

University of Parma Research Repository

Molecular Response of Crop Plants to Engineered Nanomaterials

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Molecular Response of Crop Plants to Engineered Nanomaterials / Pagano, Luca; Servin, Alia D; De La Torre Roche, Roberto; Mukherjee, Arnab; Majumdar, Sanghamitra; Hawthorne, Joseph; Marmiroli, Marta; Maestri, Elena; Marra, Robert E; Isch, Susan M; Dhankher, Om Parkash; White, JASON CHRISTOPHER; Marmiroli, Nelson. - In: ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 0013-936X. - 50:13(2016), pp. 7198-7207. [10.1021/acs.est.6b01816]

Availability: This version is available at: 11381/2807679 since: 2022-01-11T22:06:19Z

Publisher: American Chemical Society

Published DOI:10.1021/acs.est.6b01816

Terms of use:

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

note finali coverpage

# **Environmental** Science & lechnology

# Molecular Response of Crop Plants to Engineered Nanomaterials

<sup>2</sup> Luca Pagano,<sup>†,‡,§</sup> Alia D. Servin,<sup>§</sup> Roberto De La Torre-Roche,<sup>§</sup> Arnab Mukherjee,<sup>§</sup>
<sup>3</sup> Sanghamitra Majumdar,<sup>§</sup> Joseph Hawthorne,<sup>§</sup> Marta Marmiroli,<sup>†</sup> Elena Maestri,<sup>†</sup> Robert E. Marra,<sup>§</sup>
<sup>4</sup> Susan M. Isch,<sup>||</sup> Om Parkash Dhankher,<sup>‡</sup> Jason C. White,<sup>\*,§</sup> and Nelson Marmiroli,<sup>\*,†</sup>

s <sup>†</sup>Department of Life Sciences, University of Parma, Parma 43124, Italy

6 <sup>‡</sup>Stockbridge School of Agriculture, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003, United States

7 <sup>§</sup>The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, United States

<sup>8</sup> <sup>II</sup>Dr. Katherine A. Kelley State Public Health Laboratory, Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067, United States

# 9 Supporting Information

10 ABSTRACT: Functional toxicology has enabled the identification of genes involved in conferring tolerance and sensitivity to 11 engineered nanomaterial (ENM) exposure in the model plant 12 Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. Several genes were found to be 13 involved in metabolic functions, stress response, transport, 14 protein synthesis, and DNA repair. Consequently, analysis of 15 physiological parameters, metal content (through ICP-MS 16 quantification), and gene expression (by RT-qPCR) of A. 17 thaliana orthologue genes were performed across different plant 18 species of agronomic interest to highlight putative biomarkers of 19 exposure and effect related to ENMs. This approach led to the 20 21 identification of molecular markers in Solanum lycopersicum L. and



*Cucurbita pepo* L. (tomato and zucchini) that might not only indicate exposure to ENMs (CuO, CeO<sub>2</sub>, and La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) but also provide mechanistic insight into response to these materials. Through Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, the target genes were mapped in complex interatomic networks representing molecular pathways, cellular components, and biological processes involved in ENM response. The transcriptional response of 38 (out of 204) candidate genes studied varied according to particle type, size, and plant species. Importantly, some of the genes studied showed potential as biomarkers of ENM exposure and effect and may be useful for risk assessment in foods and in the environment.

# 28 INTRODUCTION

29 The application of nanotechnology has occurred across many 30 sectors: health and medicine, communications and electronics, 31 energy production, water treatment, and food production and 32 agriculture. The increases have been exponential in the last 10 33 years, with even greater use predicted in the future.<sup>1</sup> There is a 34 general consensus in the scientific community that our 35 understanding of the fate and effects of these materials in the 36 environment has lagged behind and is not adequate for accurate 37 risk assessment. Important steps forward have been made in the 38 last 3-4 years, especially on the properties that determine the 39 behavior and the distribution of engineered nanomaterials  $_{40}$  (ENMs) in the environment<sup>2-4</sup> as well as the particle-size-41 dependent process of bioaccumulation and the trophic transfer 42 within food chains.<sup>5,6</sup> The current state of knowledge regarding 43 plants and ENMs interactions at both the physiological- and 44 molecular-response level, including uptake, toxicity, and cellular 45 compartmentalization, has been reviewed,<sup>7,8</sup> and there is now 46 some understanding of which plant organs, tissues, cells, and 47 organelles are involved in response, as well as of the molecular 48 pathways associated with more general toxicity (e.g., DNA 49 damage, ROS production, protein misfolding, etc.).<sup>9</sup> However,

given the wide range of ENMs used (composition, size, shape, 50 coating, etc.) and of their effects, it remains difficult to highlight 51 consistent end points commonly shared in response to different 52 classes of these materials. Unlike the situation for humans,<sup>10</sup> biomarkers for exposure, effects, and ENM susceptibility are 54 unknown in plants. Typical biomarkers of exposure often 55 involve the measurement of metabolites or other physiological 56 parameters that reflect the biological dose and effect, showing 57 directly or indirectly the physiological implications of exposure. 58 Biomarkers of effects show changes at the cellular and 59 molecular levels and reflect the expression of genes or the 60 abundance of proteins under experimentally controlled 61 conditions. Considering this potential, biomarkers of effects 62 can be usefully applied as a tool for the assessment of toxicity.<sup>11</sup> <sub>63</sub> Biomarkers of susceptibility indicate the constitutive respon- 64 siveness to contaminant exposure, such as through tolerance 65 and resistance pathways as described for nonsensitive and 66

Received:April 12, 2016Revised:June 10, 2016Accepted:June 14, 2016



67 hypersensitive phenotypes.<sup>12</sup> Some or all of these categories of 68 biomarkers comprehensively reflect the "whole" organism 69 response to ENM exposure and provide valuable knowledge 70 for the determination of actual risk.<sup>13,14</sup>

Whole-genome studies performed in Arabidopsis thaliana 71 72 (L.) Heyhn<sup>15-17</sup> revealed some of the main biological processes 73 involved in the response to different ENMs. Marmiroli et al.<sup>18</sup> 74 screened A. thaliana mutants for tolerance to cadmium sulfide 75 quantum dots (CdS QDs) and subsequently combined 76 physiological and genetic characterization of the phenotypes 77 with a genome-wide transcriptomic analysis. A systems biology 78 approach led to identification in the wild-type line (accession 79 Ler-0) of approximately 200 impacted genes, including those 80 involved in metabolic functions, detoxification and stress 81 response, transport, protein synthesis, and DNA repair. This 82 approach also enabled a determination of the mechanistic basis 83 of CdS QDs tolerance and the key genes involved in the plant's 84 response to exposure. Lastly, a comparison showed that the 85 response to cadmium ions and to CdS QDs were clearly 86 different at both the molecular and the physiological level.

A total of three different types of metal oxide nanoparticles 87 88 (NPs) were used in the current study: copper oxide (CuO 89 NPs), cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs), and lanthanum oxide (La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 90 NPs). In addition, corresponding bulk and ion controls were 91 included. These particles were chosen as model analytes given 92 their properties and potential for wide-scale usage. CuO NPs 93 are used in catalysis, superconducting materials, thermoelectric 94 and sensing materials, propellant, glass, and ceramics.<sup>19</sup> In 95 addition, CuO NP interactions with plant species of agronomic 96 interest have been initiated.<sup>20,21</sup> CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs are utilized in 97 catalysis, electrolyte and electrode materials, UV and infrared absorbents, and oxidation- and heat-resistant coatings.<sup>22</sup> Studies 98 performed with CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs on A. thaliana and Phaseolus vulgaris 99 L. showed variable effects on growth, physiological response, 100 <sup>101</sup> and nutritional quality.<sup>23,24</sup> La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs are an emerging material 102 used as a magnetic nanoparticle for electronic devices, in laser 103 crystals and optics, and for catalysis, propellants, and 104 biosensors. Recent studies have also focused on the toxicity 105 and trophic transfer of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs.<sup>25,20</sup>

Data obtained from A. thaliana guided our comparative 106 107 analysis in other plants, which can then provide important 108 information required for assessing the environmental and 109 public health risks related to ENM exposure. The primary aim 110 of this work was the identification of biomarkers for exposure 111 and effects in plants exposed to several ENMs. Previously 112 identified candidate genes were tested in two species of 113 agricultural interest, tomato and zucchini, whose genomes have 114 been characterized.<sup>27,28</sup> This approach enabled us to use a 115 diverse set of ENMs and plants, the intent being to identify 116 genes consistently modulated regardless of particle type and 117 species. Following ortholog identification, a transcriptional 118 approach was applied to validate the "plant-specific" targets 119 found in A. thaliana and to find genes commonly involved in 120 response to ENMs; these genomic analyses were coupled with 121 elemental and physiological analyses.

### 122 **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Plants and NP Treatments. Copper oxide (CuO) 124 nanopowder (99% purity, 40 nm particle size) and lanthanum 125 oxide ( $La_2O_3$ ) nanopowder (99.99% purity; 10–100 nm 126 particle size range) were purchased from U.S. Research 127 Nanomaterials, Inc. (Houston, TX). Cerium oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>) 128 nanopowder (<25 nm particle size, BET) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). NPs in deionized water were 129 characterized for average particle size and  $\zeta$  potential as well as 130 by scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM- 131 TEM) (Figure S1-S3). Equivalent bulk materials and metal 132 salts (copper sulfate, cerium chloride, and lanthanum chloride) 133 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 134

Zucchini (Cucurbita pepo L., cv Costata Romanesco) seeds 135 were purchased from Johnny's Selected Seeds (Albion, ME); 136 tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L., cv Isis Candy Cherry) seeds 137 were purchased from Seed Saver Exchange (Decorah, IA). 138 Seeds were germinated in vermiculite for 7 d and were then 139 transferred into 30 g of vermiculite containing a solution of 0 140 mg  $L^{-1}$  (untreated control) or 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  of bulk or NP CuO, 141  $La_2O_3$ , or CeO<sub>2</sub>. In accordance with previous studies from the 142 literature that highlight concerns over the greater availability 143 and toxicity of select elements in the pure ionic form,<sup>29</sup> the 144 concentration used for the metal salts was equal to the 10% of 145 the metal content in NP and in bulk-material treatments: 71 mg 146  $L^{-1}$  of CeCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 mg  $L^{-1}$  of LaCl<sub>3</sub>, or 158 mg  $L^{-1}$  of CuSO<sub>4</sub>. <sup>147</sup> 5H<sub>2</sub>O was used. To minimize particle aggregation, we sonicated 148 bulk and NP solutions by Fisher Scientific Model 505 Sonic 149 Dismembrator (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) at 40% 150 amplitude for 60-120 s. For all experiments, seedlings were 151 exposed for 21 d at 24 °C, a relative humidity of 30%, and 152 under a 16 h photoperiod (light intensity 120  $\mu$ M m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> 153 photosynthetic photon flux). Samples containing 10% Hoag- 154 land's solution (Phytotechnology Laboratories, Shawnee 155 Mission, KS) in tap water were used to water the seedlings 156 only after transplantation. A total of 10 replicates for each 157 treatment were included for each species. 158

Physiological Analysis and Metal Content. Plants after 159 21 d of treatment with  $0-500 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  NPs, bulk materials, or 160 metal salts were harvested and thoroughly washed with 161 deionized water to remove any vermiculite or residual particles. 162 All plants were still undergoing vegetative growth at harvest. 163 Primary root and shoot length and fresh mass were measured. 164 Because of abundant biomass, zucchini shoots were divided 165 into stems and leaves; for tomato, more limited biomass 166 resulted in the analysis of whole shoot tissues. After being dried 167 at 60 °C for 48 h, the tissues' dry mass was determined in five 168 independent replicates. Digestion of 0.1 g of samples occurred 169 in two steps: the first step involved 2.5 mL of 65% HNO3 for 170 45 min at 115 °C, and then 1 mL of 30% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added for 171 20 min at 115 °C. The digestion protocol was performed using 172 a SCP Science DigiPREP MS digestor (SCP SCIENCE, Baie 173 D'Urfé, Quebec, CND). The resulting solution was diluted to a 174 final volume of 50 mL prior to analysis on an Agilent ICP-MS 175 CE 7500 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Ce (140 176 amu), La (139 amu), and Cu (63 amu) contents were 177 quantified through a four-point calibration curve based on 178 reference material standards (SPEX CertiPrep, Metuchen, NJ). 179

**Gene-Expression Analysis.** To compare the results 180 obtained with that of *A. thaliana* under CdS QDs treatment,<sup>18</sup> 181 we extracted total RNA from 0.1 g of fresh plant material 182 (derived from the whole plant) using a Sigma-Aldrich Spectrum 183 Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); a total of 184 three independent biological replicates per treatment were 185 used. Total RNA sample quality and quantity was assessed by a 186 Thermo Scientific Nanodrop Lite Spectrophotometer (Thermo 187 Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE) and gel electrophoresis. The 188 process of two-step reverse transcription was performed on 1 189  $\mu$ g of the total RNA extracted using the Qiagen QuantiTect 190 Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen, Velno, The Netherlands). 191





**Figure 1.** ICP-MS data related to Ce, La, and Cu content (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) from treatment with 0 or 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> bulk or NP CuO, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, or CeO<sub>2</sub> in zucchini root, stem, and leaf tissues (left) and tomato roots and shoots (right). Metal salts at 10% of the metal content in NP and bulk-material treatments were used as ions: 71 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of CeCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of LaCl<sub>3</sub>, or 158 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of CuSO<sub>4</sub>:5H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. Within a tissue and element, bars with different letters are significantly different (one-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey multiple comparison test on log-transformed data).

192 Reverse-transcription real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was carried out using the Bio-Rad SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green 193 Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) in an optical 96 well plate 194 with the Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection 195 System (Bio-Rad). On the basis of previous work,<sup>18</sup> A. thaliana 196 ortholog gene coding sequences (CDS) were obtained through 197 the BLAST tool of Cucurbigene database resource (http:// 198 cucurbigene.net/) and Sol Genomics Network database 199 resource (http://solgenomics.net/) for C. pepo and S. 200 lycopersicum, respectively. A 1·e<sup>-20</sup> (E-value) threshold with 201 the query sequence (of A. thaliana) was used to identify the 202 orthologous coding sequences in zucchini and tomato: a total 203 of 46 orthologs were identified in both of the species (Table 2.04 S1a). Specific primers for each selected gene transcript were 205 designed (Table S1b) using the Primer3 software (primer3.-2.06 ut.ee); the thermal profile for RT-qPCR amplifications was: 95 207 °C for 10', 95 °C for 15", and 60 °C for 60" for 40 cycles. 208 209 Confirmation of the single amplicon in each reaction was 210 performed by a dissociation-curve step. Relative expression was estimated through  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method using  $\beta$ -actin of C. pepo and 211 S. lycopersicum as the housekeeping gene. 212

Statistical and Network Analysis. For tissue-element 213 content and biomass and root-length values, a two-tail Student t 214 test was used in paired comparisons, and for grouped 215 comparisons, data were log-transformed to achieve normality 216 and were evaluated by a one-way ANOVA with all pairwise 217 Tukey's multiple comparison test (Systat SigmaPlot 13.0 218 software). A two-tail Student t test was also applied to RT-  $_{219}$ qPCR results. The R software (https://www.r-project.org/) 220 was used for the gene clustering and principal component 221 analysis (PCA) of different treatments. Venn diagrams were 222 generated by the Venny bioinformatics tool (http://bioinfogp. 223 cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/). Network analysis, based on Gene 224 Ontology (GO) classes, was performed using the GeneMANIA 225 data service (http://www.genemania.org/) to highlight coloc- 226 alization, coexpression, and physical or genetic interaction data 227 regarding the genes studied. 228

#### 229 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Physiological Analyses. The physiological response of 230 231 zucchini and tomato to NP exposure (500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of CuO, 232 La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, or CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs) is shown in Tables S2-S4 and clearly varied by species. CuO, La2O3, and CeO2 NPs had no effect on 233 zucchini total biomass (fresh weight) as compared to untreated 234 controls, whereas in tomatoes, plant biomass significantly 235 decreased (59-78%) upon exposure to both CuO and La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 236 237 NPs (Table S2). A differential response dependent on plant type was also evident when measuring plant moisture content 238 239 and root and shoot length. In tomatoes, root and shoot water 240 content was unaffected by NP exposure, but the moisture 241 content of zucchini leaves decreased significantly (20-22%)242 with CuO and La2O3 NP treatments (Table S3). Zucchini 243 stems were increased (15%) by CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs; CuO NPs 244 significantly increased (44%) root length. Conversely, in 245 tomatoes, both CuO NPs and La2O3 NPs treatment 246 significantly reduced root (68-75%) and shoot (42-47%) 247 length as compared to untreated controls (Table S4). The 248 different physiological response in the two horticultural species 249 to ENMs was noteworthy, particularly with La2O3 and CuO 250 NPs treatment. Zucchini seems to be largely unaffected by the treatments, whereas tomato was more sensitive to ENMs. 251 252 These findings agree somewhat with previous work, in which several reports show that exposure to CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs did not impact 253 physiological parameters in agricultural crops.<sup>9</sup> However, 254 255 treatment with La2O3 or CuO NPs has been shown to inhibit 256 root and shoot elongation and biomass as well as induce reactive-oxygen-species (ROS) production and programmed cell death.<sup>21,30</sup> 257 258

In zucchini, treatment with CuO, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CeO<sub>2</sub> (bulk 259 260 materials) and CeCl<sub>3</sub>, LaCl<sub>3</sub>, and CuSO<sub>4</sub> had little effect on total biomass, with the only statistically significant decrease 261 262 occurring under CuSO<sub>4</sub> treatment (38%) (Table S5). A 263 significant decrease in moisture content was shown in stems 264 of zucchini when treated with CuSO4 and CuO (-21 and 265 -24%), and CuO treatment led to a 33% increase in leaf 266 moisture. Root length was also affected (-20 and -45%) by 267 LaCl<sub>3</sub> and CuSO<sub>4</sub> (Tables S6a and S7a). Similar to what was 268 observed for NPs, zucchini are largely unaffected by the bulk 269 materials and metal salts, with the exception of CuSO<sub>4</sub>. 270 Conversely, in tomatoes, all metal salts (CeCl<sub>3</sub>, LaCl<sub>3</sub>, and 271 CuSO<sub>4</sub>) caused a significant decrease in biomass: -68% for  $CeCl_3$ , -56% for LaCl\_3, and -64% for CuSO<sub>4</sub>. Bulk La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> also 272 273 reduced tomato biomass (-76%) (Table S5). Significant differences were not shown for tomato moisture content, but 274 275 a significant decrease of tomato shoot length was evident after 276 treatment with CeCl<sub>3</sub> (-35%), La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (-36%), and CuO (-34%) as well as for roots (-48%) treated with CuO (Tables 277 S6b and S7b). Thus, tomatoes were more sensitive to 278 treatments with metal salts and with bulk La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which agrees 279 with the results from the La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP and CuO NP treatment; 280 exposure to bulk or NP CeO<sub>2</sub> was generally less toxic. 281

Metal-Content Data. The Ce, La, and Cu content in

283 zucchini roots and shoots is shown in Figure 1. Root content of

285 significantly increased by bulk, ion, and NP treatment. For

286 the NPs, the Ce and Cu content did not vary significantly

287 across species; zucchini and tomato root Ce content was 5870

288 and 4790 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and root Cu content was 1970 and 2130 mg

289 kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. However, root La content under NP

290 exposure differs significantly across the two plant species.

all the three elements in both horticultural species was

f1

282

284

Zucchini and tomato-root La levels were 1700 and 3710 mg 291 kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Zucchini and tomato shoot Ce, La, and Cu 292 content increased after NPs treatment, showing that the 293 translocation of all three elements after NP exposure was quite 294 high: in tomato shoots, the Ce, La, and Cu concentrations were 295 169, 232, and 127 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. For zucchini, stem Ce, 296 La, and Cu concentrations under NP exposure were 66.8, 37.5, 297 and 67.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; the leaf values were 4.92, 50.1, 298 and 63.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In the cells of zucchini leaves 299 from CuO NPs exposed plants, SEM-TEM-EDS analysis 300 showed the presence of Cu aggregates, including those in the 301 nanometer size range (Figure S4; EDS data in Figure S5). 302 Zucchini seems to effectively translocate La from roots to both 303 stems and leaves, but for Ce, another element in the lanthanide 304 series, the relative movement from the stems to the leaves was 305 minimal. These Ce data disagree with previous findings<sup>5</sup> that 306 showed that zucchini-leaf Ce content is greater than in stems. 307 However, a comparison between the two studies is confounded 308 by the different exposure conditions, including media (soil 309 versus vermiculite), concentration, and duration. It is important 310 to note that Ce and La accumulation in tomato shoots was 2.5- 311 fold greater than that in zucchini; in the case of La, this 312 correlated with the greater phytotoxicity observed in tomatoes 313 upon exposure to La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs. 314

ICP-MS analyses of bulk material and metals salts showed 315 that particle size and element form significantly impacted 316 content (Figure 1 and Tables S8-S9). For zucchini roots, Ce 317 was present at 234 and 1805 mg  $kg^{-1}$  for CeCl<sub>3</sub> and bulk CeO<sub>2</sub>, 318 respectively; values of Ce are significantly lower than after NP 319 exposure. In zucchini stems, the concentration of Ce was 14.8 320 and 30.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for ion and bulk treatment, respectively, 321 whereas leaf content was 14.1 and 2.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The ionic Ce 322 was translocated equally in stem and leaves, as compared to the 323 bulk and NP CeO<sub>2</sub> treatments, whereas leaf Ce content was 324 lower than in stems. These results correlate with the decreased 325 biomass observed in the Ce salt treatment. Analogous results 326 are reported for La, with concentrations in zucchini roots after 327 treatment with ion and bulk of 296 and 1805 mg kg $^{-1}$ , 328 respectively. Although the ion concentration was significantly 329 lower than the NPs level, the bulk and NPs values are 330 statistically equivalent. The stem content was 27.3 and 207 mg 331  $kg^{-1}$ , respectively, and the leaf content was 14.6 and 23.6 mg  $_{332}$ kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Similar to the La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP treatment, La 333 translocation to the shoots varied little across all treatments, in 334 agreement with the results from the physiological analyses, and 335 further demonstrates the different in planta behavior of La and 336 Ce.<sup>30</sup> Treatment with CuSO<sub>4</sub> and CuO (bulk) in zucchini 337 produced a similar effect to the NP exposure, with Cu content 338 several-fold lower than that of Ce. The root Cu content was 339 480 and 622 mg  $kg^{-1}$  for  $\text{CuSO}_4$  and CuO (bulk) treatments,  ${}^{340}$ respectively; the Cu content in the NPs exposure was 341 significantly greater than with bulk and ion. The concentrations 342 reported in stems were 46.4 and 140 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 69.6 and 22 343 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in the leaves. The evidence of an increased Cu leaf 344 content for the ion treatment may partially explain a decrease in 345 biomass after CuSO<sub>4</sub> exposure, although similar levels were 346 detected after NP treatment, and no biomass effects were 347 noted. Results in tomatoes for bulk and ion exposure are shown 348 in Figure 1 and Table S9. Root Ce content was 503 and 508 mg 349 kg<sup>-1</sup> for CeCl<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> (bulk) treatments; both levels were 350 significantly lower than that of NP exposure. Roots La levels 351 were 755 and 4268 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in plants treated with LaCl<sub>3</sub> and 352  $La_2O_3$  (bulk), respectively; similarly to what was observed for 353



**Figure 2.** Comparison between *A. thaliana* and *C. pepo*. Heatmap (a) and Venn diagrams of the genes up-regulated (b) and down-regulated (c) of *A. thaliana* (Marmiroli et al., 2014) compared with *C. pepo* treated with 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  of CuO NPs, 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs, or 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs. Signals were normalized on the untreated control (data not shown). In the heatmap, down-regulated genes are reported in green, whereas up-regulated genes are shown in red. Genes not significantly different from the expression levels of the untreated control are reported in black; (\*), genes commonly regulated in all the treatments; (\*\*), genes commonly regulated also with *A. thaliana*.

354 zucchini, La content in bulk and NPs treatments were 355 statistically equivalent, but ion treatment resulted in lower La 356 content. The Cu root content was 941 and 447 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> for 357 CuSO<sub>4</sub> and CuO (bulk) treatment, respectively. Levels of La 358 after NP exposure were significantly higher. It was noted that 359 La levels in tomato shoots exceeded those in zucchini, and this 360 correlates with the observed physiological effects. With Ce in 361 ionic and NPs form, this resulted in a greater translocation to 362 the shoots than with bulk CeO<sub>2</sub> and correlated with observed 363 toxicity. The literature supports these findings, where the phytotoxicity of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs is greater than CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs, likely 364 caused by the higher level of dissolution and  $La^{3+}$  release that occurred in the plant (cucumber).<sup>30,31</sup> The lower dissolution 365 366 rate of CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs correlates with the decreased level of 367 translocation to the leaves and with the lower impact on plant 368 growth and ROS generation.<sup>31</sup> Translocation of Cu after NP 369 370 exposure was 3-fold higher than in bulk and ion treatments, in partial agreement with reports for alfalfa roots and shoots<sup>21</sup> and 371 372 for maize.<sup>32</sup>

**Gene-Expression Analysis of the ENM Treatments.** Gene-expression analysis was conducted to identify up- and down-regulated genes for the two plant species treated with the down-regulated genes for the two plant species treated with the relevant literature for reference. From the 204 *A. thaliana* genes reported in Marmiroli et al. (2014),<sup>18</sup> 71 were isolated as provention orthologs, but only 46 were found both in zucchini and in tomatoes. Of those, a total of 38 orthologue genes were used for the study.

Expression analyses performed with RT-qPCR for treated 382 and untreated zucchini are shown in Figure 2, along with results 383 f2 from Marmiroli et al. (2014)<sup>18</sup> for *A. thaliana* exposed to CdS 384 QDs (Figure 2). Heatmaps (Figure 2a) and Venn diagrams 385 (Figure 2b,c) showed a trend of general down-regulation upon 386 exposure to  $La_2O_3$  NPs or CuO NPs (500 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) relative to 387 untreated controls. Treatment with CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs produced few 388 changes in the level of expression of most of the analyzed 389 genes. It is also interesting that the expression profile for the 390 treatments with the two lanthanides, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub>, were 391 quite different. In fact, the response to La2O3 and CuO NP 392 treatments were actually quite similar (Figure 2a), confirming 393 results obtained in the physiological analyses (Figure 1 and 394 Tables S2-S4). Of the 38 genes analyzed, 7 were 395 simultaneously expressed (either up- or down-regulated) in 396 zucchini across the CuO, La2O3, and CeO2 NPs treatments 397 (Figure 2). When we take into consideration the results from 398 the A. thaliana treatments from Marmiroli et al. (2014),<sup>18</sup> only 399 one gene was simultaneously expressed and modulated (up- 400 regulated in this case) across all treatments (Figure 2b). Of the 401 seven genes in common, one, 026u (GTP2), was down- 402 regulated and encodes for a glucose 6-phosphate transporter 2 403 located in the chloroplast and in the vacuolar membrane. 404 Another common down-regulated gene was 048u (SNRK2-9), 405 which encodes a member of SNF1-related serine and threonine 406 protein kinases that are calcium- and calmodulin-dependent 407 and is involved in osmotic stress response. A third down- 408 regulated gene was 051u (PLP2), which encodes for a lipid acyl 409



**Figure 3.** Comparison between *A. thaliana* and *S. lycopersicum*. Heatmap (a) and Venn diagrams of the genes up-regulated (b) and down-regulated (c) of *A. thaliana* (Marmiroli et al., 2014) compared with *S. lycopersicum* treated with 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  of CuO NPs, 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs, or 500 mg  $L^{-1}$  CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs. Signals were normalized on the untreated control (data not shown). In the heatmap, down-regulated genes are reported in green, whereas up-regulated genes are shown in red. Genes not significantly different from the expression levels of the untreated control are reported in black; (\*), genes commonly regulated in all the treatments; (\*\*), genes commonly regulated also with *A. thaliana*.

410 hydrolase with wide substrate specificity. This gene plays a role 411 in cell death and contributes to resistance against viral infection 412 and cadmium toxicity. The gene 150u (RPS12A) is a 413 chloroplast gene that encodes a ribosomal protein S12. Gene 414 152u (ORF31) encodes for an electron carrier located in 415 chloroplast endomembrane system and in the cytochrome b6f 416 complex. In literature, ORF31 was reported as highly responsive 417 under high-level H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> conditions. The gene was coexpressed 418 with ZAT12, a zinc-finger protein involved in abiotic stress 419 response, such as heat and oxidative stress. These findings not 420 only underlie the central role of the chloroplast in cellular 421 response to ROS and abiotic stress (Figure S6) but also the 422 putative role of ORF31 as a biomarker of abiotic stress 423 response, such as during ENM treatment. Gene 155u (PSBN) encodes a photosystem II (PSII) low-molecular-weight protein 424 425 that is located on thylakoid membrane. Several chloroplast 426 genes are among those commonly down-regulated by NP 427 exposure. The only gene up-regulated in all four the treatments (including A. thaliana) was 005u (BIP3), which encodes for 428 429 Heat Shock Protein 70 (HSP70) with ATP-binding function. This gene is involved in protein folding, as well as response to 430 431 heat stress and pollen-tube growth, and is located in the lumen 432 of the endoplasmic reticulum. In A. thaliana, BIP3 is also 433 involved in transcriptional regulation as a part of the mediator 434 complex. As shown in the gene network reported in Figure S7, 435 this gene also physically interacts with BZIP28, a putative 436 membrane-tethered transcriptional factor that is up-regulated in 437 response to heat. BIP3 gene product also physically interacts

with ERDJ3A and ERDJ3B, two DNAJ domain proteins strictly 438 related to the modulation of heat stress response and pollen 439 germination. *BIP3* is also coexpressed in *A. thaliana* with *PNP*- 440 *A* (Plant Natriuretic Peptide A), which was reported in 441 Marmiroli et al.  $(2014)^{18}$  as one of the more differentially 442 expressed genes in *A. thaliana* CdS QDs resistant mutant 443 *atnp01* (Figure S7). PNPs are a class of systemically mobile 444 molecules that may function as a component of plant defense 445 response and systemic acquired resistance (SAR). 446

Results from the RT-qPCR analysis of treated and untreated 447 tomato are shown in Figure 3, along with results from 448 f3 Marmiroli et al. (2014)<sup>18</sup> for A. thaliana exposed to CdS QDs. 449 Different from the response observed in C. pepo, treatments 450 with the lanthanide CeO2 and La2O3 NPs gave quite similar 451 results in terms of differential gene expression. Tomato 452 response to CuO NPs produced a more consistent pattern of 453 up-regulation. For tomatoes, a total of six genes were up- or 454 down-regulated with the CeO2, La2O3, and CuO NPs 455 treatments; three of these genes (Figure 2) were also up- 456 regulated in A. thaliana from Marmiroli et al. (2014).<sup>18</sup> The 457 first down-regulated gene was 072u (SKS13), which encodes 458 for an endomembrane system protein involved in oxidor- 459 eductase activity and copper-ion binding; SKS13 was down- 460 regulated in all Cu treatments (NPs, bulk, and ion), suggesting 461 that it may not be specifically involved in response to ENM 462 exposure. A second down-regulated gene was 086u 463 (At3g59845), which encodes a cytosolic Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion binding 464 dehydrogenase family protein known to be involved in the 465

466 response to oxidative stress. Similar to zucchini, 005u (BIP3) 467 was commonly down-regulated in tomatoes across the three 468 treatments, suggesting a possible central role in response to 469 EMN exposure. Regarding genes commonly overexpressed in 470 tomatoes and A. thaliana, the first one is 152u (ORF31), which 471 was also up-regulated in zucchini exposures. A second gene 472 commonly up-regulated in tomatoes and A. thaliana was 124u 473 (PRR5), which codes for a pseudoresponse regulator whose 474 mutation affects circadian-associated biological events, acting as 475 a transcriptional repressor of CCA1 and LHY (001d). As 476 observed in A. thaliana (Figure S8), 001d was down-regulated 477 in tomatoes upon exposure to  $CeO_2$  and  $La_2O_3$  NPs (Figure 478 3a). LHY (Late Elongated Hypocotyl) and CCA1 (Circadian 479 Clock Associated1) belong to the MYB-transcription-factor 480 family and are involved in the regulation of circadian rhythm 481 and oxidative stress response in plants. The importance of this 482 finding is reinforced by the activity of At1g13880 (ELM2), a 483 gene found to be a key element in the tolerance and resistance 484 of the CdS QDs of A. thaliana mutant atnp01; this gene is also 485 a putative MYB-transcription factor, highlighting the central 486 role of this transcriptional-regulator family in response to 487 ENMs. The gene 127u (GGCT2;1) was up-regulated in 488 tomatoes across all exposures (as well as in A. thaliana); this 489 gene encodes a  $\gamma$ -glutamyl cyclotransferase 2;1 (GGCT2;1) 490 that belongs to the ChaC-like family protein and is involved in 491 Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> response. It is interesting to observe that some 492 of the genes differentially modulated in the two species (GTP2, 493 PLP2, and BIP3 and SNRK2-9, LHY, and GGCT2;1, 494 respectively) were reported in literature as coexpressed in A. 495 thaliana under hypoxia and bacterial infection conditions 496 (Table S10).

Comparison of the results for tomato and zucchini samples 497 498 exposed to CeO<sub>2</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and CuO NPs treatments with that of 499 A. thaliana exposed to CdS quantum dots shows that in spite of 500 a large phylogenetic distance, the general response of tomatoes 501 is more similar to A. thaliana than it is with zucchini plants 502 (Figure S9). In both zucchini and tomatoes, treatment with 503 CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs caused only small changes in gene expression, and 504 this agrees with the physiological responses, which showed that 505 CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs were significantly less toxic than La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CuO 506 NPs. These findings agree with soil-based studies showing 507 greater La2O3 phytotoxicity and La accumulation in exposed 508 plants.<sup>26</sup> Interestingly, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> had different effects on the two 509 plant species at both the physiological and the genetic level. 510 These findings correlate with published data on response to 511 ENM exposure, both with regard to species-specific response <sup>512</sup> (one material, many species) and with different nanomaterials <sup>513</sup> on a single species.<sup>9,15,33</sup> With regard to CuO NPs, the different 514 effects observed in tomato and zucchini plants indicate two 515 different response pathways to the same exposure: tomatoes 516 respond with a general up-regulation of genes mainly involved 517 in copper and cadmium response (similar to what was seen in 518 A. thaliana upon treatment with CdS QDs), whereas the 519 zucchini response showed a general down-regulation of nearly 520 all genes studied.

521 Considering the three plant species (zucchini, tomato, *A.* 522 *thaliana*) and different ENM exposures, only two genes were 523 consistently modulated, although in opposite ways (according 524 to *A. thaliana* results): *BIP3* (005u) and *ORF31* (152u) (Figure 525 S9SI). Their biological interactions, as described in SI Figure 526 S6–S7, point out how the two genes are involved in abiotic 527 stress response (not only to heat and oxidative stress but 528 potentially also to ENMs exposure; Table S10) and chloroplast functionality and appear to play a central role in ENMs toxicity 529 and response;<sup>34,35</sup> these genes can be considered potential 530 biomarkers for ENM exposure. Principal component analysis 531 (PCA) performed on zucchini and tomato in different 532 treatments (Figure S10) showed the major components of 533 variability to be related to a "plant-specific" response (response 534 of zucchini and tomato) and to a "nanospecific" response 535 (gene-expression level related to the treatment); these 536 represent 80.8% of the total variance observed. Again, among 537 the genes analyzed, 005u (*BIP3*), 152u (*ORF31*), and 124u 538 (*PPR5*) were the most responsive out of the 38 genes analyzed 539 in both species, representing the major determiners of the 540 variance observed.

Comparison with Bulk and Ion. RT-qPCR on the 542 putative biomarkers isolated from the NP exposure (Figures 543 2 and 3) was tested on the two species upon exposure to bulk 544 CuO, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and CeO<sub>2</sub>, as well as to the corresponding metal 545 salts. Of the seven simultaneously expressed genes in zucchini 546 (Figure 2), the three NP treatments all group separately from 547 the corresponding bulk and ionic exposures. This ENMs 548 difference is clearly greatest for NP CuO, followed by La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 549 suggesting that at least for these seven genes, much of the 550 effects observed with NP exposure are indeed "nanospecific" 551 (Figure S11). For CeO<sub>2</sub>, the bulk and ion transcriptomic 552 response groups fairly closely to NP, indicating that for these 553 selected genes, much of the differential response shown in 554 Figure 2 is traceable to Ce exposure in general and therefore 555 not size-specific. In addition, BIP3 (005u) and ORF31 (152u) 556 were the only two genes in which the expression level was 557 significantly different across all genes for the NP exposure 558 (Figure S11). There is a potential for 005u and 152u to serves 559 as candidate biomarker genes for NP exposure in zucchini and 560 possibly other related plants. 561

The scenario was different when we considered the 562 transcriptomic response of tomato in the presence of NP, 563 bulk, and ion treatments (Figure S12). As with zucchini, CuO 564 NPs caused a response separate from the two other forms of 565 Cu, suggesting that much of the change (at least in these seven 566 genes) with the exposure to ENMs is size-specific and likely 567 mechanistically distinct from the Cu ion. Unlike zucchini, the 568 two lanthanides behave differently, with bulk and NP La2O3 569 grouping more closely together and CeO<sub>2</sub> having a more 570 significant "nanospecific" effect on transcription. Specifically, 571 BIP3 (005u), SKS13 (072u), and 086u (Zn<sup>2+</sup>-ion-binding 572 dehydrogenase) were consistently down-regulated across all the 573 treatments. 152u (ORF31) was up-regulated in tomatoes upon 574 exposure to NPs CeO2, La2O3, and CuO but also in the 575 presence of bulk CuO, CuSO<sub>4</sub>, and LaCl<sub>3</sub>. The overall picture 576 observed in tomatoes seems to be more complex than in 577 zucchini and will require further analyses and subsequent 578 additional study to be fully understood, including exposures at 579 lower NPs concentrations and in soil-based systems over longer 580 periods of time.

**"Nanospecific" Response.** This study elucidates the 582 involvement of some of the molecular mechanisms of response 583 triggered in plants upon ENM exposure (response to abiotic 584 stress), also highlighting the primary role of the chloroplast. 585 The results showed different responses between zucchini and 586 tomatoes upon exposure to NP CeO<sub>2</sub>, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and CuO, both at 587 the physiological and molecular level. In addition, the toxicity of 588 CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs was lower (Figure 1), partially because of low 589 translocation to the leaf. Conversely, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs produced 590 greater toxicity, especially in tomatoes, and this correlates with 591



**Figure 4.** Principal component analysis regarding uptake, physiological response (biomass), and transcriptomic response in zucchini (a) and tomato (b). The first two components (response based on particle type and element) represents the 64.2% and 25.5% of the total variance observed in zucchini and the 72.8% and 24.0% in tomatoes, respectively. The overall nanoparticles response, which was unique in most of the cases, underlying the "nanospecificity" of the phenomenon observed.

592 the high uptake and translocation to the shoots (Figure 1, Table S1). CuO NPs response at physiological level was similar 593 to that of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs, whereas the transcriptomic response 594 differed on the basis of species. Exposure to equivalent bulk and 595 ionic materials confirmed the general fact that NPs are 596 accumulated to a greater extent but that equivalent metal 597 ions (salts) often exert the greatest toxicity. It is important to 598 point out that in the targeted transcriptional analysis, the effect 599 from NP exposure differs from that of the equivalent bulk and 600 ion materials. After analyzing the overall effects observed in the 601 602 experiments performed (biomass, metal uptake, and gene 603 expression), we determined that the degree of the "nanobased" specificity was represented as 89% and 96% of the total variance 604 605 observed in zucchini and tomatoes, respectively (Figure 4). This nanoresponse does depend on the specific particle type 606 and element (nano, bulk, or ion form) but may indicate a 607 unique mechanism of toxicity for the ENMs. The high 608 variability in response based both on particle type and plant 609 610 species (Figures 4 and S10) supports the contention of Aslani 611 et al. (2014),<sup>33</sup> who recommends assessing NP exposure, 612 effects, and risk on a case-by-case basis. The current work 613 shows some consistent molecular responses related to oxidative 614 stress and points out the potential role of the chloroplast in the 615 ENMs reponse<sup>34,35</sup> (Figures S7, S11, and S12) in both zucchini 616 and tomatoes (partially). Further investigations are underway 617 to verify if 005u and 152u are true molecular biomarkers of 618 exposure and effects in a wide range of plant species, with the 619 objective of developing new and effective strategies to assess 620 the risk of ENM in the environment and the food supply.

The integration of "-omics" data sets and a systems biology 621 approach can facilitate a multitargeted method, allowing the 622 identification of key regulatory "hubs" in complex gene 623 networks.<sup>36</sup> The application of these biomarkers opens new 624 perspectives for ENM screening and monitoring in one or more 625 plant species, may facilitate the determination of dose- 626 response relationships, and may help to complete risk 627 assessment efforts in species of agricultural interest<sup>37</sup> and 628 their environmental implications.<sup>38</sup> The biomarkers approach <sub>629</sub> can reflect the genetic linkage to ENMs response expressed 630 phenotypically as acquired tolerance and resistance or 631 susceptibility of plants to specific substances. Biomarkers can 632 also be a tool for selection and improvement of plant varieties 633 as well as for other efforts such as biofortification<sup>39</sup> or for plants <sub>634</sub> to facilitate heavy metal phytoremediation.<sup>40</sup> Investigation of <sub>635</sub> other species of agronomic interest, phylogenetically close and 636 distant from the species studied (e.g., lettuce, rice, maize, and 637 wheat), is currently underway. In addition, the role of the 638 rhizosphere in the ENM management or the impact of co- 639 contamination (ENM and otherwise) remains largely un- 640 known.<sup>41,42</sup> Moreover, this work demonstrates that the <sub>641</sub> application of high-throughput methodologies such as tran-642 scriptomics, but also (potentially) proteomics<sup>43</sup> and metab- <sub>643</sub> olomics,<sup>44</sup> coupled with analytical and physiological data can 644 bridge the gap between genotype and phenotype regarding 645 plant response to ENM exposure. 646

#### 647 **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### 648 **Supporting Information**

649 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the 650 ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b01816.

Additional details on NP characterization and SEM-EDS 651 and TEM-EDS sample preparation. Tables showing 46 A. 652 thaliana orthologs and primers used for RT-qPCR 653 experiments; biomass, water content, and length 654 measurements and metal content of tomato and zucchini 655 upon NPs and bulk and ion treatments; and a list of 656 supplementary references. Figures showing SEM and 657 TEM images of NPs and zucchini leaf; a network analysis 658 of the 0005u, 152u, and 124u genes; a heatmap of the 659 genes analyzed in tomatoes and zucchini upon NP 660 treatment; principal component analysis of the transcrip-661 tional responses of zucchini and tomato; and heatmaps of 662 the genes analyzed in tomatoes and zucchini upon bulk 663 and ion treatments and their comparison with NP 664 treatment. (PDF) 665

#### 666 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### 667 Corresponding Authors

668 \*J.C.W. phone: +1 (203) 974-8523; fax: +1 (203) 974-8502; e-669 mail: Jason.White@ct.gov.

670 \*N.M. phone: +39 0521905606; fax: +39 0521906222; e-mail: 671 nelson.marmiroli@unipr.it.

#### 672 Notes

673 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### 674 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

675 The authors thank Michael A. Ammirata and Craig Musante of 676 CAES for technical support. L.P. acknowledges University of 677 Parma Research Fellowship no. 3BIOS/2014 entitled "Analisi 678 sulla sicurezza per la salute e per l'ambiente determinata dalle 679 interazioni tra nanoparticelle, nanomateriali e piante di 680 interesse agroalimentare". O.P.D. acknowledges the award 681 (no. \$1400000000026) from CAES to UMass Amherst. 682 J.C.W. acknowledges USDA-AFRI (2011-67006-30181).

# 683 **REFERENCES**

684 (1) Bumbudsanpharoke, N.; Ko, S. Nano-food packaging: an 685 overview of market, migration research, and safety regulations. *J.* 686 Food Sci. **2015**, 80 (5), 910–23.

(2) Holden, P. A.; Nisbet, R. M.; Lenihan, H. S.; Miller, R. J.; Cherr,
688 G. N.; Schimel, J. P.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Ecological nano689 toxicology: integrating nanomaterial hazard considerations across the
690 subcellular, population, community, and ecosystems levels. *Acc. Chem.*691 *Res.* 2013, 46 (3), 813–822.

(3) Holden, P. A.; Klaessig, F.; Turco, R. F.; Priester, J. H.; Rico, C.
M.; Avila-Arias, H.; Mortimer, M.; Pacpaco, K.; Gardea-Torresdey, J.
L. Evaluation of exposure concentrations used in assessing
manufactured nanomaterial environmental hazards: are they relevant? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (18), 10541–10551.

(4) Petersen, E. J.; Henry, T. B.; Zhao, J.; MacCuspie, R. I.;
698 Kirschling, T. L.; Dobrovolskaia, M. A.; Hackley, V.; Xing, B.; White, J.
699 C. Identification and avoidance of potential artifacts and misinter700 pretations in nanomaterial ecotoxicity measurements. *Environ. Sci.*701 *Technol.* 2014, 48, 4226–46.

(5) Hawthorne, J.; De la Torre Roche, R.; Xing, B.; Newman, L. A.;
Ma, X.; Majumdar, S.; Gardea-Torresdey, J.; White, J. C. Particle-size
dependent accumulation and trophic transfer of cerium oxide through
a terrestrial food chain. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *48*, 13102–13109.
(6) Conway, J. R.; Hanna, S. K.; Lenihan, H. S.; Keller, A. A. Effects
and implications of trophic transfer and accumulation of CeO<sub>2</sub>

nanoparticles in a marine mussel. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (3), 708 1517–1524. 709

(7) Gardea-Torresdey, J. L.; Rico, C. M.; White, J. C. Trophic 710 transfer, transformation, and impact of engineered nanomaterials in 711 terrestrial environments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 2526–2540. 712

(8) Schwab, F.; Zhai, G.; Kern, M.; Turner, A.; Schnoor, J. L.; 713 Wiesner, M. R. Barriers, pathways and processes for uptake, 714 translocation and accumulation of nanomaterials in plants – Critical 715 review. *Nanotoxicology* **2016**, *10* (3), 257–78. 716

(9) Ma, C.; White, J. C.; Dhankher, O. P.; Xing, B. Metal-based 717 nanotoxicity and detoxification pathways in higher plants. *Environ. Sci.* 718 *Technol.* 2015, 49, 7109–7122. 719

(10) Silins, I.; Högberg, J. Combined Toxic Exposures and Human 720 Health: Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public* 721 *Health* **2011**, *8* (3), 629–647. 722

(11) Brain, R. A.; Cedergreen, N. Biomarkers in aquatic plants: 723 selection and utility. *Rev. Environ. Contam Toxicol.* **2009**, *198*, 49–109. 724

(12) Schudoma, C; Steinfath, M.; Sprenger, H.; van Dongen, J. T.; 725 Hincha, D.; Zuther, E.; Geigenberger, P.; Kopka, J.; Köhl, K.; Walther, 726 D. Conducting Molecular Biomarker Discovery Studies in Plants. In 727 High-Throughput Phenotyping in Plants: Methods and Protocols; 728 Normanly, J., Ed.; 2012, 918, pp 127–150; 10.1007/978-1-61779- 729 995-2 10 730

(13) Tsuji, J. S.; Maynard, A. D.; Howard, P. C.; James, J. T.; Lam, 731 C.; Warheit, D. B.; Santamaria, A. B. Research strategies for safety 732 evaluation of nanomaterials, part IV: risk assessment of nanoparticles. 733 *Toxicol. Sci.* **2005**, *89*, 42–50. 734

(14) Chen, S.; Chen, B.; Fath, B. D. Ecological risk assessment on the 735 system scale: A review of state-of-the-art models and future 736 perspectives. *Ecol. Modell.* **2013**, *250*, 25–33. 737

(15) Landa, P.; Vankova, R.; Andrlova, J.; Hodek, J.; Marsik, P.; 738 Storchova, H.; White, J. C.; Vanek, T. Nanoparticle-specific changes in 739 Arabidopsis thaliana gene expression after exposure to ZnO, TiO2, and 740 fullerene soot. J. Hazard. Mater. **2012**, 241, 55–62. 741

(16) Landa, P.; Prerostova, S.; Petrova, S.; Knirsch, V.; Vankova, R.; 742 Vanek, T. The transcriptomic response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to zinc 743 oxide: a comparison of the impact of nanoparticles, bulk, and ionic 744 zinc. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, 49 (24), 14537–14545. 745

(17) Kaveh, R.; Li, Y.-S.; Ranjbar, S.; Tehrani, R.; Brueck, C. L.; Van 746 Aken, B. Changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* gene expression in response 747 to silver nanoparticles and silver ions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 748 10637–10644. 749

(18) Marmiroli, M.; Pagano, L.; Savo Sardaro, M. L.; Villani, M.; 750 Marmiroli, N. Genome-wide approach in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to assess 751 the toxicity of cadmium sulfide quantum dots. Environ. Sci. Technol. 752 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 5902–5909. 753

(19) Anjum, N. A.; Adam, V.; Kizek, R.; Duarte, A. C.; Pereira, E.; 754 Iqbal, M.; Lukatkin, A. S.; Ahmad, I. Nanoscale copper in the soil-plant 755 system-toxicity and underlying potential mechanisms. *Environ. Res.* 756 **2015**, 138, 306–325. 757

(20) Dimkpa, C. O.; McLean, J. E.; Latta, D. E.; Manangon, E.; Britt, 758 D. W.; Johnson, W. P.; Boyanov, M. I.; Anderson, A. J. CuO and ZnO 759 nanoparticles: phytotoxicity, metal speciation, and induction of 760 oxidative stress in sand-grown wheat. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2012**, *14*, 761 1125–39. 762

(21) Hong, J.; Rico, C. M.; Zhao, L.; Adeleye, A. S.; Keller, A. A.; 763 Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Toxic effects of copper-764 based nanoparticles or compounds to lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and 765 alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **2015**, 17, 177. 766 (22) Dahle, J. T.; Arai, Y. Environmental geochemistry of cerium: 767 applications and toxicology of cerium oxide nanoparticles. *Int. J.* 768 *Environ. Res. Public Health* **2015**, *12*, 1253–1278. 769

(23) Ma, C.; Chhikara, S.; Xing, B.; Musante, C.; White, J. C.; 770 Dhankher, O. P. Physiological and molecular response of *Arabidopsis* 771 *thaliana* (L.) to nanoparticle cerium and indium oxide exposure. ACS 772 *Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2013**, *1*, 768–778. 773

(24) Majumdar, S.; Almeida, I. C.; Arigi, E. A.; Choi, H.; 774 VerBerkmoes, N. C.; Trujillo-Reyes, J.; Flores-Margez, J. P.; White, 775 J. C.; Peralta-Videa, J. R.; Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Environmental 776 777 Effects of Nanoceria on Seed Production of Common Bean (*Phaseolus* 778 *vulgaris*): A Proteomic Analysis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, 49 (22), 779 13283–13293.

780 (25) Ma, Y.; He, X.; Zhang, P.; Zhang, Z.; Guo, Z.; Tai, R.; Xu, Z.; 781 Zhang, L.; Ding, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Chai, Z. Phytotoxicity and 782 biotransformation of La(2)O(3) nanoparticles in a terrestrial plant 783 cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). *Nanotoxicology* **2011**, 5 (4), 743–53.

784 (26) De la Torre Roche, R.; Servin, A.; Hawthorne, J.; Xing, B.; 785 Newman, L. A.; Ma, X.; Chen, G.; White, J. C. Terrestrial trophic 786 transfer of bulk and nanoparticle La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> does not depend on particle 787 size. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (19), 11866–11874.

788 (27) The Tomato Genome Consortium. The tomato genome
789 sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* 2012.
790 485, 635-641.

791 (28) Esteras, C.; Gomez, P.; Monforte, A. J.; Blanca, J.; Vicente-792 Dolera, N.; Roig, C.; Nuez, F.; Pico, B. High-throughput SNP 793 genotyping in Cucurbita pepo for map construction and quantitative 794 trait loci mapping. *BMC Genomics* **2012**, *13*, 80.

795 (29) Dimkpa, C. O.; McLean, J. E.; Martineau, N.; Britt, D. W.; 796 Haverkamp, R.; Anderson, A. J. Silver nanoparticles disrupt wheat 797 (*Triticum aestivum* L.) growth in a sand matrix. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 798 **2013**, 47, 1082–1090.

799 (30) Ma, Y.; Zhang, P.; Zhang, Z.; He, X.; Li, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, L.; 800 Chu, S.; Yang, K.; Zhao, Y.; Chai, Z. Origin of the different 801 phytotoxicity and biotransformation of cerium and lanthanum oxide 802 nanoparticles in cucumber. *Nanotoxicology* **2015**, *9* (2), 262–70.

803 (31) Cornelis, G.; Ryan, B.; McLaughlin, M. J.; Kirby, J. K.; Beak, D.; 804 Chittleborough, D. Solubility and batch retention of CeO2 nano-805 particles in soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, 45 (7), 2777–82.

(32) Wang, Z.; Xie, X.; Zhao, J.; Liu, X.; Feng, W.; White, J. C.; Xing,
B. Xylem- and phloem-based transport of CuO nanoparticles in maize
(*Zea mays L.*). *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 46, 4434–4441.

809 (33) Aslani, F.; Bagheri, S.; Muhd Julkapli, N.; Juraimi, A. S.; 810 Hashemi, F. S. G.; Baghdadi, A. Effects of engineered nanomaterials on 811 plants growth: an overview. *Sci. World J.* **2014**, *2014*, 641759.

812 (34) Van Nhan, L.; Ma, C.; Rui, Y.; Liu, S.; Li, X.; Xing, B.; Liu, L. 813 Phytotoxic mechanism of nanoparticles: destruction of chloroplasts

814 and vascular bundles and alteration of nutrient absorption. Sci. Rep.815 2015, 5, 11618.

(35) Wang, Z.; Xu, L.; Zhao, J.; Wang, X.; White, J. C.; Xing, B. CuO
Nanoparticle interaction with *Arabidopsis thaliana*: toxicity, parentprogeny transfer and gene expression. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2016, *50*,
6008.

(36) Cramer, G. R.; Urano, K.; Delrot, S.; Pezzotti, M.; Shinozaki, K.
Effects of abiotic stress on plants: a systems biology perspective. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2011, *11*, 163.

823 (37) Szakal, C.; Roberts, S. M.; Westerhoff, P.; Bartholomaeus, A.; 824 Buck, N.; Illuminato, I.; Canady, R.; Rogers, M. Measurement of 825 nanomaterials in foods: integrative consideration of challenges and 826 future prospects. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8* (4), 3128–3135.

827 (38) White, J. C.; Xing, B. Environmental Nanotoxicology. *Environ.* 828 Sci. Technol. 2016, 50, 5423–5423.

829 (39) Rawat, N.; Neelam, K.; Tiwari, V. K.; Dhaliwal, H. S.
830 Biofortification of cereals to overcome hidden hunger. *Plant Breed.*831 2013, 132, 437–445.

832 (40) Halimaa, P.; Lin, Y. F.; Ahonen, V. H.; Blande, D.; Clemens, S.;

833 Gyenesei, A.; Häikiö, E.; Kärenlampi, S. O.; Laiho, A.; Aarts, M. G.;
834 Pursiheimo, J. P.; Schat, H.; Schmidt, H.; Tuomainen, M. H.;
835 Tervahauta, A. I. Gene expression differences between *Noccaea*

836 caerulescens ecotypes help to identify candidate genes for metal 837 phytoremediation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, 48 (6), 3344–3353.

(41) Tong, T.; Wilke, C. M.; Wu, J.; Binh, C. T. T.; Kelly, J. J.;
Gaillard, J. F.; Gray, K. A. Combined toxicity of nano-ZnO and nanoTiO2: from single- to multinanomaterial systems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*2015, 49 (13), 8113–8123.

842 (42) Servin, A. D.; White, J. C. Nanotechnology in agriculture: next 843 steps for understanding engineered nanoparticle exposure and risk. 844 *NanoImpact.* **2016**, *1*, 9. (43) Marmiroli, M.; Imperiale, D.; Pagano, L.; Villani, M.; Zappettini, 845 A.; Marmiroli, N. The proteomic response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to 846 cadmium sulfide quantum dots, and its correlation with the 847 transcriptomic response. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *16* (6), 1104. 848

(44) Zhao, L.; Huang, Y.; Hu, J.; Zhou, H.; Adeleye, A. S.; Keller, A. 849 A. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC-MS Based Metabolomics Reveal Defense and 850 Detoxification Mechanism of Cucumber Plant under Nano-Cu Stress. 851 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (4), 2000–2010. 852