structure-activity relationships. While the *para*-methylene spacer of **18** allowed the triazole ring to accept a hydrogen bond from the hydroxyl group of T120, the *meta*-methylene spacer of **19** allowed the compound to occupy the *hBuChE* gorge, but not to take polar interactions with T120 (Figure 9A). On the other hand, when the triazole was directly attached to the phenyl ring (compound **22**), no docking poses consistent with carbamylation of S198 were obtained for the *para* isomer, due to a steric clash between W82 and the triazole ring, while *meta* substitution (compound **23**) allowed the coumarin head to be accommodated at the entrance of the gorge (Figure 9B). These docking poses are consistent with

1
2
3 the potency rank order: **18** > **23** \approx **19** > **22** which was observed for phenylpentyl derivatives and, for
4
5 corresponding compounds, also for heptyl ones.
6
7
8
9



27 **Figure 9.** A. Docking of compounds **18** (cyan carbons) and **19** (purple carbons) within the catalytic
28 gorge of *h*BuChE. B. Binding mode of compound **23** (orange carbons) within *h*BuChE. Hydrogen
29 bonds are marked with yellow dotted-lines.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Dual ChE/FAAH inhibition

39
40
41
42 Well-balanced activity on different validated targets is an essential requirement for a multi-target
43 drug candidate. It is noteworthy that some compounds presented in this study proved to be very
44 potent inhibitors of a single selected target, while lacking dual activity, and this clearly reflects the
45 different tridimensional structures of cholinesterases and FAAH and the corresponding dissimilar
46 structural requirements for their inhibition. Nevertheless, within the reported first series of
47 compounds, **9** reached this goal, showing balanced inhibition and increased potency against both
48 AChE and FAAH (IC_{50} = 37.4 nM and 28.5 nM, respectively) when compared to the lead
49 compound **1**. Remarkably, within the second series, compound **19** showed a increased activity at the
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

selected targets, being endowed with a well-adjusted nanomolar inhibitory activity on both BuChE and FAAH ($IC_{50} = 42.7$ nM and 27.9 nM, respectively).

Cytotoxicity

We investigated the effect of the lead compound **1** and derivatives **9** and **25** on human neuronal SH-SY5Y cell viability *in vitro* by means of the colorimetric MTT metabolic activity assay.³⁹

Cytotoxicity was determined by incubating neuronal SHSY-5Y cells for 24 h with increasing concentrations (1-50 μ M) of the selected compounds followed by an additional incubation of 3 h with a MTT solution as described in the experimental section. The ability of cells to reduce MTT provides an indication of the mitochondrial integrity and activity, which, in turn, can be intended as a measure of cell viability. A threshold of 25 % cell viability was arbitrarily assigned to discriminate the severity of the observed cytotoxic effect. As shown in Figure 10, the treatment of SH-SY5Y cells with the selected compounds did not decrease neuronal cell viability. Indeed, compound **9** showed a cytotoxic effect only at the highest tested concentration (50 μ M). Taken together, these data suggest a good therapeutic window for all these compounds.




Figure 10. Effect of compounds **1**, **9** and **25** on human neuronal SHSY-5Y cell viability.

Conclusions

In this paper, appropriate modifications of the previously reported lead compound **1** were performed in order to find more potent and balanced dual inhibitors of ChEs and FAAH for AD treatment.

Some compounds proved to be extremely potent on a single target. In particular **17** was found to be a low nanomolar AChE inhibitor, while **10-11** and **15-17** showed sub-nanomolar inhibitory potency towards BuChE, being among the most potent inhibitors currently known for this enzyme. As regards FAAH inhibition, the most interesting compound was **25**, with an IC₅₀ value of 15 nM.

Notwithstanding the fact that ChEs and FAAH greatly differ in both tridimensional structure and binding site topologies, two dual inhibitors (**9** and **19**) were identified. These derivatives proved to be more potent than the lead compound **1**, with nanomolar and well-balanced activities on AChE/FAAH and BuChE/FAAH, respectively. Hence, considering that ChE levels vary during disease progression with important implications in the selection of AChE or BuChE as main target for treatment,^{7,8} **9** could represent a promising candidate for the early stages of the disease and **19** a potentially more efficacious treatment in moderate forms of AD.

Finally, as a general outcome, this paper also expands the knowledge on dual FAAH/ChE inhibitors, giving important insight on the structure-activity relationships for dual activity, which could be used in the future for further developments.

Experimental section

Chemistry. General Methods. Melting points were measured in glass capillary tubes on a Büchi SMP-20 apparatus and are uncorrected. Direct infusion ES-MS spectra were recorded on a Waters Micromass ZQ 4000 apparatus. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR experiments were recorded in CDCl₃, unless differently indicated, on Varian VXR 400 MHz instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in

1
2
3 parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS), and spin multiplicities are given as s
4 (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet) or br (broad). Chromatographic separations were
5 performed on silica gel columns (Kieselgel 40, 0.040-0.063 mm; Merck) by flash chromatography.
6
7 Chemical purities of the tested compounds were determined by HPLC-MS and confirmed $\geq 95\%$
8
9 purity. (HPLC-MS: Agilent Technologies HP1100 instrument, equipped with a ZOBRAE-Eclipse
10 XDB-C8 Agilent Technologies column, mobile phase: H₂O/CH₃CN, 0.4 mL/min, gradient from 30
11 to 80% of CH₃CN in 8 min. 80% of CH₃CN until 25min, coupled with an Agilent Technologies
12 MSD1100 single-quadrupole mass spectrometer: full scan mode from $m/z = 50$ to 2600, scan time
13 0.1s in positive ion mode, ESI spray voltage 4500V, nitrogen gas 35psi, drying gas flow 11.5
14 mL/min, fragmentor voltage 20V). Compounds were named relying on the naming algorithm
15 developed by CambridgeSoft Corporation and used in ChemDraw Professional 15.0.

16
17
18 **7-(3-Chloropropoxy)-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (26)**. A stirred mixture of 7-hydroxy-4-
19 methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (1.26 g, 7.16 mmol), 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (1.67 g, 10.74 mmol)
20 and K₂CO₃ (2.47 g, 17.89 mmol) was refluxed in acetone (100 mL) for 24 h. The suspension was
21 hot filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After adding petroleum ether, the
22 residue was kept in the freezer overnight and the solid that formed was filtered off, affording **26**
23 (1.42 g, 79 %), mp 79-80 °C. ¹H NMR δ : 7.58 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.81 (m, 2H), 6.15 (s, 1H),
24 4.19 (t, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.78 (t, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.35-2.26 (m, 2H).

25
26
27 **3-(3-Chloropropoxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (27)**. Using the previous
28 procedure and starting from 3-hydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one,⁴⁰ **27** was
29 obtained. Yield 78 %, mp 100-101 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.44 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H). 6.90-6.70 (m, 2H), 4.07
30 (t, $J = 12.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.85-3.70 (m, 2H), 2.75 (t, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.57-2.54 (m, 2H), 2.00-1.97 (m,
31 2H), 1.86-1.78 (m, 4H).

32
33
34 **3-(3-Chloropropoxy)-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (28)**. To a suspension of DDQ (2,3-dichloro-
35 5,6-dicyano-*p*-benzoquinone, 2.58 g, 11.37 mmol) in anhydrous dioxane (30 mL), **27** (1.1 g, 3.79
36 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred and refluxed for 24 h. The solution was concentrated
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 under *vacuum*, then water was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 20
4 mL). The combined organic layers were dried and concentrated under *vacuum*. The crude was
5 purified via flash column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 3:2), and **28** was obtained
6 (0.23 g, 21 %), mp 84-85 °C. ¹H NMR δ 8.35 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11-7.95 (m, 2H), 7.83 (t, *J* =
7 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.09-6.81 (m, 2H), 4.19 (t, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (t, *J* = 11.6
8 Hz, 2H), 2.35-2.26 (m, 2H).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16 **7-(3-Chloropropoxy)-3-phenyl-2H-chromen-2-one (29)**. A stirred mixture of 7-hydroxy-3-
17 phenyl-2H-chromen-2-one (1.7 g, 7.16 mmol), 1-bromo-3-chloropropane (1.67 g, 10.74 mmol) and
18 K₂CO₃ (2.47 g, 17.89 mmol) was refluxed in acetone (100 mL) for 24 hours. The suspension was
19 hot filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After adding petroleum ether, the
20 residue was kept in the freezer overnight and the solid that formed was filtered off, affording **29**
21 (1.09 g, 49 %), mp 108-110 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.45-7.37 (m,
22 4H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 2H), 4.19 (t, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (t, *J* = 12.2 Hz, 2H), 2.31-2.26 (m,
23 2H).

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33 **7-(3-Chloropropoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (30)**. Using the previous
34 procedure and starting from 7-hydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one, **30** was obtained.
35 Yield 52 %, mp 110-114 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.51-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.01-6.87 (m, 3H), 4.19 (t,
36 *J* = 11.8 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.78 (t, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 2H), 2.35-2.26 (m, 2H).

37
38
39
40
41
42
43 **6-(3-Chloropropoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (31)**. Using the previous
44 procedure and starting from 6-hydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one, **31** was obtained.
45 Yield 49 %, mp 114-116 °C. ¹H NMR 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.49-7.31 (m, 3H),
46 7.08-6.91 (m, 2H), 4.19 (t, *J* = 11.8 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.78 (t, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 2H), 2.35-2.26 (m,
47 2H).

48
49
50
51
52
53
54 **3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-7-(3-chloropropoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (32)**. Using the previous procedure
55 and starting from 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-7-hydroxy-2H-chromen-2-one, **32** was obtained. Yield 74 %,
56
57
58
59
60

mp 157-158 °C. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.40 (m, 3H), 6.89-6.87 (m, 2H), 4.22-4.18 (m, 2H), 3.79-3.75 (m, 2H), 2.31-2.26 (m, 2H).

7-(3-Chloropropoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (33). Using the previous procedure and starting from 7-hydroxycoumarin, **33** was obtained.¹⁷

8-(3-Chloropropoxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (34). Using the previous procedure and starting from 8-hydroxy-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one, **34** was obtained.¹⁷

7-((5-Chloropentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (35). Using the previous procedure and starting from 7-hydroxycoumarin and 1-bromo-5-chloropentane, **35** was obtained. Yield 51.2 %, mp 52-54 °C. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 7.60 (d, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, $J = 8.6$ and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.20 (d, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.13 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.71 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 1.98-1.89 (m, 4H), 1.69-1.68 (m, 2H).

3-((5-Chloropentyl)oxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (36). Using the previous procedure and starting from 3-hydroxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one and 1-bromo-5-chloropentane, **36** was obtained. Yield 96 %, yellow oil. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 7.38 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 3.94 (t, $J = 12.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.50 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.67-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.49-2.48 (m, 2H), 1.83-1.61 (m, 8H), 1.55-1.52 (m, 2H).

8-((5-Chloropentyl)oxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (37). Using the previous procedure and starting from 8-hydroxy-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one and 1-bromo-5-chloropentane, **37** was obtained. Yield 68 %, mp 109-110 °C. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 8.72-8.69 (m, 2H), 8.24 (dd, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.61 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.92-1.87 (m, 4H), 1.69-1.68 (m, 2H).

7-(3-Iodopropoxy)-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (38). A mixture of **26** (1.42g, 5.63 mmol) and NaI (0.84g, 5.63 mmol) in methyl ethyl ketone (75 mL) was refluxed for 3h, then it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was dissolved in DCM and washed with water. The organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure, affording **38** (1.53 g) that was used for the next reaction without any further purification.

1
2
3 **3-(3-Iodopropoxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (39)**. Using the previous
4
5 procedure and starting from **27**, **39** was obtained.
6

7 **3-(3-Iodopropoxy)-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (40)**. Using the previous procedure and starting
8
9 from **28**, **40** was obtained.
10

11 **7-(3-Iodopropoxy)-3-phenyl-2H-chromen-2-one (41)**. Using the previous procedure and starting
12
13 from **29**, **41** was obtained.
14

15 **7-(3-Iodopropoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (42)**. Using the previous procedure
16
17 and starting from **30**, **42** was obtained.
18

19 **6-(3-Iodopropoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one (43)**. Using the previous procedure
20
21 and starting from **31**, **43** was obtained.
22

23 **3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-7-(3-iodopropoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (44)**. Using the previous procedure
24
25 and starting from **32**, **44** was obtained.
26

27 **7-(3-Iodopropoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (45)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **33**,
28
29 **45** was obtained.
30

31 **8-(3-Iodopropoxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (46)**. Using the previous procedure and
32
33 starting from **34**, **46** was obtained.
34

35 **7-((5-Iodopentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (47)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from
36
37 **35**, **47** was obtained.
38

39 **3-((5-Iodopentyl)oxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (48)**. Using the previous
40
41 procedure and starting from **36**, **48** was obtained.
42

43 **8-((5-Iodopentyl)oxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (49)**. Using the previous procedure and
44
45 starting from **37**, **49** was obtained.
46

47 **7-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methylamino)propoxy)-4-methyl-2H-chromen-2-one (50)**. A mixture
48
49 of **38** (1.53 g, 4.46 mmol), 3-((methylamino)methyl)phenol (0.61 g, 4.46 mmol) and TEA (0.404 g,
50
51 4.46 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was refluxed for 48h. The organic phase was washed with water,
52
53 dried and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash column
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 chromatography (toluene/acetone 3:2), affording **50** (0.44 g, 28 %), mp 133-135 °C. ^1H NMR δ
4 7.58 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.79 (m, 5H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, $J = 11.2$
5 Hz, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 2.63-2.58 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 2.15-1.89 (m, 2H).
6
7

8
9 **3-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-**
10 **one (51)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **39**, **51** was obtained. Yield 41 %, purple
11 oil. ^1H NMR δ 7.44 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.79 (m, 4H), 6.77-6.75 (m,
12 1H), 4.07 (t, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 2.57 (t, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.57-2.52 (m, 4H), 2.23 (s,
13 3H), 2.00-1.94 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.78 (m, 4H).
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **3-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)amino)propoxy)-6H-benzo[c]chromen-6-one (52)**. Using the previous
21 procedure and starting from **40**, **52** was obtained. Yield 49 %, pale yellow oil. ^1H NMR δ 8.35 (d, J
22 = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11-7.95 (m, 2H), 7.83 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.09 (m,
23 3H), 6.95-6.72 (m, 3H), 4.09 (t, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 2.5-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.05-
24 1.89 (m, 2H).
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **7-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-3-phenyl-2H-chromen-2-one (53)**. Using the
32 previous procedure and starting from **41**, **53** was obtained. Yield 85 %, colorless oil. ^1H NMR δ
33 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.48-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.15 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, $J = 8$
34 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.74 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.11 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s,
35 2H), 2.55 (t, $J = 13.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.01 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **7-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one**
44 **(54)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **42**, **54** was obtained. Yield 39 %, oil. ^1H NMR
45 δ 7.82-7.78 (m, 3H), 7.42 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.05 (m, 2H), 7.02 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H), 6.95-6.76
46 (m, 5H), 4.11 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.55 (t, $J = 13.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H),
47 2.01 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H).
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **6-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2H-chromen-2-one**
55 **(55)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **43**, **55** was obtained. Yield 37 %, oil. ^1H NMR
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 δ 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.43-7.05 (m, 5H), 6.98-6.65 (m, 6H), 4.14 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.46 (s,
4
5 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 13.6 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.07 (t, J = 13.2 Hz, 2H).

7 **3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-7-(3-((3-hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (56).**

8
9 Using the previous procedure and starting from **44**, **56** was obtained. Yield 38 %, mp 144-145 °C.

10
11 ^1H NMR δ 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.44-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.15 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.94
12
13 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85-6.83 (m, 2H), 4.13 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.56 (d, J = 12.8
14
15 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.04-2.00 (m, 2H).

16
17 **7-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (57).** Using the previous
18
19 procedure and starting from **45**, **57** was obtained. Yield 31 %, brown oil. ^1H NMR δ 7.65 (d, J = 9.6
20
21 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.13 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 6.86-6.79 (m, 3H), 6.75-
22
23 6.73 (m, 1H), 6.26 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (s, 2H), 2.55 (t, J = 13.4 Hz,
24
25 2H), 2.33 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.01-1.98 (m, 2H).

26
27 **8-(3-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)propoxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (58).**

28
29 Using the previous procedure and starting from **46**, **58** was obtained. Yield 74 %, colorless oil. ^1H
30
31 NMR δ 8.65 (d, J = 12 Hz, 2H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.37 (m, 1H), 7.17-7.07 (m, 3H),
32
33 6.89-6.87 (m, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (t, J = 12.4 Hz, 2H), 3.41
34
35 (s, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.99-1.96 (m, 2H).

36
37 **7-((5-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)pentyl)oxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (59).** Using the
38
39 previous procedure and starting from **47**, **59** was obtained. Yield 18 %, oil. ^1H NMR δ 7.60 (d, J =
40
41 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.11 (m, 1H), 6.79-6.68 (m, 5H), 6.20 (d, J = 9.5 Hz,
42
43 1H), 4.13 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.71 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.44 (s, 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.89 (m, 4H),
44
45 1.69-1.68 (m, 2H).

46
47 **3-((5-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)(methyl)amino)pentyl)oxy)-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-**

48
49 **benzo[c]chromen-6-one (60).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **48**, **60** was obtained.
50
51 Yield 37 %, yellow oil. ^1H NMR δ 7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85-6.78 (m,
52
53 3H), 6.73 (dd, J = 10.2 and 2.0 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 2.74 (t, J = 5.8 Hz,
54
55 2H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.04-2.00 (m, 2H).

2H), 2.55 (t, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.88-1.73 (m, 6H), 1.58 (dd, $J = 14.8$ and 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.48 (dd, $J = 14.9$ and 7.9 Hz, 2H).

8-((5-((3-Hydroxybenzyl)amino)pentyl)oxy)-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-5-one (61). Using the previous procedure and starting from **49**, **61** was obtained. Yield 64 %, yellow oil. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 8.72 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.16 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.97-6.93 (m, 2H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.84 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.06 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.45 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.55-1.48 (m, 2H).

General method for the preparation of carbamates (2-17). A mixture of the selected [*N*-methyl-*N*-(3-hydroxybenzyl)aminoalkoxy derivative (1.0 mmol), the selected isocyanate (1.0 mmol) and NaH (10 mg) in dry toluene was stirred at rt for 24 h, quenched with water and then extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layer was washed with water, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (toluene/acetone 4:1).

3-((Methyl(3-((4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (2). Using the previous procedure and starting from **50** and 5-isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **2** was obtained. Yield 43 %, mp 81-82 °C. $^1\text{H NMR}$ δ 7.45-7.05 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz 1H, Ar), 7.43-7.25 (m, 8H, Ar), 6.95 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.90-6.70 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.12 (s, 1H, Ar), 5.35 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.05 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.50 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.38-3.20 (m, 2H, *CH*₂-*Ph*), 2.70-2.45 (m, 4H, *NCH*₂, *CH*₂), 2.38 (s, 3H, *CH*₃), 2.25 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 2.05-1.85 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.73-1.52 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.51-1.30 (m, 2H, *CH*₂); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ δ 162.26, 161.49, 155.29, 152.72, 151.22, 142.43, 141.52, 137.98, 128.89, 128.66, 128.36, 128.25, 126.33, 125.35, 121.96, 120.33, 113.32, 112.98, 111.67, 101.22, 66.89, 62.12, 53.03, 42.44, 40.52, 35.77, 31.04, 29.69, 26.35, 23.91, 18.65. ES-MS *m/z*: 543 (*M* + *H*⁺), 565 (*M* + *Na*).

3-((Methyl(3-((6-oxo-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[*c*]chromen-3-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (3). Using the previous procedure and starting from **51** and 5-isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **3** was obtained. Yield 68 %, mp

82-83 °C. ^1H NMR δ 7.43 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28-7.22 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.18-7.13 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.10 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.99 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.81-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar), 5.30 (br, 1H, NH), 4.30 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, OCH_2), 3.50 (s, 2H, $\text{OCH}_2\text{-Ph}$), 3.28 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, NCH_2), 2.76-2.73 (m, 2H, CH_2), 2.63-2.50 (m, 6H, 3 CH_2), 2.25 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 1.96 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 1.85-1.79 (m, 4H, 2 CH_2), 1.68-1.61 (m, 4H, 2 CH_2), 1.43-1.38 (m, 2H, CH_2); ^{13}C NMR δ 162.26, 161.49, 155.29, 152.72, 151.22, 142.43, 141.52, 137.98, 128.89, 128.66, 128.36, 128.25, 126.33, 125.35, 121.96, 120.33, 119.59, 113.32, 112.98, 101.22, 67.17, 63.93, 55.78, 43.22, 41.65, 36.78, 29.87, 29.51, 27.26, 27.23, 26.54, 24.65, 24.40, 24.27. ES-MS m/z : 583 ($\text{M} + \text{H}^+$), 605 ($\text{M} + \text{Na}$).

3-((Methyl(3-((6-oxo-6H-benzo[c]chromen-3-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (4). Using the previous procedure and starting from **52** and 5-

isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **4** was obtained. Yield 27 %, mp 79-80 °C. ^1H NMR δ 8.37 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 8.02 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.93 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.78 (t, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.50 (t, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28-7.23 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.18-7.15 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.11 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.99 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.89 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.21 (br, 1H, NH), 4.07 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, OCH_2), 3.51 (s, 2H, $\text{NCH}_2\text{-Ph}$), 3.26-3.24 (m, 2H, NCH_2), 2.61 (t, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 2H, NCH_2), 2.53 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{-Ph}$), 2.27 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 1.67-1.58 (m, 4H, 2 CH_2), 1.41-1.37 (m, 2H, CH_2); ^{13}C NMR δ 163.49, 158.20, 152.56, 151.20, 142.43, 136.54, 135.31, 134.86, 130.51, 128.91, 128.36, 128.25, 127.62, 126.33, 125.67, 124.38, 123.63, 121.95, 121.05, 120.30, 119.88, 113.11, 110.87, 102.06, 66.72, 62.11, 53.13, 41.18, 40.50, 35.78, 31.06, 30.08, 29.67, 26.44. ES-MS m/z : 579 ($\text{M} + \text{H}^+$), 601 ($\text{M} + \text{Na}$).

3-((Methyl(3-((2-oxo-3-phenyl-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (5). Using the previous procedure and starting from **53** and 5-

isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **5** was obtained. Yield 27 %, oil. ^1H NMR δ 7.76 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.69 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.47-7.35 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.30-7.22 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.17 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 4H, Ar), 7.09 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.99 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.83 (m, 2H, Ar), 5.24 (br, 1H, NH), 4.06 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, OCH_2), 3.51 (s, 2H, $\text{NCH}_2\text{-Ph}$), 3.26-3.21 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{-Ph}$), 2.66-2.58 (m, 2H,

1
2
3 *NCH*₂), 2.52 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.27 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 2.03-1.93 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.70-1.57 (m,
4 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.45-1.38 (m, 2H, *CH*₂); ¹³C NMR δ 161.80, 160.94, 155.20, 154.50, 151.29, 142.37,
5 140.07, 134.96, 129.39, 128.81, 128.43, 128.41, 128.35, 128.25, 126.40, 125.66, 124.71, 122.78,
6 121.36, 119.87, 114.22, 113.34, 113.02, 101.04, 66.35, 54.84, 53.76, 52.85, 41.20, 35.75, 31.02,
7 29.24, 26.33, 14.10. ES-MS *m/z*: 605 (M + H⁺), 627 (M + Na).

14 **3-(((3-((3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-7-**

15 **yl)oxy)propyl)(methylamino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (6).** Using the

16 previous procedure and starting from **54** and 5-isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **6** was obtained. Yield 43
17 %, mp 123-124 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.71 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz,
18 1H, Ar), 7.27 (s, 3H, Ar), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 5H, Ar), 6.99 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 3H, Ar), 6.87-6.80 (m,
19 2H, Ar), 5.26 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.08 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.86 (s, 3H, *OCH*₃), 3.52 (s, 2H, *N*-
20 *CH*₂-*Ph*), 3.34-3.19 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.67-2.58 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.58-2.49 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.28 (s, 3H,
21 *NCH*₃), 2.08-1.95 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.68-1.59 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.46-1.39 (m, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ
22 161.94, 161.25, 159.79, 155.06, 154.71, 151.21, 142.43, 140.87, 138.89, 136.38, 129.60, 128.90,
23 128.46, 128.35, 128.25, 127.43, 125.61, 124.12, 121.96, 120.30, 113.86, 113.38, 113.25, 100.77,
24 66.89, 62.13, 55.33, 53.06, 42.44, 41.18, 40.54, 35.77, 31.03, 26.93, 26.42. ES-MS *m/z*: 635 (M +
25 H⁺), 657 (M + Na).

31 **3-(((3-((3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-6-**

32 **yl)oxy)propyl)(methylamino)methyl)phenyl (5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (7).** Using the

33 previous procedure and starting from **55** and 5-isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **7** was obtained. Yield 29
34 %, mp 83.2-84 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.77 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.44-7.33 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.29-7.24 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.21-
35 7.13 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.13-7.09 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.00 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.94 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar),
36 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.25 (s, 1H, *NH*), 4.07 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.86 (s, 3H, *OCH*₃),
37 3.52 (s, 2H, *N-CH*₂-*Ph*), 3.27 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.68-2.58 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.58-2.47 (m, 2H,
38 *NCH*₂), 2.28 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 2.04-1.91 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.72-1.59 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.47-1.33 (m, 3H,
39 *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 162.28, 160.91, 159.33, 155.33, 154.70, 151.21, 142.42, 140.87, 140.27, 136.39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 ,129.40, 128.91, 128.72, 128.36, 128.25, 125.65, 124.29, 121.95, 120.76, 120.30, 114.07, 113.47,
4
5 113.04, 100.78, 66.93, 62.14, 55.32, 53.05, 42.45, 41.19, 35.77, 31.03, 29.69, 26.93, 26.35. ES-MS
6
7 *m/z*: 635 (M + H⁺).
8

9
10 **3-(((3-((3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)(methylamino)methyl)phenyl**
11 **(5-phenylpentyl)carbamate (8)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **56** and 5-

12 isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **8** was obtained. Yield 23 %, mp 76-77 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.75 (s, 1H, Ar),
13
14 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.14-7.16 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.22-7.24 (m, 3H,
15
16 Ar), 7.10 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.99 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.22 (br,
17
18 1H, *NH*), 4.06 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.27 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.27 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂),
19
20 2.61 (t, *J* = 15.2 Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.52 (t, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂-*Ph*), 2.27 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.98 (t, *J* =
21
22 13.6 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.62-1.55 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.49-1.38 (m, 2H, *CH*₂); ¹³C NMR δ 162.46, 160.80,
23
24 155.39, 154.68, 151.20, 142.39, 140.82, 140.20, 134.38, 133.45, 129.64, 128.91, 128.76, 128.60,
25
26 128.35, 128.25, 125.67, 125.61, 123.24, 121.95, 120.29, 113.62, 112.92, 100.82, 66.95, 62.12,
27
28 53.04, 42.44, 41.17, 35.76, 31.02, 29.67, 26.91, 26.33. ES-MS *m/z*: 639 (M + H⁺), 661 (M + Na).
29
30
31
32
33

34 **3-((Methyl(3-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl heptylcarbamate (9)**.

35
36 Using the previous procedure and starting from **57** and 1-isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **9** was obtained.
37
38 Yield 80 %, mp 84-85 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.61 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.31 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H, Ar),
39
40 7.22 (dd, *J* = 13.9 and 6.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.10 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.06 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.96 (d, *J* =
41
42 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.77 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.21 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.32 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.01
43
44 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.47 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.22 (dd, *J* = 13.2 and 6.6 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.49 (t,
45
46 *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.23 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 2.01-1.90 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.60-1.48 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂),
47
48 1.37-1.17 (m, 8H, 2 *CH*₂-*CH*₂), 0.84 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 162.39, 161.42, 155.85,
49
50 154.72, 151.18, 143.58, 140.59, 128.90, 128.61, 125.62, 122.02, 120.32, 113.20, 112.72, 112.29,
51
52 101.21, 66.84, 62.05, 53.05, 42.36, 41.27, 31.71, 29.79, 28.91, 26.83, 26.76, 22.56, 14.05. ES-MS
53
54 *m/z*: 481 (M + H⁺), 503 (M + Na).
55
56
57
58
59
60

3-((Methyl(3-((6-oxo-7,8,9,10-tetrahydro-6H-benzo[c]chromen-3-**yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl heptylcarbamate (10).** Using the previous procedure and

starting from **51** and 1-isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **10** was obtained. Yield 61 %, mp 86-87 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.43 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.24 (t, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.13 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.09 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.99 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.81 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 5.29 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.03 (t, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.49 (s, 2H, *OCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.28-3.23 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.75 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.57-2.49 (m, 4H, *CH*₂, *NCH*₂), 2.25 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.99-1.95 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.85-1.79 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.58-1.48 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.31-1.28 (m, 8H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 162.27, 160.99, 154.72, 153.51, 151.23, 147.37, 140.83, 128.87, 125.54, 123.94, 121.94, 120.26, 119.88, 113.52, 112.57, 101.05, 66.71, 62.10, 53.19, 42.38, 41.27, 31.72, 29.81, 28.91, 26.97, 26.69, 25.20, 23.81, 22.55, 21.69, 21.39, 14.03. ES-MS *m/z*: 535 (M + H⁺), 557 (M + Na).

3-((Methyl(3-((5-oxo-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-8-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl**heptylcarbamate (11).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **58** and 1-

isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **11** was obtained. Yield 74 %, mp 68-69 °C. ¹H NMR δ 8.76-8.67 (m, 2H, Ar), 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.45 (dd, *J* = 7.4 and 4.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.33-7.21 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.16-7.05 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.05-6.87 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.34 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.14 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.52 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.26 (m, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.54 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.29 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 2.10-1.91 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.58-1.49 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.39-1.24 (m, 8H, 2 *CH*₂-*CH*₂), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 176.86, 165.69, 160.93, 157.87, 153.68, 151.56, 142.41, 137.59, 129.25, 128.41, 125.96, 122.35, 121.33, 120.64, 119.88, 117.33, 115.51, 114.86, 101.56, 67.43, 62.53, 53.27, 42.82, 41.64, 41.02, 32.07, 30.19, 29.30, 27.14, 22.91, 14.39. ES-MS *m/z*: 532 (M + H⁺), 554 (M + Na).

3-((Methyl(3-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (4-**hexylphenyl)carbamate (12).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **57** and 1-hexyl-4-

isocyanatobenzene (see SI), **12** was obtained. Yield 43 %, mp 93-94 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.61 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.32 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.20 (s, 2H, Ar), 7.14-7.11 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.81 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H, Ar),

6.24 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.31 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.06 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.51 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 2.58-2.51 (m, 4H, *NCH*₂ and *CH*₂), 2.26 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.98-1.95 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.60-1.57 (m, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.33-1.29 (m, 6H, 3 *CH*₂), 0.88 (t, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 162.46, 161.51, 155.89, 150.80, 143.54, 142.41, 141.09, 138.16, 135.12, 128.99, 128.92, 128.60, 125.96, 121.98, 120.30, 118.88, 118.68, 113.25, 112.75, 112.30, 101.28, 66.79, 62.11, 53.02, 42.44, 35.27, 31.69, 31.46, 28.88, 26.91, 22.58, 14.06. ES-MS m/z : 543 (M + H⁺), 565 (M + Na).

3-((Methyl(3-((2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-7-yl)oxy)propyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (6-

phenylhexyl)carbamate (13). Using the previous procedure and starting from **57** and 6-

isocyanatohexyl)benzene (see SI), **13** was obtained. Yield 35 %, oil. ¹H NMR δ 7.63 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.34 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.29-7.24 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.17-7.13 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.09 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.97 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.81 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.25 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.23 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.04 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 3.49 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.27-3.23 (m, 4H, *NCH*₂, *CH*₂), 2.60 (t, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.51 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.26 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.98 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.64-1.38 (m, 4H, 2 *CH*₂), 1.38-1.34 (m, 6H, 3 *CH*₂), 1.13 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂); ¹³C NMR δ 162.43, 155.91, 151.21, 143.54, 142.62, 128.91, 128.60, 128.35, 128.22, 128.20, 125.64, 125.60, 125.57, 122.01, 120.33, 119.81, 113.24, 112.76, 112.30, 101.23, 66.88, 62.07, 53.02, 42.39, 41.22, 35.83, 31.37, 29.75, 28.88, 26.84, 13.85. ES-MS m/z : 543 (M + H⁺), 565 (M + Na).

3-((Methyl(5-((2-oxo-2*H*-chromen-7-yl)oxy)pentyl)amino)methyl)phenyl (5-

phenylpentyl)carbamate (14). Using the previous procedure and starting from **59** and 5-

isocyanatopentyl)benzene,¹⁷ **14** was obtained. Yield 35 %, oil. ¹H NMR δ 7.63 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28-7.24 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.19-7.10 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.01 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.84-6.80 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.25 (d, $J = 9.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.01 (br, 1H, *NH*), 3.99 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, *OCH*₂), 3.47 (s, 2H, *NCH*₂-*Ph*), 3.27 (d, $J = 15.4$ Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.62 (t, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 2H, *NCH*₂), 2.41 (t, $J = 14$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.19 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.81 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.68-1.47 (m, 8H, 4 *CH*₂), 1.41-1.38 (m, 2H, *CH*₂); ¹³C NMR δ 162.38, 161.27, 155.88, 154.62, 151.08,

1
2
3 143.44, 142.35, 140.80, 128.90, 128.66, 128.35, 128.26, 125.68, 122.01, 120.10, 119.81, 112.94,
4
5 112.85, 112.34, 101.33, 68.51, 61.95, 57.14, 42.27, 41.15, 35.75, 31.01, 29.68, 28.79, 27.02, 26.32,
6
7 23.70. ES-MS m/z : 557 ($M + H^+$).
8

9
10 **3-((Methyl-(5-((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)pentyl)amino)methyl)phenyl heptylcarbamate**

11 (15). Using the previous procedure and starting from 59 and 1-isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ 15 was
12
13 obtained. Yield 51 %, oil. ¹H NMR δ 7.64 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.36 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.27
14 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14-7.10 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.01 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.83-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar),
15 (t, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.14-7.10 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.01 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.83-6.79 (m, 2H, Ar),
16 5.17 (br, 1H, NH), 3.99 (t, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H, CH_2-O), 3.47 (s, 2H, NCH_2-Ph), 3.26-3.21 (d, $J = 7.0$
17 Hz, 2H, NCH_2), 2.39 (t, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 2H, NCH_2), 2.19 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 1.84-1.77 (m, 2H, CH_2), 1.57-
18 1.46 (m, 6H, 3 CH_2), 1.32-1.28 (m, 8H, 2 CH_2-CH_2), 0.88 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 3H, CH_3); ¹³C NMR δ
19 162.38, 161.31, 155.86, 154.66, 151.09, 143.50, 128.89, 128.69, 125.66, 122.02, 120.11, 119.83,
20 112.93, 112.83, 112.33, 101.30, 68.51, 61.96, 57.15, 42.28, 41.25, 31.71, 29.81, 28.91, 28.80,
21 27.04, 26.69, 23.70, 22.56, 14.06. ES-MS m/z : 509 ($M + H^+$), 531 ($M + Na$), 547 ($M + K$).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **3-((Methyl-(5-((5-oxo-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-8-yl)oxy)pentyl)amino)methyl)phenyl**

33 **heptylcarbamate (16)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from 60 and 1-
34 isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ 16 was obtained. Yield 75 %, mp 73-74 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.43 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz,
35 1H, Ar), 7.31-7.27 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.16-7.08 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.00 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.85-6.76 (m,
36 2H, Ar), 5.05 (br, 1H, NH), 3.98 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 3.48 (s, 2H, CH_2), 3.24 (dd, $J = 13.2$ and
37 6.6 Hz, 2H, $NHCH_2$), 2.74 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.56 (t, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.39 (t, $J = 6.9$
38 Hz, 2H, CH_2), 2.18 (s, 3H, NCH_3), 1.92-1.71 (m, 6H, 3 CH_2), 1.61-1.42 (m, 6H, 3 CH_2), 1.37-1.24
39 (m, 8H, 4 CH_2), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H, CH_3); ¹³C NMR δ 162.12, 160.87, 153.41, 151.00, 147.20,
40 140.84, 128.80, 125.57, 123.92, 121.89, 120.26, 119.97, 119.81, 113.48, 112.23, 101.04, 68.27,
41 61.89, 57.11, 42.21, 41.17, 31.63, 29.73, 28.82, 27.00, 26.61, 25.12, 23.73, 23.65, 22.48, 21.62,
42 21.31, 17.79, 13.96. ES-MS m/z : 563 ($M + H^+$), 585 ($M + Na$).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **3-((Methyl-(5-((5-oxo-5H-chromeno[2,3-b]pyridin-8-yl)oxy)pentyl)amino)methyl)phenyl**

57 **heptylcarbamate (17)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from 61 and 1-
58
59
60

1
2
3 isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **17** was obtained. Yield 56 %, colorless oil. ¹H NMR δ 8.71 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H,
4 Ar), 8.23 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.42 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28-7.32 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.14-7.11 (m,
5 2H, Ar), 7.01-6.96 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.03 (br, 1H, *NH*), 4.09 (t, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 3.48 (s, 2H, *CH*₂),
6 3.27-3.22 (m, 2H, *NH-CH*₂), 2.40 (t, *J* = 13.6 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.20 (s, 3H, *NCH*₃), 1.88-1.82 (m, 2H,
7 *CH*₂), 1.57-1.53 (m, 6H, 3 *CH*₂), 1.31-1.28 (m, 8H, 4 *CH*₂), 0.88 (t, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C
8 NMR δ 176.49, 165.30, 160.49, 157.72, 154.59, 153.42, 151.12, 137.18, 128.93, 128.16, 125.73,
9 122.06, 120.95, 120.17, 119.81, 116.94, 115.20, 114.28, 101.26, 68.76, 61.91, 57.05, 42.21, 41.26,
10 31.69, 29.81, 28.88, 28.73, 26.94, 26.68, 23.69, 22.53, 14.01. ES-MS *m/z*: 560 (M + H⁺), 582 (M +
11 Na).

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 **7-(Prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (62)**. To a solution of 7-hydroxycoumarin (1.00 g, 6.17
24 mmol) in acetone (40 mL), propargyl bromide (0.88 g, 7.40 mmol) and anhydrous K₂CO₃ (1.00 g)
25 were added. The mixture was refluxed for 8h, then it was hot filtered and concentrated under
26 reduced pressure. The crude was crystallized with petroleum ether, affording 1.22 g (97 %) of **62**,
27 mp 120-121 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.26 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.56 (d,
28 *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd, *J* = 8.5 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.89 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz,
29 2H), 2.19 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H).

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40 **7-((1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (63a)**. To a
41 solution of **62** (0.50 g, 2.49 mmol) in DMSO (15 mL), 1-(azidomethyl)-4-methoxybenzene (0.53 g,
42 3.24 mmol, see SI) and TEA (0.02 g, 0.25 mmol) were added. CuSO₄ (0.04 g, 0.25 mmol) and
43 sodium ascorbate (0.25 g, 1.25 mmol) were dissolved in water and were stirred for 5 min; this
44 solution was added to the reaction flask and the mixture was stirred at rt for 24h. A saturated
45 solution of NH₄Cl was added to the reaction mixture, that was then extracted with ethyl acetate. The
46 solvent was removed and the crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography
47 (toluene/acetone 4:1), affording 0.89 g (yield 98 %) of **63a**, mp 134-136 °C. ¹H NMR (200 MHz,
48 CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H),
49 6.93-6.87 (m, 4H), 6.26 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (s, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **7-((1-(3-Methoxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (63b)**. Using the
4
5 previous procedure and starting from **62** and 1-(azidomethyl)-3-methoxybenzene (see SI), **63b** was
6
7 obtained. Yield 95 %, mp 101-102 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.61-7.69 (m, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25
8
9 (t, *J* = 15.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88-6.76 (m, 4H), 6.20 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (s, 2H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s,
10
11 3H).

12
13
14 **7-((1-(4-Hydroxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (64a)**. To a
15
16 solution of **63a** (0.89 g, 2.45 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (50 mL) kept at 0 °C, under
17
18 nitrogen atmosphere, BBr₃ (4.0 mL of 1M solution in DCM) was added dropwise and the mixture
19
20 was stirred at rt for 48h. The reaction was quenched with water and washed with brine. The organic
21
22 layer was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash column
23
24 chromatography (toluene/acetone 4:1), affording 0.32g (37 %) of **64a** as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR δ
25
26 7.49 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (m,
27
28 4H), 6.26 (d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (s, 1H), 5.46 (s, 2H), 5.21 (s, 2H).

29
30
31
32 **7-((1-(3-Hydroxybenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (64b)**. Using the
33
34 previous procedure and starting from **63b**, **64b** was obtained. Yield 21 %, mp 65-66 °C. ¹H NMR δ
35
36 7.61-7.59 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, 1H, *J* = 15.2), 6.87-6.84 (m, 4H), 6.24 (d, *J* =
37
38 9.4 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (s, 2H), 5.18 (s, 2H).

39
40
41 **7-((1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one (65a)**. To a
42
43 solution of **62** (0.43 g, 2.16 mmol) in DMSO (15 mL), (4-azidophenoxy)-(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane
44
45 (0.70 g, 2.81 mmol, see SI) and TEA (0.02 g, 0.216 mmol) were added. CuSO₄ (0.04 g, 0.22 mmol)
46
47 and sodium ascorbate (0.25 g, 1.08 mmol) were dissolved in water and were stirred for 5 min; the
48
49 solution was added to the reaction flask and the mixture was stirred at rt for 24h. A saturated
50
51 solution of NH₄Cl was added to the reaction and the organic compound was extracted with ethyl
52
53 acetate. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product confirmed that the reaction had occurred. The
54
55 mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (toluene/acetone 4:1). During purification
56
57 the molecule was deprotected, therefore peaks corresponding to the TBDMS groups disappeared
58
59
60

1
2
3 from the NMR spectrum. **65a** (0.18 g, 25 %) was obtained, mp 239-240 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO) δ
4 9.97 (s, 1H), 8.81 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 8.8 and 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (s, 1H),
5 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.31 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (s, 2H).
6
7

8
9 **7-((1-(3-Hydroxyphenyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy)-2*H*-chromen-2-one (65b)**. Using the
10 previous procedure and starting from **62** and (3-azidophenoxy)-(tert-butyl)dimethylsilane (see SI),
11 **65b** was obtained. Yield 63 %, mp 218-219 °C. ¹H NMR (DMSO) δ 10.07 (s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H),
12 8.01 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.33-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.20
13 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz,
14 1H), 5.34 (s, 2H).
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **General method for the preparation of carbamates (18-25)**. A mixture of the selected hydroxy
23 derivative (1.0 mmol), the selected isocyanate (1.0 mmol) and NaH (10 mg) in dry toluene was
24 stirred at rt for 24 h, quenched with water and then extracted with dichloromethane. The organic
25 layer was washed with water, dried and evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by flash-
26 chromatography (toluene/acetone 4:1).
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 **4-(((4-(((2-Oxo-2*H*-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)phenyl (5-
34 phenylpentyl)carbamate (18)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **64a** and 5-
35 isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **18** was obtained. Yield 20 %, mp 129-130 °C (MeOH). ¹H NMR δ 7.61
36 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.54 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.28-7.25 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.19-
37 7.07 (m, 4H, Ar), 6.95-6.82 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.24 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.50 (s, 2H, CH₂), 5.20 (s,
38 2H, CH₂), 5.04 (br, 1H, NH), 3.23 (dd, *J* = 13.3 and 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.61 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H,
39 CH₂), 1.72-1.49 (m, 4H, CH₂-CH₂), 1.41-1.34 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR δ 161.27, 161.06, 155.68,
40 154.16, 151.46, 143.29, 142.29, 131.05, 129.23, 128.87, 128.35, 128.28, 125.72, 122.86, 122.37,
41 113.44, 112.97, 112.73, 102.10, 62.30, 53.73, 41.20, 35.74, 30.99, 29.64, 26.28. ES-MS *m/z*: 539
42 (M + H⁺).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **3-(((4-(((2-Oxo-2*H*-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)phenyl (5-
55 phenylpentyl)carbamate (19)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **64b** and 5-
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **19** was obtained. Yield 22 %, oil. ¹H NMR δ 7.61 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.58 (d, *J*
4 = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.33 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.25 (dd, *J* = 9.6 and 5.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.18-7.15 (m,
5 3H, Ar), 7.13-7.00 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.89-6.75 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.23 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.51 (s, 2H,
6 *CH*₂), 5.20 (s, 2H, *CH*₂), 5.13 (br, 1H, *NH*), 3.23 (dd, *J* = 13.3 and 6.8 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 2.61 (t, *J* = 7.7
7 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.63-1.55 (m, 4H, *CH*₂-*CH*₂), 1.39-1.17 (m, 2H, *CH*₂); ¹³C NMR δ 161.31, 161.13,
8 155.71, 154.24, 151.60, 143.36, 142.35, 135.59, 130.10, 128.92, 128.40, 128.33, 125.76, 124.81,
9 123.08, 122.24, 121.42, 113.47, 113.01, 112.86, 102.12, 62.36, 53.90, 41.26, 35.79, 31.04, 29.67,
10 26.35. ES-MS *m/z*: 539 (M + H⁺).

21 **4-(((4-(((2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)phenyl**

22 **heptylcarbamate (20)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **64a** and 1-

23 isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **20** was obtained. Yield 22 %, mp 152-153 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.61 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz,
24 1H, Ar), 7.54 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.35 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.30-7.20 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz,
25 2H, Ar), 6.90 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.24 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.51 (s, 2H, *CH*₂), 5.21 (s, 2H,
26 *CH*₂), 5.02 (br, 1H, *NH*), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 13.4 and 6.7 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.32-1.20 (m, 8H, 4 *CH*₂), 0.87
27 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ 161.26, 161.06, 155.66, 154.16, 153.37, 152.26, 151.47,
28 143.30, 131.01, 129.22, 128.8, 122.3, 113.41, 112.95, 112.73, 102.07, 62.25, 53.80, 41.30, 31.69,
29 29.74, 28.88, 26.66, 22.55, 14.04. ES-MS *m/z*: 491 (M + H⁺), 513 (M + Na).

41 **3-(((4-(((2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)phenyl**

42 **heptylcarbamate (21)**. Using the previous procedure and starting from **64b** and 1-

43 isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **21** was obtained. Yield 32 %, mp >250 °C. ¹H NMR δ 7.62 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H,
44 Ar), 7.58 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.35 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.15-7.04 (m, 3H, Ar), 6.94-6.86 (m, 2H, Ar),
45 6.25 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.52 (s, 2H, *CH*₂), 5.22 (s, 2H, *CH*₂), 5.14 (br, 1H, *NH*), 3.24 (dd, *J* =
46 13.4 and 6.7 Hz, 2H, *CH*₂), 1.40-1.20 (m, 8H, 4 *CH*₂), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H, *CH*₃); ¹³C NMR δ
47 161.23, 161.05, 155.64, 154.16, 151.55, 143.38, 143.28, 135.50, 130.02, 128.84, 124.72, 122.95,
48 122.16, 121.36, 113.40, 112.93, 112.77, 102.04, 62.29, 53.82, 41.28, 31.67, 29.72, 28.86, 26.65,
49 22.53, 14.02. ES-MS *m/z*: 491 (M + H⁺), 513 (M + Na), 529 (M + K).

1
2
3 **4-(4-(((2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)phenyl (5-**
4 **phenylpentyl)carbamate (22).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **65a** and 5-
5 isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **22** was obtained. Yield 50 %, mp 168-169 °C (MeOH). ¹H NMR δ 8.06
6 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.65 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.45-7.37 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.32-
7 7.26 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.20 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, Ar), 6.98 (dd, *J* = 5.5 and 2.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.29 (d, *J* = 9.5
8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.35 (s, 2H, CH₂), 5.09 (br, 1H, NH), 3.29 (dd, *J* = 13.3 and 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.65 (t,
9 *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.74-1.63 (m, 4H, CH₂-CH₂), 1.51-1.37 (m, 2H CH₂); ¹³C NMR δ 161.18,
10 160.99, 155.71, 153.89, 151.34, 143.71, 143.24, 142.26, 133.79, 128.93, 128.34, 128.28, 125.72,
11 122.89, 121.64, 121.24, 113.54, 113.07, 112.69, 102.14, 62.23, 41.24, 35.73, 30.97, 29.63, 26.27.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 ES-MS *m/z*: 525 (M + H⁺).

24 **3-(4-(((2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)phenyl (5-**
25 **phenylpentyl)carbamate (23).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **65b** and 5-
26 isocyanatopentylbenzene,¹⁷ **23** was obtained. Yield 51 %, mp 132-132 °C. ¹H NMR δ 8.09 (s, 1H,
27 Ar), 7.65 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.60 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.51 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.41 (d,
28 *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.32-7.15 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.97 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.28 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H,
29 Ar), 5.35 (s, 2H, CH₂), 5.11 (br, 1H, NH), 3.28 (dd, *J* = 13.3 and 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂-N), 2.65 (t, *J* =
30 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 1.73-1.61 (m, 4H, CH₂-CH₂), 1.43 (dd, *J* = 15.2 and 8.1 Hz, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C NMR
31 δ 161.15, 160.99, 155.72, 153.73, 151.91, 143.84, 143.24, 137.35, 130.44, 128.95, 128.35, 128.29,
32 125.73, 122.10, 121.21, 117.12, 114.34, 113.56, 113.09, 112.67, 102.19, 62.24, 41.26, 35.73, 30.97,
33 29.62, 26.27. ES-MS *m/z*: 525 (M + H⁺).

34 **4-(4-(((2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)phenyl heptylcarbamate**
35 **(24).** Using the previous procedure and starting from **65a** and 1-isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ **24** was
36 obtained. Yield 64 %, mp 180-181 °C. ¹H NMR δ 8.05 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar),
37 7.64 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.43-7.36 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.97 (dd, *J* = 4.4
38 and 2.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.27 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.33 (s, 2H, CH₂), 5.11 (br, 1H, NH), 3.28 (dd, *J*
39 = 13.4 and 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH₂-N), 1.58-1.23 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.31-1.18 (m, 8H, 4 CH₂), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.6
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Hz, 3H, CH_3); ^{13}C NMR δ 161.18, 161.02, 155.69, 153.92, 151.36, 143.68, 143.28, 133.72, 128.94,
4
5 122.90, 121.62, 121.35, 113.51, 113.05, 112.70, 102.10, 62.21, 41.35, 31.69, 29.73, 28.88, 26.67,
6
7 22.55, 14.03. ES-MS m/z : 499 (M + Na).

9
10 **3-(4-(((2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)phenyl heptylcarbamate**

11 (25). Using the previous procedure and starting from 65b and 1-isocyanatoheptane,¹⁸ 25 was
12 obtained. Yield 41 %, mp 127-128 °C. 1H NMR δ 8.09 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.63 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, Ar),
13
14 7.61-7.57 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.48 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.39 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,
15
16 1H, Ar), 6.98-6.94 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.26 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, Ar), 5.32 (s, 2H, CH_2), 5.21 (br, 1H, NH),
17
18 3.26 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, CH_2-N), 1.59-1.51 (m, 2H, CH_2), 1.31-1-19 (m, 8H, 4 CH_2), 0.88 (s, 3H,
19
20 CH_3); ^{13}C NMR δ 161.15, 161.03, 155.68, 153.83, 151.94, 143.75, 143.28, 137.34, 130.42, 128.95,
21
22 122.10, 121.27, 117.04, 114.31, 113.50, 113.06, 112.67, 102.13, 62.20, 41.36, 31.69, 29.72, 28.88,
23
24 26.66, 22.55, 14.04. ES-MS m/z : 477 (M + H⁺), 499 (M + Na).

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 **Biological evaluation methods.**

33
34
35
36 **Inhibition of AChE and BuChE.** The capacity of 2-17 and 18-25 to inhibit human ChE activity
37
38 was assessed using the Ellman's method.¹⁹ Initial rate assays were performed at 37 °C with a Jasco
39
40 V-530 double beam spectrophotometer. Stock solutions of the tested compound (1 mM) were
41
42 prepared in methanol and diluted in bidistilled water. The assay solution consisted of a 0.1 M
43
44 phosphate buffer, pH 8.0, with the addition of 340 μ M 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid), 0.02
45
46 unit/mL human recombinant AChE or human serum BuChE (Sigma-Aldrich, Italy), and 550 μ M
47
48 substrate (acetylthiocholine iodide or butyrylthiocholine iodide, respectively (Sigma -Aldrich,
49
50 Italy). Assay solutions with and without inhibitor were preincubated at 37 °C for 120 min in the
51
52 case of AChE-based assay (for all compounds but 12) and for 15 min (phenylpentyl and
53
54 phenylhexyl derivatives) or 20 min (heptyl and *p*-hexyl-phenyl derivatives) in the case of BuChE-
55
56 based assay followed by the addition of substrate. The proper incubation time was determined on
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the basis of the kinetic studies in order carbamoylation is completed. AChE inhibition by **12** was
4
5 determined after 60 min-incubation. Blank solutions containing all components except AChE or
6
7 BuChE were prepared in parallel to account for the non enzymatic hydrolysis of the substrate. Five
8
9 increasing concentrations of the inhibitor, able to give an inhibition of the enzymatic activity in the
10
11 range of 20-80%, were used. The results were plotted by placing the percentage of inhibition in
12
13 function of the decimal log of the final inhibitor concentration. Linear regression and IC₅₀ values
14
15 were calculated.
16
17
18
19

20
21 **Kinetic characterization of carbamoylation events.** Tested compounds were added to the assay
22
23 solution in order to have a final concentration equals to their IC₅₀ values, and preincubated with the
24
25 enzyme for 15-120 min for AChE-based assays and 2-30 min for BuChE based-assays, followed by
26
27 the addition of substrate (acetylthiocholine or butyrylthiocholine for AChE and BuChE,
28
29 respectively). Assays were performed with a blank containing all components except AChE or
30
31 BuChE to account for the nonenzymatic reaction. The rate of substrate hydrolysis was determined
32
33 following Ellman's method.¹⁹ The percentages of inhibition at different incubation times were
34
35 plotted vs time (GraphPad Prism, 4.0, GraphPad Software Inc).
36
37
38
39

40 **Determination of the carbamoylation rate constant k_3 for ChE inhibition by **9**.**

41
42 (a) *hAChE*. The stopped time assay was performed, in which AChE and **9** at five concentrations
43
44 comprised in the range 16.7-167.5 nM were mixed in the assay buffer at pH 8.0. After 15, 30, 60,
45
46 90 e 120 min incubation at 37 °C, the determination of residual activity of the AChE-catalyzed
47
48 hydrolysis of acetylthiocholine was carried out by Ellman's method.¹⁹ A parallel control (i.e., no
49
50 inhibitors in the mixture) allowed to adjust activities measured at the same incubation times.
51
52

53
54 (b) *hBuChE*. The stopped time assay was performed, in which BuChE from human serum and **9** at
55
56 five concentrations comprised in the range 0.46-4.58 nM were mixed in the assay buffer at pH 8.0.
57
58 After 1, 2, 5, 10, 15 e 30 min incubation at 37 °C, the determination of residual activity of the
59
60

1
2
3 *h*BuChE-catalyzed hydrolysis of butyrylthiocholine was carried out by Ellman's method.¹⁹ A
4 parallel control (i.e., no inhibitor in the mixture) allowed to adjust activities measured at the same
5 incubation time.
6
7

8
9 Data were fitted to the following equation²⁷

$$R = R_0 \exp(-k_{\text{obs}}t) + R_{\infty} \quad (1)$$

10
11
12 where R , R_0 , and R_{∞} are ratios of the inhibited enzyme activity (v_i) to the control activity (v_0) at
13 times t , 0, and ∞ , respectively. The values of the observed pseudo-first-order inhibition rate constant
14 (k_{obs}) for each concentration of inhibitor were obtained. Double reciprocal plots of k_{obs} versus
15 inhibitor concentration ($[I]$) were then used to compute k_3 from the intercept according to the
16 equation:
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

$$1/k_{\text{obs}} = K_C/k_3 1/[I] + 1/k_3 \quad (2)$$

24
25
26
27
28
29
30 **FAAH assay.** The effect of compounds on FAAH activity was detected by co-incubating them with
31 the 10,000x g membrane fraction of rat brain (70 μ g/sample) and synthetic N-arachidonoyl-[¹⁴C]-
32 ethanolamine (110mCi/mmol, ARC St. Louis, MO, USA) properly diluted with AEA (Tocris
33 Bioscience, Avonmouth, Bristol, UK) in Tris-HCl 50 mM, at pH 9.00-10.00 at 37 °C for 30 min.
34 For the pre-incubation protocol, compounds were pre-incubated at various concentrations in the
35 presence of the enzyme (10,000x g membrane fraction of rat brain, 70 μ g/sample) for 20 min at 37
36 °C. The reaction was then initiated by adding of the substrate. After incubation, the amount of
37 [¹⁴C]-ethanolamine produced was measured by scintillation counting of the aqueous phase after
38 extraction of the incubation mixture with 2 volumes of CHCl₃/MeOH 1:1 (by vol.). Data are
39 expressed as means \pm SD of at least three separate experiments of the concentration exerting 50%
40 inhibition of [¹⁴C]-AEA hydrolysis (IC₅₀) calculated by fitting sigmoidal concentration response
41 curves by GraphPad.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Computational Studies.

1
2
3 Molecular modeling studies were performed with the Schrodinger 2014-2 software suite. Ligand
4
5 molecules were built with Maestro 9.8⁴¹ and prepared with LigPrep 3.0;⁴² the protein structures
6
7 were refined with the Protein Preparation Wizard tool.⁴³ Induced Fit Docking and Docking studies
8
9 were conducted with Glide 6.3⁴⁴ using the SP scoring function.
10

11
12 *Protein preparation.* The crystal structure of rat FAAH in its covalent adduct with
13
14 methylarachidonyl phosphonate (MAP; PDB 1MT5,³¹ chain A and B) was used for Induced Fit
15
16 Docking studies. The homodimer C- and N- termini were capped with acetyl and methylamino
17
18 groups, respectively. The bound inhibitor was removed and missing hydrogen atoms were added.
19
20 The orientation of thiol and hydroxyl groups, the conformations of asparagine, glutamine and
21
22 histidine residues were adjusted to optimize the overall hydrogen bonding network. Basic and acid
23
24 amino acids were modeled in their charged form, with the exception of K142, which was
25
26 maintained neutral. The resulting structure was submitted to a first minimization run with the
27
28 OPLS2005 force field⁴⁵ in which only hydrogen atoms were free to move. A second minimization
29
30 was then performed on the whole structure, restraining the position of heavy atoms to an RMSD
31
32 value of 0.3 Å. Crystallized water molecules were removed before docking studies.
33
34
35

36
37 The crystal structure of human BuChE in complex with a non covalent inhibitor (PDB 4TPK,³⁶
38
39 chain A) was used for docking studies. The inhibitor molecule and water molecules were removed
40
41 and the protein was prepared following the procedure described above.
42
43

44
45 *rFAAH Induced Fit Docking studies.* The docking grid was built using Glide 6.3 and was centered
46
47 on the position of the known FAAH inhibitor URB597, setting the dimensions of bounding and
48
49 enclosing boxes to 26 and 39 Å, respectively. As a first step, a softened-potential docking run was
50
51 performed applying van der Waals radii scaling factors of 0.7 and 0.5 factor on protein and ligand
52
53 non-polar atoms, respectively. The side chain of residue L278, hindering the accommodation of the
54
55 amino-methyl linker, was temporarily mutated to alanine. Hydrogen bond constraints were imposed
56
57 between the carbamate oxygen of the ligands and the backbone nitrogen atoms of I238 and G239,
58
59
60

1
2
3 and between the carbamate nitrogen of the ligands and the backbone oxygen atom of M191. The
4
5 resulting ligand-receptor complexes were then submitted to a protein refinement step. Once the
6
7 L278 side chain temporarily removed was re-introduced, the amino acids side chains within a shell
8
9 of 5 Å around the ligands were refined through a conformational search, and the overall complex
10
11 was minimized. In the last docking step, each ligand structure was optimized in the field of the
12
13 receptor and scored by applying the default Glide settings. The complexes obtained were finally
14
15 ranked according to the IFD score, which accounts for the ligand-receptor interaction energy, the
16
17 solvation terms and the receptor strain. The top-ranked complexes were then evaluated and
18
19 minimized with the OPLS2005 force field implemented in MacroModel 10.4,⁴⁶ applying the Polak-
20
21 Ribiere conjugate gradient method to a convergence threshold of 0.05 kJ mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹. During the
22
23 minimization the ligands and residues within 10 Å from them were free to move, while the
24
25 backbone of other residues was kept fixed.
26
27
28
29

30 *hBuChE Docking studies.* The docking grid was built using Glide 6.3 and was centered on the
31
32 position of the co-crystallized inhibitor, setting the dimensions of bounding and enclosing boxes to
33
34 16 and 31 Å, respectively. A softened-potential docking run was performed by applying a van der
35
36 Waals radii scaling factors of 0.7 and 0.6 factors on protein and on ligands non-polar atoms,
37
38 respectively. A positional constraint was imposed on the carbamate group, in order to obtain
39
40 binding poses compatible with the carbamoylation-based mechanism of the ligands. The top-ranked
41
42 ligand-protein complexes were then submitted to a refinement procedure using the Prime 3.6
43
44 implementation.⁴⁷ The amino acid side chains within a shell of 8 Å around the ligands, excepted the
45
46 residues of the catalytic triad (S198, H438 and E325) and W82, were refined through a
47
48 conformational search, while each ligand structure was optimized in the field of the receptor and
49
50 scored by applying the default Glide settings. The complexes obtained were ranked taking into
51
52 account the ligand-receptor interaction energy, the solvation terms and the receptor strain. The top-
53
54 ranked complexes were then evaluated and minimized with the OPLS2005 force field implemented
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 in MacroModel 10.4, applying the Polak-Ribiere conjugate gradient method to a convergence
4
5 threshold of 0.05 kJ mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹. During the minimization the ligands and residues within 10 Å from
6
7 them were free to move, while the backbone of other residues was kept fixed.
8
9

10 11 12 **MTT assay**³⁹

13
14
15
16 Immortalized SHSY-5Y neuroblastoma cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA)
17
18 and routinely grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 2 mM
19
20 L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin/100 Iu/ml streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells
21
22 were seeded in a 96-well plate at 3.5x10⁴ cell/well, and cultured overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO₂
23
24 atmosphere. Test compounds were incubated in regular media for 24 hours and following
25
26 incubation, media were replaced with a MTT (Thiazol Blue Tetrazolium Bromide) solution
27
28 (0.5mg/mL) and cultured for additional 3 hours. MTT is taken up into cells by endocytosis or
29
30 protein-facilitated mechanism and reduced, mainly by mitochondrial enzymes, to yield a purple
31
32 formazan product which is largely impermeable to cell membranes, thus resulting in its
33
34 accumulation within living cells (cell lyses results in the liberation of the purple product). Formazan
35
36 (MTT metabolic product) was resuspended by isopropanol and absorbance at 620 nm was read on a
37
38 GENios-Pro 96/384 Multifunction Microplate Reader. Optical density values from vehicle-treated
39
40 cells were considered as 100% of cell viability and the results were expressed as a percentage (%)
41
42 of inhibition of the control (vehicle alone). Data are given as the mean from three independent
43
44 experiments conducted in quadruplicate. All compounds were dissolved in DMSO and freshly stock
45
46 solutions were prepared the day of the experiment. The final percentage of solvent used was less
47
48 than 0.1% per well.
49
50
51
52

53
54 **Supporting Information Available:** experimental details for the synthesis of hydroxycoumarins,
55
56 isocyanates and azides which represent starting material for the synthesis of the new compounds
57
58 described in Schemes 1 and 2; kinetics of ChE carbamoylation of compounds **12** and **13**; binding
59
60

1
2
3 mode of **9** in FAAH; binding mode of **10** in BuChE. This material is available free of charge via the
4
5 Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>
6

7 **Acknowledgements:** This research was partially supported by a MERIT grant and by the Italian
8
9 Ministry for Education, Universities and Research (MIUR). The authors wish to thank Viviana
10
11 Marolda for her technical assistance with the MTT assay.
12
13

14 15 16 **References**

- 17
18
19 1. Prince, M.; Jackson, J. (2009) World Alzheimer disease 2009. pp. 25-46. Alzheimer's
20
21 Disease International, London.
- 22
23 2. Masters, C. L.; Selkoe, D. J. Biochemistry of Amyloid β -Protein and Amyloid Deposits in
24
25 Alzheimer Disease. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2012**; 2:a006262.
- 26
27 3. Avila, J. Tau phosphorylation and aggregation in Alzheimer's disease pathology. *FEBS Lett.*
28
29 **2006**, 580, 2922-2927.
- 30
31 4. Bell, K. F.; Cuello, A. C. Altered synaptic function in Alzheimer's disease. *Eur. J.*
32
33 *Pharmacol.* **2006**, 545, 11-21.
- 34
35 5. Kanaan, N. M.; Pigino, G. F.; Brady, S. T.; Lazarov, O.; Binder, L. I.; Morfini, G. A.
36
37 Axonal degeneration in Alzheimer's disease: when signaling abnormalities meet the axonal
38
39 transport system. *Exp. Neurol.* **2013**, 246, 44-53.
- 40
41 6. Contestabile, A. The history of the cholinergic hypothesis. *Behav. Brain Res.* **2011**, 221,
42
43 334-340.
- 44
45 7. Weinstock, M. Selectivity of cholinesterase inhibition: Clinical implications for the
46
47 treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *CNS Drugs* **1999**, 12, 307-323.
- 48
49 8. Greig, N. H.; Utsuki, T.; Ingram, D. K.; Wang, Y.; Pepeu, G.; Scali, C.; Yu, Q-S.;
50
51 Mamczarz, J.; Holloway, H. W.; Giordano, T.; Chen, D. M.; Furukawa, K.; Sambamurti, K.;
52
53 Brossi, A.; Lahiri, D. K. Selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibition elevates brain
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 acetylcholine, augments learning and lowers Alzheimer β -amyloid peptide in rodent. *PNAS*
4
5 **2005**, *102*, 17213–17218.
6
7
8 9. Fernandez, J. A.; Rojo, L.; Kuljisa, R. O.; Maccioni, R. B. The Damage Signals Hypothesis
9
10 of Alzheimer's Disease Pathogenesis. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2008**, *14*, 329–333.
11
12 10. Frank-Cannon, T. C.; Alto, L. T.; McAlpine, F. E.; Tansey, M. G. Does neuroinflammation
13
14 fan the flame in neurodegenerative diseases? *Mol. Neurodegener.* **2009**, *4*, 47.
15
16 11. Fagan, S. G.; Campbell, V. A. The influence of cannabinoids on generic traits of
17
18 neurodegeneration. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2014**, *171*, 1347–1360.
19
20 12. Di Marzo V. Endocannabinoids: synthesis and degradation. *Rev. Physiol. Biochem.*
21
22 *Pharmacol.* **2008**, *160*, 1-24.
23
24 13. Jung, K. M.; Astarita, G.; Yasar, S.; Vasilevko, V.; Cribbs, D. H.; Head, E.; Cotman, C. W.;
25
26 Piomelli, D. An amyloid $\beta(42)$ -dependent deficit in anandamide mobilization is associated
27
28 with cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2011.
29
30 14. Benito, C.; Nunez, E.; Tolon, R. M.; Carrier, E. J.; Rabano, A.; Hillard, C. J.; Romero, J.
31
32 Cannabinoid CB2 receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase are selectively overexpressed in
33
34 neuritic plaque-associated glia in Alzheimer's disease brains. *J. Neurosci.* **2003**, *23*, 11136–
35
36 11141.
37
38 15. Cavalli, A.; Bolognesi, M. L.; Minarini, A.; Rosini, M.; Tumiatti, V.; Recanatini, M.;
39
40 Melchiorre, C. Multi-target-directed ligands to combat neurodegenerative diseases. *J. Med.*
41
42 *Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 347–372.
43
44 16. Morphy, R.; Rankovic, Z. Designing multiple ligands - medicinal chemistry strategies and
45
46 challenges. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2009**, *15*, 587-600.
47
48 17. Rampa, A.; Bartolini, M.; Bisi, A.; Belluti, F.; Gobbi, S.; Andrisano, V.; Ligresti, A.; Di
49
50 Marzo, V. The first dual ChE/FAAH inhibitors: new perspective for Alzheimer's disease?
51
52 *ACS Med. Chem. Letters* **2012**, *3*, 182-186.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 18. Rampa, A.; Bisi, A.; Valenti, P.; Recanatini, M.; Cavalli, A.; Andrisano, V.; Cavrini, V.;
4
5 Fin, L.; Buriani, A.; Giusti, P. Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors: Synthesis and Structure-
6
7 Activity Relationships of ω -[N-Methyl-N-(3-alkylcarbamoyloxyphenyl)-methyl]-
8
9 aminoalkoxyheteroaryl Derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *41*, 3976–3986.
- 10
11 19. Ellman, G. L.; Courtney, K. D.; Andres, V.; Featherstone, R. M. A new rapid colorimetric
12
13 determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1961**, *7*, 88–95.
- 14
15 20. Wilson, I. B.; Hatch, M. A.; Ginsburg, S. Carbamylation of acetylcholinesterase. *J. Biol.*
16
17 *Chem.* **1960**, *235*, 2312–2315.
- 18
19 21. Wilson, I. B.; Harrison, M. A.; Ginsburg, S. Carbamyl derivatives of acetylcholinesterase. *J.*
20
21 *Biol. Chem.* **1961**, *236*, 1498–1500.
- 22
23 22. Bolognesi, M. L.; Bartolini, M.; Cavalli, A.; Andrisano, V.; Rosini, M.; Minarini, A.;
24
25 Melchiorre, C. Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Conformationally Restricted
26
27 Rivastigmine Analogues. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 5945–5952.
- 28
29 23. Marta, M.; Gatta, F.; Pomponi, M. Physostigmine analogs anticholinesterases: effects of the
30
31 lengthening of the N-carbamic chain on the inhibition kinetics. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.*
32
33 **1992**, *1120*, 262–266.
- 34
35 24. Yu, Q.-S.; Holloway, H. W.; Luo, W.; Lahiri, D. K.; Brossi, A.; Greig, N. H. Long-acting
36
37 anticholinesterases for myasthenia gravis: synthesis and activities of quaternary
38
39 phenylcarbamates of neostigmine, pyridostigmine and physostigmine. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*
40
41 **2010**, *18*(13), 4687–4693.
- 42
43 25. Mant, T.; Troetel, W. M.; Imbimbo, B. P. Maximum tolerated dose and pharmacodynamics
44
45 of eptastigmine in elderly healthy volunteers. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **1998**, *38*, 610–617.
- 46
47 26. Asthana, S.; Greig, N. H.; Hegedus, L.; Holloway, H. H.; Raffaele, K. C.; Schapiro, M. B.;
48
49 Soncrant, T. T. Clinical pharmacokinetics of physostigmine in patients with Alzheimer's
50
51 disease. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **1995**, *58*, 299–309.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27. Feaster, R. S.; Quinn, D. M. Mechanism-Based Inhibitors of Cholesterol Esterase in
4
5 Methods Enzymol. (Rubin, B. and Dennis, E.A.; Eds.) Academic Press, San Diego, 231-252
6
7 (1997).
8
9
10 28. Mor, M.; Lodola, A.; Rivara, S.; Vacondio, F.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Sanchini, S.;
11
12 Piersanti, G.; Clapper, J. R.; King, A. R.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D. Synthesis and quantitative
13
14 structure-activity relationship of fatty acid amide hydrolase inhibitors: modulation at the N-
15
16 portion of biphenyl-3-yl alkylcarbamates. *J. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *51*, 3487-3498.
17
18 29. Vacondio, F.; Silva, C.; Lodola, A.; Fioni, A.; Rivara, S.; Duranti, A.; Tontini, A.; Sanchini,
19
20 S.; Clapper, J. R.; Piomelli, D.; Mor, M.; Tarzia, G. Structure-property relationships of a
21
22 class of carbamate-based fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitors: chemical and
23
24 biological stability. *ChemMedChem.* **2009**, *4*, 1495-1504.
25
26 30. Holt, S.; Nilsson, J.; Omeir, R.; Tiger, G.; Fowler, C. J. Effects of pH on the inhibition of
27
28 fatty acid amidohydrolase by ibuprofen. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2001**, *133*, 513-520.
29
30 31. Lodola, A.; Mor, M.; Rivara, S.; Christov, C.; Tarzia, G.; Piomelli, D.; Mulholland, A. J.
31
32 Identification of productive inhibitor binding orientation in fatty acid amide hydrolase
33
34 (FAAH) by QM/MM mechanistic modelling. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, *14*, 214-216.
35
36 32. Patricelli, M. P.; Lovato, M. A.; Cravatt, B. F. Chemical and mutagenic investigations of
37
38 fatty acid amide hydrolase: evidence for a family of serine hydrolases with distinct catalytic
39
40 properties. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 9804-9812.
41
42 33. Patricelli, M. P.; Cravatt, B. F. Clarifying the Catalytic Roles of Conserved Residues in the
43
44 Amidase Signature Family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 19177-19184.
45
46 34. Bracey, M. H.; Hanson, M. A.; Masuda, K. R.; Stevens, R. C.; Cravatt, B. F. Structural
47
48 adaptations in a membrane enzyme that terminates endocannabinoid signaling. *Science*
49
50 **2002**, *298*, 1793-1796.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 35. Nicolet, Y.; Lockridge, O.; Masson, P.; Fontecilla-Camps, J. C.; Nachon, F. Crystal
4 structure of human butyrylcholinesterase and of its complexes with substrate and products.
5 *J. Biol. Chem.* **2003**, *278*, 41141–41147.
6
7
8
9
10 36. Brus, B.; Košak, U.; Turk, S.; Pišlar, A.; Coquelle, N.; Kos, J.; Stojan, J.; Colletier, J. P.;
11 Gobec, S. Discovery, biological evaluation, and crystal structure of a novel nanomolar
12 selective butyrylcholinesterase inhibitor. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 8167-8179.
13
14
15
16 37. Rizzo, S.; Cavalli, A.; Ceccarini, L.; Bartolini, M.; Belluti, F.; Bisi, A.; Andrisano, V.;
17 Recanatini, M.; Rampa, A. Structure-activity relationships and binding mode in the human
18 acetylcholinesterase active site of pseudo-irreversible inhibitors related to xanthostigmine.
19 *ChemMedChem* **2009**, *4*, 670-679.
20
21
22
23
24 38. Więckowska, A.; Bajda, M.; Guzior, N.; Malawska, B. Novel alkyl- and arylcarbamate
25 derivatives with N-benzylpiperidine and N-benzylpiperazine moieties as
26 cholinesterases inhibitors. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *45*, 5602-5611.
27
28
29
30 39. Denizot, F.; Lang, R. Rapid colorimetric assay for cell growth and survival. Modifications
31 to the tetrazolium dye procedure giving improved sensitivity and reliability. *J. Immunol.*
32 *Methods* **1986**, *89*, 271-277.
33
34
35
36
37 40. Pisani, L.; Catto, M.; Giangreco, I.; Leonetti, F.; Nicolotti, O.; Stefanachi, A.; Cellamare, S.;
38 Carotti, A. Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Coumarin Derivatives Tethered
39 to an Edrophonium-like Fragment as Highly Potent and Selective Dual Binding Site
40 Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitors. *ChemMedChem* **2010**, *5*, 1616-1630.
41
42
43
44
45 41. Maestro, version 9.8, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
46
47
48 42. LigPrep, version 3.0, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
49
50
51 43. Schrödinger Suite 2014-2 Protein Preparation Wizard; Epik version 2.8, Schrödinger, LLC,
52 New York, NY, 2014; Impact version 6.3, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014; Prime
53 version 3.6, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
54
55
56
57 44. Glide, version 6.3, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 45. Jorgensen, W. L.; Maxwell, D. S.; Tirado-Rives, J. Development and testing of the OPLS
4
5 all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids. *J. Am.*
6
7 *Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11225–11236.
8
9
10 46. Macromodel, version 10.4, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
11
12
13 47. Prime version 3.6, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table of Contents Graphic

